min.  $ED_{+300\%}$  values are recorded in Table I.  $ED_{+300\%}$  is defined as the dose at which the average sleeping time of the animals in a test group is increased by 300% in comparison to that of a control group.

#### References

- (1) L. H. Sternbach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 10, 34 (1971), and references cited therein.
- (2) A. I. Rachlin, E. Reeder, and L. H. Sternbach, U. S. Patent 3,215,694 (1965).
- (3) S. C. Bell, U. S. Patent 3,509,148 (1970).
- (4) Schering AG., German Patent 1,109,180 (1953); Chem. Abstr., 56, 8726b (1962).
- (5) A. H. Nelson, Chem. Ind. (London), 653 (1965).
- (6) S. C. Bell and P. H. L. Wei, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 6, 599 (1969).
  (7) R. Pater, *ibid.*, 7, 1113 (1970).
- (8) M. E. Derieg, R. J. Fryer, S. S. Hillery, W. Metlesics, and G. Silvermann, J. Org. Chem., 36, 782 (1971).
- (9) J. T. Litchfield and F. Wilcoxon, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 96, 99 (1949).
- (10) S. Irwin, Psychopharmacologia, 13, 222 (1968).
- (11) W. Wirth, Verh. Deut. Ges. Inn. Med., 60, 100 (1954).
- (12) J. Buchel, Anesth. Analg., Reanim., 17, 289 (1960).
- (13) L. A. Woodbury and V. D. Davenport, Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn., 92, 1 (1952).
- (14) L. Ther, G. Vogel, and Ph. Werner, Arzneim. Forsch., 9, 351 (1959).
- (15) L. Ther, Deut. Apoth.-Z., 292 (1953).
- (16) L. Ther and H. Schramm, Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn., 138, 302 (1962).

# Structure and Anticoccidial Activity of a New Series of 4-Hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylates

Bert K. F. Hermans, Marcel A. C. Janssen,\*

Hugo L. E. Verhoeven, Alfons G. Knaeps,

Theo T. J. M. Van Offenwert, Jozef H. Mostmans,

Johan J. M. Willems, Bert Maes, and Oscar Vanparijs

Research Laboratoria, Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V., Beerse, Belgium. Received November 28, 1972

A new group of broad-spectrum coccidiostats, the 4hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylates (1), was first described by Spencer, *et al.*<sup>1</sup> The activity of these compounds was later confirmed in several publications.<sup>2-4</sup> Decoquinate<sup>3</sup> (1a),



nequinate<sup>2</sup> (1b), and buquinolate<sup>1</sup> (1c) are among the most effective coccidiostats known at present. These compounds all have an alkoxy substituent in position 7 and an alkyl or alkoxy substituent in position 6.

In contrast, the compounds 2 described here are ethyl 6alkoxy-4-hydroxyquinoline-3-carboxylates with an alkoxymethyl or an aralkoxymethyl substituent in the 7 position. These compounds are also potent coccidiostats.



**Chemistry**. Nitro compounds **3** were used to initiate the synthetic pathways leading to the formation of compounds

2. These nitro compounds themselves are synthesized as outlined in Scheme I. The reaction of p-nitrophenol with





an appropriate alkyl halide in the presence of a base, e.g., NaOH or NaOMe, yields the p-nitrophenoxyalkanes 4. These alkoxynitrobenzenes may be chloromethylated using paraform, ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, and gaseous HCl to produce the benzyl chlorides 5. The desired nitro compounds 3 may be formed by the reaction of sodium alcoholates with benzyl chlorides (method A) although various side products and tars are frequently obtained. Therefore, the more usual procedure is to have the chlorides react with NaI in acetone to obtain benzyl iodides 6 (method B). High yields of the desired benzyl ethers 3 may be readily obtained by addition of appropriate alcohols to the system. Most of the benzyl halides, summarized in Table I, are novel.

The pathway starting with benzyl ethers 3, as outlined in Scheme II, is used for the final synthesis of compounds

Scheme II



2. The nitro compounds 3 may be reduced to their corresponding anilines 7 by two different methods: either catalytic reduction with  $PtO_2$  in ethanol (method C) or reduction with ammonium chloride and iron (method D). These anilines are condensed with diethyl methoxymethylene-malonate in boiling ethanol or 2-propanol.

Only a limited number of condensation products 8 were isolated, the majority being used in their crude form for the last stage of the synthesis. Ring closure of compounds 8was effected by heating in diphenyl ether or diphenylmethane, both solvents being equally suitable (Table II).

**Chemotherapy.** For screening purposes, 18-day-old male Hisex chickens weighing between 100 and 120 g were housed in individual cages for the duration of the experiment. Feed, known not to contain coccidiostat, was available at will. On day 0, the chickens were divided into three groups: four noninfected, nontreated birds; four infected, nontreated birds; two infected, treated birds. Coccidiosis was induced by inoculation of the test animals with approximately two million sporulated oöcysts of *Eimeria Acervulina*. For 6 days, the treated birds were given the compounds 2 at will at a dose of 0.01% of their feed. On the seventh day, the medicated feed was replaced by normal feed for five subseTable I

Notes

		O <sub>2</sub> N-OR CH <sub>2</sub> X									
Compd	R	х	Yield, purified, %	Mp or bp (mm), $^{\circ}C$	Formula	Analyses <sup>a</sup>					
9	Me	Cl	84	78.5	C.H.CINO, <sup>b</sup>	Cl					
10	Me	I	81	103	C.H.INO, Č	I. N					
11	Et	C1	73	69.5	C.H. CINO, d	C1					
12	Et	I	85	88	C.H. INO,	I, C, H, N					
13	<i>n</i> -Bu	C1	87	170-173 (1)	C.H.CINO	ĆI, Ć, Ĥ, N					
14	<i>n</i> -Bu	I	72	57	C, H, INO,	I, Ć, Ĥ, Ň					
15	$n-C_{7}H_{15}$	C1	63	215-219 (2, 5)	$C_{H_{a}}C_{INO}^{e}$	ĆI Ć					
16	$n-C_{10}\dot{H}_{21}$	C1	87	f	C. H. CINO	C1					
17	n-C, H.	I	83	63	C.H.INO	I. C. H. N					

<sup>a</sup>Gc analysis gave a purity of at least 94% for all compounds. <sup>b</sup>R. Quelet and H. Coudanne, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Ser. C, 252, 894 (1961). <sup>c</sup>G. Bendz, et al., J. Chem. Soc., 1130 (1950). <sup>d</sup>M. Wakae, et al., Chem. Abstr., 54, 10921h (1960). <sup>e</sup>R. Collins and M. Davis, J. Chem. Soc. C, 873 (1966). <sup>f</sup>Crude residue was used in the next step.





quent days. During the experiment, all birds were weighed eight times, their fecal consistency was recorded three times, and a fecal count for oocysts was carried out once. All birds were sacrificed on the eleventh day of the experiment. Comparison of the growth rates of the control groups (Figure 1) shows a marked decrease in weight gain for the infected chickens. The main weight ratio (day 5/day 0) for these birds is 1.02. The anticoccidial activity of a compound can be determined by comparing the mean weight ratio (day n/day 0) from day 3 to day 7 with that of the two control groups. The results obtained on day 5 are presented in Table III, together with those of three leading coccidiostats.

A more detailed investigation into the activity of different concentrations of compound 88 was conducted with the aid of three different strains of *Eimeria*. Administration of the active compound was initiated on the day of inoculation (*i.e.*, simultaneous treatment) or two days before (*i.e.*, prophylactic treatment). Apart from this, the experimental procedure was as previously described.

The mean weight ratios are given in Table IV together with data on compound 1b (nequinate) for comparative purposes. The results indicate that compound **88** is at least as effective as nequinate and has therefore been selected for further investigation.

## Experimental Section<sup>†</sup>

**2-Butoxy**- $\alpha$ -chloro-5-nitrotoluene (13). Gaseous HCl was passed through a mixture of 50.7 g (0.26 mol) of 4-O<sub>2</sub>N-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>-OBu, 28.2 g (0.94 mol) of (CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>, and 61.3 g (0.45 mol) of anhydrous ZnCl<sub>2</sub> for 3 hr at a temperature of 80°. The reaction mixture was cooled and poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The organic layer was separated, washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution and with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. The residue was distilled giving 54 g (87%) of 13, bp 170-173° (1 mm). Anal. (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>ClNO<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N, Cl.

2-Butoxy- $\alpha$ -iodo-5-nitrotoluene (14). A mixture of 24.3 g (0.1 mol) of 13, 17 g (0.113 mol) of NaI, and 125 ml of Me<sub>2</sub>CO was refluxed for 1 hr, after which time the reaction mixture was poured into H<sub>2</sub>O and extracted with *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>O. The organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. Crystallization from EtOH yielded 24 g (72%) of 14, mp 57°. Anal. (C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>INO<sub>3</sub>) C, H, N, I.

Method A. 2-Butoxy- $\alpha$ -heptyloxy-5-nitrotoluene (57). A solution of 4.2 g (0.18 g-atom) of Na in 120 ml of n-C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>OH was added dropwise, at a temperature of 40°, to a mixture of 29.3 g (0.12 mol) of 13 in 40 ml of C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>15</sub>OH. The mixture was stirred for 72 hr at room temperature, after which time 200 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O was added. The organic layer was washed with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), and evaporated. The residue was distilled to give 25 g (64%) of 57, bp 184-188° (0.2 mm). Anal. (C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>) C, H, N.

Method B. 2-Ethoxy- $\alpha$ -undecyloxy-5-nitrotoluene (48). A solution of 6.9 g (0.3 g-atom) of Na in 160 g of n- $C_{11}H_{21}$  OH was added dropwise, at a temperature between 45 and 50°, to a stirred mixture of 61 g (0.2 mol) of 12 in 80 g of n- $C_{11}H_{21}$ OH. Stirring was continued for 2 days at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into  $H_2O$  and extracted with  $E_{12}O$ . The extract was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The solid residue was crystallized from petroleum ether yielding 41.3 g (59%) of 48, mp 50°. Anal. ( $C_{20}H_{33}NO_4$ ) C, H, N.

Method C. 3-(Octyloxymethyl)-p-phenetidine (43). A mixture of 47 g (0.15 mol) of 42, 300 mi of EtOH, and 1 g of PtO<sub>2</sub> was hydrogenated at normal pressure and room temperature. After the calculated volume of  $H_2$  was taken up, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate concentrated. The residue was distilled to give 36.5 g (87%) of 43, bp 164-168° (0.15 mm). Anal. (C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>29</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>) N.

Method D. 3-(Heptyloxymethyl)-*p*-phenetidine (40). 39 (101 g, 0.34 mol) was added gradually to a stirred and refluxing mixture of 65 g (1.16 g-atom) of Fe and 650 ml (0.78 N) of NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The reaction mixture was refluxed for an additional 8 hr, cooled, and extracted with PhMe; the organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. The residue was distilled giving 70 g (79%) of 40, bp 155-158° (0.4 mm). Anal. ( $C_{16}H_{27}NO_2$ ) N.

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$ All melting points were measured with a "Mettler FP 1" melting point apparatus and are uncorrected Where analyses are indicated by symbols of the elements, analytical results obtained for those elements were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the theoretical values unless otherwise stated.

## Table II

R,0-	Ŋ−x
<sub>,0СН,</sub> /=	_/

-

				R <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> '		L		
Compd	R.	Ra	х	Yield, %	Method <sup>a</sup>	Mp or bp (mm), <sup>b</sup> °C	Formula	Analyses
19	1	4 Et	NO	70	Δ	66.6	C H NO C	N
10	Me	Et	NO <sub>2</sub> NH	47	D	109 (0 4)	C H NO	N
20	Me	Et	NHCH=C(CO, Et).	90	D	47	C. H. NO.	С. Н. N
21	Me	i-Bu	NO.	57	В	35	C. H. NO.	C. H. N
22	Me	i-Bu	NH.	90	č		C. H. NO.	0, 11, 11
23	Me	i-Bu	NHCH=C(CO,Et).	<b>9</b> 0	U U		C.H.NO	
24	Me	n-C.H.	NO.	51	Α	50	C, H, NO	C, H, N
25	Me	$n-C_{1}H_{1}$	NH,	53	D	163-164 (0.5)	C, H, NO,	N
26	Me	$n-C_{7}H_{1}$	NHCH=C(CO,Et),	91			C <sub>23</sub> H <sub>35</sub> NO <sub>6</sub>	N
27	Me	$n - C_8 H_{17}$	NO <sub>2</sub>	82	В	54	$C_{16}H_{25}NO_{4}$	C, H, N
28	Me	$n-C_8H_{17}$	NH2	27	D	174-176 (0.5)	$C_{16}H_{27}NO_{2}$	Ν
<b>2</b> 9	Me	$n-C_8H_{17}$	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	<b>9</b> 0			$C_{24}H_{37}NO_{6}$	Ν
30	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H	NO <sub>2</sub>	82	В	83	$C_{15}H_{15}NO_{4}$	C, H, N
31	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H	NH <sub>2</sub>	95	С		$C_{15}H_{17}NO_{2}$	
32	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	95			$C_{23}H_{27}NO_{6}$	
33	Et	<i>i</i> -Bu	$NO_2$	79	В	84.5	4NO4 و C1 3H	C, H, N
34	Et	<i>i</i> -Bu	NH <sub>2</sub>	80	С		$C_{1 3}H_{21}NO_{2}$	
35	Et	<i>i</i> -Bu	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	95			$C_{21}H_{31}NO_{6}$	
36	Et	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	87	В		C15H23NO4	N
37	Et	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>13</sub>	$\rm NH_2$	68	D	163-166 (1.2)	$C_{15}H_{25}NO_2$	N
38	Et	<i>n</i> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>1 3</sub>	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	95			C23H35NO6	N
<b>3</b> 9	Et	$n-C_7H_{15}$	NO <sub>2</sub>	84	В		C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>25</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	N
40	Et	$n-C_7H_{15}$	NH <sub>2</sub>	79	D	155–158 (0.4)	$C_{16}H_{27}NO_{2}$	N
41	Et	$n-C_7H_{15}$	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	<b>9</b> 0	_	46.5	$C_{24}H_{37}NO_{6}$	C, H, N
42	Et	$n-C_8H_{17}$	NO <sub>2</sub>	88	В	198-200 (0.8)	$C_{17}H_{27}NO_{4}$	N
43	Et	$n-C_8H_{17}$	NH <sub>2</sub>	87	С	16 <b>4-</b> 168 (0.1 <b>5</b> )	$C_{17}H_{29}NO_{2}$	N
44	Et	$n - C_8 H_{17}$	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	80	_	64	$C_{25}H_{39}NO_{6}$	C, H, N
45	Et	n-C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>1</sub> ,	NO <sub>2</sub>	67	B	37	$C_{18}H_{29}NO_4$	С, Н, N
46	Et	n-C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>1</sub> ,	NH₂	70	С		$C_{18}H_{31}NO_2$	
47	Et	n-C,H <sub>1</sub> ,	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	83	P	<b>C</b> 0	$C_{26}H_{41}NO_6$	
48	Et	$n - C_{11} H_{23}$	NO₂	59	В	50	$C_{20}H_{3}NO_4$	C, H, N
49	Et	$n - C_{11} H_{23}$	NH2	73	C	69	$C_{20}H_{35}NO_2$ HCI	C, H, N, Cl
50	Et	$n - C_{11} H_{23}$	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	91	D	220 225 (2)	$C_{28}H_{45}NO_6$	N
51	Et	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>		26	В	220-225 (2)	$C_{17}H_{19}NO_4$	N
52	Et	$CH_2CH_2C_6H_5$		89	U		$C_{17}H_{21}NO_2$	N
55		$C\Pi_2 C\Pi_2 C_6 \Pi_5$	NHCH- $C(CO_2EI)_2$	92			$C_{25}\Pi_{31}NO_6$	IN N
54	n-Bu m Bu	n-ri n Pr		/0	A		$C_{14}\Pi_{21}NO_4$	IN
55	n-Bu	//-F1 20 Dr	NHCH=C(CO, Et)	01	C		C = H = NO	N
50	<i>п</i> -ви и Ви	n-r- n-C-H .	$NO_{1}$	91 64	٨	184-188 (0.2)	$C_{22}H_{33}NO_6$	CHN
58	n-Bu	n-C-H.	NH.	88	ĉ	104-100 (0.2)	$C_{18}H_{29}NO_4$	C, 11, 14
50	n-Bu	n-C-H.	NHCH=C(CO.Ft).	64	C		C.H.NO.	N
60	n-Bu n-Bu	$n - C - H_{1}$	NO <sub>2</sub>	71	Δ	192-194 (0.2)	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	CHN
61	n-Bu	n-C.H.	NH	91	Ĉ	172 171 (0.2)	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>22</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	0, 11, 11
62	n-Bu	n-C.H.	NHCH=C(CO <sub>4</sub> Et) <sub>4</sub>	50	0		C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>4</sub> NO <sub>4</sub>	N
63	n-Bu	n-C <sub>o</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	64	Α	204-208 (0.2)	C₂₀H₃₃NO₄	C, H. N
64	n-Bu	$n-C_{9}H_{1}$	NH <sub>2</sub>	87	C	()	C20H35NO2	-, <b>, -</b> .
65	<i>n</i> -Bu	$n-C_{9}H_{1}$	$NHCH=C(CO_2Et)_2$	67			C28H45NO6	Ν
66	$n-C_7H_{15}$	Et	NO <sub>2</sub>	49	Α	173-176 (0.4)	C16H25NO4	Ν
67	n-C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	Et	NH <sub>2</sub>	91	С	• •	C16H27NO2	
68	n-C7H15	Et	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	82			C24H37NO6	N
69	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	CH₂C6H₅	NO2	67	Α	2 <b>4</b> 3-244 (0,7)	C21H27NO4	N
70	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H₁₅	CH₂C6H₅	NH <sub>2</sub>	83	С		C21H29NO2	
71	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	CH₂C6H₅	NHCH=C(CO2Et)2	87			C29H39NO6	N
72	<i>n</i> -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub>	Et	NO <sub>2</sub>	67	Α	1 <b>96</b> (0.3)	C19H31NO4	N
73	<i>n</i> -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub>	Et	NH <sub>2</sub>	81	С		C19H33NO2	
74	<i>n</i> -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub>	Et	NHCH=C(CO2Et)2	91			C27H43NO6	
75	<i>n</i> -C10H21	<i>n</i> -Pr	NO2	<b>8</b> 0	В	33	C20H33NO4	C, H, N
76	n-C10H21	<i>n</i> -Pr	NH2	83	С		C20H35NO2	
77	$n - C_{10}H_{21}$	<i>n</i> -Pr	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	89			C28H45NO6	
78	$n - C_{10}H_{21}$	$n-C_{s}H_{11}$	NO <sub>2</sub>	82	В		C22H37NO4	
79	$n - C_{10}H_{21}$	$n-C_{5}H_{11}$	NH <sub>2</sub>	91	С		C22H39NO2	
80	$n - C_{10}H_{21}$	<i>n</i> -C₅H <sub>11</sub>	NHCH=C(CO <sub>2</sub> Et) <sub>2</sub>	93			C30H49NO6	

<sup>a</sup>Methods refer to the Experimental Section. <sup>b</sup>When no physical data are given, compounds were used in their crude form. <sup>c</sup>R. Quelet and H. Coudanne, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 2445 (1963).

Diethyl [3-(Heptyloxymethyl)-p-phenetidinomethylene]malonate (41). A solution of 13.3 g (0.05 mol) of 40 and 11.9 g (0.055 mol) of diethyl methoxymethylenemalonate in 100 ml of *i*-PrOH was refluxed for 24 hr. After evaporation of the reaction mixture, the residue was crystallized from petroleum ether to give 19.5 g (90%) of 41, mp 46.5°. Anal.  $(C_{24}H_{37}NO_6) C$ , H, N.

Ethyl 6-Ethoxy-7-heptyloxymethyl-4-hydroxy-3-quinolinecarboxylate (88). 41 (13 g, 0.03 mol) was added to 100 g of Ph<sub>2</sub>O preheated to 230°. The resulting mixture was heated at 245° for 15 min. After cooling to 70° Me<sub>2</sub>CO was added. The precipitate was Table III



			Yield purifie	đ		Weig (day 5,	ht ratio (day 0) <sup>b</sup>	Oocyst (day	count 7) <sup>c</sup>	Fecal (day	$score 5)^d$
Compd	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	%	Mp,°C	Formula <sup>a</sup>	0.01%	0.001%	0.01%	0.001%	0.01%	0.001%
81	Ме	Et	23	293.5	C, H, NO,	1.18		1;1;0;0	1;1	1;1;1;1	3;3
82	Me	<i>i</i> -Bu	17	248	C <sub>1</sub> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> , NO <sup>2</sup>	1.17		1;1;1;1	,	2;1;2;3	,
83	Me	n-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	27	+300	C, H, NO.	1.25		1;1;1;1	2;2;2;2	0;1;1;1	2:2:3:2
84	Me	$n-C_{s}H_{17}$	25	230	C,H,NO	1.25		0;0;0	3;2;2	1:1:1:1	2;2:1;3
85	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	29	231	C,H,NO	1.17		1;2;1;2		2;2;1;2	, ,-,
86	Et	<i>i</i> -Bu	17	246	C, H, NO,	1.24		1;2;2	2;2	1;1;1;0	1;2
87	Et	$n - C_6 H_{13}$	31	222	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>20</sub> NO,	1.20		1;1;1;1	1;1;3;3	1;1;1;1	3;2;3;3
88	Et	$n - C_7 H_{15}$	47	227	$C_{22}H_{31}NO_{5}$	1.24	1.23	0;0;1;1	0;0;2;2	1;0;1;1	1;1;1;1
89	Et	$n - C_8 H_{17}$	31	226	$C_{23}H_{33}NO_{5}$	1.26	1.23	0;0;0;0	0;0;1;1	0;1;1;1	1;1;2;1
9 <b>0</b>	Et	$n-C_{9}H_{19}$	12	225	C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>3</sub> ,NO,	1.22		1;1;0;0	0;0;2;2	1;1;0;0	1;1;3;3
91	Et	n-C11H23	31	222	C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>39</sub> NO <sub>5</sub>	1.21		1;1;1;1	1;1;1;1	1;1;1;1	1;1;1;2
9 <b>2</b>	Et	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	56	229	$C_{23}H_{25}NO_{5}$	1.25		1;1;2;2	1;1;2;2	0;0;2;1	2;1;1;2
93	<i>n</i> -Bu	<i>n</i> -Pr	33	227	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NO <sub>5</sub>	1.19		1;1;1;1	1;1;2;2	1;2;1;1	1;1;2;1
94	n-Bu	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	28	217	C24H35NO5	1.20		1;1;1;1	1;1;2;2	2;1;1;1	2;2;1;1
95	n-Bu	$n-C_8H_{17}$	32	215	C <sub>25</sub> H <sub>37</sub> NO,	1.20		0;0;1;1	1;1;1;1	0;1;1;0	1;1;1;3
9 <b>6</b>	<i>n-</i> Bu	<i>n-</i> C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>1</sub> 9	25	213	C <sub>26</sub> H <sub>39</sub> NO <sub>5</sub>	1.17		0;0;1;1	1;1;2;2	1;1;1;1	1;1;1;1
97	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	Et	32	221	$C_{22}H_{31}NO_5$	1.18		1;2;2;2	1;1	1;3;1;1	3;3
98	<i>n</i> -C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub>	CH₂C₅H₅	27	219	C27H33NO5	1.18		1;1;1;1	1;1;1;1	1;2;0;1	1;1;2;3
99	<i>n</i> -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub>	Et	31	217	C25H37NO5	1.30		1;1;1;1	1;1;1;1	0;0;1;1	1;1;1;1
100	<i>n</i> -C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>21</sub>	<i>n</i> -Pr	43	213	C26H39NO5	1.19		2;1	3;3	3;1	3;3
101	$n - C_{10} H_{21}$	<i>n</i> -C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>11</sub>	23	205	C28H43NO5	1.20		2;2;1;1	1;1;1;1	2;1;1;2	1;1;2;2
1 <b>a</b>	<ul> <li>Decoquinate (ethyl 6-n-decyloxy-7-ethoxy-4-hydroxy-3-quinoline- carboxylate)</li> </ul>							0;0;0;0	0;0;1;1	1;0;1;1	1;1;1;0
1b	Nequinate carboxyl	(methyl 7-benzylo ate)	oxy-6-n-butyl-4	-hydroxy-3-c	luinoline-	1.24	1.19	0;0;1;0	0;1;2;1	0;1;1;0	0;0;2;1
1c	Amquinate quinoline	(methyl 7-diethy carboxylate)	lamino-4-hydro	xy-6- <i>n</i> -prop	yl-3-	1.22		0;1;1;1	1;1;3;1	0;0;1;0	1;2;3;1

<sup>*a*</sup>All compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N. Compound 97, C: -0.54; 99, C: -0.64; 100, C: +0.47. <sup>*b*</sup>Refer to chemotherapy. <sup>*c*</sup>O, no oocysts in feces; 1,  $0-5 \times 10^4$  oocyst/g of feces; 2,  $5 \times 10^4-1 \times 10^5$  oocyst/g of feces; 3,  $10^5-2 \times 10^5$  oocyst/g of feces; 4, more than  $2 \times 10^5$  oocyst/g of feces; <sup>*a*</sup>O, normal feces; 1, soft to normal feces; 2, fluid droppings with some mucous casts; 3, slimy, greyish, mucoid diarrhea.

OH

Table IV. Chemotherapeutical Results of $RC_{7}H_{15}OCH_{2}$ N									
Mean weight ratio									
<i>Eimeria</i> strain	Treatment	Noninfected chicks	Infected chicks	Infected chicks treated with 0.01% of 88	Infected chicks treated with 0.001% of 88	Infected chicks treated with 0.001% of 1b			
A cervulina <sup>a</sup>	Simultaneous Prophylactic	1.33 1.60	1.04	1.24	1.23	1.19 1.50			
Brunetti <sup>b</sup>	Simultaneous Prophylactic	1.42 1.65	1.14 1.14	1.40	1.42	1.41 1.62			
Tenella <sup>C</sup>	Simultaneous Prophylactic	1.34 1.78	1.19 1.33	1.53 1.79	1.51 1.74	1. <b>49</b> 1.72			

<sup>a</sup>Results of the 5th day. <sup>b</sup>Results of the 6th day. <sup>c</sup>Results of the 7th day.

filtered and triturated with Me<sub>2</sub>CO for 1 hr. The precipitate was collected and dried *in vacuo* to give 5.5 g (47%) of 88, mp 227°. *Anal.*  $(C_{22}H_{31}NO_5)$  C, H, N.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Mr. F. Sels for the C, H, and N analyses and to Mr. P. Demoen for the other analyses. The work described in this publication is part of a program supported by a grant from the Instituut tot Aanmoediging van het Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek in Nijverheid en Landbouw (IWONL).

### References

- C. F. Spencer, A. Engle, Chia-Nien Yu, R. C. Finch, E. S. Watson, F. F. Ebetino, and C. A. Johnson, J. Med. Chem., 9, 934 (1966).
- (2) R. A. Bowie, J. P. Cavins, M. S. Grant, A. Hayes, W. G. M. Jones, and J. F. Ryley, *Nature (London)*, 214, 1349 (1967).
- (3) S. J. Ball, M. Davies, J. N. Hodgson, J. M. S. Lucas, E. W.

Parnell, B. W. Sharp, and D. Warburton, Chem. Ind. (London), 56 (1968).

(4) R. H. Mizzoni, F. Goble, E. Konopka, J. Gelzer, J. Szanto, D. C. Maplesden, J. E. Brown, J. Boxer, G. Zaunius, J. B. Ziegler, and G. de Stevens, J. Med. Chem., 13, 870 (1970).

## Synthesis and Antiviral Activity of Homologs of Noformycin

Guy D. Diana,\* Urano J. Salvador, Ethel S. Zalay, and Francis Pancic

Sterling-Winthrop Research Institute, Rensselaer, New York 12144. Received February 28, 1973

In the past two decades, several papers have appeared on the antiviral activity of noformycin<sup>1-7</sup> (1) obtained from a culture of *Nocardia formica*. Among the viruses reportedly