Antitumor Testing.  $CD_1$  mice, weighing 18–20 g, were implanted subcutaneously in the right ventrolateral area with 4 × 10<sup>7</sup> sarcoma 180J tumor cells. Test substances were dissolved or suspended in sterile deionized water, and treatment, 1.0 mL, was given intraperitoneally shortly after implantation and once daily thereafter for a total of eight treatments. Mice were sacrificed 1 day after the last treatment. An antitumor effect was

defined as  $\geq 50\%$  reduction in tumor growth.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to the Physical Chemistry Department, Hoffmann-La Roche Inc., for providing IR, UV, NMR, and MS data and to Dr. J. F. Blount for providing an X-ray analysis of compound 5.

## Antihypertensive Ureidopiperidines

J. L. Archibald,\* D. R. Beardsley, G. M. F. Bisset, P. Fairbrother, J. L. Jackson, A. Opalko, and T. J. Ward

Wyeth Laboratories, Taplow, Maidenhead, Berkshire, England. Received November 27, 1979

The synthesis of a series of 1-aralkyl-4-ureidopiperidines is reported. These compounds are related to the benzamidopiperidines exemplified by indoramin. Some of the ureidopiperidines are more potent antihypertensive agents than their benzamidopiperidine counterparts. Two examples, 1-(2-thenoyl)-3-[1-[2-(3-indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea and 1-(2-thenoyl)-3-[1-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-4-oxobutyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (19 and 58), emerged as the most potent antihypertensive agents in this series.

Previous publications from these laboratories<sup>1-3</sup> have dealt with the origins and development of indoramin and related benzamidopiperidines. Indoramin is an antihypertensive agent incorporating competitive postsynaptic  $\alpha$ -adrenoceptor antagonist and myocardial membrane stabilizing properties. Therapeutic advantages of this mechanism of action have been reviewed.<sup>4,5</sup> As an extension of this work, we now report the synthesis and pharmacological activities of a variety of ureidopiperidines, in which the benzamido group of indoramin (67, Table II) and related compounds has been replaced by aryl or aroylureido substituents.



indoramin (67)

It has been found that some of these compounds show equivalent or enhanced antihypertensive activities as compared with their benzamidopiperidine counterparts. Testing for antihypertensive activities has been carried out in DOCA/saline or renal hypertensive rats.<sup>6,7,9</sup> The general structure at the head of Table I indicates the range of modifications covered in this work. Compounds are listed in Table I in order of increasing length of the -Achain which links the  $R_1$  and piperidine moieties. An important limitation in scope is that compounds where -Ais CH<sub>2</sub> are excluded. This is because a profound shift in

- J. L. Archibald, B. J. Alps, J. F. Cavalla, and J. L. Jackson, J. Med. Chem., 14, 1054 (1971).
- (2) J. L. Archibald and G. A. Benke, J. Med. Chem., 17, 736 (1974).
- (3) J. L. Archibald, P. Fairbrother, and J. L. Jackson, J. Med. Chem., 17, 739 (1974).
- (4) J. L. Archibald, Proceedings of 6th International Symposium on Medicinal Chemistry, A. Simkins, Ed., Cotswold Press Ltd., Oxford, 1979, p 37.
- (5) J. L. Archibald, in "Pharmacology of Antihypertensive Drugs",
- A. Scriabine, Ed., Raven Press, New York, 1980, pp 161-177.
- (6) F. M. Sturtevant, Am. Heart J., 51, 410 (1956).
- (7) A. Grollman, Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., 57, 102 (1944).
- (8) R. Howe, B. S. Rao, and M. S. Chodnekar, J. Med. Chem., 13, 169 (1970).
- (9) T. Baum and A. T. Shropshire, Am. J. Physiol., 212, 1020 (1967).

biological profile has been discovered among these latter compounds, which are virtually devoid of antihypertensive activity, suggesting potential therapeutic utility as psychotropic agents, and they will therefore be the subject of a separate publication.

**Chemistry.** Methods used to prepare the compounds described in this publication can be grouped into eight general types. These are illustrated in Scheme I by representative examples for each of the methods (A to H), which are the same examples as are exemplified under Experimental Section. Methods used for individual compounds are indicated by code letters in Table I. Method A involves reaction of an isocyanate ( $R_3NCO$ ) or isothiocyanate  $(R_3NCS)$  with an appropriately substituted 4aminopiperidine. This is the most generally applicable and widely used method. Method B involves hydrolysis of a 1-(4-piperidyl)-3-acylurea or thiourea to give the 3-unsubstituted urea or thiourea. The former can also be obtained directly from the corresponding aminopiperidine by reaction with potassium cyanate (method A'). Method C involves reacylation of a primary urea, as obtained by method A' or B, with an acid chloride ( $R_3$ COCl). Method D involves alkylation of a 1-unsubstituted 4-ureidopiperidine with an aralkyl halide or tosylate, such as 3-(2-bromoethyl)indole. Method E involves reaction of an aroyl cyanamide with an appropriately substituted 4aminopiperidine. Method F involves reduction of a carbonyl-containing A chain to give a hydroxy-substituted A chain. Method G involves reaction of an epoxide with an appropriately substituted 4-aminopiperidine. Method H involves reduction of a carbonyl group in the A chain to a methylene group. The most widely used methods are A to D. In all but three instances (compounds 1, 33, and 44), compounds were isolated and tested as hydrochloride salts. Diastereoisomeric benzodioxans (28-38) were either obtained by fractional crystallization or by starting with a pure diastereoisomeric bromo alcohol or epoxide precursor. Stereochemical assignments were based on analysis of NMR data by methods similar to those of Howe et al.<sup>8</sup>

## Results

An evaluation of the antihypertensive activities of compounds in Table I was carried out in conscious renal hypertensive (RHR) or DOCA/saline hypertensive rats.<sup>6,7</sup> Systolic blood pressure was measured by an indirect tail-cuff technique.<sup>9</sup> Results are presented in Table II.

$R_1 - A - N - NR_2 CXNHR_3$											
no.	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Α	$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	х	R 3	crystn solvent	mp, $^{\circ}$ C	% yield	method	formula <sup>c</sup>	
1	indol-3-yl	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	Н	0	Н	MeOH	212	85, 89	B, A'	$C_{16}H_{22}N_4O^d$	
<b>2</b>	indol-3-yl	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	Н	0	$C_6H_5$	EtOH	214 - 219	69	Á	$C_{1,1}H_{1,6}N_{4}O HCl 0.5H_{1,0}O$	
3	indol-3-yl	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub>	Н	0	$C_{6}H_{4}$ -4-Cl	MeOH	244	81	Α	C,H,CIN,OHCH0.5H,O	
4	indol-3-yl	$CH_2CH_2$	Н	0	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> -3,4-Cl <sub>2</sub>	EtOH	258	74	Α	C, H, Cl, N, O·HCl	
5	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	$C_6H_4$ -4-OCH	EtOH	220	87	Α	C,H,N,O,HCIH,O	
6	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -3-CH <sub>3</sub>	EtOH	229	76	Α	C,H,N,O'HCl	
7	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	$C_{6}H_{4}-2, 6-(CH_{3})_{2}$	EtOH	240	52	Α	C, H, N, O-HCl <sup>e</sup>	
8	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	C, H, -2-CF,	EtOH	234	82	Α	C,H,F,N,O·HC	
9	indol-3-yl	СН,СН,	Н	0	C, H, -3-CF,	EtOH	2 <b>0</b> 0	37	Α	C, H, F, N, O HCl	
10	indol-3-yl	CH, CH,	Н	0	(CH,),CH	IPA-EtOAc	195-197	22	D	C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>10</sub> N <sub>1</sub> O·HCl·0.25H <sub>1</sub> O	
11	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	C, H,	EtOH	222	58	Α	C,H,N,O·HCl·H,O	
12	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	s	H	EtOH	228 - 229	69	В	C. H. N. S. HCl	
13	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	Ś	C, H,	EtOH	225	84.5	Ā	C <sub>a</sub> H <sub>a</sub> N <sub>a</sub> S·HCl	
14	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	CH <sub>1</sub>	S	C, H,	EtOH	194	28	Ā	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> N,S HCl	
15	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	н	s	COC, H,	MeOH	212-215	60	В	C,H,N,OS·HCl·0.25H,O	
16	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	COC, H	MeOH	248	63	Α	C, H, N,O, HCl	
17	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	CH <sub>3</sub>	0	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	EtOH	218	33	Α	C, H, N, O'HCl 0.25H, O	
18	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	CH	0	COC, H,	IPA	224 - 225	45	Α	C,H,N,O,HCl.0.5H,O	
19	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	2-thenovl	MeOH	247	25	С	C.H.N.O.S.HCI.0.5H.O	
2 <b>0</b>	indol-3-yl	CH, CH,	Н	NH	COC, H	Et <sub>0</sub> -EtOH	160-170 dec	81	Ē	$C_{0}H_{0}N_{0}O^{2}HCl \cdot H_{0}O$	
21	1-CH <sub>3</sub> -indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	COC, H	MeOH	233-234	51	A	$C_{\alpha}H_{\alpha}N_{\alpha}O_{\alpha}$ ·HCl	
22	indol-3-yl	CH,CH,	Н	0	COC, H,	MeOH, Et <sub>2</sub> O, EtOH	236	48	С	$C_{24} H_{28} N_{10} O_{2} HCl \cdot 0.5 H_{10} O_{10}$	
23	indol-3-yl	CH, CH,	$C_{2}H_{3}$	0	C, H,	MeOH	218-220	30	Ā	$C_{14}H_{10}N_{1}O \cdot HCl \cdot H_{1}O$	
24	C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	CH,CH,	н́́	0	COC, H.	$EtOH-H_{0}O(4:1)$	215-216	46	н	$C_{a}H_{a}N_{a}O_{a}HCl$	
25	indol-3-yl	COCH,	н	Ō	COCLH	MeOH	269-270	98	D	$C_{1}H_{1}N_{1}O_{2}HCl \cdot H_{2}O_{2}$	
26	1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl	COCH	Н	Ō	COC H	MeOH	160-161	76	D	$C_{12}H_{12}N_{12}O_{12}HCl$	
27	Ć, H,	COCH	Н	0	COC, H.	IPA	210-212	92	D	$C_{2}H_{2}N_{2}O_{2}HCl \cdot 0.25H_{2}O_{2}$	
28	1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl	CHOHCH, <sup>a</sup>	Н	0	COC H	MeOH	212-214	12	<b>F</b> . G	$C_{1}H_{1}N_{1}O_{1}HCl \cdot 0.25H_{1}O^{f}$	
29	1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl	CHOHCH <sup>b</sup>	н	0	COCIH	MeOH	213 - 216	8	D. F. G	C, H, N, O, HCl	
30	1,4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH, <sup>a</sup>	н	Ō	C.H.	EtOH	214 - 216	4	Ā	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>20</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> ·HCl	
31	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH, b	н	Ō	C,H,	EtOH	214-215	28	Ā	$C_{22}$ $H_{22}$ $N_{2}$ $O_{4}$ $HCl$	
<b>32</b>	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH, <sup>b</sup>	н	Ō	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>1</sub>	EtOAc	214-216	47	Ā	$\mathbf{C}_{11}\mathbf{H}_{11}\mathbf{N}_{11}\mathbf{O}_{11}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{C}_{11}$	
33	1,4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH, <sup>b</sup>	н	0	COC.H4-OCH	C.H.	198-199	15	Ā	C, H, N, O, O, 5H, O	
34	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH. <sup>b</sup>	н	Ō	C.H4-OCH	EtOH-EtOAc	204-206	30	A	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ·HCl	
35	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH. <sup>5</sup>	н	0	COC.H4-F	EtOH	227-228	42	D	C.H.FN.O.HC	
36	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH <sup>b</sup>	Н	s	COC.HCl	EtOH	187-189	20	Ā	$C_{a3}H_{a}N_{a}CO_{a}S\cdot HCI\cdot 0.5H_{a}O$	
37	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH. <sup>b</sup>	H	õ	C.H4-Cl	EtOH	205-207	16	A	$C_{11}H_{11}C_{11}N_{10}O_{1}HC_{10}O_{1}O_{2}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1}O_{1$	
38	1.4-benzodioxan-2-vl	CHOHCH <sup>2</sup> <sup>b</sup>	Ĥ	š	C.H.	IPA-Et O	204-206	53	A	C + N O S H C I	
39	C.H.	CHOHCH,	H	õ	COC.H.	MeOH	244-246	48	Ĝ	C H N O HCH 0 5H O	
40	indol-3-vl	(CH.),	Ĥ	ŏ	COCH	EtOH-Et.O	268-269	38	Ď	C H N O H CI	
41	indol-3-vl	CO(CH_)	Ĥ	ŏ	C.H.	MeOH-Et O	243-244	39	Ă	C H N O HCl	
42	indol-3-vl	$CO(CH_2)_3$	Ĥ	ŏ	COC.H.	EtOH-EtOAc	238-240	48	Δ	C H N O HCl 0 5H O	
43	indol-3-vl	$CO(CH_{1})$	Ĥ	ŏ	2-thenovl	EtOH	246-947	32	ĉ	C H N O S H C H O	
44	C.H.	$CO(CH_2)_3$	н	ŏ	H	BuOAc	159	67	B	C H N O	
45	C H	$CO(CH^2)$	й	ŏ	сн	EtOH	190	78	Δ	C H N O H C	
46	Č.H.	$CO(CH_2)_3$	Ĥ	ŏ	C.H4-OCH.	EtOH	199	59	Δ	C H N O H C	
	~ 05	~ ~ ( ~ ~ ~ 1/3		<u> </u>	~64 - 003		100	50	4 <b>1</b>	$0_{23}$	

<sup>3</sup> H <sup>2</sup> , N <sup>3</sup> O <sup>2</sup> , HCl <sup>g</sup>	H, N, O, HCI	H, F, N, O, HCI	H, CIN, O, HCI	,H, CI,N,O, HCI	H, F, N, O, HCI-H, O	,H,,N,OS·HCI	H, N, O, S-HCI-0. 25H, O	H, N, O, HCI 2H, O	H, N, O, 2HCI 2H, O <sup>h</sup>	H, N, O, S-HCI	H <sub>24</sub> FN <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S·HCl·0.25H <sub>2</sub> (	H, FN, O, HCI H, O	H, N, O, HCI·H, O	<sup>4</sup> H <sup>30</sup> N <sup>4</sup> O <sup>5</sup> HCI-0.25H <sub>2</sub> O	",H,N,O,HCI.0.25H,O	<sup>2</sup> H <sup>2</sup> , N O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	H, N, O, HCI	H, N, O, HCI	H2,FN3O,HCI-0.5H2O	mulas given, except when
A C,	A C,	A C,	A C,	, C,	A C,	Ċ Ċ	A C,	A, C	C,	A C,	A C,	C, D	່ວ ບ	ືບ ບ	C, D	ືບ ບ	D C	ີ ບໍ່	ີ ຕໍ່	es for the for
34	40	29	65	46	43	56	26	52	34	13	20	6	22	70	30	27	27	29	18	oretical valu
182	214	194	245	218	192 - 195	221	187	202	238 - 244	118-119	218 - 219	207-208	186 - 188	232-233	114 - 116	191 - 193	236 - 238	203-205	194 - 195	$1 \pm 0.4\%$ of the
EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH-Et,O	EtOH-Et,O	EtOH	MeOH	EtOH-Et,O	EtOH-Et,O	EtOH	MeOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	EtOH	IPA	EtOH-EtOAc	and results were within
C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -3-CH <sub>3</sub>	C,H,-2,6-(CH,),	C,H,-2-CF,	C,H,-4-CI	C,H,-3,4-Cl,	C,H,-3-CF,	C,H,	CÔC, H,	COCIH	COCĂH	2-thenoyl	2-thenoyl	COC,H	COC	COCIH	COCH	C,H,	C,H,	CÔC,H,-4-OCH,	COC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -4-F	rzed for C, H, and N,
0	0	0	0	0	0	S	S	0	HN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	were analy
Η	Η	Η	Н	Η	Н	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Η	Н	Η	spuno
$CO(CH_2)_3$	$CO(CH_2)_3$	$CO(CH_2)_3$	$CO(CH_{1})_{3}$	CO(CH,),	$CO(CH_1)$	CO(CH <sub>2</sub> ),	CO(CH,),	CO(CH,),	$CO(CH_2)$	$CO(CH_{j})_{j}$	$CO(CH_2)_3$	$CO(CH_2)_3$	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH,	OCH, CHOHCH	isomer. <sup>c</sup> All comp
C <sub>6</sub> H5	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C,H,	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C,H,	C,H,	C,H,	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C,H,	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	$4-F-C_{s}H_{4}$	$4-F-C_{s}H_{a}$	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	4-CH <sub>3</sub> CONH-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1-naphthyl	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	1-naphthyl	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O-C <sub>6</sub> H	2-CH <sub>3</sub> O-C <sub>6</sub> H	o isomer. <sup>b</sup> Erythro
47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	99	<sup>a</sup> Three

Greater detail is given for the DOCA/saline rat results, since a majority of the compounds were evaluated in this model. RHR results are given where DOCA/saline results were unavailable, and both results are given in some instances for comparative purposes. The mean starting systolic pressure for each group of four rats in the DOCA/saline model is given as an indication of the extent to which hypertension was established (a range of starting systolic pressures for the RHR model is given in footnote b). The percentage falls in systolic blood pressure in the DOCA/saline model are recorded at 2, 6, and 24 h after dosing to give some indication of duration of action. Activities at three doses (50, 25, and 10 mg/kg po) are given for several of the more active examples. In some instances these do not indicate a simple dose-response relationship. More extensive evaluation will be needed in order to clarify this aspect. Percentage falls in systolic pressure in the RHR model were recorded at 1.5 and 4 h after dosing, and the results in Table II signify that the indicated pressure drops were observed at either or both of these time points.

Marked antihypertensive activities were observed with eight examples (compounds 16–19, 22, 45, 58, and 59), of which five were (indolylethyl)piperidines and three were (benzoylpropyl)piperidines. Thus, it appears that either indolylethyl or benzoylpropyl substituents on the piperidine ring nitrogen can provide the most active antihypertensive agents in this series. This parallels experience with the benzamidopiperidines, where optimal length for the chain linking the aryl moiety with the piperidine 1 position was 2 carbons for indole and 4 for phenyl or substituted phenyl.<sup>1,3</sup> Halogen substitution in the benzene ring of the benzoylpropyl compounds appeared to be beneficial, but no advantageous indole substitutents were discovered.

Benzodioxan analogues (26 and 28-38) were not notable for their antihypertensive activity, but one example (29) did dramatically decrease heart rate (51.5 and 48.5% of starting levels at 2 and 6 h after dosing). This effect was confined to the erythro isomer, as was the modest antihypertensive activity of 31.

Modification to the urea portion of the molecule can be most easily considered in terms of the general structure at the head of Table I. Replacement of  $R_2 = H$  by  $R_2 =$ alkyl had a variable effect. In one sequence where examples with R = H,  $CH_3$ , and  $C_2H_5$  were examined (2, 17, and 23),  $R = CH_3$  (17) was optimal. In other examples, R = $CH_3$  was not better than R = H. Replacement of urea (X = O) by thiourea (X = S) generally decreased activity. Antihypertensive activity was in most cases greatest when  $R_3$  was aroyl or heteroaroyl.

Among the eight highly active examples mentioned earlier, compounds 19 and 58 were the most promising in that they retained marked activity at the lowest doses tested (10 mg/kg po). Comparing these with their closest analogues, it appears that 2-thenoyl is a slightly more beneficial substituent on the terminal nitrogen of the urea than is benzoyl and that when the indolylethyl moiety is replaced by benzoylpropyl it should have a p-fluoro substituent for optimal activity. Thus, an overall optimal structure emerges as



where R = indol-3-yl (19) or  $4-F-C_6H_4COCH_2$  (58).

## **Experimental Section**

Melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer Model 521 spectrophotometer and  ${}^{1}H$  NMR

1

	RHR		RHR			DOCA/saline rats					RH	R	DOCA/saline rats					
	dose, mg/kg		dose, mg/kg			% falls <sup>c</sup>	l		dose, mg/kg		dose, mg/kg			% falls	d			
no.	po	act. <sup>b</sup>	ро	SP <sup>c</sup>	2 h	6 h	24 h	no.	ро	act. <sup>b</sup>	po	$SP^c$	2 h	6 h	24 h			
1	40	28						34			50	190	17	*	*			
2	40	29						35			50	183	17	*	*			
3	40	21						36			50	175	*	*	*			
4	40	15						37			50	178	*	*	*			
5	40	<b>27</b>						38			50	182	26	*	*			
6	40	14						39			50	182	21	*	*			
7	75	Ŧ						40			50	191	*	*	*			
8	40	Ξ						41			50	187	*	*	*			
9			50	208	*	*	*	42			50	187	16	*	*			
10			50	172	*	29	*	43			50	181	*	*	*			
11			50	176	15	*	*	44										
12			50	189	20	*	*	45	40	39	50	205	31	<b>24</b>	*			
13	40	21						46	40	<u>+</u>								
14			50	172	*	*	*	47	40	21								
15			50	200	*	*	*	48	40	±								
16	40	<b>48</b>	50	179	49	49	38	49			50	208	20	20	*			
			25	171	51	<b>48</b>	21	50			50	200	30	25	*			
			10	178	36	*	*	51			50	169	35	35	18			
17			50	174	54	52	26	52			50	177	*	*	*			
			25	180	44	38	20	53	50	20								
			10	168	<b>24</b>	29	*	54			50	186	36	32	*			
18			50	186	40	*	*	55			50	169	21	*	*			
19			50	193	47	38	38				25	178	<b>28</b>	26	*			
			25	171	43	46	*				10	173	22	*	*			
			10	169	50	46	*	5 <b>6</b>			50	188	*	*	*			
20			50	165	*	*	*	57			50	190	30	*	*			
21			50	172	26	28	*	58			50	179	49	45	21			
22			50	173	48	52	*				25	174	35	28	*			
			25	181	54	54	*	_			10	171	<b>48</b>	36	16			
			10	170	30	27	*	59			50	184	43	31	*			
23			50	170	*	*	*	60			50	189	17	*	*			
24			50	180	*	*	*	61			50	179	*	*	*			
25			50	178	*	*	*	62			50	185	*	*	*			
26			50	181	*	*	*	63			50	212	<b>27</b>	*	- 27			
27			50	166	-23	*	*	64			50	170	*	*	*			
28			50	174	*	*	*	65			50	162	*	*	*			
29			50	178	* e	*e	*	66			50	196	*	*	*			
30			50	182	*	*	*	67	40	38	50	198	41	45	38			
31			50	171	35	*	*				25	178	25	29	*			
32			50	172	26	*	*				10	197	33	31	*			
33			50	165	*	*	*											

## Table II. Antihypertensive Activity<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> There were four rats per group in each experiment. Since the majority of compounds were tested in DOCA/saline rats, these results are presented in greater detail. Where DOCA/saline rat results are unavailable, conscious renal hypertensive rat (RHR) results are presented instead. For comparative purposes, both results are given in some instances. <sup>b</sup> Activity (RHR) is expressed as percentage falls in systolic blood pressure (from starting levels in the range 160-200 mmHg) at 1.5 and/or 4 h after the indicated oral dose in mg/kg; decreases of <10% being represented by the symbol  $\pm$ . <sup>c</sup> Starting systolic blood pressure at the indicated times after the indicated doses: All results were analyzed for statistically significant differences from control values using Student's t test; nonsignificant values (p > 0.05) are indicated by asterisk. <sup>c</sup> Marked bradycardia, with falls in heart rate of >40%.

spectra were obtained on a Varian EM360 instrument. Key IR absorbances are indicated for the exemplified compounds. Samples were prepared as Nujol mulls. NMR spectra were generally determined with Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub> solutions because the compounds were not sufficiently soluble in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Spectra supported the assigned structures but were complex and not generally well resolved. Details are therefore not included. C, H, and N analyses were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of theoretical values, except where indicated in Table I.

Method A. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[2-(3-indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4yl]urea (16). To a solution of 3-[2-(4-aminopiperidyl)ethyl]indole<sup>1</sup> (2.09 g, 8 mmol) in dry benzene (150 mL) was gradually added benzoyl isocyanate (1.29 g, 8.8 mmol) in benzene (100 mL). The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and then evaporated. Treatment of the residue in ethanol with ethanol-HCl gave the hydrochloride (2.21 g): IR 3350-3100, 2700-2200, 1690-1660 (C=O), 1540 (amide II), 740, 715, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Method B. 1-[1-[2-(3-Indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (1). 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[2-(3-indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea hydrochloride (16; 1.18 g, 2.76 mmol) was heated under reflux in 2 N NaOH solution (20 mL) for 1 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and the title compound (0.678 g) was filtered and recrystallized from MeOH: IR 3400-3100, 1650 (C=O), 1590-1560 (amide II), 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. (1 has also been prepared by method A'; details at end of Experimental Section.)

Method C. 1-(2-Thenoyl)-3-[1-[2-(3-indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (19). To a suspension of compound 1 (0.35 g, 1.22 mmol) in dry benzene (2 mL) containing anhydrous pyridine (0.12 g) was added dropwise 2-thenoyl chloride (0.18 g, 1.23 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 2 h, cooled, and filtered. The precipitate was washed (H<sub>2</sub>O), dried, and converted to the product hydrochloride (0.13 g) in MeOH-HCl: IR 3400-3000, 2700-2300, 1680-1660 (C=O), 1540 (amide II), 1270, 840, 730 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Method D. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[2-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2oxoethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (26). 4-(Benzoylureido)piperidine (2.46 g, 10 mmol) and 2-(bromoacetyl)-1,4-benzodioxan<sup>8</sup> (2.57 g, 10 mmol) in dry dimethylformamide (40 mL) were stirred at room temperature while triethylamine (1.1 g) was added, and the suspension was stirred for 1 h. A large excess of H<sub>2</sub>O was added, and the remaining solid (4.2 g) was collected, dried, suspended in MeOH (40 mL), and made just acid with MeOH-HCl to give the product hydrochloride (3.51 g): IR 3300-3000, 2800-2400, Scheme I



1725 (C=O), 1680 (C=O), 1540 (amide II), 1260, 745, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Method E. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[2-(3-indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-

when de E. 1-Benzoyl-s-[1-[2-(3-1100]y)) et ny []piperd-4yl]guanidine (20). Benzoylcyanamide (0.6 g, 4.1 mmol) and 3-[2-(4-aminopiperidyl)ethyl]indole (0.65 g, 2.5 mmol) were refluxed in toluene (50 mL) for 16 h. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in the minimum volume of hot EtOH and was made just acid with EtOH-HCl. Et<sub>2</sub>O was added to induce crystallization, and the solid was collected, washed with EtOAc, and dried to give the product hydrochloride hydrate (1.3 g): IR 3500-3000, 2800-2400, 1690 (C=O), 1620 (C=N), 1260, 740, 695 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Method F. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[2-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2hydroxyethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea Threo Isomer 28 and Erythro Isomer 29. Compound 26 (7.21 g, 17 mmol) was suspended in MeOH (80 mL) and stirred at room temperature. NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.0 g, 26 mmol) in 2 N NaOH solution (10 mL) was added dropwise and stirring was continued for a further 1 h. H<sub>2</sub>O (250 mL) was added, and the resulting solid (5.4 g) was collected and dried. Nine recrystallizations from n-butyl acetate gave 28 as the free base (1.19 g). This was converted to  $28 \cdot \text{HCl} \cdot 0.25 \text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.96 g) in MeOH-HCl: IR 3400-3000, 2800-2400, 1690-1670 (C=O), 1540 (amide II), 1260, 1080, 750, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The mother liquors from the first five recrystallizations were combined, evaporated, and recrystallized from n-butyl acetate four times to give 29 as the free base (0.9 g). This was converted to 29 HCl (0.63 g) in MeOH-HCl: IR 3370, 3300, 3100-3000, 2800, 2400, 1700, 1675 (C=O), 1530 (amide II), 1265, 1075, 745, 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The assignment of the stereochemistry of these diastereoisomers (28 and 29) was based on separate syntheses from authentic threo- and ervthro-2-(2-bromo-2-hydroxyethyl)-1.4-benzodioxan (method D). The stereochemistry of these bromo intermediates was readily confirmed by NMR analysis similar to that used by Howe et al.<sup>6</sup>

Method G. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-[3-[3-(2-methoxy)phenoxy]-2hydroxypropyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (60). 4-(Benzoylureido)piperidine (1.3 g, 55 mmol) and 2-(2,3-epoxypropoxy)anisole (0.9 g, 50 mmol) were refluxed in 2-propanol (50 mL) for 24 h. The crystalline product obtained on cooling the solution was collected, dissolved in chloroform, and chromatographed on alumina, eluting with chloroform. Evaporation of the fractions containing pure product and treatment with MeOH-HCl gave the product hydrochloride hydrate (0.53 g): IR 3400-3000, 2800-2400, 1690-1680 (C=O), 1550 (amide II), 1270, 1250, 1120, 745, 705 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Method H. 1-Benzoyl-3-[1-(2-phenethyl)piperid-4-yl]urea (24). Compound 27 (4.85 g, 12.4 mmol) in MeOH (50 mL) was stirred for 30 min with a solution of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (1.0 g, 26 mmol) in 2 N NaOH (10 mL). Water was added, and the precipitated solid was collected, dried, and converted into the product hydrochloride in EtOH-HCl and recrystallized from EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O to give 24-HCl (2.2 g): IR 3300-3000, 2800-2400, 1680 (C=O), 1540 (amide II), 1270, 710, 700 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Method A'. 1-[1-[2-(3-Indolyl)ethyl]piperid-4-yl]urea (1). Potassium cyanate (40.56 g, 0.5 mol) was added to a stirred solution of 3-[2-(4-aminopiperidyl)ethyl]indole (109.8 g, 0.45 mol) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (41 mL, 0.45 mol) in water (2 L). The solution was heated on a steam bath for 0.5 h and then cooled in ice. Collection of the precipitate gave the title product (115.4 g), identical with the product from the example given under method B.

Acknowledgment. The authors are greatly indebted to Drs. T. Baum and R. Wendt for the renal hypertensive rat results and to Drs. B. J. Alps and J. F. Waterfall for the DOCA/saline rat results (Wyeth Laboratories, U.S.A. and UK, respectively).