The combined filtrates from the isolation and recrystallization of the above (+)-3a di-p-toluoyl-D-tartrate were evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 30 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and made alkaline with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. Extraction with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and evaporation of the dried extracts left $0.86 \mathrm{~g}(3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of base, principally (-)-3a, which was warmed to solution with 20 mL of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ and 5 mL of MeOH containing 1.42 g ( 3.5 mmol ) of di-p-toluoyl-L-tartaric acid hydrate. The filtered solution, combined with 5 mL of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ "washing", was concentrated to 5 mL and cooled overnight at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving 1.8 g of salt. It was recrystallized twice from MeOH , yielding $1.65 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$, $\mathrm{mp} 151-154$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. This was converted to $(-)-3 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ as described in the isolation of $(+)$-3a, giving, after recrystallization from $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 0.6 \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{mp} 175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-49.0^{\circ}(c 1.05, \mathrm{EtOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Methiodide (7) of 5. Base 5 [ca. 1 g , prepared from 1.3 g ( 3.9 mmol ) of HBr salt] in 30 mL of dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was treated dropwise with 0.66 g ( 4.6 mmol ) of MeI in 20 mL of dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ during 10 $\min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, the precipitated 7 was collected and recrystallized from $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ : yield $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$; mp $197-199{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (colorless needles); NMR ( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.20$ and $3.32,\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right) 4.62$ and 5.28 $\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{INO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Hydrogenation of $7 . \mathrm{PtO}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}), 2.0 \mathrm{~g}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 7 , and 60 mL of $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ were shaken together at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 30 psig for 12 h and then filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness
in vacuo to give 2.0 g of an oil, which was partitioned between $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was extracted with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$. The combined aqueous acid layers were washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and made basic with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extraction, drying $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporation of the $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave $0.62 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of what appears to be compound 8, 1-[2-(dimethylamino) ethyl] 1 - ( $m$-methoxy-phenyl)-2-methylcyclohexane, resulting from absorption of 1 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Hofmann elimination, and absorption of a 2 nd mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The HBr salt of $8\left(\mathrm{HBr}\right.$ gas- $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right)$ crystallized from $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ in prisms: $\mathrm{mp} 223-224{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.65(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}$-Me), 2.24 and $2.30\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe} e_{2}\right), 4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, O-\mathrm{Me}), 6.70$ and 7.35 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, pheny); EIMS, $m / e 275$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}$ ) C, H, N.
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#### Abstract

A series of new 1 - and 2 -substituted 3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6-one derivatives and 1 -substituted 1,6-di-hydro-4-methyl-6-oxopyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazole- 3 -acetic acids were synthesized and examined for their analgesic and antiinflammatory activities. Most of these compounds showed more prominent analgesic activities than antiinflammatory activities, and this result was similar to that of aminopyrine. Among these compounds, $1,3,4$-trimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazol- $6(1 \mathrm{H})$-one and $2,3,4$-trimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazol- $6(2 H)$-one showed more potent analgesic activity than aminopyrine.


Recently, 3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (1) has been synthesized ${ }^{1,2}$ and found to possess analgesic and antiinflammatory activities. However, neither the synthesis nor the biological activity of its N -substituted derivatives has been studied. We therefore carried out the synthesis of a series of N -substituted 3,4-dimethylpyrano[ $2,3-c$ ]pyrazol-6-one derivatives in order to evaluate their biological activities. This report describes the synthetic results and the analgesic and antiinflammatory activities of these derivatives.

Chemistry. N-Alkylation of Compound 1. When compound 1 was treated with NaH in dry DMF, followed by reaction with methyl iodide at room temperature, two products ( $3 a$ and $4 a$ ) were obtained. The relative yield of the two products ( $3 \mathbf{a}$ and 4a) was around 1:2. Based on mass spectra ( $\mathrm{M}^{+} m / e 178$ ) and elemental analysis, the molecular formulas of both compounds were determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, which indicated that the two products could be isomers of $N$-methyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]-pyrazol-6-ones. A similar result was realized when compound 1 was reacted with methyl iodide in dry DMF in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ under reflux.

The proposed isomeric products (3a and 4a) could not be distinguished by the IR, UV, mass, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR

[^0]spectral data (Table I). In order to ascertain the position of the $N$-methyl groups, the application of the method of ${ }^{1} H\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ nuclear Overhauser effect in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy was attempted. Unfortunately, no effect was observed upon the irradiation of the proton signals of the $N$-methyl protons [ $\delta 3.80$ (3a) and 3.85 (4a)]. We then investigated their ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. As shown in Table II, from the difference of chemical shifts between C-3 [ $\delta 153.46$ (3a), 150.67 (4a)] and C-9 [ $\delta 151.07$ (3a), 158.09 (4a)], the two structures could be tentatively assigned. The assignment was further confirmed by long-range coupling ( $J_{\mathrm{CNCHn}}$ obtained by employing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-gated decoupling method) between the protons of the $N$-methyl groups [ $\delta 3.80$ (3a), 3.85 (4a)] and C-9. The splittings of the signals of C-9 were quite different between those two isomers. The C-9 signal of compound $3 \mathbf{a}$ is a quartet $\left(J_{\mathrm{CNCH}_{3}}\right)$ and that of $4 \mathbf{a}$ is a

[^1]Scheme I ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$,
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
singlet. Based on the above analysis, the minor product (3a) was determined to be $1,3,4$-trimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ -pyrazol- $6(1 H)$-one, and the major product (4a) was determined to be 2,3,4-trimethylpyranol $[2,3$-c $]$ pyrazol- 6 $(2 H)$-one. These structural designations gained further support from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR studies of 1 -ethyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[ $2,3-c$ ]pyrazol-6(1H)-one ( 3 b ), 1 -isopropyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazol- $6(1 \mathrm{H})$-one ( 3 d ), 2 -ethyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazol- $6(2 \mathrm{H}$ )-one ( 4 b ), and 2 -isopropyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c] pyrazol-6(2H)-one 4d). As shown in Table II, the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{C}-3$ and C-9 of $\mathbf{3 b}, \mathbf{d}$ and $4 \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}$ were similar to those of $3 \mathbf{a}$ and $4 \mathbf{a}$, respectively. The $\mathrm{C}-9$ signals of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and 3 d were a triplet ( $J_{\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{R}}$ ) and doublet $J_{\mathrm{CNCHR}_{2}}$, respectively, whereas the signals of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and 4 d were singlets. Furthermore, compound 3 a could also be obtained by reacting methylhydrazine with ethyl acetoacetate.
Compound 1 was then allowed to react with a variety of alkyl halides to afford the corresponding $N$-alkyl-3,4dimethylpyrano $[2,3-c]$ pyrazol- 6 -ones ( $\mathbf{3 b}-\mathbf{g}$ and $\mathbf{4 b - g}$ ). The $N^{1}$-alkyl and $N^{2}$-alkyl derivatives exhibited characteristic differences in their physical properties. It appeared reasonable that each pair of the compounds $3 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ and $4 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{g}$ could be differentiated with the values of their physical constants, such as $R_{f}$ values, $\mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\text {max }}$ etc. (Table I). The above studies on the N -alkylation of 3,4 -dimethylpyrano[ $2,3-c]$ pyrazol- 6 -one ( 1 ) indicate that the nitrogen at the second position of this nucleus is more susceptible to alkylation than the nitrogen at the first position.
Formation of $\boldsymbol{N}$-Aminoalkyl Derivatives of Compound 1. Direct condensation of ( 2,2 -hydroxyethyl)hydrazine with ethyl acetoacetate gave the 1 -( 2 -acetoacetoxyethyl) derivative of $1(5)$, which was then hydrolyzed with concentrated HCl to afford 1-(hydroxy-ethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[ 2,3 -c ]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (6). 2 -(Hydroxyethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano 2,3 -c $]$ pyrazol- 6 $(2 \mathrm{H}$-one $(7)$ was obtained from the product mixture of the N -hydroxylation of compound 1 with 2 -chloroethanol and NaH . Compound 7 was further transformed to $2-[2-$ ( $N, N$-disubstituted-amino) ethyl]-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6 $(2 \mathrm{H})$-ones ( 9 ) by chlorination with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, followed by amination.
$\mathbf{N}$-Acylation of Compound 1. Compound 1 was treated with a variety of acyl halides (or acyl anhydride) to afford the corresponding $N$-acyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[ $2,3-c$ ]pyrazol-6-one ( $\mathbf{1 0}$ or 11), as shown in Scheme IV. The structures of the major products could not be assigned with certainty. However, by comparison of the $R_{f}$ values with that of the trace products that were not isolated, we predict their structure to be the 2 -acyl derivatives (11).

1-Substituted 1,6-Dihydro-4-methyl-6-oxopyrano[ $2,3-c$ ]pyrazole-3-acetic Acids (14). As shown in Table III, compound 3 a and 4 a showed more potent analgesic activity and weaker antiinflammatory effect than the

## Scheme II



Scheme III


Scheme IV ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
standard drugs tested. Therefore, we attempted to replace the methyl group on $\mathrm{C}-3$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$. Thus, compound 12, obtained from the reaction of diethyl 1,3 propanedicarboxylate with hydrazine or methylhydrazine,

Table I. 1-Substituted 3,4-Dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(2H)-ones (3) and 2-Substituted 1,4-Dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(1H)-ones (4)


[^2] $1: 1 .{ }^{c}$ Analyzed for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$; analytical results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical value.:

Table II. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ and $4 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chem shift, $\delta$ (multiplicity) ${ }^{\alpha}$

|  | 3a | 4a | 3b | 4b | 3d | 4d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C-3 | 153.46 (s-q) | 150.67 (s-q) | 153.59 (s-q) | 151.07 (s-q) | 153.61 (s-q) | 150.98 (s-q) |
| C-4 | 100.65 (s-m) | 101.87 (s-m) | 100.80 ( $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{m}$ ) | 101.70 (s-m) | 100.87 (s-m) | 101.50 (s-m) |
| C-5 | 142.78 (s-dq) | 135.74 (s-dq) | 142.78 (s-dq) | 134.99 (s-dq) | 142.45 (s-dq) | 134.31 (s-dq) |
| C-6 | 104.18 (d-dq) | 108.45 (d-dq) | 104.33 (d-dq) | 108.12 (d-dq) | 104.28 (d-dq) | 108.34 (d-dq) |
| C-7 | 160.04 (s-d) | 161.57 (s-d) | 160.26 (s-d) | 161.68 (s-d) | 160.21 (s-d) | 161.77 (s-d) |
| C-9 | 151.07 (s-q) | 158.09 (s) | 150.48 (s-t) | 158.04 (s) | 150.01 (s-d) | 158.17 (s) |
| C-10 | 33.51 (q) | 36.32 (q) | 42.11 ( $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{tq}$ ) | 44.17 (t-tq) | 49.54 (d-m) | 50.07 (d-m) |
| C-11 | 14.48 (q) | 11.45 (q) | 14.52 (q) | 11.25 (q) | 14.67 (q) | 11.21 (q) |
| C-12 | 19.59 (q-qd) | 19.15 (q-dq) | 19.63 (q-dq) | 19.30 (q-qd) | 19.65 (q-qd) | 19.48 (q-qd) |
| C-13 |  |  | 14.56 (q-qt) | 15.11 (q-qt) | 21.58 ( $\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{m}$ ) | 22.24 (q-m) |
| C-14 |  |  |  |  | $21.58(\mathrm{q}-\mathrm{m})$ | 22.24 (q-m) |

${ }^{a} J_{\mathrm{CH}}, J_{C \mathrm{CH}}, J_{C \mathrm{NCH}}$, or $J_{\mathrm{CCCH}}$ obtained by employing ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-gated decoupling method.
was treated with ethyl acetoacetate to afford the corresponding ethyl 1,6 -dihydro-4-methyl-6-oxopyrano[2,3-c]-pyrazole-3-acetate (13a) or its 1-methyl derivative (13b). Hydrolysis of $13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ with concentrated HCl at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the corresponding 1,6 -dihydro- 4 -methyl-6-oxo-pyrano[2,3-c]pyrazole-3-acetic acids (14a,b).

Pharmacological Activity. The pharmacological activities of the compounds studied are summarized in Table III. Most compounds showed more prominent analgesic activity than antiinflammatory activity. Substitution with lower alkyl groups at $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ resulted in an increase in
analgesic activity as compared with compound 1 , but the antiinflammatory activity was reduced. It is also clear that substituents larger than propyl groups tend to decrease analgesic activity. The order of the analgesic potency was $\mathrm{CH}_{3}>\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}>\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ at both $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$. The N -methylsubstituted derivatives ( $3 \mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ ) were analgesically the strongest among the compounds tested, and their potency was 2 to 3 times that of aminopyrine and more than 10 times that of aspirin. However, they, unlike the reference drugs tested, did not show antiinflammatory activity after oral administration of $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. The most active congener

Table III. Analgesic and Antiinflammatory Activities

| compd |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | H |  |  | 40.6 (26.0-63.4), $n=15$ | 23.0** |
| 3a | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 11.6 (5.83-23.0), $n=30$ | 4.7 |
| 4 a |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $6.09(2.83-13.1), n=46$ | 3.3 |
| 3 b | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $24.9(13.5-46.0), n=22$ | 12.1 |
| 4 b |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 14.6 (6.19-34.3), $n=15$ | 13.1 * |
| 3 c | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 54.3 (30.3-97.1), $n=15$ | -0.6 |
| 4 c |  | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 72.6 (45.8-115) , $n=16$ | 4.9 |
| 3d | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\geqslant 100$ | 1.1 |
| 4 d |  | $\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35.3 (26.7-46.7), $n=18$ | 3.7 |
| 3 e | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>100$ | -0.9 |
| 4 e |  | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>100$ | -3.1 |
| 3 f | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\geqslant 100$ | 3.5 -5.4 |
| $4 f$ 6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 60.6 (20.2-182), $n=16$ 100 (ca.) | -5.4 |
| 7 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>100$ (ca.) | 1.8 |
| 8 |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $56.0(14.7-214), n=17$ | -2.1 |
| 9 a |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 100 (ca.) | 17.0** |
| 9 c |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}- \\ & \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>100$ | 4.4 |
| 11a |  | $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12.2 (5.45-27.5), $n=31$ | 4.5 |
| 11 b |  | $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $>100$ | 5.2 |
| 11d |  | $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35.0 (16.9-72.3), $n=24$ | 11.9 |
| 11c |  | co- $<$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 74.3 (37.2-148), $n=15$ | 2.2 |
| 14a | H |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $>100$ | 6.4 |
| 13a | H |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $>100$ | -4.1 |
| 14 b | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ | $>100$ | -3.1 |
| $13 b$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >100 \\ & \quad 19.2(10.7-34.4), n=32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -3.1 \\ & 17.5^{* *} \end{aligned}$ |
| aminopyrine |  |  |  | > $19.2(10.7-34.4), n=32$ | 17.5** |
| ibuprofen |  |  |  | $50.0(32.7-76.2), n=37$ | 39.0** |
| mefenamic acid |  |  |  | 45.9 (26.8-78.6), $n=19$ | $33.1 * * c$ |

${ }^{a}$ Phenylquinone writhing method in mice. ${ }^{b}$ At 3 h after carrageenin injection in the hind-paw edema test in rats; dose $=$ $80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. $*=0.01<p<0.05$ and $* *=p<0.01$ significantly different from the vehicle control. $c 40 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{po}$.

Scheme $V^{a}$

in antiinflammatory activity was compound 9 a , which was not as active as ibuprofen and mefenamic acid. Compounds 14 a and 14 b bearing the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ group at $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ lacked such activity. Thus, it appears that the structural requirement for maximum analgesic activity may not be the same as that for antiinflammatory activity, although there is some overlap.

The mice manifested a slight decrease in spontaneous motor activity, ptosis, and slight muscle relaxation after oral administration of compounds $3 \mathrm{a}(500-1500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) and $4 \mathbf{a}(100-500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ to female mice $(24-26 \mathrm{~g})$ of ddN strain, but hypnosis and Straub tail reaction did not occurred. The acute lethal toxicity (LD50 on day 7) was more than $1500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ and about $250 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for compounds $3 \mathbf{a}$ and 4 a , respectively. Thus, the therapeutic index (LD50/analgesic ED50) of compounds 3a and 4a was about 5 and 1.5 times, respectively, larger than that of aminopyrine (LD50 $=523 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po). The pharmacological profile of compounds 3 a and 4 a and their related analogues was similar to aminopyrine rather than acidic nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs and narcotics.

The investigations of compounds 2, 3a, and 4a on 5hydroxytryptamine release from rat spinal slices are still in progress, and the results will be published later.

Further structural modification and quantitative QSAR analysis are needed to establish the optimal structural requirements of these compounds.

## Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Büchi melting point apparatus, in open capillary tubes, and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Kyoto University (Japan) and Chung Shan Institute of Science Technology (Republic of China) and were within $0.4 \%$ of the calculated values. IR spectra were
recorded on SHIMAZU IR-440. NMR spectra were determined in the indicated solvent on a JEOL PS-100 with tetramethylsilane as internal standard for proton spectra. Chemical shifts are given in $\delta$ units, and coupling constants are in hertz. Splitting patterns are designated as follow: s, singlet; br, broad; d, doublet; t, triplet; q , quartet; m , multiplet. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX-100. Mass spectra were recorded on a Hitachi RMU 6C. UV spectra were recorded on a SHIMAZU UV-210A.

N-Alkylation of 3,4-Dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6-one (1). Method A. 3,4-Dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6-one (1; 4.0 $\mathrm{g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 40 mL ), and $\mathrm{NaH}(50 \%$ in oil; $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added portionwise with stirring for 30 min at room temperature. Alkyl halide ( 0.025 mol ) was then added dropwise at $30-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued for an additional 30 min , and then the reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (benzene-silica gel) to give $\mathbf{3 a - g}$ and $4 \mathbf{a}-\mathrm{g}$ (Table I).

Method B. Compound $1(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 40 mL ), and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added. The alkyl halide ( 0.025 mol ) was then added dropwise under reflux. The mixture was heat at reflux for an additional 1 h . After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed by evaporation. After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed by evaporation. Subsequent workup was performed as in method A, and the same result was obtained.

Reaction of Alkylhydrazines (2) with Ethyl Acetoacetate. Ethyl acetoacetate ( $13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was heated at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the alkylhydrazines ( 0.01 mol ) were added dropwise with stirring. Water and ethanol that formed in the reaction were distilled off, and the mixture was heated under reflux. Upon completion of the reaction, the mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature; the solid thus separated was collected and recrystallized from ethanol to afford $3 \mathbf{3 - g}$ (Table I).

1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6( $1 H$ )-one (6) and 2-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano-[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6(2H)-one (7). Method A. Compound 1 (4.0 $\mathrm{g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ and 2-chloroethanol $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.025 \mathrm{~mol})$ were allowed to react as in method A of the N -alkylation of compound 1 de scribed above to give compounds $6(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)$ and 7 . Compound 6: yield $0.5 \mathrm{~g}(10 \%)$; mp $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f} 0.4$ (Wakogel B-5 FMEtOAc); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3500-2500(\mathrm{OH}), 1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.98$ ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ), $3.72\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; mass spectrum, $m / e 208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Compound 7: yield $1.8 \mathrm{~g}(35 \%)$; mp $176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f} 0.29$ (Wakogel B-5 FM-EtOAc); IR (KBr) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3500-2500(\mathrm{OH}), 1720(\mathrm{C=}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH})$, $4.08\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right), 3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},>\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.64\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.41 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ); mass spectrum, $m / e 208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Method B. Ethyl acetoacetate ( $13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and (2hydroxyethyl)hydrazine ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were allowed to react as in the method of the reaction of alkylhydrazine (2) with ethyl acetoacetate, to afford 1-(2-acetoacetoxyethyl)-3,4-dimethyl-pyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (5): yield $2.3 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$; mp 120-122 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 1720,1700(\mathrm{C}=0) \mathrm{cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.50\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.40[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})\right], 2.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-3\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.30[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$; ; mass spectrum, m/e $229\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound $5(3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was then dissolved in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was washed with water and recrystallized from ethanol to give the compound 6 . This product was confirmed by mixture melting point and comparison of its IR spectra with that of a sample obtained from the method A.

2-(2-Chloroethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6(1 $\boldsymbol{H}$ )-one (8). To a suspension of $7(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.002 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry benzene $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at reflux for 72 h . After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was washed with water and recrystallized from benzene- $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ to give 8: yield $0.4 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%) ; \operatorname{mp} 127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$;
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{NMR}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.34\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, 3.91 ( $\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H},>\mathrm{NCH}_{2}$ ), $2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), $2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{CH}$ ) ; mass spectrum, $m / e 226\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-(2-Aminoethyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6( $2 H$ )-one ( $9 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}$ ). Compound $8(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.002 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 10 mL ), and an amine ( 0.004 mol ) was added with stirring at room temperature. The mixture was then heated at reflux for 24 h . After the reaction was completed, the solvent was removed by evaporation to leave a residue, which was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and washed with water. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc-silica gel) to give 9a-d (Table I).

1-Acetyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (10a) or 2-Acetyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6(2H)-one (11a). To a suspension of compound $1(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.013 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry benzene ( 150 mL ) was added dropwise $\mathrm{AC}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h and then allowed to stand at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solid thus separated was collected and recrystallized from benzene- MeOH to afford 10a or 11a, mp $185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (benzene-silica gel) to give additional 10a or 11a: total yield $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$; IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\max } 1700$, $1720(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 2.80$ [s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ], $2.62\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-3 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; mass spectrum, m/e $194\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(Chloroacetyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6( $1 H$ )-one ( 10 b ) or 2 -(Chloroacetyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3c ]pyrazol-6(2H)-one (11b). Compound $1(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.013 \mathrm{~mol})$ and chloroacetyl chloride ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted as in the method of preparing 10a or 11a to afford 10 b or 11 b : yield $2.9 \mathrm{~g}(98 \%)$; $\operatorname{mp} 198-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-Furoyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (10c) or 2-(5-Furoyl)-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6$(2 H)$-one ( 11 c ). Compound $1(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.013 \mathrm{~mol})$ and furoyl chloride ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted as in the method of preparing 10 a or 11a to afford 10 c or 11 c : yield $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 241-243^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

1-Benzoyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6(1H)-one (10d) or 2-Benzoyl-3,4-dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazol-6( $2 \boldsymbol{H}$ )-one (11d). Compound $1(2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.013 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and benzoyl chloride ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.026 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted as in the method of preparing 10 a or 11a to afford 10 d or 11 d : yield $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $178-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Ethyl 5-Oxo-3-pyrazolineacetate (12a). Diethyl 1,3propanedicarboxylate ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was stirred at room temperature, and hydrazine hydrate ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h ; then the crystals that separated were collected and recrystallized from benzene to afford 12a: $16.1 \mathrm{~g}(95 \%)$; mp $115-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H, N.

Ethyl 1-Methyl-5-oxo-3-pyrazoline-3-acetate (12b). Diethyl 1,3-propanedicarboxylate ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and methylhydrazine $(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ were reacted as in the method of preparing 12 a to afford 12 b : yield $16.7 \mathrm{~g}(91 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp} 90-92^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{8}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Ethyl 1,6-Dihydro-4-methyl-6-oxopyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazole-3-acetate (13a). Compound 12a ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and ethyl acetoacetate ( $71 \mathrm{~g}, 0.55 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were allowed to react as in the preparation of compound 5 to afford 13a: yield $20.7 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%) ; \mathrm{mp}$ $151-153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) $\nu_{\text {max }} 1700,1720(\mathrm{C}=0) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-5 \mathrm{H}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.00[\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OR}\right], 2.34\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.28\left(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; mass spectrum, $m / e 236\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Ethyl 1,6-Dihydro-1,4-dimethyl-6-oxopyrano[2,3-c]-pyrazole-3-acetate (13b). Compound $12 b$ ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.02 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and ethyl acetoacetate ( $26 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were allowed to react as in the preparation of compound 5 to afford 13 b : yield $3.7 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$; mp $140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1,6-Dihydro-4-methyl-6-oxopyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazole-3-acetic Acid (14a). Compound 13a ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solvent was evaporated under $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was washed with water and recrystallized from benzene to give 14a: yield 1.5 $\mathrm{g}(72 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 245-246^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; IR (KBr) 2900-2500(OH), 1720 $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1,4-Dimethylpyrano[2,3-c ]pyrazole-3-acetic Acid (14b). Compound $13 \mathrm{~b}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ was treated as in the preparation of 14 a , to afford 14 b : yield $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$; $\mathrm{mp} 213-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Analgesic Assay. ${ }^{3,4}$ Phenylquinone writhing was induced by phenylquinone ( $0.03 \%$ in $5 \%$ ethanol aqueous solution), 10 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg}$, ip, in female mice ( $18-22 \mathrm{~g}$ ) of ddN strain. The number of writhes was counted for 15 min , beginning 5 min after phenylquinone injection. Each compound was administered orally 30 min before phenylquinone. Five to ten mice were used for each dose.

Antiinflammatory Activity. ${ }^{5}$ Hind-paw edema was induced by a subcutaneous injection of 0.1 mL of a $1 \%$ carrageenin solution into the hind foot pad of male rats $(90-120 \mathrm{~g})$ of Wistar strain. Each compound was administered orally 1 h before carrageenin
(3) Siegmund, E.; Cadmus, R.; Lu, G. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 1957, 95, 729 .
(4) Nakamura, H.; Shimizu, M. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. 1976, 221, 105.
(5) Winter, C. A.; Risley, E. A.; Nuss, G. W. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 1962, 111, 544.
injection. Five to ten rats were used for each dose.
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# Synthesis and Biological Activity of Structural Analogues of the Anticancer Benzophenanthridine Alkaloid Nitidine Chloride 
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The indenoisoquinoline analogue 9 of nitidine (1) has been prepared and found to possess significant anticancer activity against L1210 lymphoid leukemia, P388 lymphocytic leukemia, and B16 melanocarcinoma. Analogue 14, which lacks the B ring of nitidine (1), has also been synthesized. Compound 14 retains the in vitro toxicity associated with nitidine (1) but is devoid of antileukemic activity. The structural factors that may contribute to the difference in biological activity between the two closely related analogues 9 and 14 are discussed.

## Nitidine (1) and fagaronine (2) are benzophenanthridine



1, $\mathrm{R}^{1}+\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$2, \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
alkaloids that have been isolated from Zanthoxylum nitidum ${ }^{1,2}$ and Fagara zanthoxyloides, ${ }^{3}$ respectively. The structure of nitidine (1) was established by its conversion to known compounds ${ }^{2}$ and by the synthesis of dihydronitidine,,${ }^{4,5}$ while the structure of fagaronine (2) was originally proposed on the basis of spectral evidence ${ }^{3,6}$ and was later confirmed by total sythesis. ${ }^{7}$ Several nitidine
(1) Arthur, H. R.; Hui, W. H.; Ng, Y. L. Chem. Ind. (London) 1958, 1514.
(2) Arthur, H. R.; Hui, W. H.; Ng, Y. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 1840.
(3) Messmer, W. M.; Tin-Wa, M.; Fong, H. H. S.; Bevelle, C.; Farnsworth, N. R.; Abraham, D. J.; Trojanek, J. J. Pharm. Sci. 1972, 61, 1858.
(4) Arthur, H. R.; Ng, Y. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 4010.
(5) Gopinath, K. W.; Govindachari, T. R.; Parthasarathy, P. G.; Viswanathan, N. J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 4012.
(6) Tin-Wa, M.; Bell, C. L.; Bevelle, C.; Fong, H. H. S.; Farnsworth, N. R. J. Pharm. Sci. 1974, 63, 1476.
(7) Gillespie, J. P.; Amoros, L. G.; Stermitz, F. R. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 3239.
(1) syntheses have also been performed. ${ }^{4,5,8}$

Both nitidine (1) and fagaronine (2) have displayed activity against the mouse leukemia L1210 and P388 systems. ${ }^{3,9,10}$ Nitidine (1) has also shown curative activity against Lewis lung carcinoma. ${ }^{9 b}$ The anticancer activity of these compounds and related benzophenanthridine alkaloids has been correlated with inhibition of reverse transcriptase activity of RNA tumor viruses by binding to the A-T base pairs of the template primers, ${ }^{11}$ inhibition of transfer RNA methyltransferase, ${ }^{12}$ and the iminium ion
(8) (a) Zee-Cheng, K.-Y.; Cheng, C. C. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1973, 10, 85. (b) Kametani, T.; Kigasawa, K.; Hiiragi, M.; Kusana, O. Ibid. 1973, 10, 31. (c) Kessar, S. V.; Singh, G.; Salakrishnan, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 2269. (d) Begley, W. J.; Grimshaw, J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1977, 2324. (e) Cushman, M.; Cheng, L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 286.
(9) (a) Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Taylor, Y. L. In "Abstracts of Papers", 162nd National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, 1971; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1971; Abstr MEDI 34. (b) Zee-Cheng, R. K.-Y.; Cheng, C.C. J. Med. Chem. 1975, 18, 66.
(10) Stermitz, F. R.; Gillespie, J. P.; Amoros, L. G.; Romero, R.; Stermitz, T. A.; Larson, K. A.; Earl, S.; Ogg, J. E. J. Med. Chem. 1975, 18, 708.
(11) (a) Sethi, V.S.; Sethi, M. L. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1975, 63, 1070. (b) Sethi, V. S. Cancer Res. 1976, 36, 2390. (c) Sethi, V. S. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 1977, 284, 508. (d) Sethi, M. L. J. Nat. Prod. 1979, 42, 187. (e) Sethi, M. L. Can. J. Pharm. Sci. 1981, 16, 29.
(12) Lee, J. W.; MacFarlane, J. O.; Zee-Cheng, R. K.-Y.,; Cheng, C. C. J. Pharm. Sci. 1977, 66, 986.
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[^2]:    ${ }^{a}$ By method A of the N -alkylation of compound 2. ${ }^{b}$ Adsorbent: Wakogel $\mathrm{B}-5 \mathrm{FM}$ (silica gel); solvent: benzene/ether,

