material was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated to  $\sim$  15 mL and allowed to stand in the refrigerator overnight. The crystalline product that deposited was collected by filtration, washed with dry methanol (5 mL), and dried over  $P_2O_6$  under vacuum. Two recrystallizations from ethanol gave  $0.10 \text{ g}$  (24.9%) of 4a: mp 192-195 °C; IR (KBr) *v* 1630,1730 (HN-C=0), 3400 (NH, OH) cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (pH 1) 252 nm (sh) ( $\epsilon$  2300); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (pH 7) 257 nm ( $\epsilon$  2400); UV  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (pH 11) 255 nm ( $\epsilon$  2700); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Me_2SO-d_6)$   $\delta$  4.92 (d, 1, J = 6.0 Hz, C<sub>1</sub>*H*), 8.10 (s, 1, C<sub>6</sub>*H*), 12.54 (br s, 1, ring NH), and other sugar protons. Anal.  $(C_9H_{11}N_5O_5)$ H, N; C: calcd, 40.15; found, 38.09.

Cell **Growth** Inhibition Evalulation. Compounds were evaluated for their ability to inhibit the growth of L1210 murine lymphocytic leukemia, WIL2 human B-lymphoblastic leukemia, and CCRF-CEM human T-lymphoblastic leukemia, which were maintained in suspension cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Grand Island Biological Co., Grand Island, NY) and 20 mM Hepes buffer. Cells were free of mycoplasma contamination as determined by culturing under anaerobic conditions on broth/agar plates (Mycotrim-TC, NEN Products/Hana Media, Inc., Boston, MA) and by the Gen-Probe ribosomal RNA hybridization method (Gen-Probe, San Diego, CA). Test compounds were dissolved in deionized, distilled water at 2000  $\mu$ M, sterilized by passage through a 0.2- $\mu$ m filter (Gelman, Ann Arbor, MI), and diluted to 200 *pM* in growth media. Compounds 8 and 11b were dissolved in Me<sub>2</sub>SO at 20  $\mu$ M and then serially diluted in growth media to  $200 \mu \text{M}$  in  $1\%$  Me<sub>2</sub>SO. A Me<sub>2</sub>SO control containing 1% Me<sub>2</sub>SO was prepared and tested in the same manner as the test compounds. Compounds were tested in triplicate on 96 well tissue culture plates. The highest concentration of compound (200  $\mu$ M) was placed in the top row of the plate and seven 0.5 log serial dilutions were performed using a Cetus pipette (Cetus Corp., Emeryville, CA). Following serial dilution, wells contained 100 *nL* of test compound at concentrations ranging from 0.2 to 200  $\mu$ M. Cells were adjusted to 1  $\times$  $10^5$  cells/mL in growth media, and  $100 \ \mu$ L was added to each well of test plates. This resulted in a final volume of  $200 \mu L/well$ , a cell inoculum of  $5 \times 10^4$ /mL, and compound concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 100  $\mu$ M. Cells were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified  $5\%$  CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.

The three cell lines vary in their doubling times, so incubation times during the cytotoxicity assay were varied to allow approximately 4-4.5 population doublings during the course of the test. L1210 were incubated for 48 h, WIL2 for 72 h, and CCRF-CEM for 92 h. Following incubation, growth was determined by cell count on a Coulter Model ZM electronic cell counter. Growth in treated wells was expressed as a percentage of growth in untreated control wells. For wells treated with the compound dissolved in Me<sub>2</sub>SO, growth was expressed as a percentage of growth in wells containing an equal concentration of  $Me<sub>2</sub>SO$ . The percent control values were plotted vs. compound concentration, and the concentration that inhibits growth by 50% (ID<sub>50</sub>) was determined.

**Acknowledgment.** This investigation was supported in part by Contract DAMD-17-82-C-2224 with the U.S. Army Medical Research and Development Command, Washington, DC. We thank Alexander D. Adams for large-scale syntheses of certain chemical intermediates.

Registry No. 3,103959-89-3; 4a, 103959-90-6; 4b, 103959-83-7; 5, 70481-88-8; 6a, 23316-68-9; 6b, 67560-74-1; 7, 103959-81,5; 8, 103959-82-6; 9, 103959-86-0; 10, 103980-83-2; **11a,** 103959-84-8; lib, 103959-85-9; 12a, 103959-87-1; **12b,** 103959-88-2; EEDQ, 16357-59-8.

# 10-Acetyl-10-hydroxyxantho[2,3-f ]tetralin 8-Glycosides as Angular Chromophore Analogues of Anthracyclines: Synthesis, Redox Properties, Microsomal Oxygen Consumption, and Antileukemic Evaluation

### J. William Lown,\* Sham M. Sondhi, and James A. Plambeck

## *Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2. Received March 17, 1986*

10-Acetyl-7,8-dihydroxyxantho[2,3-/]tetralin is obtained by photo-Fries rearrangement of an acylated and double ketal protected tetralin followed by sodium thiocresylate catalyzed rearrangement of the resulting benzoyltetralin. Introduction of the 10-hydroxy function with base, triethyl phosphite, and molecular oxygen affords six products. These include the desired epimeric 10-acetyl-7,8,10-trihydroxyxantho[2,3-f]tetralins in addition to products resulting from novel valence tautomerism and cycloreversion reactions in the oxidation reaction. Glycosidic coupling to the fully functionalized cis-8,10-dihydroxy epimer of the aglycon to protected chlorodaunosamine by a modified Koenigs-Knorr method proceeded satisfactorily. By contrast the epimeric trans-8,10-dihydroxy compound failed to undergo coupling under these conditions. This is attributed to facile competing intramolecular hemiketal formation in the latter case. The new angular glycosides are very resistant to electrochemical reduction and display very low (3-10%) augmentation of hepatic microsomal oxygen consumption relative to doxorubicin. The observed, albeit low, cytotoxicity against leukemia L1210 in cell culture provides an additional example where the presence of the quinone moiety in the parent anthracyclines, which is implicated in the clinical cardiotoxicity, may not be necessary for the expression of anticancer properties.

Efforts continue to be made to effect a separation of cytotoxic and cardiotoxic effects in the application of the anthracycline antitumor agents, $1,2$  including daunorubicin (I), 4-demethoxydaunorubicin (II), and doxorubicin (III), which are widely used in the clinical treatment of a range of human malignancies.<sup>1,2</sup> Some encouraging results have been obtained based on evidence that the origin of the cardiotoxicity<sup>3,4</sup> may lie in the in vivo redox activity of the

- (3) Smith, B. *Br. Heart J.* 1969, *31,* 607.
- (4) Bonadonna, G.; Monfardinin, S. *Lancet* 1969, *1,* 837.



- I  $R^1 = OCH_3$ ;  $R^2 = COCH_3$ ;  $R^3 = OH$ ;  $R^4 =$  daunosaminyl
- II  $R^1 = H$ ;  $R^2 = COCH_3$ ;  $R^3 = OH$ ;  $R^4 =$  daunosaminyl
- III  $R^1$  = OCH<sub>3</sub>;  $R^2$  = COCH<sub>2</sub>OH;  $R^3$  = OH;  $R^4$  = daunosaminyl

quinone-containing chromophore causing the generation of oxygen radicals and leading to lipid peroxidation

<sup>(1)</sup> Arcamone, F. *Doxorubicin Anticancer Antibiotics;* Academic Press: New York, 1981; Vol. 17.

<sup>(2)</sup> Arcamone, F. *Topics in Antibiotic Chemistry;* Sammes, P. G., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1978; Vol. 2, pp 102-239.

Scheme I<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: (a) THF, HCl, H<sub>2</sub>O, room temperature for 18 h; (b) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, **THF,** p-TSA, room temperature for 15 h; (c) K-t-OBu, t-BuOH, **DMF,** nitrogen atmosphere, room temperature for 30 min, then cool to -25 °C, triethylphosphite,  $O_2$ , -15 °C to -25 °C for 2 h.

# preferentially in cardiac tissue.<sup>5-9</sup>

Certain chromophore-modified anthracyclines show a correlation between the suppression of redox activity and concomitant cardiotoxic effects.<sup>910</sup> Examples to date  $\text{include 5-iminodaunorubicin}^{11}$  and 5-iminodoxorubicin.<sup>12</sup> In this regard we recently described the synthesis and study of the properties of glycosides of 9-acetyl-6,7,9,lltetrahydroxyxantho $[2,3-g]$ tetralin.<sup>13</sup> The observation of cytotoxicity in this series of novel glycosides, which are virtually devoid of redox activity, against L1210 leukemia<sup>13</sup> suggests that the quinone moiety, which is implicated in  $\alpha$  cardiotoxicity, $5.8$  may not be essential for biological activity.<sup>14</sup> While a number of structural, stereochemical, and

- (5) Bachur, N. R.; Gordon, S. L.; Gee, M. V. *Mol. Pharmacol.*  **1977,** *13,* 901.
- (6) Goodman, J.; Hochstein, P. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*  **1977,** 77, 797.
- (7) Doroshow, J. H.; Locker, G. Y.; Myers, C. E.; *J. Clin. Invest.*  **1980,** *65,* 128.
- (8) Lown J. W.; Chen, H. H.; Plambeck, J. A.; Acton, E. M. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1979,** *28,* 2563.
- (9) Lown, J. W.; Chen, H. H.; Plambeck, J. A.; Acton, E. M. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1982,** *31,* 575.
- (10) Lown, J. W.; Sondhi, S. M.; Yen, S. F.; Plambeck, J. A.; Peters, J. H.; Acton, E. M.; Gordon, G. R. *Drugs Exp. Clin. Invest.*  **1984,** *10,* 735.
- (11) Tong, G. L.; Henry, D. W.; Acton, E. M. *J. Med. Chem.* **1979,**  *22,* 36.
- (12) Acton, E. M.; Tong, G. L. *J. Med. Chem.* **1981,** *24,* 669.
- (13) Lown, J. W.; Sondhi, S. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1985,** *50,* 1413.
- (14) Peters, J. H.; Gordon, G. R.; Kashi wase, D.; Lown, J. W.; Yen, S-F.; Plambeck, J. A. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1986,** *35,* 1309.





 $^a$  Reaction conditions: (a) K-t-OBu, DMF, t-BuOH, nitrogen atmosphere, room temperature for 30 min, then cool to  $-25$  °C, triethyl phosphite,  $O_2$ , -15 °C to -25 °C for 2 h, leading to dehydrative elimination and valence tautomerism; (b) K-t-OBu, DMF, nitrogen atmosphere, room temperature for 30 min; (c) cool to -25 °C and then triethyl phosphite,  $O_2$ , at -15 °C to -25 °C for 2 h followed by intramolecular nucleophilic addition and cycloreversion.

pharmacological questions remain unanswered, it appears that exploration of appropriate chromophore-modified structures may contribute to a separation of cytotoxic and cardiotoxic effects.

In the present report we further explore this approach and describe the synthesis and properties of 10-acetyl-10-hydroxyxantho[2,3-/]tetralin-8-glycosides as angular chromophore analogues of the anthracyclines. Their low redox activity, measured both polarographically and by the augmentation of hepatic microsomal oxygen consumption, as well as their activity against L1210 leukemia, is discussed.

**Synthesis, (a) Chromophores.** The angular xantho- [2,3-g]tetralin chromophore 1 was obtained from photo-Fries rearrangement of a benzoyltetralin followed by a nucleophile-catalyzed ring closure.<sup>15</sup> Deprotection of 1 using hydrogen chloride in aqueous THF gave 2 (Scheme I) in 60% yield and in which the  $C_8$ -H is equatorial as is evident from the  $v_{1/2} = 3.0$  Hz in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR.<sup>16</sup> Compound 2 was then converted into the 7,8-acetonide 3 in 76% yield by treatment with dimethoxypropane in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid. Treatment of compound 3 under conditions designed to introduce the  $C_{10}$ -OH group (i.e., reaction with potassium  $tert$ -butoxide in tert-butyl alcohol and DMF in the presence of triethyl phosphite followed by reaction with molecular  $oxygen<sup>17</sup>$ )

- (15) Lown, J. W.; Sondhi, S. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984,** *49,* 2844.
- (16) Jackman, L. M.; Sternhell, S. *Application of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry,* 2nd ed.; Pergamon: New York, 1969.
- (17) Gardner, J. N.; Carlon, F. E.; Gnoj, O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1968,** *33,*  3294.

*Angular Chromophore Analogues of Anthracyclines* 

**Scheme IIP** 



 $a$  Reaction conditions: (a) THF, HCl, H<sub>2</sub>O, room temperature for 8 h.

afforded six products 4-9, which are readily separable by chromatography. The structures of these compounds were assigned by <sup>1</sup>H NMR, IR, and MS and by comparison with related structures previously identified.<sup>15</sup> Generation of the carbanion 12 (Scheme II) at C-10 of 3 followed by oxidation gives the epimers 4 and 5, the stereochemistries of which were assigned by  $^1$ H NMR.<sup>13</sup> Compound 6 can arise from the dehydration of either 4 or 5.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 7 shows singlets at  $\delta$  7.66 and 8.76 ascribed to the protons  $H_{12}$  and  $H_9$ , respectively  $(J_{129})$  $= 1.5$  Hz). The other <sup>1</sup>H NMR, IR, and MS data are in accord with the assigned structure. Compound 7 may arise from 6 by the formation of an epoxide 10 at the  $C_{10}-C_{11}$ position of the conjugated ketone under basic conditions<sup>18</sup> (Scheme II). Generation of an anionic center at  $C_8$  followed by hydroxylation and elimination (as in the conversion of 8 to 9) forms 11, which is than subject to a valence tautomerism<sup>19</sup> to give  $7$  (Scheme II).

Compound 8, in which the acetyl side chain has been eliminated, plausibly arises via the obligatory intermediate peroxide anion 13 implicated in the formation of 4 and 5.<sup>17</sup> Ring closure of the peroxide could form the transient 1,2-dioxetane anion 14, and subsequent formal  $\sigma_1$  +  $\sigma_2$ <sup>1</sup>/<sub>8</sub> cycloreversion leads to 8 and the acetate anion (Scheme II). There are several precedents for the suggested facile cleavage of  $1,2$ -dioxetanes to yield carbonyl compounds.<sup>20-22</sup> The phenolic compound 9 can be formed from 8 by the generation of the anion at  $C_8$  followed by hydroxylation, by interaction with molecular oxygen, and then dehydration leading to a double-bond insertion at positions  $C_8-C_9$ and enolization of the ketone. The IR spectrum shows an absorption at  $3400-3100$  cm<sup>-1</sup> (phenolic OH), while the <sup>1</sup>H NMR reveals seven aromatic protons in accord with the assigned structure for 9.

Deprotection of compounds 4 and 5 under mild acid conditions afforded 15 and 16, respectively (Scheme III). The stereochemistry of the analogous epimeric linear xantho[2,3-g]tetralins has been established previously by chemical means.<sup>13,15</sup> In compound 16 the  $C_8$ -H is pseu-

- (19) Vogel, E.; Schubart, R.; Boll, W. A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1964,** *3,* 510.
- (20) Adam, W. *Adv. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1977,** *21,* 437.
- (21) White, E. H.; Wei, C. C. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*  **1970,** *39,* 1219.

**Scheme IV°** 



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: (a) protected bromo sugar, HgBr<sub>2</sub>, Hg(C-N)<sub>2</sub>, THF, molecular sieve  $(3 \text{ Å})$ , 65-70 °C, 118 h; (b) protected chlorodaunosamine,  $HgBr_2$ ,  $Hg(CN)_2$ , THF, molecular sieve (3 Å), 55-60 °C, 40 h; (c) acetone, 0.1 N NaOH, nitrogen atmosphere, room temperature, 30 min.

doequatorial because it exhibits couplings of  $J_{8e',9e'} = 2.5$ Hz and  $J_{8e^{\prime},9a^{\prime}} = 5$  Hz requiring that the C<sub>8</sub>-OH and C<sub>10</sub>-OH groups are cis diaxial. In contrast compound 15 exhibits the C<sub>8</sub>-H as  $J_{8a',9a'} = 9.5$  Hz an  $J_{8a',9e'} = 6$  Hz. It follows that the the  $C_8$ -H in 15 is pseudoaxial requiring, by comparison with 16, that the  $C_8$ -OH and  $C_{10}$ -OH groups in 15 are trans. It may be noted that  $C_{10}$ -hydroxylation of 3 and acid-catalyzed deprotection of the resulting angular compounds 4 and 5 follows the same stereochemical course as the linear chromophore (xantho $[2,3-g]$ tetralin system<sup>13</sup>) leading to the formation fo 15 and 16 (Scheme IV). The relative stereochemistry of 15 and 16 thus inferred is in accord with the facile glycosidation of 16 in contrast to 15 (see below).

**(b) Glycosidic Coupling of Chromophores.** Initially attempts were made to effect glycosidic coupling with the protected chlorodaunosamine in the presence of cadmium carbonate,<sup>23,24</sup> in the presence of calcium carbonate, or with silver carbonate.<sup>25</sup> In general these methods proved unsatisfactory as in the case of the xantho[2,3-g]tetralin derivatives.<sup>13</sup> However, satisfactory glycosidic coupling could be effected with the modified Koenigs-Knorr procedure.<sup>26</sup> The 10-acetylxantho $[2,3-f]$ tetralin  $(2)$  was coupled with 3',4',6'-tri-0-acetyl-2'-deoxy-2'-(trifluoro $acetamido$ - $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl bromide and mercuric cyanide in THF to afford the glycoside 17 together with the fully aromatized compound 18 (Scheme IV). The susceptibility of ring A in this system to aromatize has been

- (24) Conrow, R. B.; Bernstein, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971,** *36,* 863.
- (25) Rohle, G.; Breuer, H. *Hoppe-Seyler's Z. Phys. Chem.* 1974, 355, 490.
- (26) Smith, T. H.; Fujiwara, A. N.; Lee, W. W.; Wu, H. Y.; Henry, D. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977,** *42,* 3653.

<sup>(18)</sup> Bunton, C. A.; Minkoff, G. J. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1949,** 665.

<sup>(23)</sup> Wulff, G.; Rohle, G. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1974,***13,*157.

Table I. Augmentation of Hepatic Microsomal Oxygen Uptake by 10-Acetyl-10-hydroxyxantho[2,3-f]tetralin 8-Glycosides

compd	oxygen consumption, % activity of doxorubicin <sup>a</sup>	compd	oxygen consumption, % activity of doxorubicin <sup>a</sup>
		22	
20		23	

"Reference 14.

noted above in the conversion of 8 to 9. Coupling of the chromophore 2 with protected chlorodaunosamine was carried out under similar conditions of the modified Koenigs-Knorr reaction<sup>26</sup> to afford the glycoside 19. Alkaline deprotection of 19 and separation of the products by preparative thin-layer chromatography then gave the partially deprotected glycoside **20** together with the fully deprotected glycoside **21** (Scheme IV).

An attempted modified Koenigs-Knorr coupling of the  $trans-C<sub>8</sub>-C<sub>10</sub>$ -dihydroxychromophore 15 with the protected chlorodaunosamine afforded only traces of the glycoside detected by FABMS. It appears plausible that under the mild acid conditions employed in the coupling that the facile intramolecular hemiketal formation of **15A** from **15**  prevents normal glycosidic coupling. By contrast the glycosidation of 16 proceeds normally. This provides an additional example comparable to the linear chromophore  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1}}$  cases<sup>13</sup> of the strict stereochemical requirements in functionalized chromophores for effective coupling.

Accordingly, glycosidic coupling of the cis-8,10-dihydroxychromophore (16) with protected chlorodaunosamine proceeded normally affording **22** in 20% yield. The magnitudes of the coupling constants in glycoside **22** are in accord with an exclusive  $\alpha$ -chromophore configuration, ang therefore **22** has a stereochemistry corresponding to daunorubicin<sup>1,2</sup> (I) and doxorubicin (III).<sup>14</sup> Alkaline deprotection of **22** gave **23** in 44% yield. The *<sup>l</sup>H* NMR spectrum of 23 confirmed that the  $\alpha$ -configuration was retained.

# **Results and Conclusion**

**Antileukemic Cytotoxicity and Augmentation of Hepatic Microsomal Oxygen Uptake and Redox Characteristics of 10-Acetyl-10-hydroxyxantho[2,3- /]tetralin 8-Glycosides.** Polarographic and cyclic voltammetry studies on compounds 2, 3, 16, **17, 19-21,** and 23 confirmed that the chromophores were, as expected. extremely resistant to reduction, with half-wave potentials at or negative of  $-1.25$  V vs. SCE, compared with the readily reducible doxorubicin, which has a half-wave potential of  $-0.66$  V.<sup>10</sup> All of these chromophore reductions were shown to be irreversible by cyclic voltammetry. Compound 19 alone exhibited additional reductions at  $-0.385$  V and  $-1.005$  V, which are due to the nitro group.

The augmentation of normal oxygen uptake by fresh rat liver microsomes has been used as a measure of in vivo redox activity of anthracycline derivatives and analogues compared with the parent anthracycline doxorubicin.<sup>7,9</sup> A correlation has been observed between low activity in this test and corrsponding low in vivo cardiotoxicity.<sup>9</sup> The oxygen uptake by representative examples of the protected **17,** partially deprotected **23,** and fully deprotected **21**  glycosides were 4, 3, and 10%, respectively, compared with doxorubicin (Table I). A group of the new synthetic glycosides derived from the xantho[2,3-g]tetralins (compounds  $12-15$  of ref 13) as well as the xantho $[2,3-f]$ tetralin derivatives in the present series (Table II) all exhibit low cytotoxicity against leukemia L1210 cells grown in culture with ID<sub>50</sub> values in the range of 1-10  $\mu$ g/mL. This result for the xantho[2,3-/]tetralin glycosides provides a further example that cytotoxicity may be expressed in agents Table II. Cytotoxicity of 10-Acetyl-10-hydroxyxantho-[2,3-/] tetralin 8-Glycosides against L1210 Leukemia



bearing nonreducible chromophores and without the quinone moiety of the parent anthracycline.

## **Experimental Section**

Melting points were determined on a Fisher-Johns apparatus and are uncorrected. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 7199 FT spectrophotometer, and only the principal sharply defined peaks are reported. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 90 and Varian HA-100 analytical spectrometers or on Bruker WH-200 and WH-400 spectrometers. The spectra were recorded on approximately  $5-15\%$  (w/v) solutions, depending upon the spectrometers, and in appropriate deuterated solvents with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. Line positions are recorded in parts per million from reference. Electron impact  $\frac{1}{2}$  FAB mass spectra<sup>27</sup> were determined on an Associated Electrical Industries (AEI) MS-9 double-focusing high-resolution mass spectrometer. The peak measurements were made by comparison with perfluorotributylamine at a resolving power of 15000. Kieselgel DF-5 (Camag, Switzerland) and Eastman Kodak precoated sheets were used for thin-layer chromatography. In the workup procedures reported for the various syntheses described, solvents were removed with a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure without heating. Kieselgel (Fluka, Switzerland) was used for column chromatography.

10-Acetyl-7,8-dihydroxyxantho[2,3-f]tetralin (2). Compound  $1^{13}$  (408 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 80 mL of THF, and to the solution was added 16 mL of concentrated HC1 and 16 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with water, sodium bicarbonate, and again with water, and then dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from THF/chloroform  $(1:1)$  to afford 195 mg of 2 (60% yield): mp 215-218 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>/CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 1:1) *δ* 1.82 (m, 1 H), 2.40  $(s + m, 4H, COCH<sub>3</sub> + H), 2.90<sup>o</sup>(q, 1H), 3.22<sup>o</sup>(m, 1H), 3.42<sup>o</sup>(dd,$ 1 H), 4.3 (s, 1 H, C<sub>8</sub>-OH exch), 5.10 (bs, 1 H, C<sub>8</sub>-H<sub>e</sub> $\nu_{1/2}$  = 3.0 Hz), 7.35 (t, 1 H, Ar), 7.55 (m, 2 H, Ar), 7.75 (m, 1 H, Ar), 8.28 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 9.55 (bs, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-OH exch); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3540-3380 (OH), 1700 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1635 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1610 and 1585 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 325.1034 (1.19, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 324.0994 (5.79, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for  $C_{10}H_{16}O_6$ , 324.0993), 307.0925 (2.9, M<sup>+</sup> - OH), 306.0889  $(13.4, M<sup>+</sup> - H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , 264.0764 (40.8, M<sup>+</sup> – (OH + CH<sub>3</sub>CO)), 263.0703  $(100, M^+ - (H_0O + CH_3CO))$ , 262.0629 (29.5, 263.0703 - H).

**10-Acetyl-73-dihydroxyxantho[2,3-/]tetralin7,8-Acetonide**  (3). Compound 2 (324 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in 75 mL of THF, and to the solution was added dimethoxypropane (8 mL) and p-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (10 mg). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 h, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to column chromatography and eluted with ether/petroleum ether (1:1) to give a white solid, which was further purified by recrystallization from THF/ether (1:1) affording 277 mg of 3 (76% yield): mp 185 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.60 (d, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 2.39 (s, 3 H, *COCH3),* 2.65 (m, 1 H), 3.28 (m, 3 H),

<sup>(27)</sup> Beckey, H. D.; Schulten, H. R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*  1975, *14,* 403.

4.78 (q, 1 H,  $C_8$ -H<sub>a</sub><sup>*,*</sup>,  $J_{8a^{\prime}, 9a^{\prime}} = 11$  Hz,  $J_{8a^{\prime}, 9e^{\prime}} = 6$  Hz), 7.38 (m, 1 H, Ar), 7.50 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.60 (s, 1 H, Ar), 7.74 (m, 1 H, Ar), 8.35 (dd, 1 H, Ar); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1710 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1655 and 1625  $(\gamma$ -pyrone), 1610 and 1595 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 365.1356  $(1.1, M<sup>+</sup> + 1)$ , 364.1324 (3.99, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for  $C_{22}H_{20}O_2$ , 364.1323),  $306.0897$  (30.43, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 263.0702 (100, M<sup>+</sup> - $(CH_3COCH_3 + CH_3CO)$ , 262.0634 (42.6, 263.0702 - H), 58.0443  $(1.52, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>)$ .

**Oxidation of 10-Acetyl-7.8-dihydroxyxantho[2,3-f]tetralin 7,8-Acetonide (3) to Afford** 4-9. Compound 3 (3.64 g, 0.01 mol) was oxidized by use of the experimental procedure described previously<sup>13</sup> to give a mixture of crude products that was subjected to column chromatography. The column was first eluted with ether/petroleum ether (10:90), and a yellow band was collected, which on removal of the solvent gave a yellow-colored product that was purified by recrystallization from ether/ $THF(1:1)$  to give 20 mg of 7  $(0.53\% \text{ yield})$ : mp 232-235 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Me_2SO-d_6)$   $\delta$  1.65 (s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.80 (s, 3H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.45 (s, 1 H, Ar), **7.55** (m, 1 H, Ar), 7.66 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.95 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 8.22 (dt, 1 H, Ar), 8.76 (d, 1 H, Ar); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1685 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1650 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1610 and 1585 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  $(2001)$ ;  $(1000)(9-1)$ ;  $(1000)(100)(100)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$ ;  $(1100)(11)$  $C_{22}H_{16}O_6$ , 376.0946), 360.1015 (100, M<sup>+</sup> – O), 317.08 (25.47, M<sup>+</sup>)  $- (O - COCH<sub>3</sub>)$ . Further elution of the column with ether/petroleum ether (50:50) and collection of a fluorescent band afforded a solid residue after removal of the solvent. The solid was shaken with ether and collected. The insoluble product was further washed with ether and recrystallized from THF/ether (1:1) to give 6 mg of compound 9 (0.18% yield): mp 300  $\degree$ C dec; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Me_2SO-d_6)$   $\delta$  1.65 (s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 6.82 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.16 (s, 1 H, Ar), 7.55 (m, 2 H, Ar), 7.90 (m, 2 H, Ar), 8.26 (dd, 1 H, Ar); IR (Nujol)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3400-3100 (OH), 1620 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1605 and 1595  $(Ar)$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 335.0878 (21.77, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 334.0843  $(100, M^+; \text{cald for } C_{20}H_{14}O_5, 334.0843), 333.0763 (3.83, M^+ - H),$  $319.0620$  (11.62, M<sup>+</sup> – CH<sub>3</sub>), 317.0826 (4.28, M<sup>+</sup> – OH).

The filtrate was evaporated under vacuum, and the residue was recrystallized from petroleum ether/ether (1:1) to give 14 mg of a crystalline product 6 (0.4% yield): mp 185  $\degree$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>)$   $\delta$  1.60 (d, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.30 (dt, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-H<sub>a</sub>), 2.55 (s, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.14 (q, 1 H, C<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>e</sub>), 5.25 (q, 1 H, C<sub>8</sub>-H<sub>e'</sub>,  $J_{8a'9e'} = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_{8a'9a'} = 16$  Hz), 7.5 (m, 2 H, Ar), 7.80 (m, 1 H,  $\overrightarrow{AF}$ , 7.90 (m, 1 H,  $\overrightarrow{Ar}$ ), 8.05 (d, 1 H,  $C_{11}$ -H,  $J_{11H_{92}}$  = 2.8 Hz), 8.20 (dt, 1 H, Ar); IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$   $\nu_{max}$  1660 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1625 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1610 and 1595 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 362.1185 (1.35, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for  $C_{22}H_{18}O_5$ , 362.1182), 304.0742 (100, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>),  $289.05$  (28.68, M<sup>+</sup> – (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>)), 261.0550 (11.48, M<sup>+</sup>  $-$  (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>CO)), 58.0552 (2.28, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>).

Further elution of the column with ether/petroleum ether (50:50) gave a white crystalline compound, which was recrystallized from CHCl<sub>3</sub> to give 12 mg of 8 (0.36% yield): mp 225 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CDCl_3)$   $\delta$  1.65 (d, 6 H,  $CH_3 + CH_3$ ), 2.65 (q, 1 H,  $C_9$ -H<sub>a</sub>,  $J_{9a',9e'}$ = 16 Hz,  $J_{9a/8a'} = 12.5$  Hz), 3.15 (q, 1 H,  $C_9$ -H<sub>e</sub>,  $J_{9e/9a'} = 16$  Hz,  $J_{9e',8a'} = 5.5$  Hz), 3.60 (d, 1 H,  $C_{11}$ -H<sub>a</sub>.,  $J_{11a,11e'} = 21.5$  Hz), 4.24  $(d, 1 H, C_{11} - H_{e'}, J_{11e',11a'} = 21.5 \text{ Hz}), 5.30 \text{ (q, 1 H, C<sub>8</sub> - H<sub>a'</sub>, J<sub>8a',9a'</sub>}$  $= 12.5 \text{ Hz}, \tilde{J}_{8a} g_{e'} = 5.5 \text{ Hz}, 7.42 \text{ (t, 1 H, Ar)}, 7.5 \text{ (d, 1 H, Ar)}, 7.75 \text{ K}$  $(m, 2, H, Ar), 8.35$  (dd, 1 H, Ar); IR (Nujol)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1715 (CO), 1645-1650 (7-pyrone), 1595 (Ar) cm"<sup>1</sup> ; MS, *m/z* (rel int) 337.1034  $(0.80, M^+ + 1)$ , 336.1001 (3.6, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 336.1001),  $278.0585$  (86.9, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 250.0635 (100, M<sup>+</sup> - $(CH_3COCH_3 + CO)$ , 249.0550 (31.5, 250.0635 - H), 222.0677 (41.8, 250.0635 - CO), 221.0603 (37.0, 249.0550 - CO), 58.0443 (4.7, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>).

Further elution of the column with ether gave a mixture of 4 and 5 that was again subjected to column chromatography, and the column was eluted with ether/petroleum ether (15:85). Small fractions were collected, and their contents were monitored by TLC and then combined. Removal of the solvent from appropriate fractions gave a white solid, which was recrystallized from THF/ether (1:1) to give 1.25 g of compound 4 (33% yield): mp 222 °C;<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.65 (d, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.08 (t, 1)  $H, C_9-H_{a'}, J_{9a',9e'} = 11 \text{ Hz}$ , 2.25 (q, 1 H,  $C_9-H_{e'}, J_{9e',9a'} = 11 \text{ Hz}$ ,  $J_{9e',8a'} = 5 \text{ Hz}$ , 3.08 (d, 1 H, C<sub>11</sub>-H<sub>a</sub>,  $J_{11a',11e'} = -18 \text{ Hz}$ ), 3.46 (d,  $1 \text{ H, C}_{11} \text{-} \text{H}_{\text{e}}$ ,  $J_{11\text{e}',11\text{e}'} = 18 \text{ Hz}$ , 4.40 (s, 1 H, C<sub>10</sub>-OH exch) 5.30  $(q, 1 \text{ H}, \text{C}_8\text{-}\text{H}_{\text{a}'}, \text{J}_{8\text{a}',9\text{e}'} = 5 \text{ Hz}, J_{8\text{a}',9\text{a}'} = 11 \text{ Hz}), 7.42 \text{ (m, 2 H, Ar)},$ 7.64 (s, 1 H, Ar), 7.72 (dt, 1 H, Ar), 8.35 (dd, 1 H, Ar); IR (CHC13)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3420 (OH), 1710 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1645 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1620 and 1590

 $Ar)$  cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 381.1295 (1.16, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 380.1261  $(5.21, M^+;$  calcd for  $C_{22}H_{20}O_6$ , 380.1261), 322.0844 (17.86, M<sup>+</sup> - $CH_3COCH_3$ ), 319.0973 (1.14, M<sup>+</sup> – (H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>CO)), 305.0773  $(17.38, M<sup>+</sup> - (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> + OH))$ , 304.0739 (82.69, M<sup>+</sup> - $(CH_3COCH_3 + H_2O)$ , 262.0633 (100, 305.773 -  $CH_3CO$ ), 261.0554  $(4.6, 319.0973 - CH_3COCH_3)$ , 58.0442 (4.9, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>).

Further elution of the column gave 0.95 g of compound 5 (25% yield): mp 210 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.62 (d, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub> + CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.94 (q, 1 H, C<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>a'</sub>,  $J_{9a',9e'} = 13$  Hz,  $J_{9a',8a'} = 10$  Hz), 2.50 (s, 3 H,  $COCH_3$ ), 2.80 (q, 1 H,  $C_9$ -H<sub>e</sub>,  $J_{9e,9a'} = 13$  Hz,  $J_{9e,8a'} = 7$  Hz), 3.08 (d, 1 H, C<sub>11</sub>-H<sub>a'</sub>,  $J_{11a/11e'} = 16$  Hz), 3.44 (d, 1 H, C<sub>11</sub>-H<sub>e</sub>,  $J_{11e/11a'}$ = 16 Hz),  $3.56$  (s, 1 H,  $C_{10}$ -OH, exch) 4.90 (q, 1H,  $C_{8}$ -H<sub>a</sub>,  $J_{8a,9e}$  $= 7 \text{ Hz}, J_{8a,9a'} = 10 \text{ Hz}, 7.36 \text{ (m, 2 H, Ar)}, 7.68 \text{ (m + s, 2 H, Ar)},$ 8.30 (dd, 1 H, Ar); IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3400 (OH), 1710 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1640 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1620 and 1590 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel ent)  $381.1292 (0.52, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 380.1262 (2.53, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>6</sub>$  $380.1262$ , 322.0844 (17.6, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>), 305.0775 (17.6, M<sup>+</sup>  $-$  (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> + OH)), 304.0740 (84.5, M<sup>+</sup> – (CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O)),  $289.0502$  (10.3, 304.0740 -  $\mathrm{CH}_3$ ), 279.0658 (39.13,  $\mathrm{M}^{+}$  - $(CH_3COCH_3 + CH_3CO)$ , 262.0631 (100, 279.0658 - OH), 261.0552  $(5.4, 279.0658 - H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , 58.0441 (7.9, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>).

(*trans*-8,10-Dihydroxy)-10-acetyl-7,8,10-trihydroxy**xantho[2,3-f]tetralin** (15) and (cis-8,10-Dihydroxy)-10**acetyl-7,8,10-trihydroxyxantho[2,3-f]tetralin** (16). Compound 4 (190 mg, 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in THF (200 mL), and to this solution was added 2 mL of concentrated HC1 and 2 mL of water. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The reaction mixture was then diluted with water and extracted with ethyl acetate exhaustively. The ethyl acetate extract was washed with water and dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ . Removal of the solvent gave a solid residue, which was purified by column chromatography with elution by THF/ether (5:95) to give crude compound 15. The latter was further purified by recrystallization from THF/ether (1:1) to give 63 mg of pure 15 (37% yield): mp 228-30 <sup>•</sup>C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>e</sub>/CDCl<sub>2</sub> 1:1)  $\delta$  2.16 (bt, 1 H, C<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>a</sub>, this triplet after  $D_2O$  exchange changes to a sharp quartet with coupling constants  $J_{\alpha/9e'} = 13$  Hz,  $J_{\alpha e' s'} = 9.5$  Hz), 2.4 (d + s, 4 H,  $CH_3CO + C_0-H<sub>4</sub>$ , 3.30 (q, 2 H, C<sub>11</sub>-H<sub>ac</sub>, H<sub>a</sub>), 5.28 (s, 1 H, C<sub>10</sub>-OH exch), 5.33 (bt, 1 H,  $C_8$ -H<sub>a'</sub>, after  $\overline{D}_2O$  exchange this broad triplet changes to a quartet with coupling constants  $J_{8a',9a'} = 9.5$  Hz,  $J_{8a',9e'}$  $= 6$  Hz), 5.68 (bs, 1 H, C<sub>8</sub>-OH exch), 7.40 (t, 1 H, Ar), 7.55 (m, 2 H, Ar), 7.75 (m, 1 H, Ar), 8.30 (d, 1 H, Ar), 9.76 (bs, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-OH  $\frac{2}{11}$ , A<sub>1</sub>), 1.15 (iii, 1.11, A1), 6.50 (d, 1.11, A1), 5.16 (6s, 1.11, C<sub>7</sub>-O11<br>exch)<sup>,</sup> IR (Nujol) v 3320–3220 (OH), 1710 (COCH<sub>2</sub>), 1618 ( $\sim$ excii); 1 $N \frac{(N(101)}{2}$   $p_{max} \frac{3020 - 3220}{2}$ pyrone), 1605 and 1580 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 341.0982 (1.2, pyrone), 1605 and 1580 (Ar) cm \*; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 341.0982 (1.2,<br>M + 1), 341.0947, 322.0841 (3.7, M<sup>+</sup> – H<sub>2</sub>O), 305.0776 (13.8 M<sup>+</sup>  $- (H<sub>2</sub>O) + OH$ )), 304.0742 (66.6, M<sup>+</sup> - 2H<sub>2</sub>O), 289.0502 (22.5, M<sup>+</sup>  $-$  (2H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>)), 279.0656 (100, M<sup>+</sup> – (H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>CO)), 262.0631  $(40.0, 279.0656 - OH), 261.0551 (7.9, M<sup>+</sup> - (2H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>CO)).$ 

Following a similar procedure as was used for 4, compound 5 was deprotected to give 16 (37% yield): mp 218  $^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(Me_2SO-d_6/CDCl_3, 1:1) \delta 2.20$  (q, 1 H,  $C_9$ -H<sub>a'</sub>,  $J_{9a',9e'} = 15$  Hz,  $J_{9a',8e'}$  $= 5.0$  Hz), 2.40 (dt, 1 H, C<sub>9</sub>-H<sub>e</sub>.,  $J_{9e/9a'} = 15$  Hz,  $J_{9e/8e'} = 2.5$  Hz,  $J_{9e'_{,11e'}} = 2$  Hz; a long-range coupling between  $C_{9e'}$  and  $C_{11e'}$  is also observed in the  ${}^{1}$ H NMR), 2.50 (s, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.22 (d, 1 H,  $C_{11}$ - $H_{a'}$ ,  $J_{11a'_{1}1e'} = 17.5$  Hz), 3.45 (dd, 1 H,  $C_{11}$ - $H_{e'}$ ,  $J_{11e'_{1}1a'} = 17.5$ Hz,  $J_{11e^{\prime}.9e^{\prime}} = 2$  Hz), 4.65 (bs, 1 H, C<sub>10</sub>-OH exch), 5.28 (bq, 1 H,  $C_8$ -H<sub>e</sub>, after D<sub>2</sub>O exchange this signal changes to a quartet with coupling constants  $J_{8e'9e'} = 5$  Hz,  $J_{8e'9e'} = 2.5$  Hz), 5.40 (bs, 1 H,  $C_8$ -OH exch), 7.40 (t, 1H, Ar), 7.54 (d, 1H, Ar), 7.68 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.75 (m, 1 H, Ar), 8.30 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 9.45 (bs, 1 H,  $C<sub>7</sub>$ -OH exch); IR (Nujol)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3400-3300 (OH), 1705 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1635 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1615 and 1590 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 341.0989 (2.6, M<sup>+</sup> + 1),  $340.0952$  (12.7, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>6</sub>, 340.0951), 322.0838  $(5.96, M^+ - H_0O)$ , 305.0779 (19.35 M<sup>+</sup> - (H<sub>2</sub>O + OH)), 304.0743  $(92.7, M^+ - 2H<sub>2</sub>O), 297.0768 (1.6, M^+ - CH<sub>3</sub>CO), 289.0500 (12.7,$  $M^+$  – (2H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>)), 280.0702 (22.9, 297.0768 – OH), 279.0659  $(100, M<sup>+</sup> - (H<sub>2</sub>O + CH<sub>3</sub>CO))$ , 278.051 (21.2, 279.0659 – H), 262.0631  $(87.2, 279.0659 - OH), 251.0710 (77.6, M<sup>+</sup> - (H<sub>2</sub>O + CO +$  $CH<sub>3</sub>CO$ )).

**Glycosidic Coupling of Chromophore 2 with the Bromotrifluoroacetamidoglucosamine.** A similar procedure was followed as reported previously<sup>13</sup> except that 2 equiv of the sugar were added initially and additional equivalents were added after 20 and 44 h. Heating was continued for 118 h at 65-70 °C. The crude product was purified by column chromatogrphy using elution with ether to give the dehydration product 18, which was

recrystallized from THF/ether (1:1) (yield  $2.6\%$ ): mp 310 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  2.75 (s, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 7.55 (m + s, 2 H, Ar), 8.00 (m, 2 H, Ar), 8.25 (dt, 2 H, Ar), 8.40 (d, 1 H, Ar), 9.24 (d, 1 H, Ar), 10.25 (bs, 1 H, OH); IR (CHC13) *vmax* 3300 (OH), 1670  $(COCH<sub>3</sub>)$ , 1650, 1630 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone, 1610 and 1585 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS,  $m/z$  (rel int) 305.0771 (21.34, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 304.0736 (100, M<sup>+</sup>; calcd for  $C_{19}H_{12}O_4$ , 304.0736), 289.0498 (55.38, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>), 261.0548  $(14.77, M<sup>2</sup> - COCH<sub>3</sub>), 233.0600 (15.01, 261 - CO), 76.0314 (3.30,$  $C_6H_4$ ).

Further elution of the column with ether/THF (80:20) gave the glycoside 17, which was recrystallized from THF/ petroleum ether (1:1) to give pure 17 (yield 2.5%): mp 280-83 $\rm ^{^\circ C}$  dec; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>)</sub> δ 1.55 (m, 1 H), 1.90 (s, 3 H, OCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.0 (s, 3 H, OCOCH3), 2.08 (s, 3 H, OCOCH3), 2.30 (s. 3 H. COCH3), 2.60-2.96 (m, 2 H), 3.10-3.40 (m, 2 H), 3.72 (m. 1 H), 3.92 (m, 1 H), 4.21 (m, 2 H), 4.88 (t, 1 H), 5.16 (m, 2 H), 5.35 (t, 1 H), 7.46 (t, 2 H), 7.72 (d, 1 H), 7.86 (m, 1 H), 8.18 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 9.35 (d, 1 H, NH exch), 9.92 (s, 1 H, OH exch); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3400-3300 (b, OH), 1750 (OCOCHg), 1710 (OCOCH3), 1655 (amide), 1625 (7-pyrone), 1595 (Ar) cm"<sup>1</sup> ; MS (FAB, sulfolane), *m/z* (rel int)  $709 (10.3, M<sup>+</sup> + 2), 708 (22.5, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 707 (5.3, M<sup>+</sup>), 400 (2.0,$  $M^+ - 307$ , 384 (14.2,  $M^+ - 323$ ), 323 (16.2,  $M^+ -$  sugar), 307 (10,  $M^+$  - (O - sugar)), 306 (85.1,  $M^+$  - (O - sugar + H)), 305 (18.5,  $306 - H$ ),  $304 (6.4, 305 - H)$ ,  $291 (10.7, 306 - CH_3)$ ,  $289 (4.2, 304)$ - CH<sub>3</sub>). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{33}H_{32}O_{13}NF_3$ : C, 56.0; H, 4.5; N, 2.0. Found: C, 55.8; H, 4.5; N, 2.1.

**Glycosidic Coupling of Chromophore 2 with Protected Chlorodaunosamine.** The procedure was followed as described previously,<sup>13</sup> and the product was isolated by column chromatography and elution with ether to give the glycoside 19, which was purified by recrystallization from THF/ether (1:1) (yield 44%): mp 165-68 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 1.2 (dd, 3 H, C<sub>5</sub>'-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.7 (m, 1 H), 2.15 (m, 2 H), 2.4 (ds, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.55 (q, 1 H), 2.90 (q, 1 H), 3.3 (m, 1 H), 3.5 (m, 1 H), 4.45 and 4.6 (dq, 1 H), 4.7 (m, 1 H), 5.2 and 5.3 (dt, 1 H), 5.4 (s, <1 H), 5.5 (s, <1 H), 5.6 (s, <1 H), 6.65 (t, <1 H, Ar), 7.4 (m, 1 H, Ar), 7.55 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.64 (bs, 1H, NH exch), 7.75 (m, 2 H, Ar), 8.3 (m, >4 H, Ar), 11.67, (s, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-OH exch); IR (CHCl[3)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3320 (b, NH, OH), 1725 (OCO), 1715 (COCH3), 1650 (amide), 1620 (7-pyrone), 1610 and  $1595$  (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (FAB, glycerol/sulfolane)  $m/z$  (rel int)  $699 (2.1, MH^{+})$ , 698 (0.1, M<sup>+</sup>), 391 (0.2, O - sugar), 375 (2.0, sugar),  $324$  (3.5, MH<sup>+</sup> – sugar), 308 (5.3, MH<sup>+</sup> – (O – sugar)), 307 (6.8,  $M^+$  – (O – sugar)), 306 (10.6,  $M^+$  – (O – sugar + H)), 263 (31.5,  $306 - COCH_3$ , 262 (5.2, 263 -- H), 150 (30.3, C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>), 122 (8.4,  $150 - CO$ , 121 (100, 122 - H).

**Controlled Base Deprotection of Glycoside 19 Leading to Glycosides 20 and 21.** The deprotection of 19 was carried out in a similar way as reported previously,<sup>13</sup> and the reaction time was 1 h. A fter working up the solid thus obtained it was washed with THF and recrystallized from ethyl acetate to give 21 ( $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  isomers (11.6% yield)): mp 252-258 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  1.2 (t, 3 H, C<sub>5</sub>'-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.55 (m, 3 H), 2.35 (m + s, 4 H, COCH<sub>3</sub> + H), 2.86 (m, 2 H), 3.15 (m, 2 H), 3.96 (. 1 H), 4.4 (bs, 1 H, exch), 4.96 (s, 1 H), 5.30 (d, 1 H), 7.45 (s + t, 2 H, Ar), 7.70 (d, 1 H. Ar), 7.85 (t, 1 H, Ar) 8.15 (d, 1 H, Ar); IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3520, 3400 (NH<sub>2</sub>), 3340 (OH), 1710 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1645, 1615 (γ-pyrone), 1595  $(K112)$ ;  $0.01$  (Ori),  $1110$  (OS Oris), 1010, 1018 ( $\frac{1}{7}$  pyrolles),  $\frac{1}{2}$  (rel int) 455 (1.5,  $M^+$  + 2), 454 (3.9, MH<sup>+</sup>), 453 (0.3, M<sup>+</sup>), 327 (0.4, M<sup>+</sup> – (O – sugar)),  $326 (0.6, M<sup>+</sup> - (O - super + H)), 325 (2.2, 326 - H), 305 (3.3, M<sup>+</sup>)$  $-$  (COCH<sub>3</sub> + H + C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O)), 186 (43.1, C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), 185 (100, 186  $- H$ ), 104 (2.2, C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>4</sub>O).

The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was subjected to preparative TLC on silica plates eluted with THF/ether (20:80) giving trace amounts of 20, which was identified by FABMS: mp 250 °C; IR (CHCI3) *vm"* 3300 (NH, OH), 1695 (COCH3), 1665 (amide), 1630 and  $1615$  ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1605 and 1590 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (FAB, glycerol/sulfolane),  $m/z$  (rel int) 551 (4.4,  $M^+ + 2$ ), 550  $(12.8, \overline{\text{MH}}^+), 549 \ (0.4, \text{M}^+), 324 \ (7.3, \text{MH}^+ - \text{sugar}), 323 \ (6.3, \text{M}^+$ - sugar), 308 (24.8, MH<sup>+</sup> - (O - sugar)), 307 (23.7, M<sup>+</sup> - (O sugar)), 306 (3.4, 307 - H), 291 (5.4, 306 - CH<sub>3</sub>), 264 (28.6, 307  $-$  COCH<sub>3</sub>), 263 (100, 306  $-$  COCH<sub>3</sub>), 262 (13.6, 263  $-$  H), 242 (1.4, O - sugar), 226 (10.3, sugar), 225 (5.8, 226 - H), 186 (7.2,  $C_{12}H_{10}O_2$ ), 185 (78.3, 186 - H), 112 (10.6, NHCOCF<sub>3</sub>).

**Glycosidic Coupling of Chromophore** 16 **with Protected Chlorodaunosamine** To Give Glycoside 22. The coupling of 16 with protected chlorodaunosamine was performed following

the general procedure described previously<sup>13</sup> giving  $\alpha$ -22 (20%) yield), which was recrystallized from THF/ether (1:1): mp 270  ${}^{\circ}$ C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  1.08 (d, 3 H, C<sub>6</sub>'-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.68 (q, 1 H,  $C_2$ <sup>'</sup>-H<sub>e</sub>'), 2.22 (m, 3 H, C<sub>2</sub>'-H<sub>a</sub>', C<sub>9</sub>-H,H'), 2.30 (s, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.23 (s, 2 H,  $C_{10}$ -H,H'), 4.35 (m, 1 H,  $C_3'$ -H), 4.60 (q, 1 H,  $C_5'$ -H), 5.0 (t, 1 H,  $C_8$ -H<sub>e</sub>.,  $J_{8e,9e'} = 5$  Hz,  $J_{8e,9e'} = 3.5$  Hz), 5.31 (bs, 1 H,  $C_4$ -H), 5.48 (d, 1 H,  $C_1$ <sup>-</sup>-H<sub>e</sub>,  $J_{1'e',2'a'} = 2.5$  Hz,  $J_{1'e',2'e'} = 0$  Hz), 5.60  $(s, 1 H, C_{10}-H), 7.44$  (m, 2 H, Ar), 7.72 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.88 (m8 1 H, Ar), 8.16 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 8.30 (dd, 2 H, Ar), 8.40 (dd, 2 H, Ar), 9.5 (d, 1 H, NH exch), 10.2 (s, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-OH exch); IR (Nujol)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$ 3520 (NH), 3320 (OH), 1730 (OCO), 1700 (COH3), 1645 (amide), 1620 ( $\gamma$ -pyrone), 1595 (Ar) cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (FAB, glycerol/sulfolane),  $m/z$  (rel int) 716 (4.2, M<sup>+</sup> + 2), 715 (10.8, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 714 (1.2, M<sup>+</sup>).  $699 (0.7, M<sup>+</sup> - CH<sub>3</sub>), 697 (M<sup>+</sup> - OH), 391 (0.6, O – sugar), 375 (12.8,$  $s$ ugar), 341 (43.7, 716 – sugar), 340 (15.9, MH<sup>+</sup> – sugar), 339 (6.2,  $M^+$  – sugar), 324 (17.5,  $MH^+$  – (O – sugar)), 323 (14.8,  $M^+$  – (O  $-$  sugar)), 322 (4.2, M<sup>+</sup> - (O - sugar + H)), 307 (3.0, 322 - CH<sub>2</sub>),  $306$  (7.3, 323 – OH), 305 (16.3, 323 – H<sub>2</sub>O), 304 (13.4, 322 – H<sub>2</sub>O), 289 (2.9, 304 - CH3), 263 (39.6, 375 - NHCOCF3), 150 (23.2,  $C_7H_4NO_3$ ), 122 (14.8, 150 – CO), 121 (100, 122 – H), 104 (44.3,  $C_7H_4O$ ).

**Controlled Base Deprotection of 22 To Give Glycoside 23.**  Compound 22 was stirred at room temperature with 0.1 N NaOH/acetone for 1 h. The usual workup and purification by column chromatography eluting with ether/THF (80:20) gave pure 23 in 46% yield: mp 232-35 °C; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Me<sub>2</sub>SOd<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  1.1 (d,  $3 \text{ H, C}_5'\text{-CH}_3$ , 1.50 (q, 1 H,  $\text{C}_2'\text{-H}_{e'}$ ), 2.05 (m, 1 H,  $\text{C}_2'\text{-H}_{e'}$ ), 2.20  $(m, 2 \text{ H}, \text{C}_9\text{-H}, H')$ , 2.30 (s, 3 H, COCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.2 (s, 2 H, C<sub>11</sub>-H,H'), 3.52 (bs, 1 H,  $C_4'$ -H), 4.04 (m, 1 H,  $C_3'$ -H), 4.24 (q, 1 H,  $C_5'$ -H), 4.95 (t, 1 H,  $C_8$ -H<sub>e'</sub>,  $J_{8e'9e'} = 5$  Hz,  $J_{8e'9e'} = 4.5$  Hz), 5.0 (d, 1 H,  $C_4$ -OH exch) 5.25 (d, 1 H,  $C_1$ -H<sub>e</sub>,  $J_{1'e, 2'e} = 2.3$  Hz,  $J_{1'e, 2'e} = 0$  Hz), 5.50 (s, 1 H, C<sub>10</sub>-OH exch), 7.45 (d+s, 2 H, Ar) 7.70 (d, 1 H, Ar), 7.85 (m, 1 H, Ar), 8.15 (dd, 1 H, Ar), 9.08 (d, 1 H,  $C_3$ '-NH exch), 10.20 (s, 1 H, C<sub>7</sub>-OH exch); IR (Nujol)  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3490 (NH), 3320 (OH), 1700 (COCH<sub>3</sub>), 1635 (amide), 1620 (γ-pyrone), 1610 and 1595 (Ar) cm"<sup>1</sup> ; MS (FAB, glycerol/sulfolane), *m/z* (rel int) 567 (20.3, M<sup>+</sup>  $+ 2$ ), 566 (58.6, M<sup>+</sup> + 1), 565 (3.2, M<sup>+</sup>), 548 (1.3, M<sup>+</sup> – OH), 522  $(1.6, M<sup>+</sup> - COCH<sub>3</sub>), 340 (22.6, M<sup>+</sup> - sugar). 339 (11.4, M<sup>+</sup>$  $s_{100}$ ,  $M = 000$   $M_{300}$ ,  $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$ ,  $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$   $M_{300}$  $322$  (5.0, M<sup>+</sup> – (O – sugar + H)),  $307$  (7.9,  $322$  – CH<sub>3</sub>),  $306$  (18.0,  $323 - OH$ ),  $305 (28.1, 322 - OH)$ ,  $304 (23.3, 322 - H<sub>2</sub>O)$ ,  $280 (19.9, 19.1)$  $323 - COCH<sub>3</sub>$ ,  $279$  (40.1,  $322 - COCH<sub>3</sub>$ ),  $263$  (100,  $280 - OH$ ),  $262$  $(19.2, 280 - H<sub>2</sub>O), 226 (40.3, sugar), 114 (9.5, 226 - NHCOCF<sub>3</sub>),$ 113 (73.5, 114 - H), 112 (1.9, NHCOCF<sub>3</sub>).

**Electrochemical Determination of Redox Properties.**  Polarographic analysis and cyclic voltammetry were carried out as described previously.13,14 Aqueous solutions of the glycosides were prepared in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, and 0.1 M KCl supporting electrolyte. Addition of  $10-20\%$  CH<sub>3</sub>CN by volume provided sufficient solubility for differential pulse polarography (except for compound 17, which had to be studied in DMF).

**Microsomal Oxygen Consumption Assay.** Glycoside stimulation of microsomal oxygen consumption was determined by using a Clark electrode and a biological oxygen monitor (Model 53, Yellow Springs Instrument Co., Yellow Springs, OH). The following modifications of the method of Bachur et al.<sup>28</sup> were employed. Frozen microsomes were uniformly suspended in 2% Triton N-101 (Sigma) in 0.1 M, pH 7.5, potassium phosphate buffer at room temperature using gentle strokes (4-5) of an all-glass tissue homogenizer. Protein levels were determined by using the dye-binding method of Bradford<sup>29</sup> and the dye concentrate supplied by Bio-Rad Labs, Richmond, CA. Human albumin (fraction V, Sigma) served as the protein standard. The standard procedure for assaying each glycoside at a single concentration consisted of adding 3.6 mL of 0.2 M, pH 8.0, potassium phosphate buffer (gassed at 37 °C with laboratory air) to the incubation vial. An aliquot of microsomes (0.1 mL; approximately 10 mg of protein/mL) was added, the oxygen probe inserted, and the meter of the oxygen monitor set at 100% oxygen saturation. After 5 min at 37 °C, 0.1 mL of a solution of NADPH (P-L

<sup>(28)</sup> Bachur, N. R.; Gordon, S. L.; Gee, M. V. *Mol. Pharmacol.*  1977, *13,* 901.

<sup>(29)</sup> Bradford, M. M. *Anal. Biochem.* 1976, *72,* 248.

Biochemicals, Inc., Milwaukee, WI; 177 mg/mL in the above pH 8.0 buffer) was added to the chamber and allowed to equilibrate for 1.5 min, and then the endogenous oxygen consumption rate was determined for 1 min. After  $0.5$  min,  $0.2$  mL of the glycoside solution that was 2.0 mM in 80% polyethylene glycol 200 (PG 200, J. T. Baker Chemical Co., Phillipsburg, NJ) in water was added and allowed to equilibrate for 1 min, and then the rate of oxygen consumption was again measured for 1 min.

**Antitumor** Assays. Leukemia L1210 cells are grown in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with glutamine,  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , antibiotics, and 10% heat-inactivated horse serum at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of  $95:5$  air/CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells are dispensed at  $10^5$  cells/mL, and drug is added at 10, 1, 0.1, or 0.01  $\mu$ g/mL final concentration. Cell concentration is measured 72 h later using a Coulter Counter, and the  $ID_{50}$  value (the theoretical drug concentration required to inhibit cell growth by 50%) is determined.

**Acknowledgment.** This investigation was supported by grants to J.W.L. from the National Cancer Institute of Canada and the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We thank Dr. John H. Peters and G. Ross Gordon of SRI International Menlo Park, CA, for the augmentation of hepatic microsome oxygen data. We thank Dr. Robert Newman of the M. D. Anderson Tumor Institute, Houston, and Dr. Miles Hacker of the Vermont Regional Cancer Center, Burlington, for the antileukemic cytotoxicity data, Dr. Tom Nakashima and his associates for the high-field NMR measurements, and Dr. Alan Hogg and his colleagues for the high-resolution mass spectra.

Registry No. 1,104112-62-1; 2, 104070-16-8; 3,104070-17-9; 4, 104070-18-0; 5, 104112-63-2; 6, 104070-19-1; 7, 104070-20-4; 8, 104070-21-5; 9,104070-22-6; 15,104070-23-7; 16, 104112-64-3; 17, 104070-24-8; 18, 104070-25-9; α-19, 104070-26-0; β-19, 104112-65-4;  $\alpha$ -20, 104070-27-1;  $\beta$ -20, 104112-66-5;  $\alpha$ -21, 104070-28-2;  $\beta$ -21, 104112-67-6;  $\alpha$ -22, 104089-95-4;  $\alpha$ -23, 104070-29-3; 3,4,6-tri-Oacetyl-2-deoxy-2-(trifluoroacetamido)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl bromide, 104070-30-6; 4-0-(p-nitrobenzoyl)-2,3,6-trideoxy-3-(trifluoroacetamido)- $\alpha$ -L-lyxopyranosyl chloride, 78548-38-6.

# Synthesis and Antineoplastic Activity of Bis[[[(alkylamino)carbonyl]oxy]methyl]-Substituted 3-Pyrrolines as Prodrugs of Tumor Inhibitory Pyrrole Bis(carbamates)<sup>1</sup>

### Wayne K. Anderson\* and Arnold S. Milowsky

*Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260. Received April 10, 1986* 

A series of bis[(carbamoyloxy)methyl]pyrrolines 2-4 were synthesized from either the appropriate  $\alpha$ -silylated iminium salt, or an aziridine, or a  $2H$ -azirine in a sequence involving 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions. The antineoplastic activities of the pyrrolines were compared to the corresponding pyrroles. The C-2 gem-dimethyl-substituted pyrroline, 4, which cannot be converted to the pyrrole in vivo, was inactive. The activity of the 2-phenyl-substituted pyrrolines 3 was markedly dependent on the nature of the phenyl substituent, although the corresponding phenylpyrroles all showed comparable activity. The differences in the activities of the pyrrolines 3 may be due to the rate of metabolic conversion of the pyrroline to the pyrrole. Electron-withdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring appear to retard this process.

The pyrrolizine 1 (NSC 278214) has been shown to possess significant reproducible activity against a broad range of experimental murine neoplasias and human tumor xenografts in nude athymic mice. $2$  The compound was



- (1) (a) Vinylogous Carbinolamine Tumor Inhibitors. 19. Parts 14-18: see ref lb-f. (b) Anderson, W. K.; DeRuiter, J.; Heider, A. R. *J. Org. Chem.* 1985, *50,* 722. (c) Anderson, W. K.; Milowsky, A. S. *J. Org. Chem.* 1985, *25,* 5423. (d) Anderson, W. K.; Heider, A. R. *Symth. Commun.* 1986,*16,* 357. (e) Anderson, W. K.; Dabrah, T. T. *Synth. Commun.* 1986,*16,* 559. (f) Anderson, W. K.; Mach, R. H. *Synth. Commun.* 1986,*16,* 911.
- (2) (a) Anderson, W. K.; Chang, C.-P.; Corey, P. F.; Halat, M. J.; Jones, A. N.; McPherson, H. L., Jr.; New, J. S.; Rick, A. C. *Cancer Treat. Rep.* 1982, *66,* 91. (b) Anderson, W. K. *Cancer Res.* 1982, *42,* 2168. (c) Anderson, W. K.; Chang, C.-P.; McPherson, H. L., Jr. *J. Med. Chem.* 1983, *26,* 1333.

a potential candidate for human clinical trials, but one major problem impeded progress to human studies: the pyrrolizine 1 was very lipophilic (water insoluble) and was unstable in aqueous mixtures. This has led to very major problems in the development of an effective formulation of the agent.<sup>3</sup> One water-soluble prodrug of 1 was prepared, but the compound was unstable in aqueous solution and inactive in murine P388 lymphocytic leukemia test systems.<sup>2c</sup>

We have found that bis(carbamate) derivatives of bis-(hydroxymethyl)-substituted pyrroles,<sup>4</sup> pyrrolizines,<sup>5</sup> and polycyclic benz-fused pyrroles<sup>6</sup> possess significant reproducible antineoplastic activity. The rationale employed

- (5) (a) Anderson. W. K.; Corey, P. F. *J. Med. Chem.* 1977, *20,* 812. (b) Anderson. W. K.; New, J. S.; Corey, P. F. *Arzneim.-Forsch.*  1980, 30(1), 765. (c) Anderson, W. K.; McPherson, H. L., Jr. *J. Med. Chem.* 1982, *25,* 84.
- (6) (a) Anderson, W. K.; New, J. S.; McPherson, H. L., Jr. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1980, *17,* 513. (b) Anderson, W. K.; McPherson, H. L., Jr.; New, J. S.; Rick, A. C. *J. Med. Chem.*  1984, *27,* 1321.

<sup>(3)</sup> El-Sayed, A.-A. A.; Repta, A. J. *Int. J. Pharm.* 1983,*13,* 303.

<sup>(4) (</sup>a) Anderson, W. K.; Corey, P. F. *J. Med. Chem.* 1977, *20,*  1691. (b) Anderson, W. K.; Halat. M. J. *J. Med. Chem.* 1979, *22,* 977. (c) Anderson, W. K.; Halat, M. J.; Rick, A. C. *J. Med. Chem.* 1980, *23,* 87.