Synthesis and in Vitro Biological Activity of New Deaza Analogues of Folic Acid, Aminopterin, and Methotrexate with an L-Ornithine Side Chain¹

Andre Rosowsky,*'* Ronald A. Forsch,* **Henry Bader,*** and James H. Freisheim*

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, and Department of Biochemistry, Medical College of Ohio, Toledo, Ohio 43699. Received July 26, 1990

The 5-deaza and 5.8-dideaza analogues of N^{α} -pteroyl-L-ornithine (Pter-Orn), the 5-deaza, 8-deaza, and 5,8-dideaza analogues of N^{α} -(4-amino-4-deoxypteroyl)-L-ornithine (APA-Orn), and the N^{δ} -carboxymethyl derivative of N^{α} -(4amino-4-deoxy-JV¹⁰-methylpteroyl)-L-omithine (mAPA-Orn) were synthesized and tested as inhibitors of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) and as inhibitors of tumor cell growth in culture. Reductive amination of 2-acetamido-6 formylpyrido $[2,3-d]$ pyrimidin-4 $(3H)$ -one with methyl N^{α} -(4-aminobenzoyl)- N^{δ} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithinate followed by removal of the blocking groups afforded the 5-deaza analogue of Pter-Orn, whereas N-alkylation of methyl N^{α} -(4-aminobenzoyl)- N^{δ} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithinate with 2-amino-6-(bromomethyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one and deprotection gave the corresponding 5,8-dideaza analogue. Reductive coupling of 2,4-diaminopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidine-6-carbonitrile and 4-aminobenzoic acid followed by reaction with 95-97% formic acid yielded 4-amino-4 deoxy-5-deaza- N^{10} -formylpteroic acid, which on condensation with methyl N^{5} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithinate and deprotection gave the 5-deaza analogue of APA-Orn. A similar sequence starting from 2,4-diaminoquinazoline-6-carbonitrile led to the corresponding 5,8-dideaza compound, whereas treatment of 2,4-diaminopyrido[3,2-d]pyrimidine-6-methanol with phosphorus tribromide followed by condensation with methyl *N"-(4-* β y/ido(b),2-d)py/immune-o-includion with phosphords differentiate followed by condensation with incluy N^* . α -carboxymethyl derivative of mAPA-Orn, N^{α} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine was subjected preparation of the N^{δ} -carboxymethyl derivative of mAPA-Orn, N^{α} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine was subjected preparation of the *t*y-carboxylic invarionment of the *A*-OH, *x*y-(behzyloxycarbony)/-L-OHHHHE was subjected
to N^{δ} -monoalkylation with glyoxylic acid and sodium cyanoborohydride, followed by N^{δ} -acylation with fluoroacetate, N^{α} -deprotection by hydrogenolysis, condensation with 4-amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -methylpteroic acid, and fluoroacetate, N^{α} -deprotection by hydrogenolysis, condensation with 4-amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -met \mathbb{N}^3 -deprotection by gentle treatment with ammonia. The 2,4-diamino derivatives all inhibited the growth of tumor cells in culture, with IC_{so} values of 0.2–2 μ M, and inhibited purified DHFR with IC_{so} values of 0.02–0.08 μ M. Deletion
of ring nitrogens and N³-carboxymethylation both increased potency in the cell growth assa derivatives were less potent than aminopterin or methotrexate.

The folic acid analogue N^{α} -pteroyl-L-ornithine (1)^{2,3} is a potent inhibitor of folylpolyglutamate synthetase (FPGS), an enzyme considered essential for cell growth,² whereas the aminopterin (AMT) analogue N^{α} -(4-amino-4-deoxypteroyl)-L-ornithine (APA-Orn, 2) has been found⁴⁻⁹ to be an inhibitor of FPGS as well as dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), another pivotal enzyme in folic acid metabolism.¹⁰ Similarly, the 5-chloro-5,8-dideaza analogue of 1 inhibits FPGS, whereas the 5-chloro-5,8-dideaza analogue of 2 inhibits both DHFR and FPGS.¹¹ As part of a broader investigation of folic acid, AMT, and methotrexate (MTX) analogues, $12-15$ we have synthesized the 5-deaza and 5,8-dideaza analogues of 1 (3 and 4, respectively) and the 5-deaza, 8-deaza, and 5,8-dideaza analogues of 2 (5,6, and 7, respectively). Also prepared was the MTX analogue 8, a previously undescribed derivative of *N"-(4* amino-4-deoxy-Af¹⁰-methylpteroyl)-L-ornithine (mAPA- $\text{Orn. } 9$.^{4,16} The synthesis of 2–8 and their activity as inhibitors of DHFR and cell growth in culture are the subject of this paper. The activity of these compounds as FPGS inhibitors will be reported separately as part of a larger study currently in progress, which includes analogues with side-chain amino acids other than ornithine.

Chemistry

Our syntheses of N^{α} -(5-deazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (5dPter-Orn, 3) and N^{α} -(5,8-dideazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (5,8-ddPter-Orn, 4) are depicted in Schemes I and II, respectively. Trisformylmethane, prepared via $2-[N,N$ $dimethylamino)$ methylene]-1,3-bis(\bar{N} , \bar{N} -dimethylimmonio)propane bis(tetrafluoroborate) according to a recently described improved method,¹⁷ was condensed directly with 2.6-diaminopyrimidin-4(3H)-one, and the product was acetylated to obtain 2-acetamido-6-formylpyrido[2,3-d] pyrimidin- $4(3H)$ -one $(10).^{18}$ Reductive amination of 10 with methyl N^{α} -(4-aminobenzoyl)- N^{δ} -(benzyloxy-

carbonyl)-L-ornithinate (11) in the presence of $Me₂NH·BH₃$ afforded the protected coupling product 12, which on re-

- (1) Paper 44 in this series. For previous paper, see: Rosowsky, A.; Bader, H.; Freisheim, J. H. *J. Med. Chem.* 1991, *34,* 574.
- (2) George, S.; Cichowicz, D. J.; Shane, B. *Biochemistry* **1987,***26,* 522.
- (3) Rosowsky, A.; Bader, H.; Forsch, R. A. *Pteridines* 1989,*1,* 91.
- (4) Rosowsky, A.; Freisheim, J. H.; Moran, R. G.; Solan, V. C; Bader, H.; Wright, J. E.; Radike-Smith, M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1986, *29,* 655.
- (5) Clarke, L.; Rosowsky, A.; Waxman, D. J. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1987, *31,* 122.
- (6) Rosowsky, A.; Moran, R. G.; Freisheim, J. H.; Bader, H.; Forsch, R. A.; Solan, V. C. *NCI Monogr.* 1987, 5, 145.
- (7) McGuire, J. J.; Hsieh, P.; Franco, C. T.; Piper, J. R. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1986, 35, 2607.
- (8) McGuire, J. J.; Piper, J. R.; Coward, J. K.; Galivan, J. *NCI Monogr.* 1987, 5, 139.
- (9) McGuire, J. J.; Bolanowska, W. E.; Piper, J. A. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1988, *37,* 2931.

^{*} Dana-Farber Cancer Institute.

[‡] Medical College of Ohio.

 a (a) $4-O_2NC_6H_4COCl$; (b) SnCl₂; (c) Me₂NH.BH₃; (d) $CF₃CO₂H/PhSMe$; (e) NaOH.

 a (a) *i*-Pr₂NEt; (b) HBr/AcOH; (c) aqueous HBr.

moval of the benzyloxycarbonyl (Cbz) group with trifluoroacetic acid in thioanisole followed by cleavage of the methyl ester with NaOH gave the desired target compound 3. Purification of 12 was easily carried out on a silica gel column, but purification of 3 was greatly complicated by

- (10) For an overview of the enzyme pathways of folate metabolism, see: Kisliuk, R. L. In *Folate Antagonists as Therapeutic Agents;* Sirotnak, F. M., Burchall, J. J., Ensminger, W. D., Montgomery, J. A., Eds.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984; Vol. 1, pp 1-68.
- (11) Patil, S. A.; Shane, B.; Freisheim, J. H.; Singh, S. K.; Hynes, J. B. *J. Med. Chem.* **1989,** *32,* 1559.
- (12) Rosowsky, A.; Forsch, R. A.; Freisheim, J. H.; Moran, R. G.; Wick, M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1984, *27,* 600.
- (13) Rosowsky, A.; Moran, R. G.; Forsch, R. A.; Radike-Smith, M.; Colman, P. D.; Wick, M. M.; Freisheim, J. H. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1986, *35,* 3327.
- (14) Rosowsky, A.; Forsch, R. A.; Moran, R. G.; Kohler, W.; Freisheim, J. H. *J. Med. Chem.* 1988, *31,* 1326.
- (15) Rosowsky, A.; Bader, H.; Kohler, W.; Freisheim, J. H.; Moran, R. G. *J. Med. Chem.* 1988, *31,* 1338.
- (16) Piper, J. R.; McCaleb, G. S.; Montgomery, J. A.; Schmid, F. A.; Sirotnak, F. M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1985, *28,* 1016.
- (17) Keshavarz-K., M.; Cox, S. D.; Angus, R. O., Jr.; Wudl, F. *Synthesis* 1988, 641.
- (18) Taylor, E. C; Palmer, D. C; George, T. J.; Fletcher, S. R.; Tseng, C. P.; Harrington, P. J.; Beardsley, G. P. *J. Org. Chem.* 1983, *48,* 4852.

 a (a) 4-H₂NC₆H₄COOH/AcOH/H₂/Raney Ni; (b) HCOOH; (c) i -BuOCOCl/Et₃N; (d) $H_2NCH(COOMe)(CH_2)_3NHCbz$ (13); (e) HBr/AcOH; (f) aqueous HBr.

the zwitterionic character of the ornithine moiety, which limited our ability to use ion exchange columns as a means of removing inorganic salts. Desalting was ultimately accomplished by preparative HPLC on C_{18} silica gel with aqueous 1% AcOH/5% EtOH as the eluent. Freeze drying gave 3 in the form of a hydrated acetate salt. The UV spectrum of 3 $[\lambda_{\text{max}}(0.1 \text{ N NaOH}) 243, 278, 285(\text{infl}),$ 331(infl) nm] was consistent with that reported for the $\text{corresponding glutamate analogue}.$ ¹⁹ The previously undescribed side-chain fragment 11 was synthesized from methyl N^{δ} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine (13) by reaction with 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride and reduction of the ensuing N^{α} -(4-nitrobenzoyl) derivative 14 with SnCl₂. The protected amino acid 13 was prepared as the HC1 salt by treatment of N^{δ} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine with SOCl₂ and MeOH. For the preparation of 4 (Scheme II), 2 -amino-6-(bromomethyl)quinazolin-4(3H)-one (15) was prepared according to Acharya and Hynes²⁰ and used to alkylate 11. Sequential treatment of the protected coupling product 16 with HBr/AcOH to cleave the Cbz groups and aqueous HBr to cleave the ester afforded the target compound 4, which was purified by preparative HPLC (C₁₈ silica gel, 1% AcOH/5% EtOH). The UV spectrum of 4 $[\lambda_{\rm max} (0.1 \text{ N NaOH}) 226, 278, 288-291 \text{ (plateau) nm}]$ was consistent with data reported previously for the glutamate analogue.²¹

The synthesis of N^{α} -(4-amino-4-deoxy-5-deazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (5-dAPA-Orn, 5) is summarized in Scheme III. Reductive coupling of 2,4-diaminopyrido- [2,3-d]pyrimidine-6-carbonitrile $(17)^{22}$ with 4-aminobenzoic acid $(H_2/RaNi/ACOH)$ gave 4-amino-4-deoxy-5-deazapteroic acid (18), a sparingly soluble compound which was not characterized further but was treated directly with 95-97% HCOOH at 75 °C for 2 h to obtain the more soluble N^{10} -formyl derivative 19. Condensation of 19 with 13 by the mixed carboxylic-carbonic anhydride method $(i-BuOCOC1/Et_3N/DMF)$ afforded the ester 20. The NMR spectrum of 20, in DMSO- d_6 solution, showed the C_5 and C_7 protons as broad one proton singlets at δ 8.22

- (20) Acharya, S.; Hynes, J. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* 1975, *12,* 1283. (21) Davoll, J.; Johnson, A. *J. Chem. Soc. (C)* 1970, 997.
-
- (22) Piper, J. R.; McCaleb, G. S.; Montgomery, J. A.; Kisliuk, R. L.; Gaumont, Y.; Sirotnak, F. M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1986, *29,*1080.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Temple, C, Jr.; Elliott, R. E.; Montgomery, J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1982, *47,* 761.

 $^{\circ}$ (a) PBr₃/THF; (b) H₂NC₆H₄CONHCH(COOMe)(CH₂)₃NHCbz (11) ; (c) $H\text{Br}/\text{AcOH}$; (d) aqueous HBr.

and 8.55, respectively. An interesting feature of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 20 was also the presence of two closely spaced singlets of unequal area at δ 8.70 and 8.77 for the N^{10} -formyl group. The total area for this pair of singlets corresponded to one proton. These results were consistent with a mixture of sterically hindered rotomers about the N^{10} -CHO bond, which has also been observed in recent work on 5-deazafolate derivatives by Taylor and coworkers.²³ Deprotection of 20 was accomplished as in the synthesis of 4 from 16. The product obtained after neutralization with NaOH was partially purifiable by column chromatography on Dowex $50W-2X$ (H^+) and DEAEcellulose $(\overline{HCO_3}^{-})$, but could only be isolated in analytically pure state, free of NaBr, by preparative HPLC $(C_{18}$ silica gel, 1% AcOH/5% EtOH).

Our synthesis of 4-amino-4-deoxy-8-deazapteroyl-Lornithine (6, 8-dAPA-Orn) is summarized in Scheme IV and is patterned after that of 8-deazaaminopterin by Srinivasan and Broom.²⁴ Bromination of 2,4-diaminopyrido $[3,2-d]$ pyrimidine-6-methanol (21) with PBr_3 , followed directly by condensation with **11** gave the protected coupling product 22. Deprotection of 22 was accomplished by sequential treatment with HBr/AcOH and aqueous HBr as described above (see deprotection of 20). Analytically pure 6 was obtained as an acetate salt after chromatography on Dowex 50W-X2 (H⁺) and DEAEcellulose $(HCO₃⁻)$ columns, followed by preparative HPLC $(C_{18}$ silica gel, 1% AcOH/5% EtOH) to remove residual salts.

The synthesis of N^{α} -(4-amino-4-deoxy-5,8-dideazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (7, 5,8-ddAPA-Orn) is depicted in Scheme V. Catalytic reduction of 2,4-diamino-6-nitroquinazoline followed by diazotization and reaction with CuS04 and KCN essentially as described by Davoll and Johnson²¹ afforded 2,4-diaminoquinazoline-6-carbonitrile (23). Reductive coupling of 23 with 4-aminobenzoic acid in 50% AcOH in the presence of Raney nickel then gave 5,8-dideazapteroic acid (24), which was not purifiable and therefore was converted directly into its more soluble and previously uncharacterized N^{10} -formyl derivative 25 by treatment with 95-97% HCOOH at 70-75 °C for 1.5 h. Mixed anhydride (i-BuOCOCl/Et₃N) condensation of 25 and 13 in DMF gave 26, which was easily purified on silica gel with CHCl3/MeOH (9:1 to 6:1) as the eluent. Deprotection of 26 and purification of 7 were carried out as in the synthesis of 5 and 6 from 20 and 22, respectively.

The route followed for the preparation of 8 is shown in Scheme VI. Reductive alkylation of N^{α} -(benzyloxy-

(24) Srinivasan, A.; Broom, A. D. *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, *46,* 1777.

Analogues of Folic Acid, Aminopterin, and Methotrexate Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 1991, Vol. 34, No. 4 **1449**

 \cdot (a) 4-H₂NC₆H₄COOH/AcOH/H₂/Raney Ni; (b) HCOOH; (c) i -BuOCOCI/Et₃N; (d) $H_2NCH(COOMe)(CH_2)_3NHCb_2$ (13); (e) HBr/AcOH; (f) aqueous HBr.

^a(a) OHCCOOH·H₂O/NaCNBH₃; (b) H₂/Pd-C; (c) CF₃COOEt/Et₃N_i (d) 4-amino-4-deoxy-N¹⁰-methylpteroic acid $(m\text{ÅPA}, 31)$; (e) $NH₄OH.$

carbonyl)-L-ornithine with glyoxylic acid and sodium cyanoborohydride gave 27, which on reaction with ethyl trifluoroacetate followed by catalytic hydrogenolysis $(H₂/Pd-C)$ was converted to the diacids 28 and 29. Catalytic hydrogenolysis of 27 afforded the heretofore undescribed diamino diacid 30 (91%). Compound 28 was isolated and characterized as a $bis(N,N\textrm{-}dicyclohexyl\textrm{-}i)$ ammonium) salt from which the free acid was obtained by acidification with dilute HC1. The deprotected amino diacid 29 was isolated as a hydrochloride from which the free base could be obtained on treatment with pyridine. Tris(trimethylsilylation) of 29 followed by condensation with 4-amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -methylpteroic acid (31) by the diethyl phosphorocyanidate method²⁵ yielded the N^3 -tri-

⁽²³⁾ Taylor, E. C; Hamby, J. M.; Shih, C; Grindey, G. B.; Rinzel, S. M.; Beardsley, G. P.; Moran, R. G. *J. Med. Chem.* 1989, *32,* 1517.

Table I. DHFR Inhibition and Cell Growth Inhibition by Ornithine Analogues of Antifolates

compd	DHFR: IC_{50} , μ M ^a	cells: IC_{50} , μ M	
		L1210 ^b	$WI-L2^b$
2 ^c	0.072	1.30	2.6
3	8.5	> 5.0	ND
4	0.75	> 5.0	ND
5	0.027	1.40	0.86
6	0.016	0.66	0.16
7	0.035	0.28	0.18
8	0.050	1.1	4.3
9¢	0.16	1.30	ND

"DHFR activity was measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm, with purified enzyme from human leukemic lymphoblasts $(WI-L2/M4)^{28}$ for compounds 2-5 and with enzyme from L1210/ R71 murine leukemic cells¹² for compounds 2 and 9. Results are given for a DHFR concentration of 0.05 μ M in the cuvette. IC_M values obtained with the human and mouse enzyme generally do not differ by more than 2-fold. Thus, the IC₅₀ of MTX is 0.035 μ M against enzyme from L1210/R71 cells⁴ and 0.02 μ M against enzyme from WI-L2/M4 cells.²⁹ ^bCell growth inhibition was determined by an adaptation³⁰ of the tetrazolium salt method³¹ after a 48-h incubation in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. $ND = not determined.$ Cata for compounds 2 and 9 are from ref 4.

fluoroacetyl derivative 32 (43%). Gentle treatment of 32 with ammonia then gave the target compound 8.

Biological Activity

Compounds 2-8 were tested according to previously described assay procedures for the ability to inhibit purified DHFR from leukemic cells and for the ability to inhibit the growth of leukemic lymphoblasts in culture. The results (Table I) allow a comparison to be made between Pter-Orn (1) and its deaza analogues 3 and 4, between APA-Orn (2) and its deaza analogues 5-7, between the 2-amino-4-oxo derivatives 3 and 4 and the corresponding 2,4-diamines 5 and 6, and between the *N** carboxymethyl analogue 8 and mAPA-Orn (9).

It has been observed previously that APA-Orn (2) and mAPA-Orn (9) are both less active as DHFR inhibitors than their glutamate counterparts, AMT and MTX, $4,6-9$ and it was surmised that the presence of a polar, positively charged amino group on the end of the side chain interferes with binding to the active site. It was therefore of interest to determine whether part of the tight binding of AMT to DHFR lost on ornithine for glutamate substitution might be "recaptured" by replacing one or both pyrazine ring nitrogens by carbon. The results in Table I indicate that 8-dAPA-Orn (6) is a stronger inhibitor than APA-Orn (2), and that 5-dAPA-Orn (5) and 5,8-ddAPA-Orn (7) are less active than 6. This suggests that carbon for nitrogen substitution at position 8 is favorable for DHFR binding. Carboxymethylation of the δ -amino group in mAPA-Orn (9) also appears to partially restore the binding activity lost on replacement of the glutamate side chain of MTX by ornithine. This is most likely due to decreased protonation of the nitrogen, as illustrated by the 6-fold difference in basicity of the amine nitrogen in sarcosine *{pK^a* $= 10.0$) versus methylamine (p $K_a = 10.8$).

The ability of antifolates to block cell replication in culture reflects not only their interaction with enzymes of the folate pathway, such as **DHFR,** but also their ability to be efficiently taken up and retained by cells. In the case of classical antifolates with a glutamate side chain, the efficiency with which they are converted to noneffluxing polyglutamate metabolites is a major determinant of their ability to accumulate in cells; indeed, where classical antifolates are concerned, differences in polyglutamylation probably contribute more in this regard than differences in transport.²⁶ With antifolates that cannot form polyglutamates, on the other hand, transport across the cell membrane is likely to be limiting. Such compounds are therefore of interest because they allow transport and polyglutamylation to be uncoupled. In this context we have compared the ability of compounds 2-9 to inhibit the growth of L1210 mouse leukemia cells and WI-L2 human leukemic lymphoblasts with their ability to inhibit DHFR. As shown in Table I, C for N replacement at position 8 gave a 4.6-fold increase in activity against L1210 cells, whereas C for N replacement at both positions 5 and 8 gave only a 2-fold increase and C for N replacement at position 5 alone led to no increase at all. In assays against WI-L2 cells, C for N replacement at position 8 as well at positions 5 and 8 gave a 15-fold increase in activity, whereas C for N replacement at position 5 gave an increase of only 3-fold. It thus appears that replacement of N^8 by carbon is a favorable change for WI-L2 cell growth inhibition, just as it is for DHFR inhibition, but that N^5 and N^8 both have to be replaced by carbon to produce the same favorable effect against L1210 cells.

It is of interest to note that 5 was less active than 6 against WI-L2 cells even though it was a better inhibitor of purified DHFR from the same cells, and that 7 was as active as 6 against the cells even though it was a weaker inhibitor of the enzyme. Since none of these compounds can be polyglutamylated, it seems likely that the lack of accord between cell growth inhibition and DHFR inhibition among these compounds reflects differences in transport. When the IC_{50} (growth inhibition)/ IC_{50} (DHFR inhibition) ratios for 5-7 were normalized relative to the compound with the lowest IC_{50} for DHFR inhibition (6), the following values were obtained: 5, 3.2; 6, 1.0; 7, 0.5. Although direct kinetic measurements would be needed to rigorously prove this point, our analysis suggests that transport of the ornithine analogues into WI-L2 cells obeys the order 5.8 -dideaza > 8 -deaza > 5 -deaza.

The 2-amino-4-oxo compounds 3 and 4 were poor inhibitors of DHFR in comparison with the 2,4-diamines 5 and 6. The IC_{50} of 3 was 315-fold higher than that of 5, and the IC₅₀ of 4 was 47-fold higher than that of 6. The IC_{50} of both 3 and 4 as inhibitors of the growth of $L1210$ cells was $>5 \mu M$. These results are qualitatively in agreement with those reported previously¹¹ for other pteroyl-L-ornithine analogues with hetero atoms (0,S) at position 10.

The carboxymethyl derivative 8 was approximately as active against L1210 cells as the parent compound mAPA-Orn (9). Thus, decreasing the basicity of the terminal nitrogen does not appear to increase cell growth inhibition, just as it seems to have little effect on DHFR inhibition. It is of interest to note that the length of the side chain in 8 differs by only one atom from that of N^{α} -(4-amino-4-deoxy- \dot{N}^{10} -methylpteroyl)-2-aminononanedioic acid, whose IC_{50} against L1210 cells was previously found to be only $0.0012 \mu M^{15}$ Since the 1000-fold differences between these two compounds is unlikely to be due to this small steric difference, it may be concluded that the introduction of a basic nitrogen in the side chain, even when the two carboxyl groups are

⁽²⁵⁾ Rosowsky, A.; Forsch, R.; Uren, J.; Wick, M. *J. Med. Chem.* 1981, *24,* 1450.

⁽²⁶⁾ For a comprehensive review of FPGS and its role in folate metabolism and antifolate chemotherapy, see: McGuire, J. J.; Coward, J. K. In *Folates and Pterins;* Blakley, R. L., Benkovic, S. J., Eds.; Wiley Interscience: New York, 1984; pp 135-190.

present, is very unfavorable for transport.

Experimental Section

IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Model 781 double-beam recording spectrophotometer; only peaks above 1200 cm-1 are reported. UV spectra were obtained on a Varian Model 210 instrument. !H NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian EM360L spectrometer with Me4Si or Me3Si(CH2)3S03Na as the reference. TLC analyses were done on fluorescent Baker Si250F silica gel plates, Eastman 13181 silica gel sheets, or Eastman 13254 cellulose sheets. Spots were visualized under 254-nm UV illumination or with the aid of ninhydrin. Column chromatography was carried out on Baker 3405 (60-200 mesh), Dowex 50W-X2, or Amberlite IR120 sulfonic acid resins, and Whatman DE-52 preswollen (N_rN-diethylamino)ethyl cellulose (DEAE-cellulose). **Solvents used in moisture-sensitive reactions were dried over Linde 4A molecular sieves (Fisher, Boston, MA). Analytical HPLC was** done on Waters C_{18} cartridge column $(5-\mu m)$ particle size, 5 mm **i.d. X 10 cm) connected to a Waters Model 400 instrument equipped with a Model 490 multiwavelength detector and Model 660 programmable solvent gradient system. Preparative HPLC** was carried out on a Waters C_{18} cartridge column (15 $\mu\mathrm{m}$ particle **size, 25 X 100 mm) connected to a Waters Delta-Prep 3000 system. 2,4-Diamino-6-nitroquinazoline was purchased from Fairfield** Chemical, Blythewood, SC, and N^a-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L**ornithine from Bachem, Torrance, CA. Other chemicals were from Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI. Known literature methods were used for the preparation of 2-acetamido-6-formylpyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4(3/7)-one (10),¹⁸ 2-amino-6-(bromomethyl)quinazolin-4- (3H)-one (15),²⁰ 2,4-cUsinmopyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidme-6-carbonitrile** (17) ,²¹, 2,⁴ diaminopyrido[2,0-d]pyrimidine-6-methanol (21) ,²⁴ **2,4-diaminoquinazoline-6-carbonitrile (23),²¹ and JV-(4-amino-4-** μ -via minoquina zonne-o-can bottline (20), and μ -(+-anniho-+- μ). **method of Srinivasan and Broom²⁴ was modified slightly in that chlorination of the 2,4-dioxo compound with POCl3 was performed** in the presence of N _{*n*}V-diethylaniline instead of Et_3N . Melting **points were determined in Pyrex capillary tubes in a Mel-Temp apparatus (Cambridge Laboratory Devices, Cambridge, MA) and are not corrected. Microanalyses were by Robertson Laboratory, Madison, NJ.**

Methyl N⁶-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithinate Hydro**chloride (13-HC1). 7V*-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine (2.66 g, 0.01 mmol) in MeOH (100 mL) was cooled in an ice bath and treated dropwise with S0C12 (6.55 g, 4.02 mL, 0.055 mol) while keeping the internal temperature below 10 °C. The bath was removed and the solution was left at room temperature for 18 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the solid was recrystallized** from MeOH/EtOAc and dried in vacuo over P_2O_5 at 60 °C to **obtain colorless flakes (2.95 g, 94% yield): mp 138-139 °C (lit.²⁷ mp 132-134 °C); IR (KBr)** *v* **1755 (ester C=0), 1700 (carbamate** $C = 0$) cm⁻¹; NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 1.70 (m, CH₂CH₂), 3.00 (m, CH_2 **NCHbz**), 3.70 (s, CH₃), 3.97 (m, α -CH), 4.98 (s, OCH₂C₆H₅), 7.28 (s, $OCH_2C_6H_5$), 8.63 (s, α -NH₃⁺).

Methyl N^a-(4-Nitrobenzoyl)-N⁸-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L**ornithinate (14). A mixture of the blocked amino acid 13-HC1 (1.58 g, 0.005 mol) and 4-nitrobenzoyl chloride (0.93 g, 0.005 mol) in CH2C12 (25 mL) was treated with Et3N (1.01 g, 1.39 mL, 0.01 mol), and after 5 min of stirring, the mixture was washed with H20 and evaporated to dryness. Recrystallization from MeOH afforded white crystals (1.7 g, 79% yield): mp 141-142 °C; IR (KBr)** *v* **1750,1700,1650 cm"¹ ; NMR (CDC13)** *8* **1.72 (m, CH2CH2), 3.25 (m, CH2N), 3.78 (s, OCH3), 4.85 (br m, a-CH, NH), 5.08 (s,** $OCH_2C_6H_5$, 7.30 (s, $OCH_2C_6H_5$), 7.97 (d, $J = 9$ Hz, C_2 -H and C_6 **-H**), 8.27 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_3 ^{-H} and C_5 -H). Anal. $(C_{21}H_{23}N_3O_7)$ **C, H, N.**

Methyl JV^a -(4-Aminoben2oyl)-JV⁸ -(benzyloxy car bonyl)-Lornithinate (11). A mixture of 14 (21.5 g, 0.05 mol) and Sn- **C12-2H20 (56.4 g, 0.25 mol) in EtOAc (200 mL) was refluxed for 30 min, during which time it became homogeneous. The mixture was then stirred while 5% NaHC03 was added until the aqueous layer remained alkaline to pH paper. The salts were filtered (a large funnel should be used, as the filtration is slow), and the filter cake was washed with EtOAc. The combined filtrate and wash solution were evaporated to obtain a white solid (14 g, 70%): mp 136-137 °C;** *R,* **0.6 (silica gel, 19:1 CHCl3/MeOH); IR (KBr)** *v* **1745, 1695, 1635 cm"¹ ; NMR (CDC13)** *8* **1.75 (m, CH2CH2), 3.20 (m, CH2N), 3.72 (s, OCH3), 3.98 (m, NH2), 4.83 (m, a-CH, NH), 5.05** (s, $\text{OCH}_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_5$), 6.60 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, overlapping a multiplet, C_3 -H, C_6 **-H, and NH),** 7.27 (s, OCH₂ C_6H_5), 7.60 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_2 -H and **C6-H). In some runs, the product had to be additionally purified by column chromatography on silica gel with 19:1 CHCl3/MeOH** as the eluent. Anal. $(\tilde{C}_{21}H_{25}N_3O_5)$ C, H, N.

Methyl N^a-(2-Acetamido-5-deazapteroyl)-N⁸-(benzyloxy**carbonyl)-L-ornithinate (12). A mixture of 10 (237 mg, 1.0 mmol) and 11 (399 mg, 1.0 mmol) in glacial AcOH (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 18 h, and Me2NH-BH3 (24 mg, 0.4 mmol) was added. The precipitate which had formed overnight redissolved upon addition of the reducing agent. After 1.5 h at room temperature, the solution was warmed at 60 °C for 10 min [TLC** *Rf0A,* **0.5,0.6 (silica gel, 19:1 CHCl3/MeOH)]. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue triturated with** $Et₂O$ to remove the spot with R_f 0.6. The remainder of the solid **was chromatographed on a silica gel column (22 g, 2 X 24 cm) with 19:1 CHCl3/MeOH as the eluent. Fractions containing the** *Ri* **0.4 spot were pooled, concentrated to a small volume, and** diluted with Et₂O. The precipitate was collected and dried in vacuo at 65 °C over P₂O₅ to obtain an off-white solid (195 mg, **32%): mp 128-135 °C; IR (KBr)** *v* **3430,2970,1710 sh, 1690,1645, 1620,1575,1525,1470,1415,1385,1320,1270,1205 cm"¹ ; NMR** $(CDCI_3 + 4$ drops DMSO- d_6) δ 1.80 (m, 4 H, CH_2CH_2), 2.30 (s, **3 H, CH3CO), 3.22 (m, 2 H, CH2NHCOO), 3.73 (s, 3 H, MeO),** 4.50 (m, 3 H, benzylic CH_2N and α -CH), 5.10 (s, 2 H, benzylic CH₂O), 6.62 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2 H, 3² and 5²-H), 7.35 (s, 5 H, C₆H₅), **7.50 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.72 (d,** *J* **= 8 Hz, 2 H, 2'- and 6'-H), 8.50 (br s**, 1 H, C_5 -H), 8.85 (m, 1 H, C_7 -H). Anal. $(C_{31}H_{33}N_7O_7)$ C, H, N.

JV°-(5-Deazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (5-dPter-Orn, 3). Compound 12 (144 mg, 0.23 mmol) was allowed to stand in a mixture of CF3C02H (4 mL) and thioanisole (1.2 mL) for 4.5 h. The mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue triturated with Et^O. After decantation of the EtjO, 0.1 N NaOH (25 mL) was added and the mixture heated at 80 °C for 50 min. The solution was cooled, adjusted to pH 8-9 with HC1, and added onto a DEAE-cellulose column $(HCO_3^-$ form, 1.5×25 cm) which **was eluted first with H20, then 0.4 M NH4HC03, and finally 3% Et^N to remove the product. Freeze drying of pooled fractions containing the product gave a solid weighing 131 mg, indicating that inorganic salts had not been completely removed. Furthermore, HPLC (C18,6% MeCN in 0.1 M NH4OAc, pH 7) showed two peaks with retention times of 7.5 and 22.5 min. Final desalting and product purification were accomplished by preparative HPLC (1% AcOH containing 5% EtOH). Appropriate fractions were pooled, concentrated by rotary evaporation, and subjected to prolonged freeze drying to obtain a white solid (26 mg, 22%): mp >300°C dec; HPLC 6.1 min (C18,1% aqueous AcOH containing 5% EtOH, flow rate 1.0 mL/min); IR (KBr)** *v* **3440,2970,1700 sh, 1615,1580,1520,1410,1340-1300 sh, 1270,1200 cm"¹ ; UV X ^ (0.1 N NaOH) 243 nm (e 23600), 278 (24000), 285 infl (23600),** 331 infl (10000). Anal. $(C_{20}H_{23}N_7O_4 \text{CH}_3COOH \cdot 1.5H_2O)$ C, H, **N.**

Methyl N^{δ} -(Benzyloxycarbonyl)- N^{α} -(5,8-dide**azapteroyl)-L-ornithinate (16). Compound 15 (279 mg, 1.1 mmol) was added in small portions over 5 min to a stirred solution of 11 (440 mg, 1.1 mmol) and i-Pr2NEt (192** *nL,* **142 mg, 1.1 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL). The solution was left to stand at room temperature for 3 days, then concentrated to 3 mL under reduced pressure, and added dropwise with stirring to H20 (50 mL). The solid was collected and taken up in a mixture of CHC13 and MeOH** $[TLC R, 0.0, 0.3, 0.7$ (silica gel, 9:1 $CHCl₃/MeOH$)]. The product **was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (23 g, 2 x 25 cm). The column was eluted first with 9:1 CHCl3/MeOH, then with 6:1 CHCl3/MeOH. Fractions containing material with** *R^f* **0.3 were pooled, concentrated to a small volume, and diluted with** Et₂O. The solid was filtered and dried in vacuo at 60 °C over

⁽²⁷⁾ Synge, R. *Biochem. J.* **1948,** *42,* **99.**

⁽²⁸⁾ Delcamp, T. J.; Susten, S. S.; Blankenship, D. T.; Freisheim, J. H. *Biochemistry* **1983,** *22,* **633.**

⁽²⁹⁾ Rosowsky, A.; Forsch, R. A.; Freisheim, J. H.; Moran, R. G. *J. Med. Chem.* **1989,** *32,* **517.**

⁽³⁰⁾ Rosowsky, A.; Bader, H.; Cucchi, C. A.; Moran, R. G.; Kohler, W.; Freisheim, J. H. *J. Med. Chem.* **1988,** *31,***1332.**

⁽³¹⁾ Mosman, J. *J. Immunol. Meth.* **1983, 65, 55.**

 $P_2 O_5$ to obtain a white solid (124 mg, 20%): mp 159-167 °C; IR (KBr) *v* 3420,2960,2870,1700,1650,1615,1575,1550,1520,1490, 1455, 1390, 1340, 1320 sh, 1270, 1220, 1200 cm⁻¹; NMR (DMSO- $d_{\rm 6}$ $+$ D₂O) δ 1.65 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 3.02 (m, 2 H, CH₂NHCOO), 3.6 (large H₂O peak), 4.37 (m, 3 H, benzylic CH₂N and α -CH), 4.98 (s, 2 H, benzylic CH₂O), 6.58 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2 H, 3[']- and 5[']-H), 7.1–7.8 (m, 9 H, 2'-H, 6'-H, C₅-H, C₇-H, C₆H₅), 8.22 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1 H, C₈-H). Anal. $(C_{30}H_{32}N_6O_6H_2O)$ C, H, N.

 N^{α} -(5.8-Dideazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (5,8-ddPter-Orn, 4). Compound 16 (118 mg, 0.2 mmol) was dissolved in glacial AcOH (2.5 mL) with the aid of an ultrasonic bath, and 30% HBr in AcOH (2.5 mL) was added. A precipitate formed on addition of the HBr, but redissolved on continued ultrasonication (20 min). The solution was left to stand at room temperature for 30 min, and then treated with 48% HBr (5 mL). After another 40 min, the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure (caution: the lachrymator benzyl bromide is formed). The residue was dissolved in 28% NH4OH by dropwise addition of 2 N NaOH and the solution partially desalted on Dowex $50W-X2$ resin $(H^+$ form, 1.5 \times 20 cm) by successive washing with H₂O and 3% Et₃N. Fractions containing the product according to HPLC were pooled and concentrated to dryness. The residue was suspended in 3% Et₃N, and just enough 2 N NaOH was added dropwise until a clear solution formed. The solution was applied onto a DEAE-cellulose column (HCO₃⁻ form, 1.5 \times 24 cm). Elution with H₂O removed several impurities, but the product could not be eluted with H_2O , 0.2 M NH₄HCO₃, or 0.2 M NH₄HCO₃ adjusted to pH 10 with concentrated NH40H, but could be removed from the column with 3% Et₃N. Freeze drying of appropriate combined fractions afforded 43 mg of material which was still impure. Final purification was by preparative HPLC $(C_{18}, 1\%$ aqueous AcOH containing 5% EtOH). Pooled elutes containing the product were subjected to rotary evaporation and prolonged freeze drying to obtain a white powder (13 mg, 13%): mp >300 °C dec; HPLC: 11.7 min $(C_{18}, 0.1 \text{ M } NH_4$ OAc, pH 7.0, containing 5% MeCN, flow rate 1.0 mL/min); IR (KBr) ν 3440, 2970, 2940, 1715, 1615, 1575, rate 1.0 mL/mm), 1R (KBI) ν 3440, 2570, 2540, 1710, 1610, 1670,
1520, 1455, 1410, 1340, 1285, 1200 cm⁻¹: UV λ (0.1 N NaOH) 226 nm (« 46700), 278 (23900), 288-291 (plateau, 22800). Anal. $(C_{21}H_{24}N_6O_4 \cdot CH_3COOH \cdot 2H_2O)$ C, H, N.

 $\textbf{Methyl} \quad \textbf{N^{α}- (4-Amino-4-deoxy-5-deaza-N10-formyl-}$ pteroyl)-N⁶-(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithinate (20). Raney nickel (0.2-0.3 g) was added to a solution of 4-aminobenzoic acid (3.71 g, 0.0271 mol) and 17 (5.04 g, 0.271 mol) in 67% AcOH (300 mL), and the mixture was shaken in a low-pressure Parr apparatus under 3 atm of H_2 for 18 h. The catalyst was removed by filtration, the solvents were evaporated, and the residue was suspended in $H₂O$ (300 mL) to which 2 M NaOH was added to bring the pH to >12. Insoluble material was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was acidified with AcOH. The precipitate was collected, washed with H_2O , and dried in vacuo over P_2O_5 to obtain crude 18 as a bright orange powder (310 mg). The product was taken up directly in 95-97% formic acid (10 mL), and the solution was kept in an oil bath at 75 °C for 2 h before being evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in 5% NH4OH (30 mL), a small amount of insoluble material was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was acidified with 10% AcOH. The precipitate was collected and dried in vacuo at 90 ${}^{\circ}$ C over P₂O₅ to obtain 19 as a tan powder (239 mg): R *_f* 0.5 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃/MeOH/28% NH₄OH); dec >220 °C; NMR (DMSO-d6) *&* 5.08 (s, CH2N), 5.65 (br m, NH2), 6.2-6.8 (m, NH2), 7.43 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{3} - and C_{6} -H), 7.92 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{2} - and C_{6} -H, 8.20 (br s, C_5 -H), 8.55 (br s, C_7 -H), 8.80 (s, N^{10} -CHO). A similar procedure on a 10-fold larger scale resulted in a 48% yield.

A solution of nonpurified 19 from the preceding step in dry DMF (15 mL) in an ice bath was treated with Et_3N (303 mg, 417 *11L,* 3.0 mmol) and i-BuOCOCl (96 mg, 91*11L,* 0.7 mmol). After 10 min of stirring, the blocked amino acid 13-HC1 (222 mg, 0.7 mmol) was added, followed by a second portion of i-BuOCOCl (27 mg, 26 μ L, 0.2 mmol). After 10 min a second portion of the 13-HC1 (63 mg, 0.2 mmol) was added, and the cycle of additions (0.2 mmol of each reactant) was repeated one more time. The mixture was then concentrated to a volume of ca. 3 mL and added dropwise with stirring to 2% NH4OH (50 mL) to obtain a gummy solid and cloudy liquid. The liquid was extracted with CHCl₃ and the gum was dissolved in the same CHCl₃ solution. The $CHCl₃$ solution was washed with $H₂O$ and evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column (20 g, $2 \times$ 22 cm) with 9:1 CHCl₃/MeOH as the eluent. TLC homogeneous fractions $(R_f 0.30, blue-fluorescent; silica gel, 9:1 CHCl₃/MeOH)$ were pooled, and hexane or Et_2O was added to precipitate a pale-yellow solid; yield 86 mg (20%) after drying in vacuo over P₂O₅ at 60 °C: mp 219-221 °C dec; IR (KBr) ν 3420, 2960, 1750, 1685,1650,1625,1585,1555,1510,1465,1410,1375,1360,1345, 1315, 1300 cm⁻¹; NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 1.65 (m, CH₂CH₂), 3.02 (m, CH_2NHCbz), 3.62 (s, OCH₃), 4.40 (m, α -CH), 5.00 (s, OCH₂C_BH₅), 5.10 (s, CH_2NHAr), 6.22 (br s, NH_2), 7.43 (m, C_3-H and C_5-H , $OCH_2C_6H_5$, and NH₂), 7.88 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{2} -H and C_{6} -H), 8.22 $(br s, C_5-H)$, 8.55 (br s, C₇-H and NHCO), 8.70 (s), and 8.77 (s) (CHO rotomers). Anal. $(C_{30}H_{32}N_8O_6.0.5H_2O)$ C, H, N.

4-Amino-4-deoxy-5-deazapteroyl-L-ornithine (5-dAPA-Orn, 5). Compound 20 (619 mg, 1.03 mmol) was dissolved in 2 N HBr in AcOH (20 mL), the solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for 45 min, 48% HBr (20 mL) was added, and after another 20 h at room temperature, the hydrolysis mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in a minimal volume of 1 N NaOH and the solution applied onto a Dowex $50W-X2$ column $(H⁺ form, 2 \times 22$ cm) which was washed with a large volume of H_2O to remove salts and then with 3% NH₄OH to remove the product. The 3% NH₄OH eluates were pooled and freeze-dried, the residue was taken up in a small volume of 3% NH4OH, a small amount of insoluble material was filtered off, the solution was applied onto a DEAE-cellulose column $(HCO₃$ form, 1.5×24 cm), and the column was eluted with $H₃O$ (300 mL). It should be noted that because the product was not retained on the DEAE-cellulose column, this step achieved partial purification but did not completely remove final traces of salt. Fractions were monitored by HPLC on C₁₈ silica gel, using an 85:15 mixture of 0.1 M NH4OAc, pH 7.0, and MeCN as the eluent, at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The main product (HPLC, 4.3 min) was preceded by two minor impurities (HPLC, 6.3 min and 14.5 min). Pooled fractions that were >95% pure according to HPLC analysis were freeze-dried to obtain a pale-yellow powder (235 mg, 45% yield): dec >300 °C; IR (KBr) *v* 3430, 2990 sh, 1620, $1590, 1565, 1520, 1475, 1415, 1340 \text{ cm}^{-1}$: NMR $(1:1 \text{ DMSO-}d_c/\text{D}_c)$ δ 1.75 (m, CH₂CH₂), 2.83 (m, CH₂NH), 4.0-4.5 (H₂O), 6.63 (d, J $= 8$ Hz, C_{α} -H and C_{α} -H), 7.60 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{α} -H and C_{α} -H), 8.28 (br s, C_r-H), 8.65 (br s, C_r-H); UV λ ₁₁₁ (0.1 N HCl) 219 nm (6.40900) , 285 sh (17000), 301 (18700); λ (pH 7.4) 217 nm (e) 36700), 248 (20300), 281 (22600), 294 sh (21500), 338 infl (7600); 36 700), 248 (20 300), 281 (22 600), 294 sh (21 500), 338 infl (7600); λ_{max} (0.1 N NaOH) 248 nm (ε 22 600), 280 (23 600), 290 sh (22 500), 334-344 plateau (8000). The analytical sample was purified by preparative HPLC (C18 silica gel, 1% AcOH/5% EtOH; 162 mg recovered out of 201 mg). Anal. $(C_{20}H_{24}N_8O_3.1.25CH_3COOH 3H₂O$ C, H, N.

Methyl N^{α} -(4-Amino-4-deoxy-8-deazapteroyl)- N^{δ} -(benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ormthinate (22). A suspension of 21 (233 mg, 1.22 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) was stirred with PBr_3 $(0.25$ mL) for 8 h, and the solid was collected, washed with $Et₂O$, and added directly to a solution of the blocked amino acid 11 (499 mg, 1.25 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) . Addition of i -Pr₂NEt (323) mg, $436 \mu L$, 2.5 mmol) to the mixture produced a color change and a rise in temperature. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 days and then added dropwise to $H₂O$ (100 mL). The precipitate was filtered, dried, and triturated with 1:1 $CHCI₃/MeOH$ (30 mL). Insoluble material was removed, the filtrate was evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column (22 g, 2×22 cm), with TLC (silica gel, 9:1 CHCl3/MeOH) used to monitor fractions. Elution with 9:1 CHCl₃/MeOH gave material with R_f 0.7, which proved to be unreacted 11 (290 mg, 58% recovery). Further elution with 6:1 $CHCl₃/MeOH$ gave material with R_f 0.5. Fractions containing only the spot with R_f 0.5 were pooled, concentrated to a small volume, and treated with Et₂O to obtain a light-yellow solid (71 mg, 10% yield): mp 115-120 °C (softening above 110 °C); IR (KBr) v 3420, 2970, 1735 sh, 1710, 1640 sh, 1620, 1580, 1525, 1465, 1425,1395,1320 br, 1270 cm"¹ ; NMR (CDC13) *5* 3.68 (s, CH3), 5.02 (s, CH₂NH), 7.23 (br s, C₇-H and C₈-H). Anal. $(C_{29}H_{32}N_8O_5$. $0.5H₂O$ C, H, N.

 N^{α} -(4-Amino-4-deoxy-8-deazapteroyl)-L-ornithine (8 $dAPA-Orn$, 6). Compound 22 (114 mg, 0.2 mmol) was slowly dissolved in 2 N HBr in AcOH (5 mL) over a 1-h period with the help of a sonication bath, and 48% HBr (5 mL) was added. The resulting solution was kept at room temperature for 1 h, the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in a small volume of H_2O made alkaline with 28% NH₄OH. The solution was placed onto a Dowex 50W-X2 column $(H^+$ form, 1.5×20 cm) which was eluted first with H_2O until the eluate was neutral and then with 3% Et^N to remove 6 and several byproducts. HPLC analysis (0.1 M NH₄OAc, pH 7.5, with 12% MeCN, 1.0 mL/min) showed two major peaks at 3.5 min and 10.0 min, respectively, and several other peaks between 3.5 and 10.0 min. The crude mixture was freeze-dried and reapplied, in aqueous 3% Et₃N solution, to the top of a DEAE-cellulose column (HCO₃⁻ form, 1.5 \times 24 cm). Elution with H₂O gave several fractions containing only impurities, and then a fraction containing only the product (HPLC 10.0 min). Pooled fractions containing the product were freeze-dried to obtain a light-yellow solid (32 mg, 30% yield); IR (KBr) *v* 3390,1660 sh, 1615,1575,1520,1465 sh, 1460, 1410 sh, 1395, 1340, 1295, 1210 sh; NMR (DMSO- d_6 + D₂O) δ 1.72 (m, CH₂CH₂), 2.82 (m, CH₂NH₂), 4.47 (m, CH₂NH), 6.75 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{3} -H and C_{6} -H), 7.57 (m, C_{2} -H, C_{6} -H, C_{7} -H, C_8 -H), UV (0.1 N NaOH) λ_{max} 222 (ϵ 29 100), 280 (21 000), 337 infl (5840); UV (pH 7.4) λ λ 221 nm (ϵ 37700), 281 (25300), 337 infl (7500); UV (0.1 N HCl) λ_{max} 221 nm (ϵ 44 400), 301 (15 000) with minor inflections at 233, 242, 282, and 330. The analytical sample was obtained by preparative HPLC on C_{18} silica gel with 1% AcOH/5% EtOH as the eluent. Anal. $(C_{20}H_{24}N_8O_3.1.7C-$ H3COOH-3.3H20) C, H, N.

 4 -Amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -formyl-5,8-dideazapteroic Acid (25). A solution of 23 (1.26 g, 6.82 mmol) and 4-aminobenzoic acid (0.96 g, 7 mmol) in 50% AcOH (80 mL) was shaken with Raney nickel (ca. 0.2 g) in a Parr low-pressure apparatus under 2 atm of H_2 for 18 h. A heavy precipitate formed during the reaction. Solvents were evaporated, the residue (including the catalyst) was stirred with 10% NH4OH (250 mL) for 15 min, and the insoluble material was filtered off. Concentration of the filtrate to 75 mL on a rotary evaporator caused acid 24 to precipitate. Filtration and drying in vacuo at 90 °C over P_2O_5 gave a pale-yellow solid (1.58 g, 75%). A larger run on a 2.7-fold scale gave a 53% yield.

A solution of crude 24 (1.24 g, 0.004 mol) in 95-97% $HCO₂H$ (40 mL) was kept in an oil bath at 70-75 °C for 1.5 h, the HCO₂H was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was stirred for 10 min with H_2O (130 mL) to which NH₄OH was added to make the solution strongly alkaline. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate acidifed by dropwise addition of glacial AcOH. The precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo at 90° C over P_2O_5 : yield 0.91 g (68%); R_f 0.7 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃/MeOH/28%) NH4OH). The analytical sample was prepared from a 0.3-g portion of the product by chromatography on a DEAE-cellulose column $(HCO₃⁻ form, 1.5 \times 20$ cm). When a solution of the compound in $NH₄OH$ was applied to the top of the column a dense precipitate formed. The column was washed with $H₂O$ (200 mL), then with 0.2 M NH₄HCO₃ adjusted to pH 9.5 with NH₄OH. Colorless fractions containing minor impurities were discarded, and the eluent was changed to H_2O to which enough Et_3N was added to bring the pH to 11. The precipitate at the top of the column slowly dissolved, leaving dark immobile impurities on the column. Colorless fractions containing a single spot with R_f 0.7 (see above for TLC system) were pooled, concentrated to a volume of 40 mL, and acidified with glacial AcOH. The precipitate was filtered and dried in vacuo at 90 °C over P_2O_5 to obtain 25 as a white powder (0.16 g, starting from 0.3 g of crude material): IR (KBr) *v* 3450,1680 br, 1615,1545-1510 br, 1395,1335,1275 1230 (KD₁) *b* 3450, 1660 b1, 1610, 1646-1616 b1, 1556, 1556, 1276 1256
cm⁻¹: NMR (DMSO-d_e) δ 5.07 (br s, CH₂N), 7.13 (complex m, C_{3} -H, C_{5} -H, C_{5} -H, C_{7} -H, C_{8} -H, and NH $_{2}$), 7.83 (m, C_{9} -H and C_{6} -H), 8.77 (s, CHO). Another reaction conducted on a 2.7-fold larger scale and heated to reflux for 1 h gave a yield of 67%. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{16}N_6O_3·H_2O)$ C, H, N.

Methyl N⁵-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-N^a-(4-amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -formyl-5,8-dideazapteroyl)-L-ornithinate (26). A suspension of 25 (2.75 g, 7.75 mmol) in dry DMF (75 mL) was cooled in an ice bath while Et_3N (0.783 g, 1.078 mL, 7.75 mmol) followed by i-BuOCOCl (1.06 g, 1.01 mL, 7.75 mmol) was added with stirring. The solid did not completely dissolve. Another 10% each of Et₃N and i-BuOCOCl were therefore added, with trituration and sonication until nearly all the solid dissolved. To the mixture was then added 13-HC1 (2.45 g, 7.75 mol) followed again by Et_3N (0.783 g, 1.08 mL, 7.75 mmol). The solution was concentrated to ca. 10 mL and added dropwise with stirring to 2%

NH4OH (100 mL). The mixture was stirred in the ice bath for 5 min and filtered, and the solid was chromatographed on a silica gel column (50 g, 2.5×47 cm) with use of 9.1 CHCl₃/MeOH followed by 6:1 $\text{CHCl}_3/\text{MeOH}$ as eluents. Pooled TLC-homogeneous fractions $(R_f\ 0.3, \text{ blue-fluorescent spot, silica gel, 9:1})$ $CHCl₃/MeOH$) were concentrated to a small volume, and $Et₂O$ was added. The precipitate was collected and dried in vacuo at 60 °C over P_2O_5 to obtain 26 as a pale-yellow powder (2.16 g, 46%) yield): mp 113-119 °C; IR (KBr) ν 3400, 2970, 1730 sh, 1655, 1615, 1580-1570 br, 1520,1465,1420,1365,1340,1295,1270,1230,1210 cm⁻¹; NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.67 (m, CH₂CH₂), 3.17 (m, CH₂NHCbz), 3.60 (s, CH₃O), 4.70 (br m, α -CH, OH, and NH₂), 4.98, s, OCH₂H₅), 5.50 (br m, CH_2NCHO), 6.65-7.90 (complex m, $OCH_2C_6H_5$, C_5 -H, C_7 -H, C_8 -H, C_2 -H, C_8 -H, C_6 -H, C_6 -H, and NH₂), 8.37 (br s, CH). Anal. $(C_{31}H_{33}N_7O_6.0.5H_2O)$ C, H, N.

4-Amino-4-deoxy-5,8-dideazapteroyl-L-ornithine (5,8-ddA-PA-Orn, 7). Compound 26 (2.16 g, 3.61 mmol) was dissolved in 2 N HBr in AcOH (50 mL), the solution was left at room temperature for 30 min, 48% HBr (40 mL) was added, and the hydrolysis mixture was kept at room temperature for 17 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and H₂O (50 mL) was added to obtain a white precipitate (presumed to be a dihydrobromide salt), which was collected and dried on a lyophilizer. The residue (now soluble and presumed to be a monohydrobromide salt) was taken up in $H₂O$ (50 mL) and the pH adjusted to 11 with NaOH. A trace of insoluble residue was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was passed through a Dowex 50W-X2 column $(H^+$ form, 1.5×28 cm), with use of H_2O first to remove salts and then 3% aqueous Et_3N to elute the product. Concentration of fractions containing the product according to HPLC analysis $(C_{18}, 0.1 \text{ M } NH_4$ OAc, pH 7.5, with 12% MeCN, 1.0 mL/min flow rate, detection at 292 nm) gave a solid, which was collected and dried on a lyophilizer to obtain a light-yellow powder (1.21 g, 79%): mp >250 °C dec; IR (KBr) *v* 3440 br, 2980 sh, 1645, $1615, 1580, 1540$ sh, $1520, 1460$ sh, $1415, 1345, 1280$ cm⁻¹; NMR $(2:1 \text{ DMSO-}d_6 + D_2O) \delta 1.70 \text{ (m, CH}_2CH_2), 2.87 \text{ (m, CH}_2NH_2),$ 3.8-4.4 (br H₂O peak), 6.67 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, C_{3} -H and C_{5} -H), 7.35 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, \tilde{C}_T H), 7.58 (m, C_{2} -H, C_{8} -H, and C_{8} -H), 8.07 (s, C_{5} -H); UV (0.1 N HCl) λ_{max} 232 nm (ϵ 51 500), 265 sh (12000), 303 (12000); UV (pH 7.4) λ_{max} 229 nm (ϵ 49 200), 296 (23800; UV (0.1) N NaOH) λ_{max} 231 nm (ϵ 50400), 279 (25300), 290 infl (23600), 340 infl (4700). HPLC analysis (see above) showed a single peak with an elution time of 12.1 min. Microanalytical data were consistent with a hydrated partial HBr salt. Anal. $(C_{21}H_{25}N_7$ - $O_3 \cdot 0.65 HBr·2H_2O$) C, H, N, Br.

 N^{α} -(Benzyloxycarbonyl)- N^{δ} -(carboxymethyl)-L-ornithine (27). N^a-(Benzyloxycarbonyl)-L-ornithine (6.65 g, 25 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of glyoxylic acid monohydrate (2.64 g, 29 mmol) in MeOH (250 mL), and 1 N NaOH was added dropwise to the resulting slurry until the pH reached 8.0. The resulting solution was treated with $NaCNBH₃$ (1.57 g, 25 mmol), followed by enough 10% AcOH to bring the pH to 7.00 (meter). The pH was kept carefully at 7.00 ± 0.05 for 3 h by occasional dropwise addition of AcOH, and the solution was then left for 2 days with pH adjustment only every 10-15 h. After being concentrated to a small volume, the solution was applied onto a quaternary ammonium resin column (Amberlite CG-400,160 $g, 5 \times 24$ cm), which was eluted successively with a large volume of $H₂O$ and then with 2-10% AcOH. Eluates were monitored by TLC, and fractions with R_f 0.24 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃) MeOH/28% NH4OH, ninhydrin positive) were combined and concentrated to a small volume. The solid which crystallized was collected, washed with Me₂CO, and dried on a lyophilizer; yield 3.91 g (48%): mp 185.5 °C; IR (KBr) *v* 3390, 1695-1730, 1605 cm⁻¹; NMR (CF₃COOH) δ 1.93 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 3.40 (t, 2 H, CH₂NH), 4.18 (t, 2 H, NHCH₂COOH), 4.60 (m, 1 H, α -CH), 5.23 (s, 2 H, $C_6H_6CH_2O$), 7.33 (s, 5 H, $C_6H_6CH_2O$). Anal. ($C_{15}H_{20}$ - N_2O_6 -0.13 H_2O) C, H, N.

 N^{α} -(Benzyloxycarbonyl)- N^{δ} -(carboxymethyl)- N^{δ} -(tri fluoroacetyl)-L-ornithine (28). A solution of 27 (1.47 g, 4.5 mmol), CF_3COOEt (1.28 g, 9 mmol), and Et_3N (1.06 g, 10.5 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was kept at 25 °C for 5 days while TLC (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl3/MeOH/28% NH4OH) was used to follow the disappearance of starting material *(R,* 0.16) and formation of product $(R_f 0.89)$. The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure, the residue was taken up in H_2O

(IS mL), and the solution was applied onto an Amberlite IR120 column (H⁺ form, 2.0×21 cm). The column was eluted with H₂O, the eluates evaporated to a clear oil (1.76 g), and the oil taken up in Et₂O (100 mL). The solution was treated with N_vN-dicyclohexylamine (1.79 g, 9.9 mmol) in Et_2O (25 mL) and stirred for 18 h until a granular solid formed. Recrystallization of the crude product (2.35 g) from *i*-PrOH to gave a *bis-(N_rN-dicyclohexylammonium) salt* (2.2 g, 62% yield): mp 233-236 °C; TLC R_f 0.88 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃/MeOH/28% NH₄OH); IR (KBr) *p* 3450, 2940, 2880, 1700, 1635 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₇H₁₉F₃N₂O₇.) $2C_{12}H_{23}N$) C, H, N.

The free diacid was obtained by EtOAc extraction of a solution of the $bis(N,N\textrm{-}divc)$ dicyclohexylammonium) salt in dilute HCl. The extracts were washed with a small volume of H_2O , dried over MgS04, and evaporated to a thick oil which was used directly in the next step.

 N^{δ} -(Carboxymethyl)- N^{δ} -(trifluoroacetyl)-L-ornithine (29). A solution of the diacid 28, obtained as described above from the bis(N,N-dicyclohexylammonium) salt (2.14 g, 1.74 mmol), was dissolved in a mixture of EtOH (150 mL) and AcOH (30 mL) and shaken under 2-3 atm of H_2 for 18 h in the presence of 10% Pd-C (150 mg) in a Parr low-pressure apparatus. The catalyst was removed by filtration, the solution was evaporated, and the residue (ninhydrin-positive) was dissolved in a mixture of MeOH and EtOH to which 6 N HC1 (0.5 mL) had been added. The solution was evaporated to dryness, and residual traces of water were removed by azeotropic distillation, first with benzene and EtOH and then with EtOH alone. The resultant solidified foam was dried in vacuo over P_2O_5 at room temperature at 25 °C to obtain a hygroscopic *HCl salt* (0.94 g, 100% yield): mp 50-52 "C. Anal. $(C_9H_{13}F_3N_2O_6$ ·HCl·0.45C₂H₅OH) C, H, Cl, N.

A portion of the HCl salt was dissolved in $H₂O$, and pyridine and EtOH were added. Concentration of the solution to a small volume and storage at 0 °C led to formation of a gelatinous solid. Filtration, washing with cold EtOH and $Et₂O$, and drying in vacuo over P₂O₅ at 35 °C gave the free amino diacid: mp 111 °C (sintering above 60 °C). Anal. $(C_9H_{13}F_3N_2O_5.0.25H_2O.0.25C_2H_5OH)$ C, H, N.

 N^{δ} -(Carboxymethyl)-L-ornithine (30). A mixture of compound 27 (0.5 g, 1.53 mmol) and 10% Pd-C (50 mg) in MeOH (25 mL) , AcOH (10 mL) , and $H₂O (15 \text{ mL})$ was shaken overnight under 2-3 atm of H_2 in a Parr low-pressure apparatus. After filtration of the catalyst, the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with 10% NH₄OH, and the extracts were evaporated to dryness to obtain a colorless solid. The solid was digested with boiling $H₂O$ (8.5 mL), a small amount of insoluble flocculent material was removed, and the filtrate was diluted with $Me₂CO$ (40 mL) to obtain crystals. Drying in vacuo at 80 °C over P_2O_5 afforded colorless plates (0.27 g): mp $241-242$ °C; IR (KBr) ν 3420, 3020, 1625 cm⁻¹; [α]²⁰_D +1.5° (H₂O). Anal. $(C_7H_{14}N_2O_4.0.25H_2O)$ C, H, N.

 N^{α} -(4-Amino-4-deoxy- N^{10} -methylpteroyl)- N^{δ} -(carboxy methyl)- N^{δ} -(trifluoroacetyl)-L-ornithine (32). A stirred suspension of 29-HCl (0.652 g, 1.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) was cooled in an ice bath and treated successively with Et_3N (1.16) mL, 0.845 g, 8.36 mmol) and Me₃SiCl $(0.619$ g, 5.7 mmol). A clear solution was obtained, and stirring was continued at 25 °C for 18 h. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue redissolved in dry DMF (35 mL), and the solution set aside for the coupling step (see below).

Diethyl phosphorocyanidate (1.00 g, 6.18 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of 31 (0.678 g, 1.9 mmol, assumed to contain 1.75 mmol $\dot{H}_2 O^{25}$ in dry DMF (60 mL) containing Et₃N (0.625) g, 6.18 mmol). After 4 h at 25 °C, the DMF solution of silylated 29 (from the HCl salt; see above) was added and stirring was continued for 48 h. The reaction was quenched with MeOH (10 mL), the solvents were removed by rotary evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in a minimum volume of DMF. Portions (1-2 mL) of the solution were diluted 2-fold with a 1:9 mixture of EtOH and 0.1 M NIL/DAc, pH 7, and subjected to low-pressure reversed-phase chromatography on C_{18} silica gel. Elution was performed with 0.1 M NH4OAc, pH 7.0, containing increasing amounts of EtOH from 15 to 30%. Fractions that were pure by HPLC $(C_{18}, 10\% \text{ MeCN in 0.1 M NH}_4\text{OAc}, \text{pH } 6, \text{ retention time}$ 51 min) were pooled, evaporated, and freeze-dried to a yellow powder (0.53 g, 43% yield): mp 231 °C; TLC *R^t* 0.29 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃/MeOH/28% NH₄OH); IR (KBr) *v* 3400, 3170, 1685, 1640, 1610 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{24}H_{26}F_3N_0O_6, 3.3H_2O)$ C, H, N.

 N^{α} -(4-Amino-4-deoxy- \tilde{N}^{10} -methylpteroyl)-N⁵-(carboxymethyl)-L-ornithine (8). A solution of 32 (0.205 g, 0.31 mmol) in 10% NH₄OH (15 mL) was kept at 25 °C for 24 h, concentrated to a small volume by rotary evaporation, and applied onto a DEAE-cellulose column (20 g, $HCO₃⁻$ form, 2.0 \times 26 cm). The column was eluted with a large volume of H_2O to remove salts, and then with 1% $NH₄HCO₃$. Fractions showing a TLC spot with R_f 0.12 (silica gel, 5:4:1 CHCl₃/MeOH/28% NH₄OH) were combined, reduced in volume, filtered, and freeze-dried. Drying of the residue in vacuo over P_2O_5 at 100 °C gave a yellow powder (91 mg, 49% yield): mp >300 °C; IR (KBr) *v* 3440,1650-1610 cm⁻¹; UV λ_{max} (0.1 N HCl) 252 nm (ϵ 20.850), 305 (22.100), 335 infl (14500), 350 infl (11100); λ_{max} (0.1 N NaOH) 220 nm (ϵ 23050), 259 (25450), 301 (23800), 372 (8300). Anal. $(C_{22}H_{27}N_9O_5$. $0.25CF₃COOH·3.5H₂O)$ C, H, N.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by grants CA39867 (to A.R.), CA25394 (to A.R.), and CA41461 (to J.H.F.) from the National Cancer Institute, DHHS.

Registry No. 3 (free base), 132343-84-1; 3-HOAc, 132374-28-8; 4 (free base), 118675-83-5; 4-HOAc, 132374-29-9; 5 (free base), 132343-85-2; 5-xHOAc, 132374-30-2; 6 (free base), 132343-86-3; 6-xHOAc, 132374-31-3; 7 (free base), 132374-27-7; 7-xHBr, 132374-32-4; 8 (free base), 132344-00-4; 8-74TFA, 132344-01-5; 10,87373-56-6; 11,132343-87-4; 12,132343-88-5; 13-HC1,5874-75-9; 14,132343-89-6; 15,58677-08-0; 16,132343-90-9; 17,101810-72-4; 19,132343-91-0; 20,132343-92-1; 21,76822-61-2; 22,132343-93-2; 23,18917-68-5; 25,69827-84-5; 26,132343-94-3; 27,132343-95-4; 28 (free acid), 132343-96-5; 28-2DCHA, 132344-02-6; 29 (free base), 132343-97-6; 29-HC1,132344-03-7; 30,132343-98-7; 31,19741-14-1; 32, 132343-99-8; FPGS, 63363-84-8; DHFP, 9002-03-3; 4- $H_2NC_6H_4COOH$, 150-13-0; $(HO)_2CHCOOH$, 298-12-4; 4-02NC6H4C0C1,122-04-3; H-Orn(Cbz)-OH, 3304-51-6; Cbz-Orn-OH, 2640-58-6.