8 **Opioid Antagonist Activity and Binding Studies of Regioisomeric Isothiocyanate Derivatives of Naltrindole: Evidence for** *S* **Receptor Subtypes**

P. S. Portoghese,'-+ M. Sultana,+ W. L. Nelson,* P. Klein,* and A. E. Takemori*

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, and Department of Pharmacology, Medical School, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Received May 8, 1992

The isothiocyanate group was attached to the $4'$ -, $5'$ -, $6'$ -, or 7'-position of naltrindole in an effort to determine the importance of the position of this electrophilic group on the selectivity for subtypes of δ opioid receptors. All of the ligands were δ -selective when tested against standard agonists in smooth muscle preparations. However, the rank-order δ antagonism of antinociception in mice did not parallel the in vitro pharmacologic data. The 5'-isothiocyanate 2 was the most potent and selective antagonist in vivo, causing a 52-fold increase of the ED₅₀ for [D-Ser²,D-Leu⁵]enkephalin-Thr⁶ (DSLET) and no increase for [D-Pen²,D-Pen⁵]enkephalin (DPDPE). The effect of each of the ligands on the binding of [³H]DSLET and [³H]DPDPE to guinea pig brain membranes clearly differentiated between the binding sites that recognize these radioligands. These studies provide additional evidence for the presence of two subtypes of δ opioid receptors.

Introduction

There are at least three major types of opioid receptors that are located in the central nervous system and in peripheral tissues.¹ One of these receptor types, designated as δ , selectively binds the opioid peptides, leucine- and methionine-enkephalin.

In a recent study,² we have attached an isothiocyanate group to the 5'-position of naltrindole³ (NTI) (1), a highly selective and potent *6* opioid receptor antagonist, to obtain a nonequilibrium δ -selective antagonist. This compound, naltrindole 5'-isothiocyanate (5'-NTII) (2), produces a

time-dependent blockage of *S* receptors in the mouse vas deferens preparation (MVD) and prolonged antagonism of the δ-selective agonist, [D-Ser²,D-Leu⁵]enkephalin-Thr⁶⁴ (DSLET), in vivo. Presently, only 2 and [D-Ala²,Leu⁵]enkephalin-Cys⁶⁵ (DALCE) are known to produce non-

' Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Washington.

I Department of Pharmacology, University of Minnesota.

(1) Jaffe, J. H.; Martin, W. R. Opioid Analgesics and Antagonists. In *The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics,* **8th ed.; Gilman, A. G., Rail, T. W., Nies, A. S., Taylor, P., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1990; pp 485-521.**

(2) Portoghese, P. S.; Sultana, M.; Takemori, A. E.
Naltrindole-5-isothiocyanate: A Nonequilibrium, Highly Selective δ
Opioid Receptor Antagonist. J. Med. Chem. 1990, 33, 1547-1548.
(3) Portoghese, P. S.; Sultana, M.;

selective and potent non-peptide δ opioid receptor antagonist. Eur. J.
Pharmacol. 1988, 146, 185–186.
(4) Fournie-Zaluski, M. C.; Gacel, G.; Maigret, B.; Premilat, S.; Roques,

B. Structural Requirements for Specific Recognition of μ or δ Opiate
Receptors. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 1981, 20, 484–491.

(5) Calcagnetti, D. J.; Fenselow, M. S.; Helmstetter, F. J.; Bowen, W. D. [D-Ala² ,Leu^s ,Cys⁶]Enkephalin: Short term agonist effect and long term antagonism at delta opioid receptors. *Peptides* **1989,***10,***319-326.**

equilibrium δ antagonism.^{3,5} In this connection, it has been found⁶ that 2 selectively antagonizes the δ agonist, DSLET, while DALCE selectively antagonizes the agonist effect of [D-Pen²,D-Pen⁵]enkephalin⁷ (DPDPE). These and related studies⁸⁻¹⁴ suggest the existence of δ receptor subtypes, δ_1 and δ_2 , that are selectively blocked by DALCE and 2, respectively.

In the present study we describe the pharmacologic and binding properties of isothiocyanate regioisomers of naltrindole in an effort to determine the importance of the position of the isothiocyanate group on δ subtype selectivity.

Rationale

The rationale for preparing the isothiocyanate regioisomers 2-5 was based on the supposition that different

**(6) Jiang, Q.; Takemori, A. E.; Sultana, M.; Portoghese, P. S.; Bowen, W. D.; Mosberg, H. I.; Porreca, F. Differential Antagonism of Opioid
Delta Antinociception by [D-Ala²,Leu⁵,Cys⁶]Enkephalin and Naltrindole 5'-Isothiocyanate: Evidence for Delta Receptor Subtypes.** *J.Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1991, 257,1069-1075.**

(7) Mosberg, H. I.; Hurst, R.; Hruby, V. J.; Gee, K.; Yamamura, H. I.; Galligan, J. J.; Burks, T. F. Bis-penicillamine enkephalins possess highly improved specificity toward *S* **opioid receptor.** *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1983,** *80,* **5871-5874.**

(8) Sofluoglu, M.; Portoghese, P. S.; Takemori, A. E. Differential Antagonism of Delta Opioid Agonists by Naltrindole and its Benzofuran Analogue (NTB) in Mice: Evidence for Delta Opioid Receptor Types. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1991, 257, 676-680.**

(9) Sofuoglu, M.; Portoghese, P. S.; Takemori, A. E. Cross-Tolerance Studies in the Spinal Cord of /3-FNA-Treated Mice Provides Further Evidence for Delta Opioid Receptor Subtypes. *Life Sci.* **1991,** *49,* **PL-153-PL-156.**

(10) Negri, L.; Potenza, R. L.; Corsi, R.; Melchiorri, P. Evidence for Two Opioid Receptors in Rat Brain. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **1991,296,335- 336.**

(11) Mattia, A.; Vanderah, T.; Mosberg, H. L; Porreca, F. Lack of Antinociceptive Cross-Tolerance Between [D-Pen² ,D-Pen⁶] Enkephalin and [D-AIa²] Deltorphin II in Mice: Evidence for Delta Receptor Subtypes. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1991, 258, 583-587.**

(12) Jiang, Q.; Bowen, W. D.; Mosberg, H. I.; Rothman, R. B.; Porreca, F. Opioid Agonist and Antagonist Antinociceptive Properties of [D-Ala²,Leu⁵,Cys⁶]Enkephalin: Selective Actions at the delta-Noncomplexed Site. J

I. Evidence that [D-Pen²,D-Pen⁵] Enkephalin Interacts with High Affinity

at the $\delta_{\rm cr}$ Site. Peptides 1990, 12, 359–364.
(14) Vaughn, L. K.; Wire, W. S.; Davis, P.; Shimohigashi, Y.; Toth, G.;
Knapp, R. J.; Hruby, V. J.; Burks, T. F.; Yamamura, H. I. Differentiation
Between Rat-Brain and Mou *J. Pharmacol.* **1990,***177,* **99-101.**

t Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Minnesota.

Isothiocyanate Regioisomers of Naltrindole

Scheme I

subtypes of *S* opioid receptors may contain different distributions of nucleophiles that are proximal to, or a part of, the recognition sites. If one of the sites contains a receptor-based nucleophile in the vicinity of the isothiocyanato group of the reversibly bound ligand, covalent binding would be the result of a second recognition step that involves the juxtaposition of a pair of reactive groups. In principle, it should be possible to distinguish between closely related receptor subtypes because this interaction involves a double recognition process that results in recognition amplification.¹⁵ This differentiation has been displayed by the 5'-isomer 2 in that it antagonizes antinociception of DSLET but not DPDPE, despite the fact that both of these enkephalin analogues are δ -selective agonists.

Chemistry

The isothiocyanate regioisomers 2-5 were synthesized from naltrexone. Treatment of naltrexone with 2-, 3-, or 4-nitrophenylhydrazine under conditions of the Fischer indole synthesis¹⁶ afforded the desired isomeric nitroindoles 6-9 (Scheme I). When (3-nitrophenyl)hydrazine was employed, the 4'- and 6'-nitro products (6,8) were obtained in a ratio of 1:4, the regiochemistry being assigned on the basis of the distinctive NMR spectra of the isomeric amines obtained by catalytic reduction. Hydrogenation of the nitro groups in 6-9 using palladium or Raney nickel catalysts afforded the corresponding amines 10-13. Treatment of the amines with thiophosgene in the presence of base afforded the desired isothiocyanato target compounds $2 - 5$.

Biological Results

Smooth Muscle Preparations. The target compounds were tested on the electrically stimulated guinea pig ileal

longitudinal muscle (GPI) and mouse vas deferens (MVD) preparations as described previously (Tables I and II).¹⁷ The ligands (10OnM) were incubated with the preparations 30 min prior to testing. Morphine, ethylketazocine (EK), and [D- Ala² -D-Leu⁶] enkephalin⁴ (DADLE) were employed as μ , κ , and δ selective agonists, respectively. Morphine and EK were employed in the GPI, and DADLE was used in the MVD.

If the agonist concentration-response curve was shifted significantly in the presence of ligand, the preparation was washed extensively (postwash) and the IC_{50} of the standard agonist was redetermined on this treated preparation. The data are expressed as IC_{50} ratios: the IC_{50} of the antagonist-treated preparation divided by the IC_{50} of the control preparation.

Compounds 2-5 exhibited nonequilibrium antagonism of DADLE in the MVD. Because the 7'-isomer 5 appeared to be exceptionally potent, its IC_{50} ratio was determined at 1 nM, rather than 100 nM, in order to measure the agonist response. The order of postwash *5* antagonist potencies was $5 > 4 > 2 > 3$. With the exception of 5, all of the compounds were inactive as antagonists of morphine or EK in the GPI. It is noteworthy that the post-wash antagonist response of 5 was substantially greater than its prewash value. One explanation for this change is that washing more easily removes an agonist pharmacologic effect of 5, as no change was observed in the washed control preparation.

The agonist activity of the antagonists was evaluated at 1μ M (Table II). In the GPI the agonism ranged from 1 to 12% of a typical full agonist (100%). In the MVD, with the exception of 4, the agonist response generally was higher (19-32%). Thus, it appears that the antagonists possess very weak partial agonist activity.

Antagonist Selectivity Studies in Vivo. The isothiocyanates 2-5 (10 nmol icv) were administered to mice 24 h prior to the determination of the ED₅₀ values of the δ agonists (icv), DPDPE (δ_1) and DSLET (δ_2), morphine (μ) (sc), or the κ agonist, trans- (\pm) -3,4-dichloro-N-methyl-*N-* [2- (l-pyrrolidinyl)cyclohexyl] benzeneacetamide¹⁸ (U50488) (sc). The antinociceptive activites of the selective agonists in the antagonist-treated and untreated (control) mice were determined using the abdominal stretch assay.¹⁹ The activity of each of the antagonists is expressed as a ratio of ED_{50} values (treated/control) in Table III.

The 4'-isomer 3 weakly antagonized the antinociceptive effect of DPDPE and did not alter the ED_{50} values of

⁽¹⁵⁾ Takemori, A. E.; Portoghese, P. S. Affinity Labels for Opioid Receptors. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **1985,** *25,*193-223. (16) Robinson, B. *The Fischer Indole Synthesis:* Wiley Interscience: New York, 1982.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Portoghese, **P.** S.; Takemori, **A.** E. **TENA, A Selective Kappa**

Opioid Receptor Antagonist. Life Sci. 1985, 36, 801–805.
(18) von Voigtlander, P. F.; Lahti, R. A.; Ludens, J. H. A. Selective and
Structurally Novel Non-Mu (Kappa) Opioid Antagonist. J. Pharmacol. *Exp. Ther.* **1983,** *224,* 7-12. (19) Hayashi, G.; Takemori, A. E. The type of analgesic-receptor

interaction involved in certain analgesic assays. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **197**1, *16,* 63-66.

Table I. Antagonist IC₅₀ Ratios of Agonists upon Treatment of Smooth Muscle Preparations with Naltrindole Isothiocyanate Isomers

| | GPI | | | | |
|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | morphine | | EK | MVD: DADLE | |
| no. | prewash ^a | postwash ^b | prewash | prewash | postwash |
| | 0.90 ± 0.04 (3) | | 0.93 ± 0.04 (3) | 6.68 ± 1.61 (6) | $7.71 \pm 7.17(6)$ |
| | 0.77 ± 0.13 (3) ^c | | 0.51 ± 0.12 (3) | 4.59 ± 1.07 (6) | 3.71 ± 0.67 (6) |
| | $1.44 \pm 0.20(3)$ | | $1.04 \pm 0.39(3)$ | 155 ± 44 (4) | $185 \pm 40(4)$ |
| | 15.0 ± 2.9 (3) | 53.0 ± 9.8 (3) | 0.81 ± 0.18 (3) | 7.99 ± 1.76 (5) ^d | 16.1 ± 5.4 (5) ^d |

^a Prewash IC₅₀ ratios were obtained by dividing the IC₅₀ of the agonist in the presence of the antagonist (100 nM, 30-min incubation) by the IC50 of the agonist alone in the same tissue preparation.*^b* Postwash ICso values were obtained by thoroughly washing the preparation that was incubated with the antagonist (incubation for 5 min with naltrexone, 500 nM, followed by >40 washes) and then redetermining the agonist IC₅₀. Postwash IC₅₀ ratios were caclulated by dividing the postwash IC₅₀ by the control IC₅₀. CV alues are expressed as the mean \pm standard error of the mean with the number of experiments in parentheses. d Antagonist concentration was 1 nM.

Table II. Agonist Activities of Naltrindole Isothiocyanate Isomers in Smooth Muscle Preparations

| | agonist (% inhibition at $1 \,\mu\text{M}$) ^a | | |
|-----|---|---------------------|--|
| no. | GPI | MVD | |
| 2 | -2.4 ± 4.5 (3) ^b | 18.8 ± 5.3 (3) | |
| 3 | 1.0 ± 1.0 (3) | 24.4 ± 20.6 (3) | |
| | 12.4 ± 7.4 (3) | $0 \pm 0(3)$ | |
| 5 | 5.9 ± 10.9 (3) | 31.8 ± 2.3 (3) | |

" Values are expressed as the mean ± the standard error of the mean with the number of experiments in parentheses. ^b A negative value indicates the twitch height increased by the percent given.

DSLET, morphine, or U50488. The differences between the ED_{50} ratios of DPDPE and that of DSLET and other agonists are sufficiently small to be of questionable significance. The 6'-isomer 4 antagonized both DPDPE and DSLET to a moderate degree, but it was ineffective against morphine and U50488. The 7'-isomer 5 exhibited a profile similar to that of 4, but it was less potent. In contrast to compounds 3-5, the antagonist activity of the $5'$ -isomer 2, which was reported² in an earlier preliminary communication, produced a 52-fold increase in the ED_{50} of DSLET-induced antinociception. It is approximately 7.5- and 17.5-fold more potent than 4 and 5, respectively. In addition, the 5'-isomer 2 did not antagonize the effect of DPDPE.

Opioid Receptor Binding

Guinea pig brain membranes were incubated with 4 nM of compounds 2-5 for 1 h, and the membranes were then washed three times. The opioid receptor binding assay was performed using a modification of the procedure of Werling.²⁰ Because the in vivo data indicated that 2 antagonizes DSLET but not DPDPE, the effect of 2-5 on the binding of both [³H]DPDPE and [³H]DSLET was examined. When $[3H]$ DSLET was used, the μ sites were protected with 100 nM of the unlabeled μ ligand [D-Ala²,-MePhe⁴, Gly-ol⁵ Jenkephalin²¹ (DAMGO).

The isothiocyanates 2-4 produced significant decreases in the *Bn^* values of [³H]DPDPE binding without affecting the K_d values (Table IV). Since the $7'$ -isomer 5 at 4 nM caused total inhibition of binding, a lower concentration (0.25 nM) was employed. This change resulted in a 50% decrease in the K_d and a decrease in the B_{max} similar to those observed with the other isomers. The effects of the isothiocyanates on the binding of [³H]DSLET were very different from those on [³H] DPDPE binding, in that large increases (9- to 29-fold) of B_{max} and K_d (3.5- to 14.5-fold) values were observed for [³H]DSLET sites.

Discussion

The in vitro pharmacological data indicated that all of the isothiocyanates 2-5 were 5-selective. While the *T*isomer 5 was the most potent δ antagonist of the series, it was considerably less selective than the other isomers (Tabel I). However, the in vivo *6* antagonist data (Table III) revealed that the rank-order potencies and selectivities differ substantially from those obtained in the smooth muscle assays. In this regard, the 5'-isomer 2 displayed by far the most potent and selective antagonism of the antinociceptive activity of the δ_2 agonist DSLET, while exhibiting no antagonism of the δ_1 agonist DPDPE. Interestingly, antagonists 4 and 5 that are more potent in vitro showed little, if any, ability to distinguish between δ_1 and δ_2 receptor agonists. However, it appears that 4 is marginally more potent at δ_1 than at δ_2 sites.

The absence of correlation between the in vitro and in vivo pharmacological results may be explained by differences between subtypes of *8* receptors in the MVD and in the mouse CNS and/or by differences in the pathways that involve enkephalinergic receptors. The former possibility is not unreasonable in view of the reported¹⁴ difference between MVD and rat-brain *S* receptors.

Although the binding data show no correlation with the in vivo pharmacology, they do reveal a clear difference between the sites that are selective for [³H]DPDPE and those that are selective for [³H]DSLET (Table IV). The observed decrease in the B_{max} value of [3H]DPDPE binding is expected with nonequilibrium blockage of *5* sites. With the exception of the 7'-isomer 5, this decrease in available sites occurred without a significant change of the K_d of [³H]DPDPE. The effects of the isothiocyanate isomers on the sites that bind [³H]DSLET were very different from those involved in the binding of [³H]DPDPE, in that both K_d and B_{max} values of $[^3H]$ DSLET showed large increases. One possible explanation for the unusual binding behavior of [³H]DSLET binding sites after exposure to the isomeric isothiocyanates is that there are a small number of high-affinity sites and a larger pool of lower affinity sites.

The increase in $K_{\rm d}$ values of [³H] DSLET upon exposure to 2-5 appears to correlate better with the rank order IC_{50} ratios (Table I) obtained in the MVD than with the in vivo data (Table III). Whether or not this reflects a similarity between DSLET-selective *S* opioid sites in guinea pig brain membranes and those in the MVD remains to be clarified. In any case, the binding data strongly suggest that there is a difference between the recognition sites that bind [³H] - DSLET and those that bind [³H]DPDPE. These binding

⁽²⁰⁾ Werling, L. L.; Zarr; G. D.; Brown, S. R.; Cox, B. M. Opioid Binding to Rat and Guinea Pig Membranes in the Presence of Physiologic Cations at 37 ⁰C. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **1985,** *233,* 722-728. (21) Handa, B. K.; Lane, A. C; Lord, J. A. H.; Morgan, B. A.; Ranee,

M. J. Analogues of 6-LPH₆₁₋₆₄ Possessing Selective Agonist Activity at
µ-Opiate Receptors. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1981, 70, 531–540.

Table III. Antagonism of Opioid Agonist-Induced Antinociception by Naltrindole Isothiocyanate Isomers in Mice

| | ED_{50} ratio (95% confidence limits) ^a | | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| antagonist ^b | DPDPE ^c | DSLET ^c | Morphine ^d | $U-50.488Hd$ |
| 2 ^e | $0.6(0.2 - 3.1)$ | $52.1(27.3 - 106.4)$ * | $1.3(0.6 - 3.9)$ | $3.2(1.5-13.4)$ * |
| | $2.6(1.3 - 5.9)^*$ | $1.6(0.9 - 3.0)$ | $1.2(0.5-3.4)$ | $1.6(0.9-3.3)$ |
| | $9.2(4.9 - 22.1)$ * | $6.8(4.2 - 11.6)^*$ | $1.4(0.6-1.6)$ | $0.9(0.7-2.0)$ |
| | $3.7(1.5-13.1)$ * | $3.0(1.6-5.6)$ * | $2.0(0.6-7.0)$ | $0.8(0.6-1.2)$ |

 a ED₅₀ ratio = ED₅₀ + NTII isomer + control ED₅₀; ratios significantly greater than 1 are designated by asterisks. ^b All isothiocyanate derivatives of naltrindole were administered icv at a dose of 10 nmol/mouse 24 h before antinociceptive testing with the various agonists. \cdot DPDPE and DSLET were administered icv. ⁴ Morphine and U50,488H were administered sc. • Results with 2 were reported earlier² and are included here for comparison.

Table IV. Effect of Naltrindole Isothiocyanate Isomers on the Receptor Binding of [³H]DPDPE and [³H]DSLET

| | concn (nM) | [³ H]DPDPE binding (δ_1) | | $[3H]$ DSLET binding (δ_2) | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| NTII derivative ^a | | $K_d \pm SE$ (nM) | $B_{\text{max}} \pm \text{SE}$ (fmol/mg of protein) | $K_d \pm SE$ (nM) | $B_{\text{max}} \pm \text{SE}$ (fmol/mg of protein) |
| control | | 4.6 ± 0.4 | 17.3 ± 2.6 (3) | 4.2 ± 1.7 | 12.9 ± 1.9 |
| | | 5.9 ± 1.0 | 8.6 ± 1.8 * (3) | $37.2 \pm 9.1*$ | 191 ± 25 * (3) |
| | | 4.3 ± 0.7 | $7.0 \pm 0.9^*$ (3) | $14.7 \pm 1.9^*$ | 112 ± 9 (4) |
| | | 5.6 ± 1.0 | $8.3 \pm 2.0^*$ (3) | $39.8 \pm 8.6*$ | 380 ± 85 * (4) |
| | | | total inhibition of binding | $60.8 \pm 13.2*$ | 361 ± 105 * (6) |
| | 0.25 | $2.3 \pm 0.5^*$ | 5.6 ± 1.2 * (4) | $15.7 \pm 2.1^*$ | 208 ± 31 * (4) |

^a Brain membranes were incubated with 4 nM of the isothiocyanate for 1 h and washed three times. Asterisks signify values are significantly different from the control. Statistical significance (*) was assessed with the Student *t* test.

data provide additional evidence for the existence of at least two *b* opioid receptor subtypes: *b\,* which is selective for DPDPE, and δ_2 , which is selective for DSLET. Very recently we have reported²² on additional support for δ_1 and δ_2 receptor subtypes with the highly selective δ_1 opioid receptor antagonist 7-benzylidenenaltrexone (BNTX).

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Thomas Hoover capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1610 FTIR. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian VXR-300 or a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million *(6)* downfield from tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained on a VG-7070, VG-70SEQ, Finnigan 4000, or AEI MS-30 instrument. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO-DIP-4 digital polarimeter. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Analtech silica gel HLF glass plates. Flash chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Reagents and solvents were reagent grade and used without purification. Raney nickel catalyst (50% slurry in $H₂O$, pH > 9) was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Naltrexone hydrochloride was supplied by Mallinckrodt Inc. and the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Microanalyses were performed by Desert Analytics, Tucson, AZ, or MHW Laboratories, Phoenix, AZ. Where indicated by the symbols of the elements, analyses were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of theoretical values.

4-Nitronaltrindole (6) and 6-Nitronaltrindole (8). A mixture of naltrexone hydrochloride (5.0 g, 12.7 mmol) and (3 nitrophenyl)hydrazine hydrochloride (2.58 g, 13.3 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) was stirred for 24 h. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was treated with concentrated (37 %) aqueous hydrochloric acid (25 mL) and then heated at 115 ⁰C for 90 min. After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was carefully poured into a mixture of $NAHCO₃$ (30 g) and water (300 mL). After foaming had subsided, the mixture was extracted with 25% ethanol– $\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}_2$ (5 \times 100 mL). The combined extracts were dried $(Na₂SO₄)$ and the solvents were evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (130 g of silica gel) eluting with 4% triethylamine-3% methanol-CH₂Cl₂ (6 L) followed by 4% triethylamine-5% methanol- CH_2Cl_2 (1 L) to give, after triturating with ether, nitroindole 8 $(4.74 \text{ g}, 81 \text{ %})$: 141-152 °C dec; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_D - 187.5^{\circ}$ (c = 0.30, CH₂Cl₂). Further elution with 4%

triethylamine-7% methanol-CH₂Cl₂(2L) gave nitroindole 6 (1.11 g, 19%): dec above 220 °C; $[\alpha]_D - 141.5^{\circ}$ (c = 0.30, CH₂Cl₂).

6: ¹H NMR (CDCl3) *8* 0.1-0.25 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.5-0.7 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH), 0.75-0.95 (m, 1H, cyclopropyl CH), 1.65-1.75 (m, 1 H, C-15 H), 2.2-2.5 (m, 4 H, C-15 H, C-16 H, and NCH₂-cyclopropyl), 2.6-2.95 (m, 3 H, C-8 H, C-10 α H, and C-16 H), 3.0-3.15 (m, 2 H, C-8 H and C-10 β H), 3.36 (d, J $= 5.3$ Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.2 (br s, 2 H, movable, OH), 5.54 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H, C-2 H), 6.62 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, C-I H), 6.65-6.8 (m, 2 H, C-6' H and C-7' H), 7.4-7.55 (m, 1 H, C-5' H), 9.4 (br s, 1 H, NH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.67, 4.26, 9.28, 22.95, 31.38, 31.43, 43.55, 47.11, 59.31, 61.64, 72.36, 84.35, 109.21, 116.99, 117.12, 117.44, 118.49, 119.37, 120.42, 125.25, 130.35,133.14,138.55,138.66,141.81,142.62; FTIR (KBr) 3700- 2800 (OH and NH), 1618,1515,1458,1321 cm"¹ ; HREIMS calcd for C26H25N3O5 459.1794, found 459.1796.

8: ¹H NMR (CDCl3) *S* 0.1-0.25 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.45-0.65 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.75-0.95 (m, 1H, cyclopropyl CH), 1.50-1.65 (m, 1 H, C-15 H), 2.15-2.6 (m, 6 H, C-8 2H, C-15 H, C-16 H, and NCH2-cyclopropyl), 2.6-2.7 (m, 1 H, C-16 H), 2.95 (dd, *J* - 6.0 and 17.6 Hz, 1 H, C-IOa H), 3.12 (d, *J* = 18.9 Hz, 1 H, C-10 β H), 3.32 (d, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.49 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.3-6.4 (m, 1H, C-4' H), 6.55-6.7 (m, 2 H, C-2 H and C-7' H), 6.6 (br s, 1 H, movable, OH), 7.31 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 1 H, C-5' H), 9.3 (br s, 1 H, movable, NH); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.72, 4.16, 9.30, 22.91, 27.88, 31.16, 43.53, 47.76, 59.31, 61.81, 72.51, 83.71, 107.26, 110.49, 113.68, 117.54, 118.27, 119.74, 125.45, 129.84, 130.48,134.32,135.03,139.03,141.94,143.61; FTIR (KBr) 3700- 2800 (OH and NH), 1592,1508,1471,1379,1323 cm"¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{25}N_3O_5$ 459.1794, found 459.1796.

7-Nitronaltrindole (9). Naltrexone hydrochloride (377 mg, 1.0 mmol) and (2-nitrophenyl)hydrazine (153 mg 1.0 mmol) were dissolved in 1:1 mixture of hydrochloric acid and glacial acetic acid (10 mL). The resulting solution was heated at 85 °C for 6 h, cooled, basified with NaHCO₃, and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and after removal of solvent gave solid residue. The 7'-nitroindole 9 was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel) using gradient elution (CHCl₃-MeOH, 90:10 to 80:20) to give nitroindole 9 (232 mg, 50%); mp 200 ⁰C dec; *R^f* 0.54 (silica gel, CHCl₃-MeOH-NH₄OH, 9:1:0.5); ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6) δ 9.0 (brs, 1 H, >NH), 8.33 (s, 1 H, OH), 8.12 (d, 1 H, H-6'), 8.08 (d, 1 H, H-4'), 7.17 (m, 1H, H-5'), 5.60 (s, 1H, H-5); EIMS *m/z* 459 (M⁺). The free base was converted to its hydrochloride salt, mp 230 °C dec. Anal. $(C_{26}H_{25}N_3O_5 \cdot HCl \cdot 3H_2O)$ C, H, N.

4**-Aminonaltrindole (10).** A mixture of nitroindole 6 (400 mg, 0.87 mmol) and 10% palladium on carbon (40 mg) in methanol (35 mL) was treated with hydrogen gas at 50 psi on a Parr

⁽²²⁾ Portoghese, P. S.; Sultana, M.; Nagase, H. S.; Takemori, A. E. A Highly Selective *i* Opioid Receptor Antagonist: 7-Benzylidenenaltrexone (BNTX). *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 1992, *218,* 195-196.

apparatus for 17 h and then filtered through a 1-in. pad of Celite under suction and under a stream of argon. The solids were rinsed with methanol (50 mL), and the combined filtrates were evaporated to give 10 (380 mg, 100%) as a white powder: dec above 230 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ -57.0° ($c = 0.30$, methanol); ¹H NMR (methanol-d4) *S* 0.3-0.4 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.6-0.75 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.95-1.05 (m, 1 H, cyclopropyl CH), 1.7- 1.85 (m, 1H, C-15 H), 2.45-3.35 (m, 9 H, C-8 2 H, C-10 2 H, C-15 H, C-16 2 H, and NCH2-cyclopropyl), 3.79 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.61 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.27 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1 H, C-5' H), 6.60 (s, 2 H, C-I H and C-2 H), 6.75 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, C-7' H), 6.83 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H, C-6' H); ¹³C NMR (methanol- d_4) δ 6.02, 7.91,10.68, 26.88, 33.31, 34.59, 48.67, 50.19, 61.76, 65.78, 87.85, 106.16, 108.51, 111.72, 119.85, 121.06, 122.35, 126.38, 130.85, 133.43, 142.30, 143.69, 144.19, 146.93; FTIR (KBr) 3700-2600 (NH and OH), 1618, 1508, 1459 cm"¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{27}N_3O_3$ 429.2052, found 429.2060.

5-Aminonaltrindole (11). To a stirred solution of 5' nitronaltrindole²³ (7) (459 mg, 1.0 mmol) and Raney nickel (1.0 g) in ethanol (25 mL) at 25 ⁰C was added hydrazine hydrate (0.27 g, 6.0 mmol) dropwise under argon. After stirring for 30 min, the solution was filtered carefully. The filtrate was then evaporated to give a residue which was taken into water (20 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extract was dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated to give a residue which was triturated in ether (5 mL) and filtered to afford an amorphous solid; the solid was crystallized from ethanol to give the free base 11, which was converted to its hydrochloride salt (300 mg, 70 %): mp 250 °C dec; R_f 0.38 (silica gel, CHCl₃-MeOH-NH₄OH, 9:1: 0.1); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆) δ 7.05 (d, 1 H, H-7'), 6.7 (d, 1 H, H-6'), 6.6 (s, 1 H, H-4'), 6.5 (dd, 2 H, Hl and H2) 5.5 (s, 1 H, H-5); FABMS m/z 430 (M⁺ + H⁺). Anal. $(C_{26}H_{27}N_3O_3 \cdot HCl·H_2O) C$, **H.N.**

6-Aminonaltrindole (12). A mixture of nitroindole 8 (710 mg, 1.55 mmol) and 10% palladium on carbon (70 mg) in a 10% aqueous acetic acid (50 mL) was treated with hydrogen gas at 50 psi on a Parr apparatus for 30 min and then filtered through a 1-in. pad of Celite under suction. The solids were rinsed with 5 % aqueous acetic acid solution (100 mL). The combined filtrates were poured carefully over $NAHCO₃ (15 g)$, and then the mixture was extracted with 25% ethanol-CH₂Cl₂ (4 \times 50 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO4) and then filtered through a 1.5-in. column of silica gel under suction. The solids were rinsed with ethanol (250 mL), and then the combined filtrates were evaporated to give aminoindole 12 (500 mg, 75%) as a white powder: dec, above 220 °C; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_D$ –99.0° ($c = 0.30, 50\%$ ethanol- $CH₂Cl₂$); ¹H NMR (methanol-d₄) δ 0.1–0.2 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.45-0.6 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.8-0.95 (m, 1 H, cyclopropyl CH), 1.6-1.7 (m, 1H, C-15 H), 2.15-2.85 (m, 8 H, C-8 2 H , C-10 α H, C-15 H, C-16 2 H, and NCH₂-cyclopropyl) 3.10 (d, $J = 18.5$ Hz, 1 H, C-10 β H), 3.33 (d, $J = 6.1$ Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.53 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.49 (dd, *J* = 1.9 and 8.3 Hz, 1 H, C-5' H), 6.51 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-I H), 6.55 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-2 H), 6.66 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1 H, C-7' H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H, C-4' H); ¹³C NMR (methanol-d₄) δ 4.16, 4.65, 10.16, 24.07, 29.87, 32.59, 44.86, 60.27, 63.48, 74.38, 86.34, 98.50, 111.23, 111.37, 118.10, 119.46, 119.84, 121.74, 125.84, 128.50, 132.14, 139.92, 140.89, 143.68,144.55; FTIR (KBr) 3600-2600 (NH and OH), 1636,1508, 1458 cm^{-1} ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{27}N_3O_3$ 429.2052, found 429.2060.

7'-Aminonaltrindole (13). A suspension of Raney nickel (1.0 g) in EtOH (200 mL) and 9 (459 mg, 1.0 mmol) was treated dropwise with an excess of hydrazine (200 mg, 6.0 mmol) and with stirring at room temperature. The reaction mixture was monitored by TLC, and when no starting material was left $(1-1.5)$ h), the solvent was decanted and Raney nickel was washed several times with hot ethanol. The combined extracts were evaporated to give a residue which was taken into water and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was concentrated in vacuo, and the solid was removed and converted to its hydrochloride salt (180 mg, 42%): mp 280 ⁰C dec; *R^f* 0.27 (silica gel, CHCl3-MeOH-NH4OH, 9:1:0.5); NMR (DMSO-d6) *S* 6.34 (d, 1 H, H-6'), 6.55 (dd, 2 H, H-I and H-2), 6.62 (m, 2 H, H-5'

and **H-4'),** 5.45 (s, 1**H,** H-5); **FABMS** *m/z* 430 **(M⁺ + H⁺).** Anal. (C26H27N3O3^HCl-H2O) C, **H,** N.

5-Isothiocyanatonaltrindole (2). To a stirred solution of $5'$ -aminonaltrindole (11) (429 mg, 1.0 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL), water (10 mL), and NaHCO₃ (504 mg, 6.0 mmol) at 5 \degree C under argon was added freshly distilled thiophosgene (126 mg, 1.2 mmol) dropwise. The reaction mixture was allowed to return to room temperature and was stirred vigorously. When all the starting material had disappeared $(1.5-2h)$, the CHCl₃ layer was removed and washed successively with water (10 mL), NaHCO₃ solution $(25\% , 2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, and brine (10 mL) . The CHCl₃ was then evaporated to give 11, which was converted to its hydrochloride salt (180 mg, 38%): mp 230 °C dec; R_f 0.54 (silica gel, CHCl₃-MeOH-NH4OH, 9:1:0.01); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6) *6* 7.5 (s, 1 H, H-6'), 7.40 (d, 1H, H-4'), 7.10 (d, 1H, H-7'), 6.5 (dd, 2 H, Hl and H2), 5.5 (s, 1 H, H-5); FABMS m/z 471 (M⁺). Anal. (C₂₇H₂₅- $N_3O_3S \cdot HCl \cdot 3.5H_2O$ C, H, N.

4-Isothiocyanatonaltrindole (3). To a vigorously stirred mixture of aminoindole 10 (220 mg, 0.51 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (90 mg, 1.08 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5.0 mL) was added thiophosgene (41 μ L, 0.54 mmol) dropwise. After stirring vigorously for 6 h with an oil bubbler attached, the mixture was treated with halfsaturated aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution (20 mL) and then extracted with 25% EtOH-CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 25 mL). The combined extracts were dried (MgSO4) and the volatiles were evaporated. The residue was triturated with ether $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ to give isothiocyanate 3 (80 mg, 31%), which was converted into its hydrochloride salt (2-propanol-ether): dec > 240 °C; $[\alpha]_D - 41.5^{\circ}$ (c = 0.30, CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR of free amine (CDCl₃) δ 0.1–0.2 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH₂), 0.55-0.65 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH₂), 1.7-1.8 (m, 1 H, cyclopropyl CH), 2.2-2.5 (m, 4 H, C-15 H, C-16 H, and NCHz-cyclopropyl), 2.68 (d, *J* = 16.4 Hz, 1H, C-8 H), 2.7-2.8 (m, 1 H, C-16 H), 2.86 (dd, $J = 6.6$ and 18.7 Hz, 1 H, C-10 α H), 3.13 (d, *J* = 16.2 Hz, 1 H, C-8 H), 3.15 (d, *J* = 18.6 Hz, 1 H, C-10/J H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.61 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-I H), 6.65 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-2 H), 6.76 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1 H, C-7' H), 6.83 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1 H, C-5' H), 6.93 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1 H, C-6' H), 8.40 (br s, 1 H, NH); ¹³C NMR of free amine (acetone-d6) *6*10.40,10.51,16.32,30.05,36.88,38.54, 50.41, 54.55, 66.21, 69.72, 79.10, 90.88, 116.41, 118.53, 124.17, 124.23, 125.63, 128.58, 128.85, 129.15, 131.82, 137.98, 138.73, 139.74, 145.20, 146.85, 150.27; FTIR of free amine (neat) 3600-2800 (OH and NH), 2118 (NCS), 1618,1502 cm"¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{25}N_3O_3S$ 471.1616, found 471.1610. Anal. $(C_{27}H_{25}O_3S)$ N303S-HC1-1.5H20) C, **H,** N.

6-Isothiocyanatonaltrindole (4). To a vigorously stirred mixture of aminoindole 12 (930 mg, 2.17 mmol) and NaHCO³ $(400 \text{ mg}, 4.8 \text{ mmol})$ in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL), cooled in an ice bath, was added thiophosgene (180 μ L, 2.4 mmol) dropwise. After 5 min the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred vigorously for 18 h with an oil bubbler attached. The mixture was treated with half-saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ solution (50) mL) and then extracted with 25% EtOH-CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 25 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water (50 mL) and dried (MgSO4), and the volatiles were evaporated to give 4, which was converted to its hydrochloride salt (390 mg, 36%) (recryst ethanol-ether): dec above 220 °C; $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}$ -150.0° (c = 0.30, CH₂. Cl₂); ¹H NMR of free amine (acetone- d_6) δ 0.1-0.3 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.45-0.65 (m, 2 H, cyclopropyl CH2), 0.85-1.0 (m, 1H, cyclopropyl CH), 1.6-1.75 (m, 1H, C-15 H), 2.2-2.45 (m, 2 H, C-15 H and C-16 H), 2.45 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2 H, NCH2 cyclopropyl), 2.57 (d, *J* = 15.7 Hz, 1 H, C-8 H), 2.7-2.9 (m, 3 H, C-8 H, C-10 α H, and C-16 H), 3.16 (d, $J = 18.5$ Hz, 1 H, C-10 β H), 3.37 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 1 H, C-9 H), 5.57 (s, 1 H, C-5 H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, C-I H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H, C-2 H), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 1.5 and 8.3 Hz, 1 H, C-5' H), 7.24 (s.l H, C-7' H), 7.34 $(d, J = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}, \text{C-4'} \text{ H}), 10.43 \text{ (br s, 1 H, NH)}$; ¹³C NMR of free amine (acetone-d₆) δ 10.38, 10.56, 16.30, 29.93, 35.66, 38.55, 50.53, 54.87, 66.13, 69.40, 79.32, 91.19, 115.53, 118.32, 123.78, 124.09, 125.55, 126.47, 131.15, 131.86, 133.12, 138.01, 139.35, 143.52,146.77,150.33; FTIR of HCl salt (KBr) 3600-2500 (OH and NH), 2115 (NCS), 1624, 1501 cm"¹ ; HREIMS calcd for $C_{27}H_{26}N_3O_3S$ 471.1616, found 471.1617. Anal. $(C_{27}H_{26}N_3O_3S\text{-HCl})$, C, H, N.

7-Isothiocyanatonaltrindole (5). A solution of 13 (214 mg, 0.5 mmol), in water (5 mL), CHCl₃ (15 mL) and NaHCO₃ (252)

⁽²³⁾ Portoghese, P. S.; Sultana, M.; Takemori, A. E. Design of Peptidomimetic *S* Opioid Receptor Antagonists Using the Message-Address Concept. *J. Med. Chem.* 1990, *33,* 1714-1720.

Isothiocyanate Regioisomers of Naltrindole

mg, 3.0 mmol) was stirred for 5 min and then treated with redistilled thiophosgene (0.063 mg, 0.6 mmol). After 1 h the CHCl₃ layer was removed and washed successively with dilute aqueous NH₄OH (2×10 mL) and water (2×15 mL), dried, and evaporated to give a residue which was purified on preparative TLC (silica gel, CHCl₃-MeOH-NH₄OH 90:10:0.1) to afford 5 (70 mg, 29%): mp 230 °C dec; R_{*f*} 0.51 (silica gel, CHCl₃-MeOH-NH4OH 9:1:0.1 v/v); ¹H NMR (DMSO-d6) *h* 9.03 (s, 1H, >NH), 8.32 (s, 1 H, OH), 7.43 (d, 1 H, H-6'), 7.42 (d, 1 H, H-4'), 6.94 (t, 1H, H-5'), 6.52 (dd, 2 H, Hl and H2), 5.51 (s, 1H, H-5); FABMS *m/z* 471. The free base was converted to its hydrochloride salt, mp 280 °C dec. Anal. $(C_{27}H_{26}N_3O_3S\cdot HCl\cdot 3H_2O)$, C, H, N.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse. We thank Mike Powers, Veronika Doty, Mary Schwartz, and Joan Naeseth for capable technical assistance.

Registry No. 2,126876-64-0; 2-HC1,143680-17-5; 3,143619- 50-5; 3-HC1,143680-18-6; 4,143619-51-6; 4-HC1,143680-19-7; 5, 143619-52-7; 5-HC1,143680-20-0; 6,143619-53-8; 7,122431-09-8; 8,143619-54-9; 9,136349-13-8; 9-HC1,143680-21-1; 10,143619- 55-0; 11,126898-43-9; 11-HC1,143680-22-2; 12,143619-56-1; 13, 143619-57-2; 13-HCl, 143680-23-3; H₂NNHC₆H₄-3-NO₂-HCl, 51516-96-2; $H_2NNHC_6H_4$ -2-NO₂, 3034-19-3; naltrexone hydrochloride, 16676-29-2.