was 0.079, and the standard deviation of fit was 8.9. The coordinates reported in the supplementary material are for this refinement. The CRYM system of computer programs was used. 51

Data for (R)-5: $C_{16}H_{23}N_3O \cdot HBr \cdot H_2O M_r = 291.391 \times 80.92 \times 18.01$; triclinic; space group P1; unit cell a = 7.284 (4) Å, b = 8.417 (2) Å, c = 14.519 (4) Å; $\alpha = 81.12$ (3)°, $\beta = 102.68$ (4)°, $\gamma = 102.53$ (3)°; V = 842.39 ų; Z = 2; $D_c = 1.46$ g cm⁻³; λ(Cu Kα) = 1.5418 Å; $\mu = 3.2$ mm⁻¹; T = 123(2) K.

Dopamine and Serotonin Binding Assays. Receptor binding studies for the D2 dopamine receptor were carried out using [3 H]raclopride (specific activity 80 Ci/mmol, NEN) using homogenates of rat striata prepared with a Polytron and diluted 1:300. 29 Incubation was for 1 h at room temperature, at which time samples were filtered over SS #24 filters (pretreated with 0.05% PEI) and rinsed three times with 0.5 mL of 50 mM TRIS pH 7.4 buffer. Filters were counted using standard liquid scintillation techniques. Nonspecific binding was determined using haloperidol (1 μ M). IC₅₀ values were obtained using at least four concentrations of the drug, in triplicate, and calculated using log-probit analysis. K_i values were calculated from IC₅₀ values using standard methods; standard error was <5%.

Receptor binding studies for the $5\mathrm{HT_{1A}}$ receptor were carried out using [$^3\mathrm{H}$]DPAT (specific activity 85 Ci/mmol, NEN) using homogenates of bovine hippocampus prepared with a Polytron and diluted $1:400.^{30}$ Incubation was for 1 h at room temperature, at which time samples were filtered over SS #24 filters (pretreated with 0.05% PEI) and rinsed three times with 0.5 mL of 50 mM TRIS pH 7.4 buffer. Nonspecific binding was determined using serotonin (1 μ M).

Amine Synthesis. Brain levels of DOPA and 5-HTP in the rat were determined as described previously. Briefly, Upjohn CF-1 rats were injected sc with test drug or vehicle at time zero. Fifteen minutes later the rats received an aromatic decarboxylase inhibitor (m-hydroxybenzylhydrazine at 100 mg/kg ip). The rats were sacrificed 30 min later, and the tissues in the ventral limbic brain area were removed and frozen for later analysis. Tissues were weighed and extracted in 0.1 N perchloric acid containing an internal standard of dihydroxybenzylamine (2 μ g/mL). The extract was then analyzed by HPLC using a Bioanalytical Systems ODS column. DOPA and 5-HTP were detected electrochemically and quantified by peak integration using Waters Maxima software. Biochemical differences were compared between a control (n = 6) and a test group (n = 6) by unpaired t test.

Recordings from Dopaminergic and Serotonergic Neurons. Charles River male Sprague-Dawley rats (280-330 g) were anesthetized with chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg ip). Supplemental doses were administered as needed to maintain anesthesia. The

femoral artery and vein were cannulated for blood pressure and drug administration. The animal's head was held in a stereotaxic device and a small burr hole drilled at the appropriate location. Extracellular action potentials were recorded with a glass microelectrode (tip size $< 1 \mu m$) filled with pontamine sky blue dye in 2 M sodium chloride. Dopaminergic neurones were identified by their long duration action potential (>2.5 ms), shape, and firing pattern (>12 spikes/s) as previously described.⁵⁶ The recording electrode was hydraulically lowered into the substantia nigra pars compacta area (P 5.0-6.0 mm, L 2.0-2.2 mm, V 7.0-8.0 mm) according to the coordinates of Paxinos and Watson.⁵⁷ Serotonergic neurones were identified by their large, biphasic positive–negative action potentials with slow and regular firing rates (approximately 0.8-2.5 spikes/s) as previously described.⁵⁸ The recording electrode was hydraulically advanced to reach the dorsal raphe nucleus (A 0.5-1.7 mm, L 0 mm, V 3.5-4.2 mm) according to the coordinates of Paxinos and Watson.⁵⁷ At the termination of each recording session, the location of the cell was identified by passing a 10-μA cathodic current for 10-20 mins. The brain was then removed, sectioned, and stained, and the pontamine sky blue deposit verified in each animal. Only those cells found to be in the appropriate area were included in the study. All drug solutions were made in distilled water. Each drug injection contained no more than 0.15 mL of a given concentration, followed by 0.2-0.4 mL of physiological saline to clean the catheter of any residual drug. Drug effects were measured as changes in firing rates as indicated by an integrated ratemeter output throughout the experiment. The dose required to depress neuronal firing by 50% was taken as the ED₅₀, measured by interpolation of the dose-response curve for each individual cell.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of atomic coordinates, isotropic thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, torsion angles, anisotropic thermal parameters, hydrogen bonds, and close intermolecular contacts (6 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page. The atomic coordinates are deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Noncataleptogenic, Centrally Acting Dopamine D-2 and Serotonin 5-HT₂ Antagonists within a Series of 3-Substituted 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indoles

Jens Perregaard,* Jørn Arnt, Klaus P. Bøgesø, John Hyttel, and Connie Sánchez

Research Departments, H. Lundbeck A/S, Ottiliavej 9, DK-2500 Copenhagen-Valby, Denmark. Received August 8, 1991

A series of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indoles substituted at the 3-position with 1-piperazinyl, 1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridinyl, and 4-piperidinyl was synthesized. Within all three subseries potent dopamine D-2 and serotonin 5-HT₂ receptor affinity was found in ligand binding studies. Quipazine-induced head twitches in rats were inhibited by most derivatives as a measure of central 5-HT₂ receptor antagonism. Piperazinyl and tetrahydropyridyl indoles were cataleptogenic, while piperidyl substituted indoles surprisingly were found to be noncataleptogenic or only weakly cataleptogenic. Noncataleptogenic piperidyl derivatives also failed to block dopaminergic-mediated stereotypies, that is methyl phenidate-induced gnawing behavior in mice. These profiles resemble that of the atypical neuroleptic clozapine. 1-Ethyl-2-imidazolidinone was found to be the optimal substituent of the basic nitrogen atom in order to avoid catalepsy. The atypical neuroleptic 1-[2-[4-[5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]-1-piperidinyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone (sertindole, compound 14c) was selected for further development as a result of these structure/activity studies.

Introduction

Treatment of psychoses such as schizophrenia, mania, paranoia, and the like with neuroleptic drugs has been well established since the introduction of chlorpromazine about 40 years ago. For most schizophrenic patients, positive

symptoms like hallucinations and delusions are alleviated by this medication while negative symptoms like blunted affect, emotional withdrawal, apathy, and motor retardation are poorly treated. Severe side effects are frequently experienced during antipsychotic drug treatment. In-

⁽⁵⁶⁾ Piercey, M. F.; Hoffmann, W. E.; Vogelsang, G. D.; Travis, M. Electrophysiological Evaluation of a Partial Agonist of Dopamine Receptors. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1988, 243, 391-396.

⁽⁵⁷⁾ Paxinos, G.; Watson, C. The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates; Academic Press: Sydney, 1986.

⁽⁵⁸⁾ Lum, J. T.; Piercey, M. F. Electrophysiological Evidence that Spiperone is an Antagonist of 5HT_{1A} receptors in the Dorsal Raphe Nucleus. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1988, 149, 9-15.

Figure 1. Structures of neuroleptic compounds: (1R,3S)trans-3-(4-fluorophenyl)indans (1), 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-indenes (2), 3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles (3), and 8fluoro-5-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-[4-hydroxy-4-(4-fluorophenyl)butyl]-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-pyrido[4,3-b]indole (flutroline 4).

voluntary movement disorders or extrapyramidal side effects (EPS) such as a parkinsonian syndrome, akathisia, dystonia, and tardive dyskinesia occur in a high percentage of patients treated with classical neuroleptics like chlorpromazine, fluphenazine, and haloperidol. A common feature of this class of compounds is the ability to induce catalepsy in rodents. Catalepsy has been suggested to correlate to the propensity of a drug to induce EPS or specifically drug-induced Parkinsonism in patients. In the mid-1960s clinical studies of clozapine proved this compound to be an efficacious drug in the treatment of psychoses without producing EPS.2 Unfortunately, severe incidences (some fatal) of clozapine-induced agranulocytosis have put very strict limitations to the clinical use of this otherwise beneficial neuroleptic drug.3 Clozapine is the prototype of the atypical antipsychotic drugs. 4,5 Compared to classical neuroleptics these compounds are not or only weakly cataleptogenic in rodents. Attempts to correlate these properties to receptor affinity profiles seem to indicate the importance of high affinity for central 5-HT₂ receptors.^{6,7} It has also been suggested that properly balanced D-1/D-2 receptor interactions in combination with 5-HT₂ receptor blockade favor an atypical neuroleptic profile.⁷ Clozapine is also a potent antimuscarinic compound. A hypothesis of imbalance in DA/ acetylcholine systems has been suggested for drug-induced EPS.8 The low propensity of certain anticholinergic

- (1) Arnt, J.; Christensen, A. V.; Hyttel, J. Differential Reversal by Scopolamine of Effects of Neuroleptics in Rats. Relevance for Evaluation of Therapeutic and Extrapyramidal Side-effect Potential. Neuropharmacology 1981, 20, 1331-1334.
- (2) Hippius, H. The History of Clozapine. Psychopharmacology 1989, 99, S3-S5
- (3) Krupp, P.; Barnes, P. Leponex-Associated Granulocytopenia: A Review of the Situation. Psychopharmacology 1989, 99, S118-S121
- Meltzer, H. Y. Clinical Studies on the Mechanism of Action of Clozapine: the Dopamine-Serotonin Hypothesis of Schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology 1989, 99, S18-S27
- Meltzer, H. Y. New Insights into Schizophrenia Through Atypical Antipsychotic Drugs. Neuropsychopharmacology
- 1988, I (3), 193-196. Meltzer, H. Y.; Matsubara, S.; Lee, J.-C. Classification of Typical and Atypical Antipsychotic Drugs on the Basis of Dopamine D-1, D-2 and Serotonin₂ pK_i Values. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1989, 251 (1), 238-246.
- (7) Meltzer, H. Y.; Matsubara, S.; Lee, J.-C. The Ratios of Serotonin₂ and Dopamine₂ Affinities Differentiate Atypical and Typical Antipsychotic Drugs. Psychopharmacol. Bull. 1989, 25 (3), 390-392.

Scheme I. Syntheses of 1-[1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]piperazines (6)

$$X$$
 $COOCH_3$
 $MgCl_2·6H_2O$
 $NMP. \Delta$
 X
 $N-R$
 $N-R$
 $N-R$
 $N-R$
 $N-R$
 $N-R$

neuroleptics including clozapine to induce EPS has consequently been attributed to a restoration of this imbalance. Central α_1 adrenoceptor antagonism has also been implicated to account for low incidences of EPS after treatment with atypical neuroleptics. Repeated coadministration of the α_1 antagonist prazosin and haloperidol was found to reduce the effect of haloperidol in nigrostriatal areas, which are believed to be the origin of motor dysfunctions. 5-HT₂ receptor blockade has additionally been suggested to correlate with clinical improvement of the negative symptoms of schizophrenia.10

Our previous studies within a series of trans-3-phenyl-1-piperazinoindans (1) (Figure 1) of (1R,3S) configuration revealed marked neuroleptic activity in certain of these compounds with concomitantly potent 5-HT2 receptor blocking activity.^{11,12} One of these indan derivatives, tefludazine $(1, X = CF_3, R = CH_2CH_2OH, racemic mix$ ture), 13 was selected as a candidate for clinical trials, but the development was discontinued due to toxicological findings in preclinical animal studies. Like classical neuroleptics tefludazine potently induced catalepsy in rats. We have previously shown that the rather complex situation of isomerism of phenylindans (trans/cis isomers each with a pair of stereoisomers) could be reduced to only stereoisomerism by introducing a double bond in the indan ring and substituting the piperazine ring by a 1,2,3,6tetrahydropyridine or a piperidine ring. This series of 3-phenyl-1-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)- and 3-phenyl-

- (8) Bruhwyler, J.; Chleide, E.; Mercier, M. Clozapine: An Atypical Neuroleptic. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 1990, 14, 357-363.
- Lane, R. F.; Blaha, C. D.; Rivet, J. M. Selective Inhibition of Mesolimbic Dopamine Release Following Chronic Administration of Clozapine: Involvement of Alpha1-Noradrenergic Receptors Demonstrated by in Vivo Voltammetry. Brain Res. 1988, 460, 398-401.
- (10) Gelders, Y. G. Thymosthenic Agents, A Novel Approach in the Treatment of Schizophrenia. Br. J. Psychiatry 1989, 155 (suppl. 5), 33-36.
- (11) Bøgesø, K. P.; Arnt, J.; Boeck, V.; Christensen, A. V.; Hyttel, J.; Jensen, K. G. Antihypertensive Activity in a Series of 1-Piperazino-3-phenylindans with Potent 5-HT₂ Antagonistic Activity. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 2247-2256.
- (12) Bøgesø, K. P. Neuroleptic Activity and Dopamine-Uptake Inhibition in 1-Piperazino-3-phenylindans. J. Med. Chem. 1983, *26*, 935–947.
- (13) Svendsen, O.; Arnt, J.; Boeck, V.; Bøgesø, K. P.; Christensen, A. V.; Hyttel, J.; Larsen, J.-J. The Neuropharmacological Profile of Tefludazine, a Potential Antipsychotic Drug With Dopamine and Serotonin Receptor Antagonistic Effects. Drug Dev. Res. 1986, 7, 35-47.

Scheme II. Syntheses of 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-indoles (10)

1-(4-piperidyl)indenes (2) (Figure 1) also retained potent neuroleptic activity.¹⁴ Encouraged by the reported weak neuroleptic activity of 1-unsubstituted 3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles (3)15 and strong neuroleptic potency of certain 5-(4-fluorophenyl)tetrahydro-γcarbolines (flutroline 4, Figure 1), 16 we felt prompted to investigate the potential neuroleptic activity of 1phenyl-substituted indole analogues of structures 1 and 2. By introducing the indole structure, problems with the geometric and optical isomerism associated with indans (1) and indenes (2), respectively, were eliminated. This paper will discuss the chemical development and the structure/activity relationships of these new indole neuroleptics, which have led to the discovery of the new atypical neuroleptic, sertindole (recommended INN name) (compound 14c).17,18

Chemistry

The present study has been restricted to 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-substituted indoles since studies of the previously mentioned indans (1) and indenes (2) have indicated this particular aryl substituent as optimal both for neuroleptic activity as assessed by antistereotypic effects (methyl phenidate and amphetamine antagonism)12 and 5-HT2 receptor affinity.11

3-Piperazino-1H-indoles 6. 5-Substituted 1-(4fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-1H-indole-2-carboxylic acid methyl esters (5) (Scheme I) were used as readily available

Table I. 1-[1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]piperazines (6)

compd	X	R	mp, °C	formula ^a
6a	CF ₃	CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	167	C ₂₁ H ₂₁ F ₄ N ₂ O
6b	Cl	CH ₃	136–137	C ₁₉ H ₁₉ ClFN ₃
6c	Cl	IMID ^b	173	C ₂₃ H ₂₅ ClFN ₅ O

^a Microanalyses (C, H, N) were within ±0.4 of the theoretical IMID =

Table II. 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-indoles (10)

compd	X	$method^a$	mp, °C	$formula^b$
10a	H	A	40	C ₁₄ H ₁₀ FN
1 0b	NO_2	Α	144-145	$C_{14}H_9FN_2O_2$
10c	CN	Α	110-112	$C_{15}H_9FN_2$
10 d	F	A or B	\mathbf{oil}^c	
1 0e	CH_3	В	\mathbf{oil}^c	
10 f	CF_3	C	53	$C_{15}H_{19}F_4N$
10g	CH_3SO_2	C	126	$C_{15}H_{12}FNO_2S$
10 h	Cl	C or D	86-87	C ₁₄ H ₉ ClFN
1 0i	OCH ₃	D	96	$C_{15}H_{12}FNO$
10j	Br	D	101	C ₁₄ H ₉ BrFN

^aSee Scheme II and Experimental Section for reaction conditions. b Microanalyses (C, H, N) were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values. $^{c\,1}H$ NMR spectra are reported in the Experimental Section for compounds which were obtained as an oil.

substrates for the syntheses of the 3-piperazino derivatives. The methods of Unangst et al. 19,20 were adapted for the preparation of esters 5. Hydrolysis, decarboxylation, and subsequent addition of the appropriately N-substituted piperazines to the intermediate 3-indolinones were performed as a one-pot reaction sequence under an inert nitrogen atmosphere (Scheme I). This special reaction

⁽¹⁴⁾ Perregaard, J. Jpn. Kokai Tokkyo Koho JP 5989661, 1984; Chem. Abstr. 1985, 102, 24484m.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Guillaume, J.; Nedelec, L.; Dumont, C. EP Patent 22705 A1,

^{1981;} Chem. Abstr. 1981, 94, 156767g. (16) Harbert, C. A.; Plattner, J. J.; Welch, W. M. Neuroleptic Activity in 5-Aryltetrahydro-γ-carbolines. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 23, 635-643.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Sánchez, C.; Arnt, J.; Dragsted, N.; Hyttel, J.; Lembøl, H. L.; Meier, E.; Perregaard, J.; Skarsfeldt, T. Neurochemical and In Vivo Pharmacological Profile of Sertindole, a Limbic-Selective Neuroleptic Compound. Drug Dev. Res. 1991, 22, 239-250.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Skarsfeldt, T.; Perregaard, J. Sertindole, a New Neuroleptic With Extreme Selectivity on A10 Versus A9 Dopamine Neurones in the Rat. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1990, 182, 613-614.

Unangst, P. C.; Carethers, M. E. Indole Esters as Heterocyclic Synthons. III. Preparation and Reactions of Furo[3,2-b]indoles. J. Heterocyc. Chem. 1984, 21, 709-714.

Unangst, P. C.; Connor, D. T.; Stabler, S. R.; Weikert, R. J. Synthesis of Novel 1-Phenyl-1H-indole-2-carboxylic Acids. I. Utilization of Ullmann and Dieckmann Reactions for the Preparation of 3-Hydroxy, 3-Alkoxy, and 3-Alkyl Derivatives. J. Heterocyc. Chem. 1987, 24, 811-815.

sequence was elaborated to avoid isolation of the intermediate 3-indolinones which, upon exposure to air, are very susceptible to undergo oxidative dimerizations to indigo type of products.²¹ Synthesized 1-[1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]piperazines (6) are shown in Table I.

3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles (11 and 12) and 3-(4-Piperidyl)-1H-indoles (13 and 14). Key intermediates for the preparation of 3-(1.2.3.6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl) and 3-(4-piperidyl) derivatives were the 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-substituted indoles (10) (Scheme II, Table II). Literature procedures, albeit very rare, for the preparation of 1-phenylindoles either involve copper-catalyzed Ullmann arylation²² with aryl halides or nucleophilic aromatic substitution²³ of corresponding fluorobenzenes by 1-unsubstituted indoles. The Ullmann arylation procedure (method A, Scheme II) was preferred when 1-unsubstituted indoles (7) were either commercially available at reasonable costs and quantities or if they could be conveniently prepared according to known methods. The 5-unsubstituted (10a), 5-NO₂ (10b), 5-CN (10c), and 5-F (10d) 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indoles were prepared accordingly (Table II).

Method B involves 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-substituted isatins, which were obtained by treatment of corresponding diphenylamines with oxalyl chloride followed by ring-closure reaction under Friedel-Craft (AlCl₃) conditions. Ring closure was preferentially directed to the benzene ring with the most electron-donating substituents, which of course put limitations to the versatility of the method. Using this method we have prepared the 5-fluoro- and 5-methylisatins unambiguously. Diborane reduction of 1-unsubstituted or 1-methylisatins to indoles were reported by Sirowej et al.24 We found that their method was also useful for the reduction of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)isatins (8) to the corresponding 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indoles (10) (method B, Scheme II). Taking proper safety precautions (exclusion of air and strict control of reaction temperatures) we managed to control the B₂H₆ reductions on a laboratory scale which provided a few hundred grams of the desired indoles [5-F (10d) and 5-CH₃ (10e), Table II].

Certain indoles (10) are inaccessible or at least inconveniently prepared by methods A or B in large-scale quantities. As shown above, 3-hydroxyindole-2-carboxylic acid esters (5) were suitable precursors for indolin-3-ones (Scheme I). Under appropriate reaction conditions these air-sensitive indolin-3-ones were reduced with NaBH₄ without prior isolation. The 3-hydroxyindolines thus formed subsequently underwent acid-catalyzed water elimination to the desired indoles (10) (method C, Scheme II). The 5-CF₃ (10f), 5-methylsulfonyl (10g), and 5-chloro (10h) compounds were synthesized by method C (Table II).

Since indolin-3-ones were the key intermediates in procedure C we anticipated 3-acetoxyindoles 9 to be more easily available precursors. These acetyl-protected indolin-3-ones were prepared simply in a one-step reaction from properly substituted N-(o-carboxyphenyl)-N-phenyl-glycines according to similar literature procedures. 25-27

(21) Sundberg, R. J. The Chemistry of Indoles; Academic Press: New York, 1970; p 365. Scheme III. Syntheses of 3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1*H*-indoles (11 and 12) and 3-(4-Piperidyl)-1*H*-indoles (13 and 14)

Deprotection was expected under suitable transesterification reaction conditions. Actually, we found, that alcoholysis of the 3-acetoxy esters took place in methanol in the presence of NaBH₄ with further reduction of the in situ formed indolin-3-one. The resulting 3-hydroxy-indolines eliminated water under acidic conditions, as above, to afford the desired indoles (10) (Scheme II, method D). The 5-chloro (10h), 5-methoxy (10i), and 5-bromo (10j) indoles were prepared accordingly (Table II). Method D appears to be the most versatile of the four methods in Scheme II.

Addition of 4-piperidones to 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indoles (10) under acidic conditions afforded 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1*H*-indoles (11) (Scheme III). It has previously been reported that also

⁽²²⁾ Misbahul, A. K.; Polya, J. B. Syntheses of Heterocyclic Compounds. Part II. N-Arylazoles by Ullmann Condensation. J. Chem. Soc. (C) 1970, 85-91.

⁽²³⁾ Glamkowski, E. J.; Fortunato, J. M.; Spaulding, T. C.; Wilker, J. C.; Ellis, D. B. 3-(1-Indolinyl)benzylamines: A New Class of Analgesic Agents. J. Med. Chem. 1985, 28, 66-73.

⁽²⁴⁾ Sirowej, H.; Khan, S. A.; Plieninger, H. Preparation of Substituted Indoles by Reduction of Isatin and Oxindole Derivatives with Diborane/Tetrahydrofuran. Synthesis 1972, 84.

⁽²⁵⁾ Mérour, J. Y.; Coadou, J. Y.; Tatibouêt, F. Syntheses of 2-(5)-Substituted 1-Acetyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydroindoles, 3-Acetoxy-1-acetylindoles, and of 2-Methyl-5-methoxyindole-3-acetic Acid. Synthesis 1982, 1053-1056.

⁽²⁶⁾ Su, H. C. F.; Tsou, K. C. Synthesis of Bromo-Substituted Indoxyl Esters for Cytochemical Demonstration of Enzyme Activity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 1187-1189.

⁽²⁷⁾ Nenitzescu, C. D.; Râileanu, D. Syntheses of Heteroauxines, Tryptamines, and Serotonines. Chem. Ber. 1958, 91, 1141-1145.

Table III. 3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles (11, R Substituent, and 12, R' Substituent) and 3-(4-Piperidyl)-1H-indoles (13, R Substituent, and 14, R' Substituent)

compd	X	R/R'	mp, °C	$formula^a$
11 a	Cl	Н	138-140	C ₁₉ H ₁₆ ClFN ₂
11 b	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	H	128-130	$C_{20}H_{18}F_4N_2$
11c	H	CH_3	268-270	C ₂₀ H ₁₀ FN ₂ ·HCl· ¹ / ₄ H ₂ O
11 d	Cl	CH_3	>285	C ₂₀ H ₁₈ ClFN ₂ ·HBr
11e	F	CH_3	256	C ₂₀ H ₁₉ F ₂ N ₂ ·HCl
11 f	NO ₂	CH_3	176	$C_{20}H_{18}FN_3O_2$
12a	Cl	$IMID^b$	146	C ₂₄ H ₂₄ ClFN ₄ O
12 b	CF ₃	IMID	164-165	C ₂₅ H ₂₄ F ₄ N ₄ O
12c	CŇ	IMID	207	C ₂₅ H ₂₄ FN ₅ O
13a	Cl	H	196-201	C ₁₉ H ₁₈ ClFN ₂ ·fumarate
13 b	Cl	CH ₂ CH ₂ OH	266-269	C ₂₁ H ₂₂ ClFN ₂ O·HCl
14a	H	IMĬD	174-175	C ₂₄ H ₂₇ FN ₄ O
14 b	F	IMID	181	$C_{24}H_{26}F_2N_4O$
14c	Cl	IMID	154-155	C ₂₄ H ₂₆ ClFN ₄ O
14 d	Br	IMID	171-172	$C_{24}H_{26}BrFN_4O^{-1}/_2H_2O$
14e	CH_3	IMID	187-189	C ₂₅ H ₂₉ FN ₄ O
14 f	$\mathbf{CF_3}$	IMID	169-171	$C_{25}H_{26}F_4N_4O$
14g	CN	IMID	209	$C_{25}H_{29}FN_5O$
14 h	CH_3SO_2	IM ID	188-192	C25H29FN4O2S-fumarate
14i	CH ₃ O	IMID	167	$C_{25}H_{29}FN_4O_2$

^a Microanalyses (C, H, N) were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values, ${}^bR' = IMID$ (see Table I, footnote b).

alkaline reaction conditions catalyzed the addition of 4piperidones to 1-unsubstituted indoles.²⁸ We found that only acids and most conveniently a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid and acetic acid under very restricted reaction procedures provided high yields of the products (11). Minor changes of reaction conditions were found to result in high proportions of "bis" products deriving from addition of another mole of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indole to the assumed intermediate 4-(3-indolyl)piperidyl carbonium ion. With appropriate acid catalysts and excess of the indole 10 4,4-bis(3-indolyl)piperidines were formed as the main products. The preparation of compound 15a is an example of optimal conditions for this unwanted side reaction (see Experimental Section). Using 4-piperidone hydrochloride, hydrate N-unsubstituted derivatives (R = H) (11) were prepared. Alkylation of such unsubstituted derivatives with 1-(2-chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinone vielded imidazolidinone derivatives (12) (Scheme III, Table III). The piperidyl compounds 13a and 13b (Table III) were prepared by catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding tetrahydropyridyl derivatives 11 (Scheme III). Platinum was found to be the best choice of catalyst in order to minimize catalytic dehalogenation of the 5chloro-substituted indoles. The preferred way of synthesizing compounds 14a-q was via alkylation of the Nunsubstituted 3-(4-piperidyl) derivatives 13 with appropriately substituted 1-(ω-chloroalkyl)-2-imidazolidinones (Scheme III). However, an alternative route was catalytic hydrogenation of compounds 12 (Scheme III). To investigate the influence of the alkyl chain length on pharmacological activity a series of alkylimidazolidinone derivatives (n = 2 to n = 6, structures 14c and 14j-m in Table)IV) were synthesized. Substitution of the 3-position of the imidazolidinone ring was also studied (structures 14n-q in Table IV). The 1-(ω -chloroalkyl)-substituted 2imidazolidinones^{29,30} and the 3-substituted 1-(2-chloro-

Table IV. 5-Chloro-3-(4-piperidyl)-1H-indoles (14)

$$CI \longrightarrow N - (CH_2)_n - N \longrightarrow N - R^*$$

compd	n	R"	mp, °C	formula ^a
14c	2	H	154-155	C24H26ClFN4O
14j	3	H	203-205	C ₂₅ H ₂₈ ClFN ₄ O fumarate
14 k	4	H	178-179	C26H30ClFN4O-oxalate
141	5	H	145-147	C ₂₇ H ₃₂ ClFN ₄ O oxalate
14m	6	H	156-158	$C_{28}H_{34}ClFN_4O^{-3}/4oxalate$
14n	2	CH_3	198-199	C ₂₅ H ₂₈ FClN ₄ O fumarate
1 4o	2	isopropyl	92-96	C ₂₇ H ₃₂ ClFN ₄ O-oxalate-1/ ₂ H ₂ O
14p	2	cyclopentyl	102-105	C ₂₉ H ₃₄ ClFN ₄ O-oxalate-3/4H ₂ O
14 q	2	C_6H_5	171-172	C ₃₀ H ₃₀ FClN ₄ O

^a Microanalyses (C, H, N) were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical

ethyl)-2-imidazolidinones³¹ used as alkylating agents in these syntheses were obtained according to procedures described in the literature. To investigate the significance of the 1-(4-fluorophenyl) substituent for neuroleptic activity we prepared the 1-unsubstituted indole analogue (16) of our key compound 14c. Compound 16 is structurally related to the earlier reported compounds 3 (Figure 1). ^{15,28}

Results and Discussion

The pharmacological test models are described in detail in the Experimental Section. Pharmacological and biochemical activity of the compounds are reported in Table V and compared to relevant reference compounds (risperidone, clozapine, haloperidol, and tefludazine). Risperidone is a new 3-(4-piperidyl)benzisoxazole neuroleptic with a potent, central antiserotonergic effect currently in clinical trials. 32,33 Clozapine and haloperidol are examples of an atypical and of a classical neuroleptic, respectively. both of which are clinically effective. Tefludazine is a racemic trans-3-(4-fluorophenyl)indan derivative (see Introduction and Figure 1) structurally related to our 1-(4fluorophenyl)-1H-indole series. Antagonism of methyl phenidate-induced gnawing behavior and induction of catalepsy are in vivo test models for central D-2 antagonism in which classical neuroleptics show potent activity. In the [3H]spiperone binding assay the affinity for central dopamine D-2 receptors is measured. Quipazine is a 5-HT₂ agonist which induces the well-known "head twitch syndrome" in rats.³⁴ Centrally active 5-HT₂ antagonists are known to inhibit this syndrome. [3H]Ketanserin binding is used to measure the affinity for cortical 5-HT, receptors.

(34) Peroutka, S. J.; Lebovitz, R. M.; Snyder, S. H. Two Distinct Central Serotonin Receptors with Different Physiological Functions. Science 1981, 212, 827-829.

⁽²⁸⁾ Guillaume, J.; Dumont, C.; Laurent, J.; Nédeléc, L. 3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles: Synthesis, Serotonergic and Antidopaminergic Properties. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 22, 33-43.

⁽²⁹⁾ Johnston, T. P.; McCaleb, G. S.; Montgomery, J. A. The Synthesis of Antineoplastic Agents. XXXII. N-Nitrosureas. J. Med. Chem. 1963, 6, 669-681.

⁽³⁰⁾ Ebetino, F. F. Belg. Patent 653421, 1965; Chem. Abstr. 1966, 64, 12684.

⁽³¹⁾ Costeli, J.; Züst, A. Ger. Offen 2035370, 1971; Chem. Abstr. 1971, 74, 87985z.

⁽³²⁾ Leysen, J. E.; Gommeren, W.; Eens, A.; deChaffoy deCourcelles, D.; Stoof, J. C.; Janssen, P. A. J. Biochemical Profile of Risperidone, a New Antipsychotic. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1988, 247 (2), 661–670.

⁽³³⁾ Janssen, P. A. J.; Niemegeers, C. J. E.; Awouters, F.; Schellekens, K. H. L.; Megens, A. A. H. P.; Meert, T. F. Pharmacology of Risperidone (R 64 766), a New Antipsychotic with Serotonin-S₂ and Dopamine-D₂ Antagonistic Properties. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1988, 244 (2), 685-693.

Table V. Biochemical and Pharmacological Activity of 3-Substituted 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1H-indoles

	do	pamine D-2 receptors	serotonin 5-HT ₂ receptors		
compd	methyl phenidate antg. (mice) ^a	catalepsy max effect (rats) ^a	[³ H]spiperone binding ^b	quipazine inhibition (rats) ^a	[3H]ketanserin binding ^b
6a	0.079 (0.029-0.22)	0.060 (0.029-0.13)	2.6	0.023 (0.00960.055)	1.4
6b	0.12 (0.041-0.35)	0.23 (0.079-0.67)	1.0	0.041 (0.011-0.16)	0.30°
6c	7.8 (3.3-18)	4.5 (2.6-7.7)	21	0.015 (0.0033-0.068)	4.4
11 a	17.0 (6.3-45)	>61	\mathbf{NT}^d	1.5 (0.65-3.5)	NT
11 b	2.1 (0.64-6.9)	>14	NT	>0.86	NT
11 c	1.3 (0.62-2.7)	3.6 (1.6-8.3)	1.6	0.15 (0.047-0.48)	NT
11 d	0.085 (0.037-0.20)	0.085 (0.034-0.21)	1.1	0.058 (0.017-0.20)	0.53^{c}
11e	0.44 (0.21-0.92)	0.64 (0.32-1.3)	0.74	0.15 (0.075-0.30)	3.0°
11 f	0.022 (0.015-0.033)	0.025 (0.013-0.048)	0.76	0.0091 (0.0036-0.023)	1.9°
1 2a	2.0 (0.74-5.4)	2.2 (1.1-4.4)	2.3	0.22 (0.12-0.42)	NT
12 b	0.37 (0.15-0.89)	0.49 (0.16-1.5)	NT	0.14 (0.067-0.29)	NT
12c	NT	0.37 (0.13-1.1)	2.1	NT	3.2
13a	>90	NT	23	1.5 (0.18-12)	3.8
13 b	1.5 (0.44-5.1)	3.8 (0.73-20)	4.5	0.034 (0.0085-0.14)	2.7
14a	>98	>98	18	0.036 (0.011-0.12)	0.72
14 b	>78	>78	4.7	0.052 (0.027-0.099)	0.48
14c	>180	>91	4.1	0.035 (0.022-0.056)	0.39
14d	>82	>41	3.8	0.032 (0.094-0.11)	1.3
14e	98.0 (47-210)	>91	3.7	0.0083 (0.0030-0.023)	0.30
14 f	22.0 (9.2-53)	45.0 (17-120)	1.9	0.11 (0.048-0.25)	1.0
14g	NT	34.0 (9.2-130)	2.2	NT `	1.3
14 h	>67	>17	5.8	54% inhibition at 0.13 μ mol/kg	8.0
14i	>76	>46	24	0.77 (0.29–2.1)	1.0
14j	57.0 (41-80)	11.0 (6.9-18)	3.4	1.8 (0.51-6.3)	1.0
14k	>72	NT	78	>2.2	59
14l	14.0 (6.7-29)	NT	4.2	1.5 (0.58–3.4)	1.5
14m	2.7 (1.6-4.6)	20.0 (14-28)	2.2	>2.2	2.5
14n	2.6 (1.1-6.0)	31.0 (21-47)	6.5	0.021 (0.0091-0.048)	0.24
1 40	>69	>69	12	0.046 (0.018-0.12)	2.1
14p	>67°	NT	NT	>2.1	NT
14q	>77°	NT	92	>0.15	4.4
15a	NT	NT	760	NT	120
16	NT	47.0 (31-71)	810	>14	25
risperidone	0.95 (0.40-2.3)	>6.1	4.0	0.050 (0.016-0.15)	0.76
clozapine	98.0 (70–137)	>120	410	1.5 (0.68–3.3)	7.8
haloperidol	0.30 (0.19-0.48)	0.36 (0.43-0.30)	7.5	0.63 (0.33-1.2)	18
tefludazine	0.20 (0.095-0.42)	0.12 (0.071-0.20)	10	0.029 (0.016–0.052)	2.8

^aResults are expressed as ED₅₀ values in μ mol/kg (sc administration). 95% confidence limits in parentheses. ^bResults are expressed as IC50 values in nM and are the logarithmic mean of at least two determinations. Two full concentration curves were measured using five concentrations of test drug in triplicate (covering three decades). SD ratios were obtained by calculating the variance of repeated measures of ratios between the first and second IC₅₀ determination for a series of 100 drugs. In cases of ratios greater than $3 \times SD$ (99% confidence interval) extra determinations were performed and outliers were discarded. The following 95% confidence ratios (2 × SD ratio) were calculated: D-2, 2.25; 5-HT₂, 2.05. c [³H]Spiperone binding. d NT = not tested. e PO administration.

Compound 6a is the 3-[1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4piperazinyl]-substituted indole analogue of tefludazine. The pharmacological profiles are almost identical for the two compounds except for a slightly higher potency of compound 6a. Both compounds are more potent 5-HT₂ antagonists than haloperidol, but are, nevertheless, cataleptogenic at low doses like classical neuroleptics. Also other 3-(4-piperazinyl)indoles (6b and 6c) are cataleptogenic. However, ethyl-2-imidazolidinone substitution (6c) seems to reduce antidopaminergic effects considerably (Table V), while a potent 5-HT₂-blocking activity is still retained or even slightly more prominent in vivo. The secondary amines 11a and 11b (tetrahydropyridylindoles) and 13a (piperidylindole) have no or only weak effects in vivo, although compound 13a retains considerable receptor affinities. Secondary amines of structure 3 are reported to be 5-HT agonists²⁸ as these compounds induce 5-HT syndromes. No such activating effects have been observed with the secondary amine derivatives in our series. In fact, both compounds 11a and 13a exert 5-HT₂-antagonistic effects, although very weak, as shown by inhibition of quipazine-induced head twitches (Table V).

The N-methyl tetrahydropyridyl derivatives 11c-f are similar in profiles to the corresponding piperazinylindoles 6. Generally, they appear to be at least 50-100 times more potent than corresponding 1-unsubstituted indoles (3) as D-2 antagonists when measured by the ability to antagonize methyl phenidate-induced stereotypies. 15,28 Guillaume et al.28 reported antagonism of apomorphineinduced stereotypies for the 5-unsubstituted (ED₅₀ = 50 μ mol/kg) and the 5-chloro (ED₅₀ = 21 μ mol/kg) 1-unsubstituted indole analogues of 11c and 11d, respectively. 5-HT₂ receptor affinities were reported by Taylor et al. for a series of 1-unsubstituted 3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4pyridyl)-1H-indoles.35 Generally, they have found higher or equal affinity for the 5-HT_{1A} receptor than for the 5-HT₂ receptor. The indoles from our 1-(4-fluorophenyl) series have insignificant binding to 5-HT_{1A} receptors (unpublished data). To our knowledge no in vivo 5-HT₂-antagonistic effects have been reported in the literature for 1-unsubstituted indoles. Apparently, the 5-substituent of the indole ring does not influence receptor binding significantly (compounds 11c to 11f, Table V). The in vivo potency is also seen to be very little influenced by the variation of 5-substitution. It seems, however, that the 5-unsubstituted indole (11c) and the 5-fluoroindole (11e)

⁽³⁵⁾ Taylor, E. W.; Nikam, S. S.; Lambert, G.; Martin, A. R.; Nelson, D. L. Molecular Determinants for Recognition of RU 24969 Analogs at Central 5-Hydroxytryptamine Recognition Sites: Use of a Biliniar Function and Substituent Volumes to Describe Steric Fit. Mol. Pharmacol. 1988, 34, 42-53.

Table VI. Inhibition of Quipazine-Induced Head Twitches 24 h after Subcutaneous Administration of Test Compounds

compd	quipazine inhibition: ED_{50} , $\mu mol/kg$, ^a 24 h ^b
11 d	>0.19
1 2a	1.2 (0.38-3.8)
1 2b	>0.66
1 4a	0.082 (0.014-0.48)
14 b	0.0097 (0.0022-0.043)
14c	0.030 (0.014-0.066)
risperidone	>3.0
clozapine	>31

 a 95% confidence limits in parentheses. b For 2 h values see Table V.

are somewhat weaker than the 5-chloro- and the 5-nitroindoles (11d and 11f, respectively), especially in the antidopaminergic tests (methyl phenidate antagonism and catalepsy, Table V). This is actually contrary to previously reported structure/activity relationships in the indan series (1)12 in which only 6-trifluoromethyl substitution was found to produce potent antistereotypic effects and cata-Within a series of 1-[1-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4piperazinyl]-substituted indans the 6-trifluoromethyl derivative (tefludazine) was reported to antagonize methyl phenidate-induced gnawing with an ED₅₀ = $0.07 \mu \text{mol/kg}$ (ip), while the corresponding 6-F and 6-Cl derivatives were very weak. The 6-unsubstituted indan was virtually inactive. We have recently presented results of QSAR studies of an extended series of 5-substituted indoles which further confirm this negligible influence of the 5-substituent.³⁶ Replacement of simple N-alkyl substituents by an ethyl-2-imidazolidinone side chain (compounds 12a-c) seems to decrease in vivo antidopaminergic activities to the same extent as observed within the piperazinyl series (6), however with high dopamine receptor affinity preserved (compounds 11d and 12a for direct comparison).

The piperidyl derivatives 14c, 14f, and 14g are the corresponding analogues to compounds 12a, 12b, and 12c, respectively, where the double bond of the tetrahydropyridyl ring has been saturated. Important pharmacological properties are induced by this minor structural change. The propensity of inducing catalepsy almost vanishes like the antistereotypic activity, although strong D-2 receptor affinities are preserved (Table V). These piperidyl derivatives very effectively bind to 5-HT₂ receptors, and high potency in the quipazine inhibition test indicates good CNS penetration. This profile is found in the whole series 14a-i where the 5-substituent of the indole is systematically varied (structures in Table III, results in Table V). Furthermore, the compounds 14 are found to be long-acting in the quipazine inhibition test (Table VI). Equipotency is measured 2 and 24 h after administration of test substances. Risperidone, clozapine, and the close, unsaturated analogues 11 and 12 are either inactive or considerably weaker 24 h after administration.

By using molecular modeling software (MacMimic Programme, Instar Software) the three-dimensional structures of the three series of indoles were build by energy minimization and low-energy conformations were found by independently rotating the 6-membered basic rings and the 4-fluorophenyl substituent. Piperazinyl (6), tetrahydropyridyl (11 and 12) and piperidyl (13 and 14) derivatives exist as extended, planar structures in their

low-energy states. These planar structures almost perfectly superimpose each other. Equipotency within the three series of compounds in D-2 and 5-HT2 receptor affinities (Table V) are in agreement with these close structural relationships. Thus, no spatial requirements for receptor interaction seem to explain the lack of cataleptogenic and antistereotypic effects of the piperidyl derivatives. However, one might consider whether the localized electron density, imposed by the piperazine nitrogen and the double bond of the tetrahydropyridyl ring connected to the 3position of the indole ring, somehow induces the cataleptogenic potential of compounds 6 and 11, 12. Synthesis is in progress to pursue this hypothesis. Such differences in electronic environments would be important for interaction with electron-rich or electron-deficient receptor binding sites. Recently, new dopamine receptor subtypes have been identified by cloning techniques. Atypical neuroleptics such as clozapine were found to bind either equipotently or with preference to the dopamine D-3³⁷ or D-4³⁸ receptor subtypes in comparison with their dopamine D-2 receptor affinities. Classical neuroleptics had relatively higher affinity for D-2 receptors. Whether our piperidyl derivatives have similar affinity profiles as atypical neuroleptics for these recently recognized dopamine receptor subtypes has not yet been studied. The recently developed neuroleptic risperidone is a benzisoxazole substituted in the 3-position with a 4-piperidino ring. It shows strong but short acting central 5-HT₂ antagonistic activity^{32,33} and it is not cataleptogenic, at least in doses up to about 6 μmol/kg (Table V).33 Thus, to some extent, the pharmacological profile of risperidone resembles that of our piperidino derivatives.

By stepwise increments of the chain length of compound 14c from C_3 to C_6 carbon atoms (compounds 14j-m, Table V) antistereotypic effect increases and weak catalepsy is reintroduced while quipazine inhibition decreases. Except for the C₄ chain compound, 14k, which has insignificant receptor affinity, chain-length variation seems not to influence receptor affinities in vitro. We have also found inactivity resulting from N-butyl-2-imidazolidinone side chains within other series of neuroleptics (unpublished results). Small 3-substituents such as methyl (14n) or isopropyl (14p) on the imidazolidinone ring are tolerated, while larger substituents such as cyclopentyl (14p) or phenyl (14q) significantly decrease activities. Both the "bis" derivative 15a and the 1-unsubstituted indole 16 are virtually inactive. The distinctly different profiles of compound 16 and 14c (Table V) emphasize the importance of the 1-(4-fluorophenyl) substituent of the indole ring for potent antidopaminergic and antiserotonergic activity within this series of neuroleptic indoles.

Compound 14c, which has one of the most interesting pharmacological profiles, was selected for extended pharmacological investigations. These studies have revealed a highly potent and selective antidopaminergic activity within limbic areas in rat brain. 18,39 This interesting atypical neuroleptic profile suggests rewarding clinical effects in the treatment of psychoses with an ex-

⁽³⁶⁾ Gundertofte, K.; Perregaard, J.; Bøgesø, K. P.; Hyttel, J.; Arnt, J. QSAR Study on the Neuroleptic and 5-HT₂-Antagonistic Activities in a Series of Phenylindoles. In QSAR: Rational Approaches to the Design of Bioactive Compounds; Silipo, C., Vittoria, A., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1991; pp 465-468.

⁽³⁷⁾ Sokoloff, P.; Giros, B.; Martres, M.-P.; Bouthenet, M.-L.; Schwartz, J.-C. Molecular Cloning of a Novel Dopamine Receptor (D₃) as a Target for Neuroleptics. *Nature* 1990, 347, 146-151.

⁽³⁸⁾ Van Tol, H. H. M.; Bunzow, J. R.; Guan, H.-C.; Sunahara, R. K.; Seeman, P.; Niznik, H. B.; Civelli, O. Cloning of the Gene for a Human Dopamine D. Receptor with High Affinity for the Antipsychotic Clozapine. Nature 1991, 350, 610–614.

⁽³⁹⁾ Skarsfeldt, T. Electrophysiological Profile of the New Atypical Neuroleptic, Sertindole, on Midbrain Dopamine Neurones in Rats: Acute and Repeated Treatment. Synapse, in press.

pected low risk of causing EPS. Further studies are needed to unravel the especially favorable neuroleptic profiles arising from 3-(4-piperidyl) substitution in comparison with piperazinylindoles and tetrahydropyridylindoles.

Experimental Section

Melting points were determined on a Büchi SMP-20 apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded of all novel compounds at 80 MHz on a Bruker WP 80 DS spectrometer or at 250 MHz on a Bruker AC 250 spectrometer. TMS was used as internal reference standard. Chemical shift values are expressed in parts per million values. Content of water in crystalline compounds was determined by Karl Fischer titration. Microanalysis were performed by Lundbeck Analytical Department and results obtained were within ±0.4% of the theoretical values.

2-Imidazolidinone Side Chains. 1-(2-Chloroethyl)-, 1-(3-chloropropyl)-, 1-(4-chlorobutyl)-, 1-(5-chloropentyl)-, and 1-(6-chlorohexyl)-2-imidazolidinones were prepared from the corresponding 1-hydroxyalkyl-2-imidazolidinones by refluxing with thionyl chloride according to published procedures.^{29,30}

3-Methyl-, 3-(2-propyl)-, 3-cyclopentyl-, and 3-phenyl-1-(2-chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinones were obtained by reacting diethanolamine with the appropriately N-substituted isocyanates followed by treatment of the resulting urea derivatives with thionyl chloride and subsequent ring closure of the N-substituted N', N'-bis(2-chloroethyl)ureas at 120-150 °C. The reaction procedures have previously been described. 31

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 3-(1-Piperazinyl)-1*H*-indoles (6) (Table I). 5-Substituted 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-1*H*-indole-2-carboxylic acid methyl esters (5) were prepared according to synthetic procedures reported in the literature. 19,20,40

4-[1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-indol-3yl]-1-piperazineethanol (6a). To 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)-1*H*-indole-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (100 g, 0.28 mol) in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (500 mL) was added $MgCl_2$ - $6H_2O$ (120 g, 0.59 mol). The mixture was heated under stirring at 150 °C for 1 h under a N2 atmosphere. The temperature was raised to 180 °C and excess H₂O was flushed away by a gentle stream of N_2 . 1-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine (160 g, 1.2 mol) in NMP (200 mL) was added. The temperature was kept at 190 °C for 1.5 h. The mixture was cooled and poured into a solution of NH₄Cl (400 g) in H₂O (5 L). A mixture of diethyl ether (2 L) and ethyl acetate (2 L) was added. After the mixture was stirred for 10 min the organic phase was separated, washed with brine (2 × 1 L), dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and finally evaporated in vacuo. The remaining solid material was stirred with diethyl ether (400 mL) and the crystalline title compound 6a was filtered off: yield 76.3 g (68%); mp 167 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.55 (broad s, 1 H), 2.65 (t, 2 H), 2.80 (t, 4 H), 3.15 (t, 4 H), 3.70

(t, 2 H), 6.90 (s, 1 H), 7.20 (t, 2 H), 7.40–7.45 (m, 4 H), 7.95 (s, 1 H). Anal. $(C_{21}H_{21}F_4N_2O)$ C, H, N.

Compounds 6b and 6c were prepared similarly from the appropriately 1-substituted piperazines. 1-[2-(1-Piperazinyl)-ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone for the preparation of compound 6c was synthesized according to an earlier published procedure.¹¹

General Procedures for the Syntheses of 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indoles (10) (Table II). Method A. The 1-unsubstituted indoles (7) were either commercially available or prepared according to literature methods.

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-nitro-1H-indole (10b). A mixture of 5-nitro-1H-indole (50 g, 0.31 mol), 1-fluoro-4-iodobenzene (100 g, 0.45 mol), finely powdered anhydrous K₂CO₃ (60 g, 0.43 mol), CuBr (40 g, 0.18 mol), and Cu bronze (1 g) in NMP (300 mL) was heated at 180 °C under N₂ for 4 h. After cooling (below 100 °C) the mixture was poured into dilute hydrochloric acid (1.8 L). Diisopropyl ether (200 mL) was added. After the mixture was stirred, the precipitated material was filtered off, washed with water, and subsequently dried in vacuo. Purification was performed by dissolving in dichloromethane, treating the solution with activated carbon, and finally filtering through silica gel (eluted with heptane/dichloromethane). Dichloromethane was evaporated, and the remaining crystalline material was recrystallized from 2-propanol. 10b: yield 55.3 g (52%); mp 144-145 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.85 (d, 1 H), 7.25 (t, 2 H), 7.40–7.50 (m, 3 H), 8.10 (dd, 1 H), 8.65 (d, 1 H). Anal. (C₁₄H₉FN₂O₂) C, H, N.

The 5-unsubstituted (10a), 5-cyano (10c), and 5-fluoro (10d) indoles were prepared accordingly.

Method B. The 5-substituted 1-(4-fluorophenyl)isatins (8) were prepared from the corresponding diphenylamines by reaction with oxalyl chloride and subsequent cyclization under Friedel-Craft catalysis (AlCl₃) as described by Sarges et al.⁴¹ The method of reduction with diborane in THF was adapted from the known reduction of 1-methylated or 1-unsubstituted isatins.²⁴

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-methyl-1H-indole (10e). A solution of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methylisatin (80 g, 0.31 mol) in dry THF was cooled to -50 °C and kept under N₂. Gaseous B₂H₆ (~0.5 mol), liberated from NaBH₄ (45 g) and BF₃ etherate (80 g) in anhydrous diglyme, was dissolved in the mixture by carrying it with a stream of N₂, which was bubbled through the THF solution. The temperature was gradually (>2 h) raised to 10 °C and kept there until the reaction had succeeded according to TLC analysis. The mixture was poured into ice-cooled H₂O (2 L). KHSO₄ (80 g, 0.59 mol) and diethyl ether (800 mL) were added. The organic phase was separated and worked up as in method A. Evaporation of the solvents afforded the title compound 10e as an oil: yield 52 g (75%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.45 (s, 3 H), 6.55 (d, 1 H), 7.05 (double d, 1 H), 7.15 (t, 2 H), 7.20 (s, 1 H), 7.35 (d, 1 H), 7.40-7.50 (m, 3 H)

5-Fluoro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H***-indole (10d)** was similarly prepared as an oil: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.65 (d, 1 H), 6.95 (double t, 1 H), 7.20 (t, 2 H), 7.30–7.45 (m, 5 H).

Method C. 1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)-1Hindole (10f). To a solution of 1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-5-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-indole-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester (280 g, 0.79 mol) in NMP (1.8 L) was added MgCl₂·6H₂O (480 g, 2.4 mol). The mixture was heated under N_2 at 120-150 °C for 2 h. The temperature was then gradually (0.5 h) raised to 160 °C while H₂O was flushed off by a gentle stream of N₂. After the mixture was cooled to 60 °C ethanol (1.8 L) was added and NaBH₄ (42 g, 1.1 mol) was added at a suitable rate to keep the temperature at 45-55 °C. After stirring for another 0.5 h the mixture was poured into a saturated aqueous NH₄Cl solution (3 L) and diethyl ether (2 L). The organic phase was separated, dried (MgSO₄), and filtered and the solvent evaporated. The crude 3-hydroxyindoline was dissolved in dichloromethane (1.2 L) and trifluoroacetic acid (100 mL) and refluxed for 1 h. The mixture was subsequently poured into ice-cooled dilute aqueous NH₄OH solution (3 L). The organic phase was separated and worked up as in method A, leaving the title compound 10f as an oil: yield 205 g (93%); crystallization from heptane afforded the pure indole;

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Friedländer, P.; Kunz, K. On N,N'-Diphenyl-indigo. Chem. Ber. 1922, 55, 1597-1607.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Sarges, R.; Howard, H. R.; Koe, B. K.; Weissman, A. A Novel Class of "GABAergic" Agents: 1-Aryl-3-(aminoalkylidene)oxindoles. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 437-444.

mp 53 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 6.80 (d, 1 H), 7.25 (t, 2 H), 7.35–7.50 (m, 5 H), 8.05 (broad s, 1 H). Anal. ($C_{15}H_{19}F_4N$) C, H, N. The 5-methylsulfonyl (10g) and 5-chloro (10h) derivatives were prepared accordingly.

Method D. 3-Acetoxyindoles (9) were prepared from N-(4-fluorophenyl)-substituted N-(0-carboxyphenyl)glycines following literature procedures for similar reactions. 25,26,27,40

1-(4-Fluorophenyl)-5-methoxy-1 $m{H}$ -indole (10i). To a solution of the potassium salt of 2-bromo-5-methoxybenzoic acid (87 g, 0.31 mol) in NMP (650 mL) were added the potassium salt of N-(4-fluorophenyl)glycine (65 g, 0.31 mol) and finely powdered Cu bronze (6 g, 0.09 mol). The mixture was heated at 120-130 $^{\circ}$ C for 2.5 h under N_2 . The mixture was filtered while still hot. The filtrate was poured into H₂O (1.5 L) and diethyl ether (500 mL). By addition of concentrated hydrochloric acid the pH was adjusted to 2. The organic phase was separated, washed with brine $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$, dried (MgSO₄), and filtered. Finally, evaporation of the solvent yielded quantitatively crude N-(2-carboxy-5methoxyphenyl)-N-(4-fluorophenyl)glycine (103 g, 0.32 mol) as a viscous oil, which was used without further purification. The glycine derivative and sodium acetate (60 g, 0.73 mol) were dissolved in acetic acid anhydride (600 mL). The mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h. Excess acetic acid anhydride was distilled off, and ice-cooled dilute aqueous K₂CO₃ solution (2 L) and diethyl ether (1 L) were added. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 0.5 h. The organic phase was separated, washed with brine (2 × 100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and filtered and the ether evaporated. Stirring with disopropyl ether (400 mL) initiated crystallization of 3-acetoxy-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-5-methoxy-1H-indole. The precipitated crystalline product was filtered off and dried: yield 76 g (82%); mp 95 °C. The thus obtained 3-acetoxyindole derivative (75 g, 0.25 mol) was suspended in ethanol (900 mL) and heated to 50 °C. During 1.5 h NaBH₄ (16 g, 0.42 mol) was added while keeping the temperature at 50-55 °C. After the mixture was stirred for another hour most ethanol was evaporated in vacuo. H₂O (500 mL) and diethyl ether (300 mL) were added. The organic phase was separated, and a saturated solution of dry HCl gas in diethyl ether (200 mL) was cautiously added. This mixture was stirred for 10 min and subsequently poured onto crushed ice. The organic phase was separated and worked up as in method A, leaving the title indole as a crystalline product. Recrystallization from cyclohexane yielded 52 g (88%) of 10i: mp 96 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 3.85 (s, 3 H), 6.60 (d, 1 H), 6.85 (dd, 1 H), 7.10-7.25 (m, 4 H), 7.35 (d, 1 H), 7.40-7.50 (m, 2 H). Anal. $(C_{15}H_{12}FNO)$ C, H, N.

5-Bromo-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1*H*-indole (10j) was prepared accordingly.

General Procedure for the Syntheses of 3-(1,2,3,6-Tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indoles (11 and 12) (Table III). 5-Chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indole (11a). To a solution of 4-piperidone hydrochloride hydrate (65.3 g, 0.43 mol) in a mixture of trifluoroacetic acid (200 mL) and acetic acid (150 mL) kept at 110 °C was added dropwise a solution of 5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indole (10h) (30 g, 0.12 mol) in acetic acid (150 mL). The mixture was furthermore heated for 0.5 h after completed addition. Upon partially evaporation of the acidic solvents crystallization of the hydrochloric salt of the title compound started. Acetone (400 mL) was added, and after the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature the precipitated salt was filtered off, washed with acetone, and dried: yield 32.4~g~(74%); mp $291~^{\circ}C$. The free base (11a) was isolated from an aqueous suspension of the hydrochloric salt by addition of dilute aqueous NaOH solution and subsequent extraction with ethyl acetate: mp 138-140 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.70 (broad s, 1 H), 2.50 (m, 2 H), 3.15 (t, 2 H), 3.65 (m, 2 H), 6.25 (m, 1 H), 7.20-7.50 (m, 7 H), 7.90 (d, 1 H). Anal. (C₁₉-H₁₆ClFN₂) C, H, N.

By using the above procedure 11b was prepared accordingly, while 1-methyl-4-piperidone afforded the corresponding N-methylated compounds 11c, 11d, 11e, and 11f.

1-[2-[4-[5-Chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-1-pyridyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone (12a). To a solution of 5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1H-indole (11a) (4.5 g, 0.014 mol) and 1-(2-chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinone (2.5 g, 0.017 mol) in methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) (70 mL) were added finely powdered anhydrous

 $\rm K_2CO_3$ (3 g, 0.022 mol) and KI (0.1 g, catalyst). The mixture was refluxed overnight (16 h). Inorganic salts were filtered off, MIBK was evaporated, and finally the remaining oil was dissolved in boiling 2-propanol. The title compound 12a precipitated upon cooling: yield 3.8 g (62%); mp 146 °C; $^1\rm H$ NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ 2.60 (broad s, 2 H), 2.65 (t, 2 H), 2.80 (t, 2 H), 3.30 (m, 2 H), 3.40–3.50 (m, 4 H), 3.55–3.60 (m, 2 H), 4.85 (broad s, 1 H), 6.65 (m, 1 H), 7.15–7.45 (m, 7 H), 7.90 (d, 1 H). Anal. (C $_{24}\rm H_{24}\rm ClFN_4O)$ C, H, N

The compounds 12b and 12c were prepared analogously. General Procedure for the Syntheses of 3-(4-Piperidyl)-1H-indoles (13 and 14) (Table III). 5-Chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-piperidyl)-1H-indole (13a). 5-Chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1Hindole (11a) (195 g, 0.6 mol) was dissolved in a mixture of ethanol (1.7 L) and acetic acid (300 mL) by gentle heating. PtO₂ (4 g) was added, and the mixture was hydrogenated in a Parr apparatus for 16 h at 2.5-3 atm. The catalyst was filtered off, and the solvents were evaporated in vacuo. The remaining viscous oil was dissolved in H₂O (2 L), and the pH was adjusted to >10 by addition of dilute aqueous NaOH solution. The alkaline aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate (2 × 1 L). The combined organic phases were dried (anhydrous MgSO₄) and filtered, and ethyl acetate was evaporated, leaving the 4-piperidyl derivative 13a as a viscous oil. The fumaric acid salt crystallized from hot ethanol: yield 173 g (65%); mp 217–219 °C; 1 H NMR (DMSO- d_8) δ 1.90-2.15 (m, 4 H), 2.95-3.15 (m, 3 H), 3.40 (broad d, 2 H), 6.45 (s, 2 H), 7.20 (dd, 1 H), 7.35–7.45 (m, 3 H), 7.50 (s, 1 H), 7.55–7.65 (m, 2 H), 7.85 (d, 1 H). Anal. $(C_{19}H_{18}ClFN_2\cdot C_4H_4O_4)$ C, H, N. Compound 13b was prepared accordingly.

1-[2-[4-[5-Chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]-1piperidinyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone (14c). To a suspension of 5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(4-piperidyl)-1H-indole fumarate (13a) (172 g, 0.39 mol) in MIBK (3 L) were added 1-(2-chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinone (130 g, 0.88 mol), finely powdered anhydrous K₂CO₃ (350 g, 2.5 mol), and KI (2 g, catalyst). The mixture was refluxed for 16 h. The inorganic salts were filtered off. After evaporation of MIBK the title compound 14c was recrystallized from acetone: yield $152 \text{ g } (87\%); \text{ mp } 154-155 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C};$ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.80 (dt, 2 H), 2.05 (broad d, 2 H), 2.25 (dt, 2 H), 2.60 (t, 2 H), 2.85 (tt, 1 H), 3.10 (broad d, 2 H), 3.35-3.50 (m, 4 H), 3.55-3.60 (m, 2 H), 4.35 (broad s, 1 H), 7.05 (s, 1 H), 7.15-7.25 (m, 3 H), 7.35-7.45 (m, 3 H), 7.65 (d, 1 H). Anal. (C24H26ClFN4O) C, H, N. Another crystal modification was obtained by crystallization from a 1:1 mixture of 2-propanol and ethyl acetate, mp 166 °C.

Other 4-(1-piperidinyl)alkyl-2-imidazolidinone derivatives (14a-q) (see Tables III and IV) were prepared either similarly or by catalytic hydrogenation of the corresponding 3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridyl)-1*H*-indoles (12).

1-[2-[4,4-Bis[5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]-1-piperidinyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone (15b). To a solution of 5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indole (10h) (10.4 g, 0.04 mol) and 4-piperidone hydrochloride hydrate (3.6 g, 0.02 mol) in acetic acid (180 mL) was added methanesulfonic acid (20 mL). The mixture was gently refluxed under N2 for 15 min. After cooling ice-cooled dilute aqueous NH₄OH solution (1 L) and diethyl ether (100 mL) were added. After the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h the precipitated 4,4-bis[5-chloro-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H-indol-3-yl]piperidine (15a) was filtered off: yield 6.7 g (53%); mp 219-222 °C. Anal. $(C_{33}H_{25}Cl_2F_2N_3)$, C, H, N. N-Alkylation with 1-(2chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinone was performed according to the procedure for the preparation of compound 12a. The title compound 15b was isolated in 76% yield after recrystallization from ethanol: mp 284-290 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 2.55 (t, 2 H), 2.65 (m, 8 H), 3.35 (t, 2 H), 3.40-3.45 (m, 2 H), 3.50-3.60 (m, 2 H), 4.25 (s, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, 2 H), 7.20-7.30 (m, 10 H), 7.45-7.50 (m, 4 H), 7.50 (d, 2 H). Anal. (C₃₈H₃₃Cl₂F₂N₅O) C, H, N.

1-[2-[4-(5-Chloro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-1-piperidinyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone (16). N-Alkylation of 5-chloro-3-(1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-4-pyridinyl)-1*H*-indole (22 g, 0.1 mol) (preparation published²⁸) with 1-(2-chloroethyl)-2-imidazolidinone according to the procedure for the preparation of compound 12a afforded 1-[2-[4-(5-chloro-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-1-pyridinyl]-ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone in 65% yield as a crystalline product, mp 218-220 °C. The thus obtained 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridyl

derivative (20 g, 0.06 mol) was dissolved in acetic acid (150 mL) and H₂O (150 mL) and PtO₂ (0.5 g) was added. The mixture was hydrogenated for 18 h at 3 atm in a Parr apparatus. The catalyst was filtered off and the main proportion of the solvents evaporated in vacuo. By addition of dilute aqueous NH4OH solution the title compound 16 precipitated and was subsequently filtered off. Recrystallization from ethanol yielded 12.6 g (61%) of pure 16: mp 222–225 °C; ¹H NMR (DMSO- d_8) δ 1.60 (dq, 2 H), 1.85 (broad d, 2 H), 2.05 (t, 2 H), 2.40 (t, 2 H), 2.65 (tt, 1 H), 2.95 (broad d, 2 H), 3.20-3.30 (m, 4 H), 3.40 (t, 2 H), 6.20 (s, 1 H), 7.05 (dd, 1 H), 7.20 (d, 1 H), 7.35 (d, 1 H), 7.55 (d, 1 H), 11.00 (broad d, 1 H). Anal. (C₁₈H₂₃ClN₄O) C, H, N.

Pharmacological Test Methods. Animals. Male mice (NMRI/BOM, SPF 18-25 g) and male Wistar rats (Mol:Wist, SPF, 170-270 g) were used. We have recently described the handling procedures in detail.17

Calculations. ED₅₀ values were calculated by log-probit analyses. IC50 values were estimated from concentration-effect curves using a log-concentration scale. Details are available from the references cited in the description of specific test methods below.

Antagonism of Methyl Phenidate-Induced Gnawing Behavior. The experimental details have been published by Pedersen and Christensen.⁴² Test compounds were administered (sc or ip injection—see Tables IV and V) to mice 2 h before methyl phenidate (222 µmol/kg, sc). Immediately after injection of methyl phenidate the mice were placed in pairs in bottomless observation cages (12 × 25 cm) placed on corrugated cardboard. At least five pairs of animals were used per dose. After 1 h the ability to inhibit methyl phenidate-induced gnawing was estimated by inspection of the corrugated cardboard for biting holes.

Catalepsy was estimated according to published procedures. 17 Test compounds were administered by sc injection to rats. The number of cataleptic rats in each dose group was determined each hour during an observation period of 6 h. Maximal effects during this observation period is reported in Table V.

Antagonism of Quipazine-Induced Head Twitches. The experimental details are given by Arnt et al.43 Test compounds were injected sc to rats 2 or 24 h before quipazine (15 μmol/kg, sc). Head twitches were counted 30-40 min after the quipazine treatment. The number of head twitches in the drug-treated group (at least four animals per dose) was expressed in percent of the number of head twitches in a quipazine-treated control group.

Receptor Binding. DA D-2 Receptors. Affinity of test compounds to dopamine D-2 receptors was estimated by their ability to displace [3H]spiperone from rat striatal membranes as described by Hyttel.44

5-HT₂ Receptors. Affinity of test compounds to serotonin 5-HT2 receptors was estimated by their ability to displace either [3H]ketanserin or [3H]spiperone from rat cortical membranes as described by Hyttel⁴⁴ and Hyttel et al.,⁴⁵ respectively.

Acknowledgment. We acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of J. Holm-Jensen, E. Beicker Olsen, and J. W. Stenberg for the syntheses of compounds. The preparation of this manuscript by Mrs. S. Henriksen is highly appreciated. Finally, we thank all from the staff of the Lundbeck Research Departments, who has contributed to the fulfillment of the present study.

Registry No. 5a, 138900-11-5; 5b, 138900-12-6; 5f, 138900-11-5; 5g, 138900-13-7; 5h, 138900-12-6; 6a, 106516-68-1; 6b, 138923-82-7; 6c. 138923-83-8; 7a, 120-72-9; 7b, 6146-52-7; 7c, 15861-24-2; 7d, 399-52-0; 8d, 87423-61-8; 8e, 106516-74-9; 9i, 138900-14-8; 9j, 138900-15-9; 10a, 138900-16-0; 10b, 138900-17-1; 10c, 138900-18-2; 10d, 138900-19-3; 10e, 106515-88-2; 10f, 138900-20-6; 10g, 138900-21-7; 10h, 138900-22-8; 10i, 138900-23-9; 10j, 138900-24-0; 11a, 106516-07-8; 11b, 106516-09-0; 11c·HCl, 106515-99-5; 11c free base, 106516-04-5; 11d·HBr, 106516-34-1; 11d free base, 106516-35-2; 11e·HCl, 138900-25-1; 11e free base, 106516-03-4; 11f, 106515-92-8; 12a, 106516-54-5; 12b, 106516-55-6; 12c, 138900-26-2; 13a fumarate, 138900-28-4; 13a free base, 138900-27-3; 13b·HCl, 138900-29-5; 13b free base, 138900-30-8; 14a, 106516-21-6; 14b, 138900-31-9; 14c, 106516-24-9; 14d, 138900-32-0; 14e, 138923-84-9; 14f, 138900-33-1; 14g, 138900-34-2; 14h fumarate, 138900-36-4; 14h free base, 138900-35-3; 14i, 138900-37-5; 14i-fumarate, 138900-39-7; 14j free base, 138900-38-6; 14k-oxalate, 138900-41-1; 14k free base, 138900-40-0; 14l-oxalate, 138900-43-3; 14l free base, 138900-42-2; 14m-oxalate, 138900-45-5; 14m free base, 138900-44-4; 14n fumarate, 138900-47-7; 14n free base, 138900-46-6; 140-oxalate, 138900-49-9; 14o free base, 138900-48-8; 14p-oxalate, 138900-51-3; 14p free base, 138900-50-2; 14q, 138900-52-4; 15a, 138923-85-0; 15b, 138923-86-1; 16, 138900-53-5; 1-[2-[4-(5-chloro-1*H*-indo-3yl)-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-1-pyridinyl]ethyl]-2-imidazolidinone, 138900-54-6; 1-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine, 103-76-4; 1-methylpiperazine, 109-01-3; 1-[2-(1-piperazinyl)ethyl]imidazolidin-2-one, 104087-61-8; piperidin-4-one, 41661-47-6; 1-methylpiperidin-4-one, 1445-73-4; 1-(2-chloroethyl)imidazolidin-2-one, 2387-20-4.

⁽⁴²⁾ Pedersen, V.; Christensen, A. V. Antagonism of Methylphenidate-Induced Stereotyped Gnawing in Mice. Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1972, 31, 488-496.

⁽⁴³⁾ Arnt, J.; Bøgesø, K. B.; Boeck, V.; Christensen, A. V.; Dragsted, N.; Hyttel, J.; Skarsfeldt, T. In Vivo Pharmacology of Irindalone, a 5-HT2 Receptor Antagonist With Predominant Peripheral Effects. Drug. Dev. Res. 1989, 16, 59-70.

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Hyttel, J. Age Related Decrease in the Density of Dopamine D₁ and D₂ Receptors in Corpus Striatum of Rats. J. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 1987, 61, 126-129.

⁽⁴⁵⁾ Hyttel, J.; Larsen, J.-J. Neurochemical Profile of Lu 19-005, a Potent Inhibitor of Uptake of Dopamine, Noradrenaline and Serotonin. J. Neurochem. 1985, 44, 1615-1622.