Novel Prodrugs of Alkylating Agents Derived from 2-Fluoro- and 3-Fluorobenzoic Acids for Antibody-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy

Caroline J. Springer,*^{,†} Ion Niculescu-Duvaz,[†] and R. Barbara Pedley[‡]

Cancer Research Campaign Centre for Cancer Therapeutics, Institute of Cancer Research, Cotswold Road, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5NG, U.K., and Cancer Research Campaign Clinical Research Laboratories, Royal Free Hospital, School of Medicine, Rowland Hill Street, London NW3 2PF, U.K.

Received November 30, 1993^s

The synthesis of six novel fluorinated potential prodrugs for antibody-directed enzyme prodrug therapy is described. The [2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid (9 and 21), their bis(mesyloxy)ethyl derivatives (7 and 19), and their chloroethyl (mesyloxy) ethyl derivatives (8 and 20) are bifunctional alkylating agents in which the activating effect of the ionized carboxyl function is masked through an amide bond to the glutamic acid residue. These compounds were designed to be activated to their corresponding benzoic acid alkylating agents at a tumor site by prior administration of a monoclonal antibody conjugated to the bacterial enzyme carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2). The synthesis of the analogous novel parent drugs 2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoic acid **(12** and 24), their bis(mesyloxy) ethyl derivatives (10 and 22), and their chloroethyl (mesyloxy)ethyl derivatives **(11** and 23) is also described. The viability of a colorectal cell line was monitored with the six potential prodrugs in the presence of CPG2 and with the parent drugs alone. Compounds **19-2 1** demonstrated substantial prodrug activity, with activation by CPG2 leading to cytotoxicities comparable to those of $22-24$, respectively. The K_m and k_{cat} values for $7-9$ and $19-21$ were determined for CPG2. All potential prodrugs except 7 proved to be excellent substrates. A comparison of the relative chemical reactivity of the compounds as determined by their halflife measurements showed that the 2-fluoro substituent deactivated while the 3-fluoro substituent activated the alkylating moieties

Introduction

Many cytotoxic drugs have been developed for use in cancer chemotherapy.¹ Nitrogen mustards form a substantial category of such drugs in common clinical use.² However, the clinical efficacy has been limited by the low therapeutic index and lack of selectivity of cytotoxic compounds in general and nitrogen mustards in particular. As a consequence, selective generation of a cytotoxic nitrogen mustard from an inactive prodrug has become a significant objective.³

There have been numerous approaches to achieve selectivity by conjugating nitrogen mustards to antibodies which are directed at tumor-associated antigens and which localize selectively at tumor sites. The general strategy is to conjugate the toxic component to the antibody in a single cytotoxic bifunctional agent, which has targeting potential. It has been demonstrated that an N -acetylmelphalan-monoclonal antibody conjugate had greater antitumor activity than N -acetylmelphalan, melphalan, or the monoclonal antibody alone.⁴ However, one of the main drawbacks of this strategy is that the alkylating agent-antibody conjugates are unable to gain sufficient tumor access. Analysis of this approach led to the suggestion that it would be an advantage to separate these two functions.⁵ Antibodydirected enzyme prodrug therapy (ADEPT)⁶ separates the cytotoxic function from the targeting function in a two-phase system that has benefits over a one-phase chemo- or radioimmunoconjugate or an immunotoxin.

In this two-phase approach, the selective component is delivered first, with time allowed to optimize localization and clearance from blood before administration of the second component in the form of the prodrug.^{7,8} The amplification feature (one conjugate molecule can catalyze the conversion of many molecules of the prodrug into the cytotoxic parent drug) inherent in the enzyme component of the antibody—enzyme conjugate provides additional advantages. The tumor interstitial transport of low molecular weight cytotoxic agents thus generated has greater access, compared to those of the antibody conjugates. Nitrogen mustard agents are good candidates for ADEPT in that their cytotoxicity is doserelated and they can be given often with less induced resistance than other classes of anticancer agents.⁹ Other groups have also utilized nitrogen mustard prodrugs in different ADEPT systems.10-15 To date, there has been only one pilot clinical trial in ADEPT, on the prodrug [4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino] benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid (40), with promising results.16,17 The three-stage trial (a galactosylated antienzyme antibody was used as an additional second step to clear antibody—enzyme conjugate from plasma) has confirmed the feasibility of this approach.

The synthesis of six novel potential prodrugs, [2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]- L-glutamic acid $(7 \text{ and } 19)$, $[2 \text{ and } 3 \cdot \text{fluoro-4-}](2 \cdot)$ chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid $(8 \text{ and } 20)$, and $[2-$ and $3-fluoro-4-[bis(2$ chloroethyl)amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid (9 and 21), for use in ADEPT is described. Each of these compounds is a bifunctional alkylating agent in which the activating effect of the ionized carboxyl function is

^{*} Address correspondence to this author. Tel: 081-643-8901. Fax: 081-770-7899. f Institute of Cancer Research.

^{*} Royal Free Hospital, School of Medicine. ® Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* June 15, 1994.

masked through an amide bond to the glutamic acid residue. These compounds are designed to be activated to their corresponding benzoic acid alkylating agents at a tumor site by prior administration of a monoclonal antibody conjugated to the bacterial enzyme carboxypeptidase G2 (CPG2). The synthesis of the analogous novel parent drugs corresponding to the six new potential prodrugs is also described. These are 2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoic acid **(10** and 22), 2- and 3-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy) ethyl]amino]benzoic acid **(11** and 23), and 2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoic acid **(12** and 24), respectively.

The rationale for the synthesis of the six novel potential prodrugs was to broaden the range of different chemical half-lives in order to favor potential improvements on the previously synthesized prodrugs [4-[bis- [2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid (39), [4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-Lglutamic acid (40), and [4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino] benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid (41). There is convincing evidence that these diacids are converted by CPG2 in vivo as well as in vitro to their corresponding active drugs 4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoic acid (42), 4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoicacid (43) , and 4 -[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoic acid (44) ⁸

The effect of 2- and 3-fluoro ring substituents on the chemical reactivities, the substrate specificities, and the cytotoxicities was unknown. In position 2, the fluorine should have a $-I$ effect associated with the rather high $\sigma_{\rm m}$ = 0.34. Accordingly, the hydrolysis rate of the substituted nitrogen mustard would be expected to decrease. In position 3, there are three conflicting effects of the fluorine: the $-I$ effect which tends to decrease the hydrolysis rate, the *+R* effect which tends to increase the hydrolysis rate, and a steric effect due to the fluorine which causes steric hindrance of resonance of the substituted amino group, decreasing its basicity. It was therefore difficult to predict the overall effect of the fluorine substitutions, and the situation was made even more unpredictable by the effect of the $CO_2^$ group.

Accordingly, chemical half-life measurements were performed to determine the relative chemical reactivities of the fluorine compounds. All the 2-fluoro parent drugs, **10-12,** were deactivated compared to the nonfluorinated derivatives, **42—44,** respectively. Conversely, the three 3-fluoro potential prodrugs, **19-21,** and their three corresponding parent drugs, **22-24,** were greatly activated with respect to the corresponding 2-fluoro and the non-fluorinated analogues. Thus, a wide range of differing reactivities was obtained by 2 and 3-fluoro substitution.

Certain effects of the 2-fluoro and 3-fluoro ring substituents on the substrate reactivity of benzoic acid mustard glutamates for CPG2 were predictable. Thus, the unfluorinated prodrug would be expected to have the lowest K_m , suggesting tight binding with the enzyme. Introduction of the F at either position 2 or 3 would be expected to diminish the binding due to steric reasons, and this was found to be the case. Introduction of F at position 2 would be expected to reduce the k_{cat} due to the $+R$ effect of fluorine (with respect to the amide group) which was found to be the case as demonstrated by k_{cat} values of $20 > 8$, $19 > 7$, and 21

> 9. Introduction of F at position 3 results in a *-I* effect. The combined $+R$, $-I$ effect justifies the observed trend for k_{cat} values of $20 > 40 > 8$. In conclusion, the 3-fluoro potential prodrugs were good substrates and two of the 2-fluoro potential prodrugs were moderate substrates for CPG2. Compound 7 was a poor substrate. The nonfluorinated prodrugs were the best substrates for the enzyme.

The cytotoxicity of the benzoic acid mustard glutamates with and without CPG2 was measured to assess the 2-fluoro and 3-fluoro potential prodrugs. The parent drugs were also assayed in the same system. The 3-fluoro diacids proved to have good prodrug potential, with activation by CPG2 leading to enhanced cytotoxicity comparable with that of the parent drugs. The 2-fluoro analogues were not cytotoxic even when activated by CPG2.

Therefore, the six novel diacids provide a selection of activated and deactivated potential prodrugs which, in the case of five of the analogues, are cleaved by CPG2 to the corresponding parent drugs. It is anticipated that this variety of potential prodrugs may provide assistance in the selection of the best compound for ADEPT.

Results and Discussion

Chemistry. Six potential prodrugs were synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. Structures **1-24** and **28-38** are new compounds.

The starting material for the 2-fluoro potential prodrugs was di-tert-butyl (2-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (1), which was obtained by the condensation of di-tert-butyl L-glutamate hydrochloride and 2-fluoro-4 nitrobenzoyl chloride. Di-fert-butyl (3-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (13) was made similarly from 3-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl chloride. Both nitro compounds were reduced using catalytic hydrogen transfer from ammonium formate to give di-tert-butyl (2- and 3-fluoro-4-aminobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (2 and 14). These amines were N-alkylated using excess ethylene oxide in glacial acetic acid over long time periods to di-tert-butyl [2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]benzoyl]- L-glutamate (3 and 15). The products thus obtained required purification on silica gel chromatography columns. Compound 3 was used as a common intermediate with methanesulfonyl (mesyl) chloride for the synthesis of $4-6$, which were separated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel. Similarly, 15 was employed for the synthesis of **16-18.** The final deprotection of *tert*-butyl esters $4-6$ leading to diacids $7-9$ was carried out with trifluoroacetic acid. Likewise, **19 - 21** were formed from **16—18.** Trifluoroacetic acid remained in the isolated products of compounds containing glutamic acid deprotected as above as noted by nig grutamic acid deprotected as above as noted by
ourselves¹⁸ and others ¹⁹ The latter used concentrated HCl, elevated temperatures, and extended time as an alternative method. However, neither the alkylating moieties nor the amide bonds of **7—9** and **19—21** are stable to these conditions.

The corresponding six parent drugs were also synthesized as shown in Schemes 2 and 3. The starting material for the 2-fluoro parent drugs was 2-fluoro-4 nitrotoluene. This was oxidized to the 2-fluoro-4 nitrobenzoic acid followed by its chlorination (SOCI2) to the intermediate acid chloride 25 according to literature

Scheme 1

methods.²⁰ The starting material for the 3-fluoro parent drugs was 3-fluoro-4-nitrotoluene which was transformed to the intermediate acid chloride 32 by the same two-step procedure. Both products (25 and 32) were esterified using lithium tert-butoxide.

The resulting tert-butyl 2- and 3-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoates **(26** and 33, respectively) were reduced using transfer hydrogenation, with ammonium formate and 10% Pd/C in a modification of the literature method.¹⁸ Ethanol was used instead of methanol to avoid the risk of ignition, especially important in the preparation of bulk batches. A good yield of the 2- and 3-fluoro amino derivatives 27 and 34 was obtained. These amines were N-alkylated with ethylene oxide in glacial acetic acid to afford the desired tert-butyl 2- and 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2 hydroxyethyl)amino]benzoates (28 and 35).

The tert-butyl 2-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoate (31) and teri-butyl 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl) amino]benzoate (38) intermediates were each prepared by two different routes as shown in Scheme 2. The direct chlorination of the corresponding hydroxyethyl precursors with $S OCl₂$ (method a) or by mesyl chloride in a one-step procedure (method b) was used to obtain the bis(2-chloroethyl) intermediates. The chlorination (mesyl chloride) of the 3-fluorobis(2-mesyloxy)ethyl derivative 36 afforded the corresponding bis(2-chloroethyl) derivative 38 in good yield. Mesyl chloride was also used to yield the other desired products. In the 2-fluoro syntheses, a mixture of tert-butyl 2-fluoro-4- [(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoate(30),

^a(i) Thionyl chloride (method a); (ii) mesyl chloride/pyridine (method b).

tert-butyl 2-fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoate (29), and tert-butyl 2-fiuoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl) aminolbenzoate (31) was obtained as shown in Scheme 3.

Correspondingly, in the 3-fluoro syntheses, mixtures of *tert-butyl* 3-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy) ethyl]amino]benzoate (37), tert-butyl 3-fluoro-4-[bis[2- (mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoate (36), and fert-butyl 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoate (38) were obtained. The desired products were separated by column chromatography on silica gel.

Scheme 3

Table 1. Chemical Half-Lives in Perchlorate at 37 °C

 a nd—not determined. b From ref 21.

Acids **10-12** and **22-24** were prepared by treatment of the esters **29-31** and **36-38,** respectively, with trifluoroacetic acid. When solid compounds were obtained, the TFA was removed readily by crystallization.

The chemical half-lives of the potential prodrugs **19— 21** and the parent drugs **10-12** and **22-24** were determined as described previously for the *1*1/2 of the non-fluorinated prodrugs **39—41** and their active drugs **42-44. ² ¹** The results are shown in Table 1. All the 2-fluoro acids exhibited longer half-lives (3-4-fold) than their analogous non-fluorinated counterparts *(cf.* **10** vs **42,11** vs **43,12** vs 44), presumably due to the inductive *(—1)* effect of the fluorine.

However, by contrast, all the 3-fluoro compounds had substantially shorter half-lives (5—24-fold) with respect to those of their analogous non-fluorinated counterparts *(cf.* **19** vs **39, 20** vs **40, 21** vs **41, 22** vs **42, 23** vs **43, 24** vs 44). As expected, all the parent drugs showed shorter

Table 2. Kinetics of Potential Prodrugs as Substrates for CPG2

prodrug	$K_{\rm m}(\pm SD)$ (μ M)	$k_{\text{cat}}(\pm \text{SD})$ (s^{-1})	$k_{\mathrm{cat}}/K_{\mathrm{m}}$ $K_{\rm cat}({\rm s}^{-1}\,\mu{\rm M}^{-1})$
7	very poor substrate		
8	11(2.2)	213(9.0)	19
9	15(2.7)	462 (28)	31
19	17(7.3)	565 (126)	33
20	6.0(1.7)	614(63)	102
21	10(1.5)	1028 (76)	103
40	3.4(0.5)	583 (27)	171

half-lives than their corresponding prodrugs. The greatest divergence in half-lives between potential prodrug and corresponding parent drug was obtained for the 3-fluoro 2-chloroethyl 2-(mesyloxy)ethyl compounds *(cf.* **20** vs 23), with **a** differential of *ca.* 50-fold.

Biological Evaluation. The K_m and k_{cat} with CPG2 were determined using each of the novel potential prodrugs **7- 9** and **19-21** and compared to those of [4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-Lglutamic acid (40), currently in clinical trial. The kinetics were determined by measuring the decrease in the absorption spectrum after addition of CPG2 to each prodrug. This is a result of the hydrolysis of the amide bond of the benzoylglutamic acid moiety. All the potential prodrugs were substrates for CPG2. However, compound 7 had such a slow rate of conversion that its kinetics could not be measured. For all the other compounds, plots of initial reaction velocity versus each

Table 3. Biological Assay of the Compounds in Cell Culture with and without CPG2

prodrug	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$	active drug	$IC_{50}(\mu M)$
7	> 800	10	> 800
$7 + CPG2$	> 800		
8	> 800	11	> 800
$8 + CPG2$	> 800		
9	> 800	12	>800
$9 + CPG2$	-800		
19	> 800	22	480
$19 + CPG2$	480		
20	-800	23	280
$20 + CPG2$	350		
21	> 800	24	270
$21 + CPG2$	390		
40	> 800	43	185
$40 + CPG2$	200		

Figure 1. Cell viability in the presence of parent drug **22** (•) or with prodrug 19, without enzyme $($ **A** $)$ and with enzyme $($ **II** $)$.

prodrug substrate concentration followed Michaelis-Menten kinetics.

The results are shown in Table 2. The 2-fluoro substituent had a detrimental effect on the *k^<JKm* ratios compared to the non-fluorinated diacids. The bis[2- (mesyloxy)ethyl] diacids were the poorest substrates in both the 2-fluoro and 3-fluoro series. However, the effect of the 3-fluoro substitution was much less marked. The diacids **20** and **21** were excellent substrates.

The novel diacids, $7-9$ and $19-21$, and the nonfluorinated diacid, **40,** were tested for prodrug activity by measuring their cytotoxicity with and without CPG2 in the colorectal cell line LS174T for 1 h.²² The novel corresponding parent drugs, **10-12, 22-24,** and **43,** respectively, were screened under the same conditions.

The results are shown in Table 3 and Figures 1-4. All the 3-fluoro diacids, **19-21,** showed substantial prodrug activity as did the non-fluorinated diacid, **40.** In each case, the potential prodrug was completely noncytotoxic even at 800 μ M and conversion to its corresponding parent drug by CPG2 led to increased cytotoxicity. The cytotoxicity of each of the parent drugs alone, **22—24,** was not significantly different from that of its potential prodrug + CPG2, **19-21,** respectively. Although all the 2-fluoro diacids alone were nontoxic, none exhibited prodrug activity since they were not converted to a cytotoxic species in the diacid $+$ CPG2 tests. These data were in good agreement with the cytotoxicity experiments using the 2-fluoro parent drugs.

These results indicate that fluorinated compounds can be synthesized as prodrugs to be activated by the bacterial enzyme CPG2. The introduction of the 2- and

Figure 2. Cell viability in the presence of parent drug 23 (•) or with prodrug 20, without enzyme (\triangle) and with enzyme (\blacksquare) .

Figure 3. Cell viability in the presence of parent drug 24 (•) or with prodrug 21, without enzyme (\triangle) and with enzyme (\blacksquare) .

Figure 4. Cell viability in the presence of parent drug 43 (\bullet) or with prodrug 40, without enzyme (\triangle) and with enzyme (\blacksquare) .

3-fluoro substituent into the benzene ring of prodrugs which have proven activity in ADEPT6-8.16-18 has led to wide diversity in the chemical and biological characteristics of the novel compounds. Since these novel diacids are all substrates for the same CPG2 enzyme, they will be tested in vivo in the ADEPT system alone and in combination.

The prodrug **40,** currently undergoing clinical evaluation in ADEPT, has demonstrated efficacy. However, the 3-fluoro prodrug **20** which is also an excellent substrate for the CPG2 enzyme and shows a similar cytotoxicity profile releases an active drug with a shorter chemical half-life.

Experimental Section

All starting materials and reagents are commercially available (Aldrich or Sigma) unless otherwise stated. Silica was used in gravity columns (Art 9385 and 15111, Merck). TLC was performed on precoated sheets of silica 60 F_{254} (Art 5735, Merck). TLC spots were developed with Epstein spray.²³ Melting points were determinated on a Kofler hot-stage (Reichert Thermovar) melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Electron impact spectra were determined with a VG 7070H mass spectrometer and a VG 2235 data system using the direct-insertion method, an ionizing energy of 70 eV, a trap current of 100 μ A, and an ion-source temperature of 180-200 ⁰C. FAB mass spectra were determined using xenon gas. Reported spectra are by FAB unless otherwise stated. NMR spectra $({}^{1}H$ and ${}^{19}F$) were determined in $Me₂SO-d₆$ on a Brucker AC250 spectrometer (250 MHz) at 30 °C (303 K) unless otherwise stated. Elemental analyses were determined by Butterworth Laboratories Ltd. (Teddington, Middlesex, England). Half-life determinations were performed on an automatic titrator (Radiometer, Copenhagen). Kinetic analyses were performed on a spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer Lambda 2) fitted with a heat controller. Results were calculated using a nonlinear regression program (Enzfit).

Di-tert-butyl (2-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (1). To a solution of di-tert-butyl L-glutamate hydrochloride (5.00 g, 16.9 mmol) in Et_3N (5.0 mL, 34.0 mmol) was added dropwise a solution of 2-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl chloride (3.50 g, 17.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL). The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness, and the resulting oil was partioned between $\rm CH_2Cl_2$ (200 mL) and $\rm H_2O$ (3 \times 300 mL). The organic extract was dried (Na_2SO_4) and evaporated to give 1 as an oil (6.80 g, 94%): ¹H NMR δ 1.40 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 1.43 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 1.95 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.34 (t, 2H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, CH₂- CH_2CO_2-t-Bu , 4.35 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.85 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{H-6,H-3}$ = 1.1 Hz, $J_{\text{H-6,F}} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{\text{H-6,H-5}} = 8.2$ Hz, H-6), 8.18 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 8.92 (d, *IH, J=* 7.5 Hz, NH) (The presence of CH2- $Cl₂$ noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); 19 F NMR δ -110.41 (dd); MS m/z 427 ([M + H⁺], 18), 315 (M $- t$ -Bu₂, 100). Anal. (C₂₀H₂₇N₂O₇F-0.04CH₂Cl₂) C, H, N, F.

Di-terf-butyl (2-Fluoro-4-aminobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (2). A slurry of the nitro compound 1 (5.80 g, 13.6 mmol) and Pd/C (10%, 1.00 g) in MeOH (60 mL) was stirred with ammonium formate (4.50 g, 71.5 mmol). The catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate washed with MeOH and concentrated. The residue was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O , and the organic phase was separated, dried (Na₂-SO4), and evaporated to dryness to give the amine 2 as an oil $(5.2 \text{ g}, 96\%): \text{ }^{\text{i}}\text{H} \text{ NMR} \text{ } \delta \text{ } 1.38 \text{ (s, 9 H, t-Bu), } 1.41 \text{ (s, 9 H, t-Bu), }$ 1.95 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.29 (t, 2 H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$, 4.31 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.98 (s, 2 H, NH₂), 6.30 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-3,F} = 14.3$ Hz, H-3), 6.40 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-5,H-6} = 8.6$ Hz, H-5), 7.42 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-6,F} = 8.7$ Hz, H-6), 7.69 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-N,H-C}} = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{\text{H-N,F}} = 13.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{NH}; ^{19}\text{F} \text{ NMR } \delta - 112.23$ (ddd); MS m/z 397 ($[M + H^+]$, 100). Anal. $(C_{20}H_{29}N_2 O_6F-0.5MeOH$) C, H, N, F.

Di-tert-butyl [2-Fluoro-4-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]**benzoyl]-L-glutamate** (3). Amine 2 (1.60 g, 4.0 mmol) in HOAc (10 mL) was stirred with ethylene oxide (13.0 mL, 260 mmol) at room temperature for 112 h. The product was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O . The organic phase was separated, washed with H_2O , dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated to dryness. The crude oil was chromatographed on silica gel, eluting with EtOAc-CH₂Cl₂ to give an oil, $3(1.00 \text{ g}, 49\%)$: ¹H NMR δ 1.39 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.42 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.93 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.29 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.7$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 3.46 (d, 4 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 2 HOCH₂CH₂), 3.55 (t, 4 H, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 2 HOCH2CH2), 4.34 (m, 1 H, CH), 4.75 (t, 2 H, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2 OH), 6.50 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-3,F}} = 17.1$ Hz, H-3), 6.57 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-6},\text{H-6}} = 9.0 \text{ Hz}$, H-5), 7.33 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-6},\text{F}} = 9.1 \text{ Hz}$, H-6), 7.69 (dd, 1H, $J_{H-N,H-C} = 7.1$ Hz, $J_{H-N,F} = 14.1$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -111.03 (ddd); MS *mlz* 485 $([M + H⁺], 4), 226 (M - glu-t-Bu₂, 100).$ Anal. $(C_{24}H_{37}N_2-$ O7F-0.5EtOAc) C, H, N, F.

Di-fert-butyl [2-Fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyU-L-glutamate (4), Di-fert-butyl [2-Fluoro-4-[(2 chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]- L-glutamate (5), and Di-ferf-butyl [2-Fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamate (6). A solution of 3 (1.40 g, 2.9 mmol) in pyridine (4.5 mL) was stirred with methanesulfonyl chloride (0.9 mL, 1.8 mmol) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min followed by 80 °C for 20 min. The reaction mixture was partitioned between EtOAc (300 mL) and citric acid (10%, 800 mL). The organic phase was separated, washed with H_2O , dried $(Na₂SO₄)$, and evaporated to dryness. The concentrate contained three reaction products, each of which gave a positive color with the Epstein reagent. The mixture was chromatographed on silica gel and eluted with EtOAc-CH2- $Cl₂$ (1:5). The slowest eluting was the 2-fluoro bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyll derivative, the solid $4(0.10 \text{ g}, 5\%)$: mp 90-92 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.38 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.42 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.94 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$, 2.30 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 3.16 (s, 6 H, 2 CH_3SO_3), 3.80 (t, 4H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, 2 CH_3SO_3 - CH_2CH_2), 4.33 (t, 5 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2 $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2CH_2$ CH), 6.68 (dd, 2 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} = 8.1 \text{ Hz}, J_{\text{H-3,F}} = 15.3 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-3, H-5}, 7.54$ (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-6,F} = 9.1$ Hz, H-6), 7.90 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-N,H-C} = 5.3$ (dd, 1 11, $\sigma_{\rm H-6,F} = 3.1$ 112, 11-0), 7.30 (dd, 1 11, $\sigma_{\rm H-N,H-C} = 0.6$
Hz $J_{\rm H-9,F} = 12.5$ Hz, NH)^{, 19}F NMR δ –110.52 (dd); MS m/s 641 ([M + H⁺], 12), 382 (M - glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal.
641 ([M + H⁺], 12), 382 (M - glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. $(C_{26}H_{41}N_2O_{11}FS_2)$ C, H, N, F, S.

Eluting second was an oil, the 2-fluoro 2-chloroethyl 2-(mesyloxy)ethyl derivative 5 (0.58 g, 34%): ¹H NMR *d* 1.38 (s, 9 H, t -Bu), 1.42 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.93 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.30 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 3.15 (s, 3 H, CH_3SO_3), 3.77 (s, 4 H, ClCH₂CH₂), 3.82 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.32 (t, 3 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$, CH), 6.66 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.55 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-6,H-5} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{\mathrm{H-6,F}}$ = 9.2 Hz, H-6), 7.89 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\mathrm{H-N,H-C}}$ = 5.6 Hz, $J_{\mathrm{H-N,F}}$ $= 12.8$ Hz, NH); ¹⁹F NMR δ -110.45 (m); MS m/z 581 ([M + H^+], 14), 322 (M – glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. (C₂₅H₃₈N₂O₈FClS) C, H, N, F, Cl, S.

The fastest eluting, the 2-fluoro bis(2-chloroethyl) derivative, was a solid, 6 (0.53 g, 34%): mp 104-106 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.38 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.42 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.96 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2$ t -Bu), 2.29 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.8$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t$ -Bu), 3.78 (dt, 8 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), 4.35 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.65 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.55 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-6,F}} = 9.1 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{\text{H-6,H-5}} = 9.4 \text{ Hz}$, $H=6$, 7.88 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-N,H-C} = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{H-N,F} = 12.8$ Hz, NH); ¹⁹F NMR δ -110.55 (ddd, $J_{\rm{F,H-N}}$ = 11.3 Hz, $J_{\rm{F,H-3}}$ = 14.1 Hz); MS m/z 521 ([M + H⁺], 16), 262 (M – glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. $(C_{24}H_{35}N_2O_5Cl_2)$ C, H, N, F, Cl.

Preparation of Diacids—General Method. Compound $4 (0.13 g, 0.20 mmol), 5 (0.21 g, 0.36 mmol), or 6 (0.20 g, 0.38$ mmol) was suspended in TFA $(4-8\% \text{ w/v})$ and stirred for 40 min at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the remaining oil was diluted with ethyl acetate (1.0 mL) which was evaporated. This latter step was repeated $5-20$ times. Compound 7, an oil $(0.12 \text{ g}, 100\%),$ ^-fluoTO^ftis^mesyloxy^thyllaminoJbenzoyll-L-glutamicacid, was obtained as a pure product from 4: 1 H NMR δ 2.05 (m, 2) H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 2.32 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 3.16 (s, 6 H, 2 CH_3SO_3), 3.18 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.0$ Hz, 2 CH_3SO_3 - CH_2CH_2), 4.33 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2 $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.41 (t, 1 H, J = 4.2 Hz, CH), 6.69 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.58 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\rm H-6,F} = 9.1$ Hz, $J_{\rm H-6,H-5} = 9.3$ Hz, H-6), 7.89 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\rm H-N,H-C}$ $= 6.0$ Hz, $J_{H-N,F} = 12.1$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc and TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -110.35 (ddd, $J_{\rm FH-3}$ = 14.5 Hz); MS m/z 529 ([M $+ H⁺$], 12), 382 (M – glu, 100); accurate mass calcd, 529.0961; found, 2.0 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{25}N_2O_{11}FS_2O.40TFA$ $0.30E$ t O Ac) C, H, N, F, S.

Compound 8 (0.17 g, 92%), [2-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2- (mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid, was similarly obtained as an oil from 5: ¹H NMR δ 2.02 (m, 2 H, CH_2CH_2 - CO_2H), 2.32 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 3.15 (s, 3 H, CH_3SO_3), 3.77 (s, 4 H, ClCH₂CH₂), 3.82 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.1$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.32 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.40 (q, 1 H, $J = 4.5$ Hz, CH), 6.67 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.57 $(d, 1 H, J_{H-6,F} = 9.1 Hz, J_{H-6,H-5} = 9.4 Hz, H-6), 7.88 (dd, 1$ H, $J_{H-N,H-C} = 6.5$ Hz, $J_{H-NF} = 13.1$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc and TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -110.35 (ddd, $J_{\text{F,H-3}} = 16.2 \text{ Hz}$); MS m/z 469 ($[M + H^+]$, 8), 322 ($M - glu$, 100); accurate mass calcd, 469.0847; found, 3.2 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_6$ -FC1S-0.26TFA-0.15EtOAc) C, H, N, F, Cl, S.

Compound 9 (0.17 g, 97%), [2-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid, was likewise obtained as an oil from 6: ¹H NMR δ 1.98 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 2.33 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.7$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$, 3.78 (dt, 8 H, 2 $ClCH_2CH_2$), 4.41 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.65 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.58 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-6,H-5}} = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{\text{H-6,F}} = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-6}, 7.85 \text{ (dd, 1 H, } J_{\text{H-N,H-6}})$ $c = 5.5$ Hz, $J_{H-N,F} = 12.8$ Hz, NH) (The presence of TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR .); ¹⁹F NMR δ -110.43 (ddd, $J_{\text{FH}-3}$ = 15.3 Hz); MS m/z 409 ([M + H⁺], 3), $262 (M - glu, 100)$; accurate mass calcd, 409.0733; found, 3.7 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{19}N_2O_5FCl_2O.40TFA)$ C, H, N, F, Cl.

Di-tert-butyl (3-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (13). To a solution of di-tert-butyl L-glutamate hydrochloride (20.00 g, 67.6 mmol) in Et₃N (19 mL, 136.0 mmol) was added dropwise a solution of 3-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl chloride (13.80 g, 68.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (300 mL). Extractive workup gave an oil, 13 (28.00 g, 97%): ¹H NMR δ 1.40 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.42 $(s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.99$ (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu)$, 2.36 (t, 2 H, J $= 7.5$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$, 4.35 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.92 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-6},\text{H-5}}$ = 7.6 Hz, H-6), 8.01 (m, 1 H, H-2), 8.29 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5},\text{F}}$ = 8.1 Hz, H-5), 8.97 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, NH) (The presence of CH_2Cl_2 noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR); ¹⁹F NMR δ -117.98 (dd); MS (CI) m/z 427 ([M + H⁺], 100). Anal. $(C_{20}H_{27}N_2O_7F+0.25CH_2Cl_2)$ C, H, N, F, Cl.

Di-terf-butyl (3-Fluoro-4-aminobenzoyl)-L-glutamate (14). Catalytic transfer reduction of the nitro compound 13 (7.50 g, 17.5 mmol) in MeOH (60 mL) with ammonium formate (5.80 g, 91.6 mmol) on 10% Pd/C gave the amine 14 as an oil which crystallized on standing $(6.90 \text{ g}, 99\%)$: mp 84–85 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.39 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.40 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.97 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.31 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 4.28 (m, 1 H, CH), 5.71 (s, 2 H, NH₂), 6.77 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} =$ 8.8 Hz, $J_{H-5,F}$ = 8.7 Hz, H-5), 7.49 (dd, 1 H, H-6), 7.56 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\rm H-2,F}=12.8$ Hz, H-2), 8.19 (d, 1 H, $J=7.6$ Hz, NH); ¹⁹(F NMR δ -135.56 (dd); MS m/z 397 ([M + H⁺], 5), 138 (M glu, 100). Anal. $(C_{20}H_{29}N_2O_5F)$ C, H, N, F.

Di-ter^butyl[3-Fluoro-4-[bis(2-hydroxyethyl)amino] benzoyl]-L-glutamate (15). Amine 14 (5.30 g, 13.4 mmol) in HOAc (30.0 mL) was stirred with ethylene oxide (60 mL, 1.2 mol) at room temperature for 72 h. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum, and the product was partitioned between EtOAc and H_2O . The organic phase was worked up and purified as for compound 3 above to give the pure oil 15 $(3.3 \text{ g}, 51\%)$: ¹H NMR δ 1.39 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.41 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.97 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 2.32 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CH_2-t-Bu$, 3.43 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.9$ Hz, 2 HOCH₂CH₂), 3.54 (d, 4 H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 2 HOCH₂CH₂), 4.31 (m, 1 H, CH), 4.67 (s, 2 H, 2 OH), 6.99 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} = 8.9$ Hz, $J_{\text{H-5,F}} =$ 8.9 Hz, H-5), 7.60 (m, 2 H, H-6, H-2), 8.3 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.5 Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -124.31 (dd, $J_{\text{F,H-2}} =$ 15.4 Hz); MS m/z 485 ($[M + H⁺]$, 22), 226 (M – glu-t-Bu, 100). Anal. (C24H37N2O7F-LlEtOAc) C, **H,** N, F.

Di-tert-butyl **[3-Fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamate (16),** *Di-tert-butyl* **[3-Fluoro-4- [(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]- L-glutamate (17), and Di-tert-butyl [3-Fluoro-4-[bis(2 chloroethvl)amino]benzoyI]-L-glutamate (18).** A solution of 15 (0.67 g, 1.4 mmol) in pyridine (3.0 mL) was stirred with methanesulfonyl chloride $(0.6$ mL, 7.7 mmol) at 0 °C for 20 min followed by 80 ⁰C for 15 min. The reaction mixture was worked up as for $4-6$ above. The concentrate contained three reaction products, each of which gave a positive color with the Epstein reagent, which was purified as for compounds $4-6$. The slowest eluting oil was the 3-fluoro bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl] derivative as the oil 16 (0.31 g, 35%): ¹H NMR *d* 1.39 (s, 9 H, t -Bu), 1.41 (s, 9 H, t -Bu), 1.98 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t$ -Bu), 2.32 $(t, 2 H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu), 3.12 (s, 6 H, 2 CH_3SO_3),$

 3.72 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, 2 CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 4.30 (t, 5 H, $J =$ 5.3 Hz, 2 CH₃SO₃CH₂, CH), 7.16 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-5,H-6} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{\text{H-5,F}} = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-5}, 7.67 \text{ (dd, 2 H, } J_{\text{H-2,F}} = 13.8 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-2},$ H-6), 8.43 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -122.69 (dd); MS m/z 641 ([M + H⁺], 15), 382 (M glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. $(C_{26}H_{41}N_2O_{11}FS_2O0.6EtOAc) C, H, N, F,$ S.

Eluting second was the 3-fluoro 2-chloroethyl 2-(mesyloxy) ethyl derivative as the oil 17 (0.29 g, 37%): ¹H NMR *6* 1.39 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.41 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 1.99 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t$ -Bu), 2.32 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2-t-Bu$), 3.12 (s, 3H, CH_3SO_3), 3.71 (s, 6 H, ClCH₂CH₂, CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 4.30 (t, 3 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂, CH), 7.13 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} =$ 8.8 Hz, $J_{H-5,F} = 9.0$ Hz, H-5), 7.66 (dd, 2H, $J_{H-2,F} = 14.6$ Hz, H-2, H-6), 8.41 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, NH); ¹⁹F NMR δ -123.40 (m); MS m/z 581 ([M + H⁺], 30), 322 (M – glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. $(C_{25}H_{38}N_2O_6FCIS)$ C, H, N, F, Cl, S.

The fastest eluting, the 3-fluoro bis(2-chloroethyl) derivative, was the solid 18 (0.11 g, 15%): mp 100-103 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.39 (s, 9H, t-Bu), 1.41 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 2.01 (m, 2 H, CH_2CH_2 -CO₂-t-Bu), 2.33 (t, 2H, $J = 7.3$ Hz, CH₂CH₂CO₂-t-Bu), 3.72 (s, 8 H, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), 4.32 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.11 (dd, 1 H, $J_{H-5,H-6}$ = 8.9 Hz, $J_{H-5,F} = 9.1$ Hz, H-5), 7.65 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6), 8.40 (d, 1 H, *J* = 7.4 Hz, NH); ¹⁹F NMR *δ* -123.83 (dd, *J*_{F,H-2} = 14.8 Hz); MS m/z 521 ([M + H⁺], 19), 262 (M - glu-t-Bu₂, 100). Anal. $(C_{24}H_{35}N_2O_5Cl_2O.5H_2O)$ C, H, N, F, Cl.

Preparation of Diacids—General Method. Compound 16 (0.10 g, 0.16 mmol), 17 (0.08 g, 0.13 mmol), or 18 (0.06 g, 0.11 mmol) was suspended in TFA (4% w/v) and stirred for 40 min at room temperature. The acid was removed under reduced pressure, and the remaining oil was diluted with ethyl acetate (1 mL) which was evaporated. This latter step was repeated five to six times. Compound 19 (0.09 g, 91%), [3-fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid, was obtained as a pure product from 16 : ¹H NMR δ 1.99 (m, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$, 2.35 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.5$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 3.13 (s, 6 H, 2 CH_3SO_3), 3.72 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.34$ Hz, 2 CH_3SO_3 - CH_2CH_2), 4.31 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.39 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.16 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} = 8.6 \text{ Hz}$, $J_{\text{H-5,F}} = 18.0 \text{ Hz}$, H-5), 7.68 (dd, 2 H, $J_{\text{H-2,F}} = 15.3$ Hz, H-2, H-6), 8.45 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.7$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc and TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.): ¹⁹F NMR δ -122.54 (m); MS *mlz* 529 ([M + H⁺], 45), 382 (M - glu, 100); accurate mass calcd, 529.0961; found, 5.4 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(\bar{C}_{16}H_{25}N_2O_{11}FS_2.0.22TFA.0.21EtOAC)$ C, H, N, F, S.

Compound 20 (0.06 g, 91%), [3-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2- (mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid, was similarly obtained as an oil from (17): ¹H NMR δ 2.00 (m, 2 H, CH_2CH_2 - $CO₂H$), 2.35 (t, 2H, $J = 7.43$ Hz, $CH₂CH₂CO₂H$), 3.13 (s, 3 H, CH_3SO_3), 3.73 (s, 6 H, ClCH₂CH₂, CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 4.31 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.39 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.15 (dd, $1 H, J_{H-5,H-6} = 8.8 Hz, J_{H-5,F} = 18.2 Hz, H-5$, 7.68 (dd, 2 H, $J_{H-2,F} = 14.8$ Hz, H-2, H-6), 8.45 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc and TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -123.19 (dd); MS *mlz* 469 ([M + H⁺], 10), 322 (M - glu, 100); accurate mass calcd, 469.0847; found, 4.9 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_6FCIS-0.20TFA-0.21EtOAc)$ C, H, N, F, Cl, S.

Compound 21 (0.05 g, 97%), [3-fiuoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyDamino]benzoyl]-L-glutamic acid, was likewise obtained as an oil from 18: ¹H NMR δ 2.00 (m, 2 H, CH₂CH₂CO₂H), 2.35 (t, 2 H, $J = 7.4$ Hz, $CH_2CH_2CO_2H$), 3.73 (s, 8 H, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), 4.41 (m, 1 H, CH), 7.12 (dd, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} = 8.8$ Hz, $J_{\text{H-5,F}} =$ 18.2 Hz, H-5), 7.67 (dd, 2 H, $J_{\text{H-2,F}} = 15.4$ Hz, H-2, H-6), 8.42 (d, 1 H, $J = 7.2$ Hz, NH) (The presence of EtOAc and TFA noted in the elemental analysis was confirmed by NMR.); ¹⁹F NMR δ -123.65 (dd); MS m/z 409 ([M + H⁺], 48), 262 (M glu, 100); accurate mass calcd, 409.0733; found, -0.7 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{19}N_2O_5FCl_2O.18TFAO.2EtOAc)C$, H, N, F, Cl.

2-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl Chloride (25). A slurry of 2-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoic acid (2.13 g, 11.5 mmol) in dry toluene

 (50 mL) was stirred with SOCl₂ $(2.03 \text{ g}, 17.1 \text{ mmol})$ under reflux for 3 h. The cooled solution was filtered through Celite 531 and concentrated to give the acid chloride as the solid 25, which was recrystallized from petroleum ether-THF $(2.0 g,$ 86%): mp 37-39 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 8.04-8.20 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 8.29 (m, 1 H, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃) δ -103.96 (t, $J = 8.4$ Hz); MS (EI) m/z 168 (M - Cl, 83).

fert-Butyl 2-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoate (26). Butyllithium (63 mL (1.6 M in hexane), 100 mmol) was added to tert-butyl alcohol (200 mL) over 30-40 min at 20 °C under N_2 and stirred for 20 min. The acid chloride 25 (20.00 g, 98.2 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 22 h. The LiCl precipitate was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to an oil that was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O . The organic phase was separated, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and evaporated to dryness to give an oil which was crystallized from MeOH-H2O (12.39 g, 71%): mp 81-82 ⁰C; ¹H NMR *d* 1.56 (s, 9H, *-Bu), 7.96-8.02 (m, 3H, H-3, H-5, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -107.1 $(t, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz})$; MS (EI) m/z 241 (M⁺, 1), 226 (M – CH₃, 2), 168 (M-O-f-Bu, 95).

tert-Butyl 2-Fluoro-4-aminobenzoate (27). A slurry of the nitro ester **26** (1.00 g, 4.1 mmol) and Pd/C (10%, 0.35 g) in ethanol (12 mL) was stirred with ammonium formate (1.54 g) , 24.4 mmol). An exothermic reaction was observed, and the mixture was reacted for 50 min. The catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate washed with EtOH and concentrated. The residue was partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O , and the organic phase was separated, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and evaporated to dryness to give a solid, which was recrystallized from MeOH $-H_2O$ (0.74 g 93%): mp 99–101 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.49 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 6.20 (s, 2 H, NH₂), 6.26 (q, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-3,H-5}} =$ 2.2 Hz, $J_{\text{H-3,F}} = 14.2$ Hz, H-3), 6.37 (q, 1 H, $J_{\text{H-5,H-6}} = 8.7$ Hz, $H=5$), 7.50 (t, 1 H, $J_{H-6,F} = 8.8$ Hz, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -108.6 (q); MS (EI) m/z 211 (M⁺, 4), 155 (M – t-Bu, 100), 138 (M – $O-t-Bu, 63$).

 $fert-Butvl 2-Fluoro-4-*[bis(2-hvdroxvethvl)amino]ben*$ **zoate (28).** Amine 27 (0.46 g, 3.5 mmol) dissolved in HOAc (10 mL) was stirred with ethylene oxide (1.0 mL, 20.0 mmol) at room temperature for 48 h. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and partitioned between CH_2Cl_2 and H_2O , and the organic phase was separated, dried (MgSO4), and evaporated to an oil which was purified by column chromatography eluting with $EtOAc- cC₆H₁₂ (1:1)$. The product 28 (0.80 g, 76%) was recrystallized from petroleum ether-ethyl acetate: mp 102- 103 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.57 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 3.47 (m, 4 H, 2 $\overline{\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 3.53 (m, 4 H, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 2 $\overline{\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2}$), 4.82 (t, 2H, *J =* 5.2 Hz, 2 *HO,),* 6.42-6.56 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.58 (t, 1 H, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -107.6 (m); MS (EI) m/z 299 (M⁺, 17). $268 (M - CH₂OH, 38), 243 (M - t-Bu, 2), 226 (M - O-t-Bu, 19).$ Anal. $(C_{16}H_{22}NO_4F)$ C, H, N, F.

tert-Butyl 2-Fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoate (31). Procedure a. To the hydroxyethyl derivative **28** $(0.70 \text{ g}, 2.3 \text{ mmol})$ in dry toluene (25 mL) was added $S OCl₂$ (1.78 g, 15.0 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h and the solvent removed. This compound was deprotected without prior purification (see **12).**

Procedure b. A solution of the hydroxyethyl derivative **28** (2.00 g, 6.7 mmol) dissolved in pyridine (10 mL) was stirred with mesyl chloride (2.1 mL, 26.7 mmol) at $2-4$ °C for 20 min followed by 80 °C for 15 min. The solvent was evaporated, and the resultant residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H2O. The organic phase was separated, dried (MgSO4), and evaporated to give an oil which was purified by colum chromatography eluting with $EtOAc-C₆H₁₂$ (1:4) to give 31 as a solid which was recrystallized from petroleum ether (1.20 g, 57%): mp 64-65 ⁰C; ¹H NMR *d* 1.50 (s, 9 H, f-Bu), 3.74- 3.83 (m, 8 H, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), $6.56-6.66$ (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.64 $(t, 1 H, H-6)$; ¹⁹F NMR δ -107.2 (m); MS (EI) m/z 335 (M⁺, 10), 279 (M - t-Bu, 8), 262 (M - O-t-Bu, 15), 230 (M - t-Bu -CH2Cl, 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{15}H_{20}NO_2Cl_2F)$ C, H, N, Cl, F.

ferf-Butyl 2-Fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino] benzoate (29) and tert-Butyl 2-Fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)-**[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoate (30).** A solution of the hydroxyethyl benzoate **28** (1.00 g, 3.3 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) was stirred with mesyl chloride (1.0 mL, 13.0 mmol) at 2–4 °C for 40 min and then the temperature raised to 50 °C for 30 min. The solvent was evaporated to dryness and the residue dissolved in dry EtOAc and cooled to 4 °C. The solid pyridine hydrochloride was removed by filtration and the filtrate evaporated to give an oil which was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $EtOAc-CH₂Cl₂ (1:1)$.

The slowest eluting was the 2-fluoro bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl] derivative 29 as a solid (0.49 g, 32%): mp $127 - 128$ °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.51 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 3.15 (s, 6 H, 2 $CH₃SO₃$), 3.81 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.47$ Hz, 2 $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.34 (t, 4H, 2 CH_3 - $SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 6.59-6.69 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.63 (t, 1 H, $J =$ 9.1 Hz, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -107.3 (m); MS (EI) m/z 455 (M⁺, 5), 382 (M - O-t-Bu, 18), 346 (M - CH₃SO₃CH₂, 23), 290 (M - $CH₃SO₃CH₂-t-Bu$, 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{26}$ - $NO₈FS₂$) C, H, N, F, S.

The faster eluting was the 2-fluoro 2-chloroethyl 2-(mesyloxy)ethyl derivative 30 (0.45 g, 34%), which was recrystallized from cC_6H_{12} -EtOAc: mp 61-62 °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.50 (s, 9 H, t -Bu), 3.15 (s, 3 H, CH_3SO_3), 3.77 (m, 4 H, ClCH₂CH₂), 3.83 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.33 (t, $2H$, $CH_3SO_3CH_2$ -*CH*₂^{$)$}, 6.57-6.67 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.64 (t, 1 H, $J = 9.0$ Hz, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -107.2 (m); MS (EI) m/z 395 (M⁺, 23), 346 $(M - CH_2Cl, 8)$, 290 $(M - CH_2Cl - t$ -Bu, 53), 286 $(M - CH_3$ - SO_3CH_2 , 18), 230 (M - $CH_3SO_3CH_2-t-Bu$, 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{16}H_{23}NO_5CIFS)$ C, H, N, Cl, F, S.

Preparation of Acids—General Method. Compound 29 (0.74 g, 1.6 mmol), 30 (0.65 g, 1.6 mmol), or 31 (0.25 g, 0.74 mmol) was dissolved in TFA (2-3%, w/v) and stirred for 40 min at room temperature. The TFA was evaporated to dryness.

Compound 10, 2-fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyllamino lbenzoic acid, was obtained from 29 and purified by column chromatography, eluting with $EtOAc-cC_6H_{12}$ (1:1) (0.46 g, 79%): mp 154-155 ⁰C; ¹H NMR *6* 3.15 (s, 6 H, 2 *CH3SO3),* 3.80 (t, $\overline{4}$ H, $J = 5.5$, CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 4.33 (t, 4 H, CH₃- $SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 6.60–6.69 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.69 (t, 1 H, $J=$ 9.1 Hz, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -106.9 (m); MS (EI) *mlz* 399 (M⁺ , 17), 290 (M - CH₃SO₃CH₂, 90), 246 (M - CH₃SO₃CH₂ - CO₂, 50); accurate mass calcd, 399.0457; found, 1.9 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{13}H_{18}NO_3FS_2)$ C, H, N, F, S.

Compound 11,2-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl] aminolbenzoic acid, was obtained from 30 as an oil which crystallized on standing and was recrystallized from EtOAc cC_6H_{12} (1:1) (0.43 g, 77%): mp 142-144 °C; ¹H NMR δ 3.15 $(s, 3$ H, CH_3SO_3), $\overline{3.77}$ (m, 4, \overline{ClCH}_2CH_2), $\overline{3.83}$ (t, 2 H, $J = 5.4$) $\rm Hz, \, CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2),$ 4.33 (t, 2 H, $\rm CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2),$ 6.58-6.68 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.69 (t, 1 H, $J = 9.1$ Hz, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -106.9 (m); MS spectrum (EI) m/z 339 (M⁺, 23), 290 (M $-$ CH₂-Cl, 38), 230 ($M = CH₃SO₃CH₂$, 84); accurate mass calcd 339.0343; found, 2.0 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{12}H_{15}$ - $NO₅CIFS$ C, H, N, Cl, F, S.

Compound 12, 2-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoic acid, was similarly obtained from 31 and crystallized on standing. Recrystallization was from petroleum ether-toluene $(0.19 \text{ g}, 91\%)$: mp 132-133 °C; ¹H NMR δ 3.76-3.80 (m, 8 H, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), 6.57-6.67 (m, 2 H, H-3, H-5), 7.92 (t, $J = 9.2$) Hz, 1 H, H-6), 12.43 (s, 1 H, CO₂H); ¹⁹H NMR δ -106.8 (m, $J_{\text{F,H-3}} = 12.9 \text{ Hz}$; MS (EI) m/z 297 (M⁺), 230 (M - CH₂Cl); accurate mass calcd, 279.0229; found, 3.3 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(\hat{C}_{11}H_{12}NO_2Cl_2F)$, C, H, N, Cl, F.

3-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoyl Chloride (32). A slurry of 3-fluoro-4-nitrobenzoic acid (5.00 g, 27.0 mmol) in dry toluene (100 mL) was stirred with SOCl₂ $(5.00 \text{ g}, 42.3 \text{ mmol})$ under reflux for 3 h and worked up, as for 25 above, to give **32** which was crystallized from petroleum ether $(3.39 \text{ g}, 62\%)$: mp $22-$ 23 ⁰C; ¹H NMR (CDCl3) *6* 8.04-8.08 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6), 8.10- 8.22 (m, 1 H, H-5); ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃)</sub> δ -115.24 (t, $J = 8.8$) Hz); MS (EI) m/z 168 (M - Cl, 100).

tert-Butyl **3-Fluoro-4-nitrobenzoate (33).** Butyllithium $(65 \text{ mL} (1.6 \text{ M} \text{ in hexane}), 103.2 \text{ mmol})$ was added to tert-butyl

alcohol (200 mL) over 30–40 min at 20 °C under N_2 and stirred for 20 min. The acid chloride **32** (21.00 g, 103.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for 22 h. The product was worked up, as for **26** above, to give **33** which was crystallized from $MeOH-H₂O$ (12.88 g, 58%): mp 68–70 °C; ¹H NMR *6* as for **26** above except 1.57 (s, 9 H, *t-Bu),* 7.87- 7.99 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6), 8.27 (m, 1 H, H-5); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -117.5 (m); MS (EI) m/z 241 (M⁺, 2), 226 (M – CH₃, 5), 1.68 (M – $O-t-Bu, 100$

tert-Butyl 3-Fluoro-4-aminobenzoate (34). A slurry of the nitro ester **33** (1.5 g, 6.2 mmol) and Pd/C (10%, 0.65 g) in ethanol (20 mL) was stirred with ammonium formate (3.00 g, 47.5 mmol). The product was worked up as for 27 above and crystallized from MeOH-H2O to give **34** (1.28 g, 98%): mp $48-50$ °C; ¹H NMR δ 1.50 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 6.00 (s, 2 H, NH₂), $6.71-6.79$ (m, 1 H, H-5), $7.40-7.49$ (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6); 19 F NMR *d* -135.3 (m); MS (EI) *m/z* 211 (M⁺ , 21), 155 (M - *t-Bu,* 100), 138 (M - O-t-Bu, 81).

ferf-Butyl3-Fluoro-4-[his(2-hydroxyethyl)amino]benzoate (35). Amine **34** (1.30 g, 6.2 mmol) dissolved in HOAc (15 mL) was stirred with ethylene oxide (2.0 mL, 40.0 mmol) at room temperature for 48 h. The product 35 was worked up as for 28 above $(1.30 \text{ g}, 71\%)$: mp $48-49 \text{ °C}$; ¹H NMR δ 1.51 (s, 9 H, t-Bu), 3.46 (m, 4 H, 2 $HOCH_2CH_2$), 3.55 (t, 4 H, $J = 5.3$ Hz, 2 HOCH₂CH₂), 4.77 (t, 2 H, 2 HO), 6.96 (t, 1 H, H-5), 7.40-7.55 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -124.4 (m); MS (EI) m/z 299 (M⁺, 5), 268 (M - CH₂OH, 50), 226 (M -*O-t-Bu,* 9). Anal. (Ci5H22NO4F) C, H, N, F.

ferf-Butyl 3-Fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino] benzoate (36) and tert-Butyl 3-Fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)- [2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoate (37). To a solution of the hydroxyethyl benzoate 35 (2.00 g, 6.7 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) was added mesyl chloride $(2.1 \text{ mL}, 26.7 \text{ mmol})$ at $2-4$ ⁰C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 40 min and then the temperature raised to 50 °C for 30 min. The product was worked up and purified as for **29** and **30** above.

The slowest eluting was the 3-fluoro bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl] derivative **36** as a solid, which was recrystallized from petroleum ether—toluene (0.97 g, 32%): mp $\rm \tilde{7}7-79$ °C; $\rm ^1H$ $\rm \delta$ 1.52 (s, 9 H, *t*-Bu), 3.12 (s, 6 H, 2 CH_3SO_3), 3.74 (t, 4 H, $J =$ 5.5 Hz, 2, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.31 (t, 4 H, 2 $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 7.14 (t, 1 H, *J =* 8.9 Hz, H-5), 7.49-7.62 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -122.5 (m); MS (EI) *m/z* 455 (M⁺, 10), 3.82 (M -*O-t-Bu,* 17), 346 (M - CH₃SO₃CH₂, 50), 290 (M - CH₃SO₃- CH_2-t-Bu , 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{26}NO_6FS_2)$, C, H, N, F, S.

The faster eluting was the 3-fluoro 2-chloroethyl 2-(mesyloxy)ethyl derivative 37 as an oil (0.60 g, 23%): $^1\text{H NMR} \, \delta$ 1.52 $(s, 9 H, t-Bu)$, 3.13 $(s, 3 H, CH₃SO₃)$, 3.73 $(m, 4 H, C1CH₂CH₂)$, 3.76 (t, 2 H, $J = 5.4$ Hz, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 4.31 (t, 2 H, CH_3 -SO3CH2CH2), 7.11 (t, 1 H, *J =* 8.9 Hz, H-5), 7.49-7.62 (m, 2 $H, H-2, H-6$; ¹⁹F NMR δ -123.1 (m); MS (EI) m/z 395 (M⁺, 5), 346 (M - CH2Cl, 5), 321 (M - *t-Bu,* 5), 290 (M - CH2Cl t -Bu, 19), 230 (M - CH₃SO₂OCH₂- t -Bu, 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(\tilde{C}_{16}H_{23}NO_5CIFS)$ C, H, N, Cl, F, S.

tert-Butyl **3-Fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoate (38). Procedure a.** To the hydroxyl derivative 35 (0.88 g, 2.9 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (10 mL) was added $SOCl_2$ (1.63 g, 13.7 mmol). The mixture was refluxed for 45 min and the solvent removed. The product 38 was purified by column chromatography eluting with $EtOAc-C₆H₁₂ (1:1)$ to yield an oil (0.49 g, 50%).

Procedure c. Mesyl chloride (0.21 mL, 2.6 mmol) was added to the bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl] derivative **36** (0.30 g, 0.66 mmol) dissolved in pyridine (3.0 mL). The temperature of the reaction mixture was raised to 90 °C for 1.5 h. The solution was evaporated to dryness and the residue thus obtained partitioned between E tOAc and H_2O . The organic layer was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and evaporated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography eluting with EtOAc cC_6H_{12} (1:1) to give the oil 38 (0.11 g, 48%): ¹H NMR δ 1.52 $(s, 9 H, t-Bu), 3.74$ $(s, 8 H, 2 CICH₂CH₂)$, 7.08 $(t, 1 H, J_{H-5,H-6})$ $= 8.7 \text{ Hz}, J_{H5,F} = 8.92 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-5}, 7.51 \text{ (q, 1 H, } J_{H2,H-6} = 2.0 \text{ Hz},$

 $J_{\text{H-2,F}} = 14.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-2}, 7.57 \text{ (q, 1 H, H-6)};$ ¹⁹H NMR δ -123.70 (m); MS (EI) *m/z* 335 (M⁺, 42), 286 (M – CH₂Cl, 87), 262 (M – O-t-Bu, 42), 230 ($M - t$ -Bu - CH₂Cl₂, 100). This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{15}H_{20}NO_2Cl_2F)$ C, H, N, Cl, F.

Preparation of Acids—General Method. The deprotection was carried out as for compounds **29—31** above. Briefly, compounds **36** (0.40 g), 37 (0.17 g), and 38 (from 35 (0.89 g) in a two-step nonisolated preparation) were dissolved in TFA (2-3% w/v), and the reaction mixture was worked up as for the 2-fluoro derivatives above.

3-Fluoro-4-[bis[2-(mesyloxy)ethyl]amino]benzoic acid (22) was obtained from **36** as a solid and recrystallized from petroleum ether-EtOAc (0.37 g, 100%): mp 105-106 °C; ¹H NMR *6* 3.12 (d, 6 H, *J* = 3.16 Hz, 2 CH3SO3), 3.74 (t, 4 H, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 2 CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 4.32 (t, 4 H, 2 CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂), 7.15 (t, 1 H, *J* = 8.8 Hz, H-5), 7.52-7.65 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR δ -122.5 (m); MS (EI) m/z 417 ([M + H₂O], 8), 399 $(M^+, 5)$, 308 ($[M + H_2O] - CH_3SO_2CH_2$, 100), 290 $(M - CH_3-CH_3)$ SO_2OCH_2 , 22); accurate mass calcd (for $[M + H_2O]$), 417.0563; found, 2.9 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{13}H_{16}FNO_6S_2O.5TFA)$ C, H, N.

Compound **23,**3-fluoro-4-[(2-chloroethyl)[2-mesyloxy)ethyl] amino]benzoic acid, was likewise obtained from 37 and recrystallized from ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (0.14 g, 95%): mp 103-104 °C; ¹H NMR δ 3.13 (s, 3 H, CH_3SO_3), 3.73 $(s, 4 H, CICH₂CH₂), 3.76 (t, 2 H, J = 5.3 Hz, CH₃SO₃CH₂CH₂),$ 4.32 (t, 2 H, $CH_3SO_3CH_2CH_2$), 7.11 (t, 1 H, $J = 8.8$ Hz, H-5), 7.58-7.65 (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6); ¹⁹F NMR *6* -123.3 (m); MS (EI) m/z 339 (M⁺, 4), 290 (M – CH₂Cl, 40), 230 (M – CH₃SO₃CH₂, 100); accurate mass calcd, 339.0343; found, 2.6 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{12}H_{15}NO_5CIFS)$ C, H, N, F, S.

Compound **24,** 3-fluoro-4-[bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoic acid, was similarly obtained from 38 and recrystallized from petroleum ether-toluene $(0.33 \text{ g}, 36\% \text{ from } 35)$: mp $106-107$ ${}^{\circ}$ C; ¹H NMR δ 3.74 (s, 8 H, 2 ClCH₂CH₂), 7.09 (t, 1 H, J = 8.9 Hz, H-5), $7.53-7.65$ (m, 2 H, H-2, H-6), 12.74 (s, 1 H, $CO₂H$); ¹⁹F NMR δ -124.0 (m); MS (EI) *m/z* 279 (M⁺, 8), 230 (M - $CH₂Cl$, 100); accurate mass calcd, 279.0229; found, 2.2 ppm. This compound reacted positively (blue color) with the Epstein spray reagent. Anal. $(C_{11}H_{12}NO_2Cl_2F)$, C, H, N, Cl, F.

Chemical Half-Life Determinations. The $t_{1/2}$ was determined according to our previously described method.²¹ Briefly, each compound, **10-12,19-21,** and **22-24,** was added to sodium perchlorate (0.1 M, 10 mL), and the hydrolysis reaction was followed to effective completion by titration of the released acid with NaOH (0.01 M) under N_2 using an automatic titrator at a constant pH of 7.4 at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Each measurement was performed at least twice. Results are expressed as the mean of all determinations.

Biological Methods. Cytotoxicity Assays. LSI 74T cells (2.5×10^3) were plated in Dulbecco's modified Earl's medium $(DMEM)$ + fetal calf serum (10%) in 96-well plates in 5% $CO₂$ at 37 ⁰C. Each novel potential prodrug, **7- 9** and **19-21,** and its corresponding novel parent drug, **10—12** and **22-24,** respectively, were incubated at a range of six concentrations $(1-800 \mu M)$ with the LS174T cells for 1 h.

 $CPG2$ (6 units mL^{-1} final concentration) was added to test wells in equivalent cultures with each potential prodrug to achieve parent drug in situ. Compounds and CPG2 solutions were made up just prior to use and added once. Each concentration of potential prodrug and parent drug was performed in octuplicate. Cells were incubated for 1 h with each parent drug or potential prodrug \pm CPG2. The cells were then washed (three times) to remove any remaining compound and reincubated for a further 6 days. At the end of this period, the cells were fixed and stained. The concentration of cellular protein from the remaining viable cells was quantitated by a s ulforhodamine B assay.²⁴ The results of protein concentration in treated samples were compared to those of untreated controls. The prodrug **40** and its parent drug **43** were also assayed under the same conditions. Each experiment was performed at least twice. The standard deviation for each data point was less than or equal to 10%. A representative result

for each potential prodrug and parent drug is shown in Table 3, and the non-fluorinated and 3-F compounds are shown in the figures.

Enzyme Kinetics. The K_m and k_{cat} of the cleavage of the amidic bond with CPG2 were calculated for each of the potential prodrugs and compared to the non-fluorinated prodrug 40 by modifications of literature CPG2 assay methods.^{8,25} The reaction mixture (1.0 mL) containing potential prodrug $(100 \,\mu M)$, Tris/HCl (100 mM, pH 7.3), and $ZnCl_2(260 \,\mu M)$ was incubated at 37 ⁰C in a thermostated spectrophotometer. An absorbance spectrum was recorded for each compound between 240 and 400 nm. The wavelength corresponding to the maximal absorbance difference between each potential prodrug and its parent drug was recorded. This wavelength was used for subsequent prodrug kinetic measurements. The reaction for each potential prodrug was initiated by the addition of $CPG2$ (0.01-1.0 unit), and the change in the absorbance, at the wavelength determined as above, was measured. Analyses were performed in duplicate. The K_m and k_{cat} values were obtained for concentrations of the potential prodrugs in the range $0.1-100 \mu M$ using a concentration of CPG2 that was kept constant for each determination.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Cancer Research Campaign. A UICC Fellowship (to I.N.-D.) from the American Association of Cancer Research and a Haddow Fellowship (to I.N.-D.) from the Institute of Cancer Research are gratefully acknowledged. We thank Professors T. A. Connors and M. Jarman and Dr. R. G. Melton for helpful discussions and M. H. Baker for performing the mass spectra. We are grateful for the gift of CPG2 from Drs. R. G. Melton and R. F. Sherwood.

References

- (1) Wilman, D. E. V., Ed. *The Chemistry of Antitumor Agents;* Blackie: London, 1990.
- (2) Ross, W. C. J. *Biological Alkylating Agents;* Butterworth: London, 1962.
- (3) Connors, T. A. Antitumor Drugs with Latent Activity. *Biochemie* 1978, *60,* 979-987.
- (4) Smyth, M. J.; Pietersz, G. A.; McKenzie, I. F. C. Selective Enhancement of Antitumor Activity of N-Acetyl Melphalan Upon Conjugation To Monoclonal Antibodies. *Cancer Res.* **1987,** *47,* 62-69.
- (5) Bagshawe, K. D. Antibody Directed Enzymes Revive Anti-Cancer Prodrugs Concept. *Br. J. Cancer* 1987, *58,* 531-532.
- (6) Bagshawe, K. D.; Springer, C. J.; Searle, F.; Antoniw, P.; Sharma, S. K.; Melton, R. G.; Sherwood, R. F. A Cytotoxic Agent can be Generated Selectively at Cancer Sites. *Br. J. Cancer* 1988, *58,* 700-703.
- (7) Antoniw, P.; Springer, C. J.; Bagshawe, K. D.; Searle, F.; Melton, R. G.; Rogers, G. T.; Burke, P. J.; Sherwood, R. F. Disposition of the Prodrug 4-[Bis(2-chloroethyl)amino]benzoyl-L-glutamic acid. *Br. J. Cancer* 1990, *62,* 909-914.
- (8) Springer, C. J.; Bagshawe, K. D.; Sharma, S. K.; Searle, F; Boden, J. A.; Antoniw, P.; Burke, P. J.; Rogers, G. T.; Sherwood, R. F.; Melton, R. G. Ablation of Human Choriocarcinoma Xenografts in Nude Mice by Antibody-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (ADEPT) with Three Novel Compounds. *Eur. J. Cancer* 1991, *27,* 1361-1366.
- (9) Teicher, B. A.; Frei, E., III. Development of Alkylating Agent Resistant Human Tumour Cell Lines. *Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol.* **1988,** *21,* 292-298.
- (10) Kerr, D. E.; Senter, P. D.; Burnett, W. V.; Hirschberg, D. L.; Hellstrom, I.; Hellstrom, K. E. Antibody-Penicillin-V-Amidase Conjugates Kill Antigen-Positive Tumor Cells when Combined with Doxorubicin Phenoxyacetamide. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **1990,** *31,* 202-206.
- (11) Alexander, R. P.; Beeley, N. R. A.; O'Driscoll, M.; O'Neill, F. P.; Millican, T. A.; Pratt, A. J.; Willenbrock, F. W. Monoclonal Antibody- β -Lactamase Conjugates for the Activation of a Cephalosporin Mustard Prodrug. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991,** *32,* 3269- 3272
- (12) Roffler, S. R.; Wang, S.-M.; Chern, J.-W.; Yeh, M.-Y; Tung, E.; Anti-Neoplastic Glucuronide Prodrug Treatment of Human Tumor Cells Targeted with Monoclonal Antibody-Enzyme Conjugate. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* **1991,** *42,* 2062-2065.
- (13) Wallace, P. M.; Senter, P. D. In Vitro and In Vivo Activities of Monoclonal Antibody-Alkaline Phosphatase Conjugates in Combination with Phenol Mustard Phosphate. *Biocong. Chem.* 1991, *2* 349—352.
- (14) Svensson, H. P.; Kadow, J. F; Vrudhula, V. M.; Wallace, P. M.; Senter, P. D. Monoclonal Antibody- β -Lactamase Conjugates for the Activation of a Cephalosporin Mustard Prodrug. *Bioconj. Chem.* **1992,3,** 176-181.
- (15) Vrudhula, V. M.; Senter, P. D.; Fischer, K. J.; Wallace, P. M. Prodrugs of Doxorubicin and Melphalan and Their Activation by a Monoclonal Antibody-Penicillin-G Amidase Conjugate. *J. Med. Chem.* **1993,** *36,* 919-923.
- (16) Bagshawe, K. D.; Sharma, S. K.; Springer, C. J.; Antoniw, P.; Rogers, G. T.; Burke, P. J.; Melton, R.; Sherwood, R. Antibody-Enzyme Conjugates Can Generate Cytotoxic Drugs from Inactive Precursors at Tumor Sites. *Antibody, Immunoconjugates, Ra-diopharm.* **1991,** *4,* 915-922.
- (17) Springer, C. J. CMDA An anti-neoplastic prodrug. *Drugs Future* **1993,***18,* 212-215.
- (18) Springer, C. J.; Antoniw, P; Bagshawe, K. D.; Searle, F.; Bisset, G. M. F.; Jarman, M. Novel Prodrugs Which Are Activated to Cytotoxic Alkylating Agents by Carboxypeptidase G2. *J. Med. Chem.* **1990,** 33, 677-681.
- (19) Kuefner, U.; Lohrmann, U.; Montejano, Y. D.; Vitols, K. S.; Huennekens, F. M. Carboxypeptidase-Mediated Release of Methotrexate from Methotrexate a-Peptides. *Biochemistry* 1989,*28,* 2288-2297.
- (20) Jackman, A. L.; Marsham, P. R.; Thornton, T. J.; Bishop, J. A. M.; O'Connor, B. M.; Hughes, L. R.; Calvert, A. H.; Jones, T. F. Quinazoline Antifolate Thymidylate Synthase Inhibitors: 2'-
Fluoro-N¹⁰-propargyl-5,8-dideazafolic Acid and Derivatives with Modifications in the C2 Position. *J. Med. Chem.* 1990,*33,*3067- 3071.
- (21) Springer, C. J.; Antoniw, P.; Bagshawe, K. D.; Willman, D. E. V. Comparison of Half-lives and Cytotoxicity of AT-Chloroethyl-4 amino and N-Mesyloxyethyl-Benzoyl Compounds, Products of Prodrugs in Antibody-Directed Enzyme Prodrug Therapy (ADEPT). *Anti-Cancer Drug Des.* 1991, *6,* 467-479.
- (22) Tom, B. H.; Rutzky, L. H.; Jakstys, M. M.; Oyasu, R.; Kaye, C. I.; Kahan, B. D. Human colonic adenocarcinoma cell. 1 Establishment and Description of a New Cell Line. *In Vitro* 1976, *12,* 180-181.
- (23) Epstein, J.; Rosenthal, R. W; Ess, R. J. *Use* ofp-(4-Nitrobenzyl) pyridine as Analytical Reagent for Ethyleneimines and Alkylating Agents. *Anal. Chem. 1955,27,* 1435-1439.
- (24) Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; McMahon, D.; Warren, J. T.; Bokedch, H.; Kenney, S.; Boyd, M. R. New Colorimetric Cytotoxicity Assay for Anticancer-Drug Screening. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 1990, *82,* 1107-1112.
- (25) Sherwood, R. F; Melton, R. G.; Alwan, S.; Hughes, P. Purification and Properties of Carboxypeptidase G2 from *Pseudomonas* sp strain RS-16. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1985,***148,* 447-453.