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#### Abstract

Retinoid $X$ receptor:peroxisome proliferative-activated receptor (RXR:PPAR) heterodimers play a critical role in the regulation of glucose (RXR/PPAR $\gamma$ ) and lipid metabolism (RXR/PPAR $\alpha$ ). Previously, we described a concise structure-activity relationship study of selective RXR modulators possessing a ( $2 \mathrm{E}, 4 \mathrm{E}, 6 \mathrm{Z}$ )-3-methyl-7-(3,5-dialkyl-6-alkoxyphenyl)-octa-2,4,6-trienoic acid scaffold. These studies were focused on the 2-position alkoxy side chain. We describe here the design and synthesis of a novel series of RXR selective modulators possessing the same aromatic core structure with the addition of a ring locked 6-7-Z-olefin on the trienoic acid moiety. The synthesis and structure-activity relationship studies of these 6,7-locked cyclopentenyl, phenyl, thienyl, furan, and pyridine-trienoic acid derivatives is presented herein.


## Introduction

Retinoids play a major role in a wide variety of biological functions, such as cell differentiation, proliferation, and embryonic development in vertebrates. ${ }^{1}$ Retinoids, such as all-trans-retinoic acid (ATRA), 13-cis-retinoic acid (13-cis-RA), 9-cis-retinoic acid (9-cis-RA, Panretin) and more recently 1 (LGD1069, Targretin, Figure 1), are admi nistered for treatment of numerous skin diseases such as psoriasis, acne, K aposi's sarcoma, and CTCL. ${ }^{\text {I }}$ In addition, these and other retinoids have been evaluated in both chemotherapy and chemoprevention of various cancers. ${ }^{3}$ Retinoids exert their biological activity through retinoid receptors which bel ong to the superfamily of intracellular nuclear receptors. Activation of these receptors results in regulation of gene transcription. ${ }^{4}$ The retinoid receptors are divided into two distinct families of homologous receptors, the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) and the retinoid $X$ receptors (RXRs). Each family is further divided into three receptor subtypes $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ each encoded by a single gene. ${ }^{5}$

RXR has long been recognized to form homodimers (RXR:RXR) and heterodimers with various other nuclear receptors, including RAR, TR, VDR, NGFIB, LXR, FXR,

[^0]and PPAR. ${ }^{5,6}$ RXR:PPAR heterodimers play a major role in the regulation of both glucose (RXR/PPAR $\gamma$ ) and lipid metabol ism (RXR/PPAR $\alpha$ ). ${ }^{7}$ Classic RXR agonists such as Targretin and compound $\mathbf{2}$ (LG100268, Figure 1) are known to be very effective insulin-sensitizing agents, 6,8 but suffer from undesirable side effects such as a suppression of the thyroid hormone axis and an increase of plasma triglycerides. ${ }^{8 b}$ Recently, we demonstrated that the RXR-selective modulator 4 (LG101506, Figure 1) possesses good hypoglycemic efficacy (db/db mouse mode) and a different side effects profile from the classic RXR agonists (e.g., 2) in a rat model (Sprague Dawley rats). ${ }^{9}$
RXR-selective molecules representing several structurally different scaffol ds are represented in Figure 1. ${ }^{10}$ Analogues of the potent RAR compound ALRT155011 (compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ ) possess cyd opentyl or cyclopropyl ring replacements of the 6-7-olefin in the trienoic acid moiety. ${ }^{12,13}$ This effectively locks the 6,7-olefin in a cis conformation, which instills potent RXR activity. The thioethers 7a,b and more recently the 1,2,3,4-tetrahy-droquinoline- 6 -fluorotrienoic acid derivative 8 have also been described as potent RXR selective ligands. ${ }^{14,15}$ Other structures such as the diazepinylbenzoic acid derivative 14 (HX600, a potent RXR agonist), ${ }^{16} 11$ (AGN 191701, an RXR selective pan-agonist that is an effective modulator of endothelial cell proliferation), ${ }^{17}$ and compound 12 (SR11246, an RXR selective agonist that shows antiprol iferative activity on prostate cancer cells) ${ }^{18}$ have been described. Compound 9 (AGN 194204) has recently been reported to be a very potent hypoglycemic agent. ${ }^{19}$ Interestingly, its antipode does not show any hypogl ycemic activity. Heterodimer sel ectivity of 9 and its antipode is unknown, but one may speculate that one antipode activates the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer while the other does not.
Previous published results have already demonstrated that similar molecules having a cyclopentyl-
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Figure 1. RXR-selective synthetic ligands.
locked or cyclopropyl-locked trienoic acid linked to a substituted 5,6,7,8-tetrahydro-5,5,8,8-tetramethyl-2naphthyl moiety possess RXR agonist selectivity (see 5, 6, and 9, Figure 1). M ore recently, we discovered that the use of a fluorinated alkoxy side chain greatly increases the plasma concentration of compounds such as 4 as compared to its nonfluorinated analogues. ${ }^{9}$ In the course of our structure activity relationship studies to design new and potentially more stable RXR modulators, we combined the restricted locked-trienoic acid motif of molecules 5 and 6 with the 3,5-dialkyl-6fluoroalkoxybenzene platform present in 4. We describe here a structure-activity relationship study of new RXR-selective modulators possessing various dienoicacids combined with fluorinated 6-alkoxy side-chains (Figure 2) which results in comparable or improved in vitro profiles over our lead compound 4.
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Figure 2.

## Chemistry

Ortho-iodination of the 2,4-di-iso-propylphenol 15 proceeds in yields greater than $90 \%$ by using 1.1 equiv of N -iodosuccinimide (NIS) and a catalytic amount (10\%) of TsOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Introduction of the MOM protecting group onto the free phenol $\mathbf{1 6}$ is achieved quantitatively by using chloromethyl methyl ether and NaH in DMF. The boronic acid $\mathbf{1 8}$ is obtained by lithiating $\mathbf{1 7}$ in a 1:2 mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (1.2 equiv) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a quench with an excess ( 2.3 equiv) of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$. Once the sample is warmed to RT, the crude boronate is stirred with 1 N HCl , releasing the corresponding boronic acid 18 in good yield (80-90\%). The principle synthetic step involved in the preparation of compounds 25a-c is a Suzuki coupling of $\mathbf{1 8}$ with the commercially available ethyl-2-(trifluoromethylsulfonyl-oxy)-1-cyd opentene-1-carboxylate using 10\% $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and 2 equiv of 2 N aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in refluxing toluene/ EtOH (1:1). ${ }^{20}$ Submitted to these conditions, the reaction smoothly produced the adduct 19 in excellent yield ( $>90 \%$ ). The cyclization of 19 into the coumarin 20 proceeds quantitatively by simple acidic cleavage ( 6 N $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{RT}$ ) of the MOM group. Reduction of $\mathbf{2 0}$ to the phenolic alcohol 21 is achieved using 1 equiv of $\mathrm{NaAlH}_{4}$ in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The al koxy side chain is easily introduced by a selective alkylation of the phenol ( $\mathrm{Cs}_{2^{-}}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMF at room temperature), affording 22a-c in almost quantitative yields. Oxidation of the resultant allylic al cohols 22a-c with a catalytic amount (5-10\%) of tetrapropylammonium perruthenate (TPAP) and an excess ( 1.5 eq.) of 4-methylmorpholine N -oxide ( NMO ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yields the corresponding aldehydes 23a-c. ${ }^{9,21}$ These aldehydes are directly subjected to a Horner-

Scheme 1 Cyclopentenyl Dienoic Acids Synthetic Patha

a Reagents and conditions: (a) NIS, $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$. (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MOMCI}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT . (c) $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{THF} /$ diethyl ether, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT then HCl . (d) Ethyl-2-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{Naq} . \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/ethanol, reflux. (e) Aq. $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{RT}$. (f) $\mathrm{NaAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT . (g) $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{RT}$. (h) TPAP, NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, RT. (i) Triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate, n-BuLi, THF/DMPU, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT . (j) 2 N aq. LiOH, EtOH, reflux then HCl and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Wadsworth-Emmons reaction with the anion of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate (previously prepared by slow addition of $n-B u L i$ to a solution of the phosphonate in THF-DMPU (1:2) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to afford the corresponding esters 24a-c in a combined yield greater than 85\%.9,10d,22 Hydrolysis of the esters 24a-c with 2 N LiOH in refluxing methanol/THF afford the crude dienoic acids 25a-c. Recrystallization of these crude acids from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ delivers the pure (2Z,4E)-dienoic acids in good yield and excellent isomeric purity (>95\%).

Schemes 2 and 3 describe the synthesis of selected aromatic and heteroaromatic dienoic acids. The phenols 16 and 26 were easily alkylated with various fluoroal kyl bromides using the reaction condition described in Scheme 1. Due to the high volatility and reduced reactivity of the 2-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroethane, a variation of this procedure was used to install the 2,2,2trifluoroethoxy side chains of 27c. The reaction was conducted in a sealed tube at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h using 2 equiv of 2-bromo-1,1,1-trifluoroethane followed by usual workup and column purification. Under these conditions, 27c was obtained in 65\% yield. ${ }^{9}$ Every locked structure 29a-c, 32, 35a,b, 36, 42, and 45 was synthesized by using a Suzuki coupling between the iodides $\mathbf{2 7 a}-\mathbf{c}$ or 28a,b and the 2-formylfuran, 2-formylthiophene, and 2-formyl benzene boronic acids (Scheme 2) or between 41 and 3-bromo-4-formyl pyridine or ethyl-2-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)-1-cycl opentene-1-carboxylate (Scheme 3). In each case, the reactions were conducted using standard coupling procedure (aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, in refluxing toluene/EtOH ) except for the synthesis of 32 where a solution of aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(5-10 \%)$ in refluxing DME was used (no desired product 32 was observed using the standard conditions). This reaction was particularly capricious due to the high reactivity of this boronic acid and
typically gave very low yields of desired product (<10\%). Otherwise 29a-c, 35a,b 36, 42, and 45 (Scheme 2) were obtained in very good yield (>90\%). The boronic acid 41 (Scheme 3) was synthesized from the iodide 27c by trapping the corresponding lithiated anion (prepared from slow addition of $n$-BuLi to a solution of $\mathbf{2 7} \mathbf{c}$ in the presence of TMEDA at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ followed by acidic workup. 29a-c, 32, 35a,b, 36, 42, and 45 were directly subjected to the reaction sequence described previously in Scheme 1 to produce the crude acids 31ac, 34, 39a,b, 40, 44, and 49 that were purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Compounds 55a,b (Scheme 4) were synthesized according to the synthetic path described in Scheme 4 from the commercially available 2-tert-butyl-4-ethylphenol 50 and represent a beginning for structure-activity relationship studies around the benzene core ring. The acids 55a,b were purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Scheme 5 describes the introduction of the 3,3difluoropropoxy side-chain. Initial attempts to introduce a 3,3,3-trifluoropropyl side chain using 3-bromo-1,1,1trifluoropropanewere unsuccessful. No desired product was observed using various bases ( $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CsF}$, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ) or solvents (DMF, THF , or DMSO) even with the use of a pressure tube. However, subsequent attempts to synthesize the 1,1-difluoropropoxy side chain from the corresponding 3-hydroxypropoxy compound 56 were successful. Alkylation of the phenol 26 with tert-butyldimethysilyloxy-3-bromopropane in DMF in the presence of NaH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by cleavage of the TBS group with TBAF, afforded the alcohol 56. Oxidation of 56 with $\mathrm{PCC} / C e l i t e$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yielded the corresponding aldehyde 57 directly followed by treatment with DAST in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature overnight to give the fluorinated intermediate 58. ${ }^{23}$ Compound 58 was isolated in good overall yield (> 75\%).

Scheme 2. Thienyl, Furyl, and Phenyl Dienoic Acids Synthesis ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{RT}$, except for $\mathbf{2 7 c}\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ in a sealed tube, 16 h$)$. (b) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2$-formylthiophene3 -boronic acid, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/EtOH, reflux. (c) Triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate, n -BuLi, THF/DMPU, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (d) 2 N aq. $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux then HCl and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. (e) 2-Formylfuran-3-boronic acid, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DME}$, reflux. (f) 2-F ormylbenzene boronic acid, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/EtOH, reflux.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{6 1}$ followed the synthetic path described in Scheme 3 using the iodide 58 and 2 -formylthiophene3 -boronic acid. The acid $\mathbf{6 1}$ was purified by recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

## Results

Pharmacological Relevance of RXR-Selective Modulators. The pharmacol ogy of RXR-selective modulators such as compound $\mathbf{4}$ was fully described in our previous communication. ${ }^{9}$ The ability of 4 to lower plasma glucose was evaluated in the $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}$ mouse and is summarized in Table 1. These mice have a leptin receptor defect rendering them progressively obese, hyperglycemic, and hypertriglyceridemic with age and are commonly used as a model of type 2 diabetes. BRL49653 and $\mathbf{2}$ served as positive controls for glucose lowering efficacy in these experiments and the data were compared both to a vehicle treated group of $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}$ animals and to a group of age-matched lean littermates. Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was given orally as a single agent at 30 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$, while $\mathbf{2}$ and BRL49653 were used at 10 $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ day $^{-1}$. After 7 days of treatment, compound 4 was as efficacious as BRL 49653, which typically gives $55 \%$ glucose reduction in our hands.

Side effects (triglycerides and T4 levels) were evaluated in the Sprague Dawley Rat, which is a more sensitive model than the $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}$ mouse for triglycerides and thyroid axis effects. ${ }^{24}$ Triglycerides and T4 levels were measured at 2 and 24 h , respectively, following administration of a single oral dose ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) to naïve
animals. The results are shown in Table 3. At 2 h post dose, the agonist 2 raised triglycerides substantially, while the RXR modulator 4 did not. At 24 h post dose, the agonist $\mathbf{2}$ caused a significant decrease in T4 levels (2.2-fold) and 4 did not. In summary, compound 4 showed the same efficacy on the glucose endpoint as either BRL49653 or $\mathbf{2}$ but did not raise triglycerides nor decrease T4 levels in Sprague Dawl ey rats, overcoming two of the physiol ogical side effects associated with RXR agonists such as $\mathbf{2}$ in these animal models. As a result, compound $\mathbf{4}$ is used as our standard for in vitro profiling of the new RXR-selective modulators described in this communication.

Biological Evaluation of Compounds 25a-c, 31ac, 34, 39a,b, 40, 44, 49, 55a,b, and 61 . The binding to RXR $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \operatorname{RAR} \alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and PPAR $\alpha, \gamma$ of each synthesized compound was characterized by competition with ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}]-$ 9-cis-RA for RXRs and ${ }^{3}$ [H]-ATRA for RARs (shown as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$, Table 3). The binding affinity for the PPARs was characterized using a proprietary radioactive ligand as described previously. ${ }^{25}$ The RXR transcriptional activation profile of each compound was determined in CV-1 cells with the AOX response element used as the reporter. The efficacy was measured relative to LGD1069 for RXRs and ATRA for RARs (Table 4). For the RXR: $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ heterodimer activity, the same AOX reporter construct was used and the efficacy was measured relative to BRL49653 for PPAR $\gamma$.

It has been al ready demonstrated that RXR agonists such as compound $\mathbf{2}$ (entry 1 , Table 4) activate the RXR:

Scheme 3. 3-Pyridyl Dienoic Acid Synthesisa

a Reagents and conditions: (a) n-BuLi, TMEDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then aq. HCl . (b) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 3$-bromo-4-formylpyridine, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/ EtOH, reflux. (c) Triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate, n-BuLi, THF/DMPU, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (d) 2 N aq. LiOH, EtOH, reflux then HCl and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. (e) Ethyl-2-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)-1-cyclopentene-1-carboxylate, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2 \mathrm{~N}$ aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/ethanol, reflux. (f) $\mathrm{AlLiH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (g) TPAP, NMO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$.

Scheme 4. Modified Benzene Core Ring Thienyl Dienoic Acids Synthesis ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) NIS, TsOH (10\%), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (b) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{RT}$. (c) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 2$-formylthiophene-3-boronic acid, toluene/ethanol, reflux. (d) Triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate, n-BuLi, THF/DMPU, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (e) 2 N aq. LiOH, EtOH, reflux then HCl and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
$\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ heterodimer when used al one and in a synergistic manner when they are used in combination with a PPAR $\gamma$ ligand (e.g., BRL49653). ${ }^{6}$ Other studies have shown that this in vitro observation translates into a similar in vivo effect. ${ }^{6}$ In the case of RXR modulators (e.g., 4, Table 4, entry 3), the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer activation is of a much lower amplitude than observed with RXR agonists. Ultimately, no activation of the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer is observed for full RXR antagonists (data not shown). Again, when the same RXR modulators are used in combination with a PPAR $\gamma$ agonist (e.g., BRL49653), a much cleaner response with a larger window of the activity was observed. ${ }^{9}$

To further characterize the compounds described in this communication, we used compounds both al one or in combination with BRL49653 to determine their RXR: PPAR $\gamma$ activation (Table 4).

Previous data have shown that RXR homodimer activity can be affected by elongation of the 6-alkoxy side-chain of this type of molecule. ${ }^{9,26}$ As a general rule, a short sidechain (e.g., methoxy or ethoxy) produces RXR homodimer agonists, while a longer sidechain results in RXR homodimer antagonists. We have dem-
onstrated that this activity can al so be tuned by the use of fluorine in this particular side chain to produce a smooth transition between RXR homodimer agonist, partial agonist, and antagonist activity. The switch from agonist to antagonist typically happens between an ethoxy and a propoxy side-chain. While the same paradigm applies to the series of compounds presented in this communication, ${ }^{27}$ we chose to focus on the synthesis and biological evaluation of selected examples of each series containing a 6 -fluorinated alkoxy side chain.

Binding Data. All of the compounds shown in Table 3 bind with very high affinity to the RXR receptors $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ subtype. In fact, one of the compounds (25b) has sub-nanomolar affinity for RXR $\alpha$, Table 3, entry 11). In general, the compounds described in Table 3 tend to exert some degree of selectivity for RXR $\alpha$ over RXR $\beta$ and RXR $\gamma$ (exceptions are 31a, 34, 44, 49, and 55b, Table 3, entries 7, 13, 14, 16, and 4, respectively). No $\operatorname{RAR} \beta$ or RAR $\gamma$ binding was observed ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}>5000 \mathrm{nM}$ ) with the exception of compounds 25 c and $\mathbf{4 4}$ which exhibit respective $K_{i}$ values of 2221 and 1109 nM for RAR $\gamma$ (Table 3, entries 10 and 14) and compounds 31a,

Scheme 5. 3,3-Difluoropropoxy Side Chain Synthesisa

a Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH , tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-3-bromopropane, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then TBAF in THF. (b) PCC/Celite/molecular sieve, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. (c) DAST, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{RT}$. (d) 2-F ormylthiophene-3-boronic acid, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene/EtOH, reflux. (e) Triethyl-3-methyl phosphonocrotonate, n-BuLi, THF/DMPU, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT. (f) 2 N aq. LiOH , EtOH, reflux then HCl and recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Table 1. Hypoglycemic Activity of $\mathbf{4}$ in the db/ db Mouse Model after 7 days of Dosing

| compounds | lean control $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}$ control $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | drug treated $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | \% normalization vs BRL46953a |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BRL 49653 | $205 \pm 5$ | $750 \pm 15$ | $412 \pm 10$ | 100 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $207 \pm 5$ | $740 \pm 15$ | $400 \pm 5$ | 101 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $203 \pm 5$ | $749 \pm 10$ | $409 \pm 6$ | 101 |

${ }^{\text {ab }}$ BL49653 used as reference compound for efficacy (100\%).

Table 2. Triglycerides and T4 Levels Effect of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in Male Sprague Dawley Rats at 24 h

| compounds | control | BRL 49653 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| triglycerides $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dL})$ | $72 \pm 10$ | $78 \pm 5$ | $152 \pm 10$ | $75 \pm 5$ |
| $\mathrm{~T} 4(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})$ | $73 \pm 4$ | $70 \pm 3$ | $37 \pm 2$ | $70 \pm 5$ |

44, and 49 that have respective $K_{i}$ values of 4064, 2056, and 4742 nM for $\operatorname{RAR} \beta$ (Table 3, entries 7, 14, and 16). All of the compounds also have weak affinity for RAR $\alpha$ ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}>1300 \mathrm{nM}$ ). None of the described compounds show any binding to PPAR $\alpha$. Evaluation of PPAR $\gamma$ activity showed that the majority of the compounds bind weakly (1750 < $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}<6750 \mathrm{nM}$ ) or not at all, while two anal ogues 55a and 55b have $K_{i}$ values of 1750 and 2607 nM ,
respectively (Table 3, entries 5 and 4 respectively), and arethe only compounds with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}<3000 \mathrm{nM}$ for PPAR $\gamma$.

Cotransfection Evaluation. When evaluated in the cotransfection assay, the compounds shown in Table 4 are potent RXR homodimer inhibitors with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values ranging from 2 to 271 nM . The best compounds also exhibit $>79 \%$ inhibition of the RXR homodimer. Only 25b and 31a show low efficacy ( 38 and 42\% respectively, Table 4, entries 8 and 12) in the assay although both exhibit good potency ( 5.1 and 11.4 nM , respectively). Both compounds (25b and 31a) possess a 2,2-difluoroethoxy side chain and show a partial agonist profile (16 and $17 \%$ efficacy, respectively) in the cotransfection assay. Compounds 39a and 55a also possess the same

Table 3. Binding Affinity of Compounds 25a-c, 31a-c, 34, 39a,b,40, 44, 49, 55a,b, and 61 for RXR $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \operatorname{RAR} \alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and PPAR $\alpha, \gamma^{a}$

| entries | compds | RXR $\alpha$ <br> $K_{i}(n m)$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RXR} \beta \\ \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RXR} \gamma \\ \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | RAR $\alpha$ $K_{i}(n M)$ | RAR $\beta$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM})$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{RAR} \gamma \\ \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPAR } \alpha \\ & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PPAR } \gamma \\ & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{nM}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | $18 \pm 1$ | $20 \pm 12$ | $18 \pm 11$ | > 1000 | > 1000 | > 1000 | > 1000 | > 1000 |
| 2 | 4 | $3 \pm 2$ | $9 \pm 4$ | $12 \pm 6$ | 2745 | 4687 | > 1000 | > 10000 | 5590 |
| 3 | 25a | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ | $6.0 \pm 0.3$ | $4.9 \pm 0.1$ | 1399 | 5623 | > 1000 | > 1000 | 3224 |
| 4 | 55b | $9.9 \pm 3.8$ | $6.9 \pm 2.3$ | $4.9 \pm 2.1$ | > 10000 | 8064 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 1750 |
| 5 | 55a | $3.2 \pm 1.9$ | $5.2 \pm 3.0$ | $6.9 \pm 2.6$ | 5650 | 8000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 2607 |
| 6 | 31b | $3.4 \pm 0.8$ | $2.9 \pm 1.3$ | $10.0 \pm 3.4$ | 3410 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 3151 |
| 7 | 31a | $2.2 \pm 1.5$ | $1.6 \pm 1.0$ | $2.5 \pm 1.3$ | 2569 | 4064 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 3149 |
| 8 | 39b | $15.2 \pm 2.7$ | $23.9 \pm 8.2$ | $48.6 \pm 31.2$ | 7500 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 |
| 9 | 40 | $20.9 \pm 5.1$ | $26.0 \pm 4.3$ | $154.7 \pm 25.1$ | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 |
| 10 | 25c | $1.9 \pm 1.3$ | $5.7 \pm 2.3$ | $7.6 \pm 2.8$ | 2481 | 8269 | 2221 | > 10000 | 4706 |
| 11 | 25b | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ | $2.5 \pm 1.4$ | $1.9 \pm 0.8$ | 3726 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 4715 |
| 12 | 39a | $29.6 \pm 12.3$ | $42.1 \pm 15.3$ | $73.9 \pm 30.0$ | > 1000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 |  |
| 13 | 34 | $6.2 \pm 2.3$ | $7.2 \pm 2.3$ | $6.6 \pm 2.5$ | 3671 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 4285 |
| 14 | 44 | $48.0 \pm 25.4$ | $51.5 \pm 16.2$ | $63.2 \pm 31.0$ | > 10000 | 2056 | 1109 | > 10000 | > 10000 |
| 15 | 31c | $8.7 \pm 2.7$ | $15.1 \pm 8.3$ | $18.1 \pm 6.5$ | 3442 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 | > 10000 |
| 16 | 49 | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ | $0.9 \pm 0.6$ | $15.9 \pm 11.0$ | 8788 | 4742 | > 10000 | > 10000 | 6571 |
| 17 | 61 | $1.9 \pm 0.9$ | $5.3 \pm 3.1$ | $13.9 \pm 4.1$ | 5409 | > 10000 | 5409 | > 10000 | 6571 |

[^1] nM .

Table 4. In Vitro Evaluation of RXR Modulators in CV-1 Cells. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ Calculated Using [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-9-$ cis-RA for RXR and [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-ATRA for RAR ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| entries | compds | RXR $\alpha$ agonist efficacy (\%) | RXR $\alpha$ agonist $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (nM) | RXR $\alpha$ antagonist efficacy (\%) | RXR $\alpha$ antagonist $I_{50}$ (nM) | RXR $\alpha /$ PPAR $\gamma$ efficacy <br> (\%) | RXR $\alpha /$ PPAR $\gamma$ $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (nM) | RXR $\alpha /$ PPAR $\gamma$ synergy efficacy (\%) | RXR $\alpha /$ PPAR $\gamma$ synergy $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ (nM) | RXR/ RAR synergy (fold) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | BRL49653 | NA | NA | NA | NA | $100 \pm 5$ | $325 \pm 15$ | NA | NA | NA |
| 2 | 2 | $70 \pm 21$ | $11 \pm 10$ | 12 | 0 | 62 | $99 \pm 11$ | $166 \pm 57$ | $38 \pm 20$ | 7.0 |
| 3 | 4 | $4 \pm 2$ | NC | $84 \pm 10$ | $8.0 \pm 4.2$ | 11 | $131.5 \pm 25.6$ | $60 \pm 29$ | $3 \pm 1$ | 2.0 |
| 4 | 25a | $15 \pm 6$ | NC | $72 \pm 6$ | $4.5 \pm 3.2$ | $43 \pm 10$ | $19.2 \pm 27.3$ | $140 \pm 36$ | $4.4 \pm 1.3$ | 1.9 |
| 5 | 55b | $3 \pm 1$ | NC | $83 \pm 3$ | $10.3 \pm 5.7$ | $45 \pm 11$ | $202.6 \pm 36.0$ | $76 \pm 19$ | $13.6 \pm 7.1$ | 1.9 |
| 6 | 55a | $8 \pm 2$ | NC | $61 \pm 13$ | $15.5 \pm 10.5$ | $44 \pm 6$ | $556.2 \pm 251.6$ | $89 \pm 36$ | $15.3 \pm 9.2$ | 3.1 |
| 7 | 31b | $3 \pm 1$ | NC | $79 \pm 5$ | $6.8 \pm 3.4$ | $55 \pm 14$ | $623.9 \pm 365.8$ | $141 \pm 21$ | $37.1 \pm 14.7$ | 1.8 |
| 8 | 31a | $17 \pm 3$ | NC | $42 \pm 7$ | $11.4 \pm 0.1$ | $52 \pm 12$ | $1716.1 \pm 375.3$ | $145 \pm 3$ | $117.4 \pm 34.8$ | 3.0 |
| 9 | 39b | $1 \pm 1$ | NC | $87 \pm 2$ | $49.6 \pm 32.3$ | $20 \pm 5$ | $736.7 \pm 303.1$ | $69 \pm 12$ | $54.2 \pm 12.6$ | 1.4 |
| 10 | 40 | $0 \pm 0$ | NC | $88 \pm 1$ | $46.3 \pm 2.4$ | $2 \pm 1$ | NC | $23 \pm 12$ | $23.8 \pm 12.1$ | 1.5 |
| 11 | 25c | $2 \pm 1$ | NC | $80 \pm 5$ | $3.3 \pm 1.6$ | $52 \pm 7$ | $1604.0 \pm 303.4$ | $131 \pm 36$ | $4.6 \pm 2.5$ | 2.1 |
| 12 | 25b | $16 \pm 3$ | NC | $38 \pm 6$ | $5.1 \pm 0.7$ | $59 \pm 6$ | $8.8 \pm 4.3$ | $181 \pm 10$ | $2.4 \pm 0.6$ | 3.5 |
| 13 | 39a | $3 \pm 1$ | NC | $81 \pm 1$ | $79.9 \pm 47.2$ | $23 \pm 8$ | $1723.8 \pm 206.7$ | $104 \pm 11$ | $155.8 \pm 91.5$ | 1.6 |
| 14 | 34 | $5 \pm 3$ | NC | $77 \pm 11$ | $11.6 \pm 7.7$ | $51 \pm 17$ | $173.6 \pm 30.8$ | $207 \pm 30$ | $26.0 \pm 3.7$ | 2.5 |
| 15 | 44 | $2 \pm 0$ | NC | $89 \pm 1$ | $271.2 \pm 80.3$ | $28 \pm 5$ | $1272.7 \pm 310.4$ | $65 \pm 19$ | $136.6 \pm 44.5$ | 2.3 |
| 16 | 31c | $3 \pm 0$ | NC | $87 \pm 2$ | $10.4 \pm 10.5$ | $45 \pm 3$ | $110.0 \pm 36.9$ | $117 \pm 19$ | $12.4 \pm 6.4$ | 2.0 |
| 17 | 49 | $13 \pm 7$ | NC | $79 \pm 1$ | $2.3 \pm 1.9$ | $43 \pm 13$ | $802.0 \pm 299.1$ | $128 \pm 25$ | $7.1 \pm 6.6$ | 3.1 |
| 18 | 61 | $0 \pm 0$ | NC | $93 \pm 1$ | $8.7 \pm 3.2$ | $16 \pm 5$ | $508.7 \pm 150.2$ | $66 \pm 5$ | $15.0 \pm 10.6$ | 1.9 |

a RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ synergy mode calculated using 100 nM of BRL49653, efficacy relative to BRL49653. RXR:RAR synergy calculated using 3 nM of TTNPB, fold elevation over DMSO background. NA: no activity, NC: not calculated. All data shown in nM.

2,2-difluoroethoxy side chain but associated to a different locked-trienoic acid (phenyl instead of thienyl or cyclopentyl for 39a) or a different benzene core ring (3ethyl instead of 3-isopropyl for 55a). Unlike 25b and 31a, 39a and 55a (Table 4, entries 13 and 6, respectively) display a full RXR homodimer antagonists profile. Moreover, many of the compounds represented in Table 4 can activate the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer when used alone. This result contrasts with the reported activity of compounds belonging to the trienoic acid series represented by 4 (Figure 2 and Table 4, entry 3) which show very low efficacy on the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer when they are tested alone. However, only 25a and 25b showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values below 20 nM , Table 4, entries 4 and 12) while maintaining reasonable efficacy (43-59\%). Many of the other compounds were considerably less potent ( $110<\mathrm{EC}_{50}<1604 \mathrm{nM}$ ) but showed reasonably high efficacy $>50 \%$ ).

As expected, the concomitant use of the RXR ligands with BRL49653 produces a much greater response in the RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer assay than RXR or BRL49653 ligands used alone. All showed a synergistic increase in efficacy and potency response with the exception of 31a, 39a, and 44 ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}>100 \mathrm{nM}$, Table 4, entries 8,13 , and 15 ). F or example, compounds $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ and 49 (Table 4, entries 11 and 17) show an increase in efficacy from 52 and $43 \%$, to 131 and $117 \%$, respectively. This was also accompanied by an impressive increase in the potency from 1604 and 802 nM to 4.6 and 7.1 nM , respectively. With the exception of 40 (Table 4, entry 10), all the compounds from Table 4 showed similar or better synergystic RXR:PPAR $\gamma$ response than our lead compound 4.

In our previous communication, we described an RXR: RAR synergy assay as a measure of potential side effects associated with RAR activation. We used the RXR test compound in combination with an $\mathrm{EC}_{30}$ of a potent RAR agonist (TTNPB), a concentration which could produce a synergistic response. The results showed that compounds such as $\mathbf{4}$ dramatically decreased activation of the RXR:RAR heterodimer compared to classic RXR agonists such as $\mathbf{2}$ when evaluated in a synergy mode
(Table 4, entries 2 and 3). Similarly, we characterized the compounds from this communication using the RXR: RAR synergy assay. Results described as fold induction over DMSO background are collected in Table 4. While the RXR:RAR synergy closely correlated with the agonist activity in the trienoic acid series (exemplified by 4), ${ }^{9}$ it clearly appeared that the locked derivatives show a different profile in that assay. Most of the compounds show reduced synergistic activity ( $<2$-fold, Table 4, entries $4,5,7,9,10,13,16$, and 18 , respectively, compounds 25a, 55b, 31b, 39b, 40, 39a, 31c, and 49). However, compounds such as 55a, 31a, 25b, 34, 44, and 49 show some level of RXR:RAR synergy ( $2.3<$ fold $<3.5$, Table 4, entries 6, 8, 12, 14, 15, and 17) while retaining similar RXR homodimer antagonist activity.
Interestingly, for a given alkoxy sidechain, the RXR homodimer activity can be modulated using different locking structures and/or introducing different benzene ring substitution patterns. For example, 25b, 31a, 39a, and 55a possess the same 2,2-difluoroethoxy side chain, but 39a shows no RXR homodimer activation, while 25b and 3la show weak RXR homodimer activation (Table 4 , entries 4, 8, 13, and 6). Although 39a and 55a share the same 3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene scaffold, 39a possesses a phenyl-locked structure instead of a cyclopentyl or thienyl-locked moiety and is an RXR homodimer activator, whereas 55a possesses a thienyltrienoic acid moiety (same pattern as 31a) combined with a 2-ethyl-5-tert-butylphenyl core instead of a 3,5-di-iso-propyl core and does not activate the RXR homodimer.

## Discussion

From these structure activity relationship studies it is apparent that the trienoic acid scaffold can be replaced with a variety of locked-trienoic acid moieties (cycloalkyl, aromatic, and heteroaromatic) without severe loss of RXR activity or RXR selectivity. Cyclopentyl and thienyl-locked trienoic acids are better replacements of the trienoic acid moiety than phenyl and pyridinelocked compounds which consistently show weaker affinity for the RXR receptor (however, still in
the low nanomolar). This is probably due to a slight change in the tortional angle between the locked-trienoic acid and the aromatic scaffold. Previous communications have shown that this angle is critical for RXR activity. ${ }^{28}$ Interestingly, we found that the cyclopentyltrienoic acids are significantly less chemically stable than the parent trienoic acid whereas thienyl, phenyl, and pyridyl-trienoic acids display a comparable or better chemical stability relative to the reference trienoic acids. ${ }^{29}$ Nearly all of the compounds from Table 4 show weak activation (<2-fold) of the RXR:RAR heterodimer when used in combination with 3 nM of an RAR agonist (e.g., TTNPB) except 49, 31a, 25b, 34, and 55a (Table 4 , entries $17,8,12,14$, and 6 , respectively) which show greater than 2-fold activation. In most of the cases, the RXR:RAR synergy observed is comparable or lower than that measured with 4.

To synthesize these compounds, we constructed complex molecules in relatively few steps using simple and reproducible chemical reactions including transition metal catalysis (Suzuki coupling), phenol alkylation, Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction and saponification. Fluorinated alkoxy side chains were introduced using procedures previously described, except for compound 61 . Due to the unavailability of the 3-bromo-1,1difluoropropane, we introduced this particular side chain at an earlier stage of the synthesis. Although each intermediate can be purified by silica gel chromatography, in most of the cases, the whole synthetic sequence could be performed using minimal purification over a silica plug for each intermediate, with crystallization of the final dienoic-acids from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Most of the intermediates including 16, 20, 26, and 41 are chemically stable and can be stored for several months.

## Conclusion

In summary, we have described the synthesis and structure activity relationship studies of a new series of locked-trienoic acids based on the 3,5-dialkyl-6fluoroalkoxybenzene scaffold. These compounds represent a second generation of RXR-selective modulators which possess high affinity for the RXRs, are synergistic with PPAR $\gamma$ when used in combination with PPAR $\gamma$ agonists, and in most cases show reduced synergy on the RXR:RAR heterodimer when used in combination with an RAR agonist. We demonstrated that the trienoic acid scaffold can be successfully replaced with various locked-trienoic acids such as cyclopentyl, phenyl, thienyl, furyl, and pyridyl-trienoic acids, the best replacements being the cyclopentyl and thienyl-locked trienoic acids. The thienyl scaffold was particularly attractive because the synthetic route employed to make thienyl derivatives used the commercially available 2-formylth-iophene-3-boronic acid and simple alkylated iodo- or bromophenols. This provided us with a versatile synthetic tool which was used to rapidly and conveniently transform a wide variety of substituted phenols into potent RXR ligands. 55a and 55b are representative examples of highly selective RXR modulators that can be synthesized in only five steps from the inexpensive phenol 50.

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Chemical Procedures. Proton nuclear magnetic resonance ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) spectra were recorded
on a Brüker AC 400 or a Varian VXR 500 S spectrometer. Melting points were taken on an Electrothermal IA9100 Digital apparatus and are uncorrected. Mass spectra were taken on a Gilson 215 LC-MS apparatus. "Brine" refers to a saturated aqueous solution of NaCl . Unless otherwise specified, solutions of common inorganic salts used in workups are aqueous solutions. All moisture sensitive reactions were carried out using oven-dried or flame-dried round-bottomed flasks and glassware under an atmosphere of dry nitrogen. All reagents and solvents were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Most reactions were monitored by thinlayer chromatography (TLC) using Merck TLC glass plate precoated with silica gel F254 (0.2-mm thick). Flash chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel 60. HPLC of the final carboxylic acids were realized on a KROMASIL column (C18 100 $\AA, 5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) using reverse phase (eluent: $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.1 \%$ TFA).

2,4-Di-iso-propyl-6-iodophenol (16). To a solution of 52.2 $\mathrm{g}(0.293 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 15 and $5.6 \mathrm{~g}(0.029 \mathrm{~mol})$ of p -toluenesulfonic acid in 300 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $72.6 \mathrm{~g}(0.322 \mathrm{~mol})$ of N -iodosuccinimide (NIS) portionwise at room temperature. After complexion of the reaction (TLC monitored), 200 mL of a $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was added and the mixture was stirred until the aqueous layer became milky. After separation, the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were combined and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After filtration and concentration, the residue was purified over silica gel (eluent: ethyl acetate/hexane, 5/95) to afford 89.1 g ( 0.29 mol , yield: $99 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 6}$ as a deep red oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $4.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.80 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ).

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-methoxymethoxy I odophenol (17). To a slurry of sodium hydride ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.04 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissolved in 225 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-formamide (DMF) in a flame dried 500 mL round-bottom flask at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise $10.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.033 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 16 in 25 mL of DMF. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min followed by dropwise addition of $3.22 \mathrm{~g}(0.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ of methyl chloromethyl ether. The resultant reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 3.0 h . The contents of the flask were poured into iced brine ( 200 mL ) and stirred for 0.5 h . The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether $(2 \times 200$ mL ) and the organic layers were combined, washed (brine), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The concentrated product was filtered through a silica gel plug (eluting with diethyl ether) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give $11.3 \mathrm{~g}(0.032 \mathrm{~mol}$, yield: $97 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 7}$ as a red oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.06 (d, J $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(methoxymethoxy)benzene Boronic Acid (18). To 10.0 g ( 0.029 mol ) of compound 17 dissolved in 150 mL of a 1:2 mixture of diethyl ether-THF in a flame dried 300 mL round-bottom flask at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 21.9 $\mathrm{mL}(0.035 \mathrm{~mol})$ of a 1.6 M solution of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min fol lowed by addition of $6.6 \mathrm{~mL}(0.058 \mathrm{~mol})$ of trimethyl borate in one portion via syringe. The resultant mixture is allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , warmed to ambient temperature, and stirred for a further 2 h . Thirty milliliters of aqueous 1 N HCl was added and the mixture stirred for an additional 0.5 h . The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layers were combined, washed (water, then $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, then brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, hexanes/EtOAc, 9:1) yielded 6.6 g ( 0.025 mol , yield: $86 \%$ ) of 18 as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.89 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.25 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-2-[3,5-di-i so-propyl-6-(methoxymethoxy)benzene] cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (19). To 6.6 g ( 0.025 mol ) of $\mathbf{1 8}$ dissolved in 300 mL of 1:1 toluene-ethanol in a 500 mL round-bottom flask, was added $7.86 \mathrm{~g}(0.027 \mathrm{~mol})$ of ethyl-2-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)-1-cyclopentene 1-carboxylate, 5.3 $\mathrm{g}(0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 2 N aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $2.89 \mathrm{~g}(0.0025 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. The reaction mixture was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15.0 h , then cooled to room temperature, poured into brine (200 mL ), and stirred for 0.3 h . The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were combined, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash col umn chromatography (silica gel, 9:1 hexanes-EtOAc) gave 8.0 g ( 0.022 mol , yield: 89\%) of 19 as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.03$ (d, J $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

3,4-Cyclopentenyl-6,8-di-iso-propylcoumarin (20). To $8.0 \mathrm{~g}(0.022 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 19 dissolved in 150 mL of THF in a 300 mL round-bottom flask was added 6 N aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(25.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.15 mol ). The resulting mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 65.0 h . After such time, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was taken up in water ( 100 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were combined, washed (water then brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 9:1 hexanes-EtOAc) gave 5.9 g ( 0.022 mmol , yield: 99\%) of coumarin 20 as a yellow-orange oil which solidified upon standing. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28$ (d, J = $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.65$ (heptet, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 (t, J $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ (d, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28$ (d, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

2-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-hydroxybenzene]cyclopentene-1-methanol (21). To 2.0 g ( 7.4 mmol ) of coumarin $\mathbf{2 0}$ dissolved in 75 mL of anhydrous THF in a flame dried 200 mL roundbottom flask at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $400 \mathrm{mg}(7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NaAlH}_{4}$ portion-wise. The resultant mixture is allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for 4.0 h . After such time, water ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by 6 N aqueous sodium hydroxide ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant mixture was allowed to stir for 0.5 h , filtered through a plug of silica gel (eluting with diethyl ether) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 2.0 g ( 7.3 mmol , yield: 99\%) of $\mathbf{2 1}$ as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.82 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.70 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62($ broad s, 1H), $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ (d, J $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

1-(3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-propoxybenzene)cyclopentene-2-methanol (22a). To 2.0 g ( 7.3 mmol ) of $\mathbf{2 1}$ dissolved in 75 mL of anhydrous DMF in a flame dried 200 mL round-bottom flask, was added 1.00 g ( 8.1 mmol ) of 1-bromopropanefollowed by 4.5 g ( 29.6 mmol ) of CsF. The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 18 h . Water ( 100 mL ) was added and the mixture was allowed to stir for an additional 0.5 h . The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were combined, washed (brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel flash column chromatography (eluent: 9/1 hexanes-EtOAc) yielded 2.15 g ( 6.79 mmol , yield: 93\%) of 22a as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.57 (t, J $=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

1-(3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-hydroxybenzene)-2-formylcyclopentene (23a). To 2.15 g ( 6.80 mmol ) of 22a dissolved in 70 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a flame dried 200 mL roundbottom flask, was added 1.19 g ( 10.2 mmol ) of 4-methylmor-pholine- N -oxide (NMO) followed by $0.119 \mathrm{~g}(0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ of tetrapropylammonium perruthenate (TPAP). The resulting
mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1.5 h . After such time, the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of silica gel (eluting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield $2.13 \mathrm{~g}(6.21 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $91 \%)$ of 23a as a pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.69$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E,4E)-3-methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-(3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-propoxybenzene) Pentanedienoate (24a). To $5.38 \mathrm{~g}(20.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triethyl 3-methyl-4-phosphonocrotonate dissolved in 60.0 mL of a 1:2 mixture of THF-DMPU in a flame dried 200 mL round-bottom flask at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added dropwise 13.6 mL ( 21.7 mmol ) of $1.6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes. The mixture was allowed to stir for 20 min followed by dropwise addition of $2.33 \mathrm{~g}(6.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ of aldehyde 23a in 10 mL of a 1:2 THF-DMPU solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , warmed to ambient temperature, and stirred for an additional 2 h . Water ( 100 mL ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 0.5 h . The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were combined, washed (brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 9:1 hexanesEtOAc) gave 2.74 g ( 6.45 mmol , yield: $95 \%$ ) of ester 24a as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.53 (t, J $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.34 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.86 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.23 (d, J $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
(2E,4E)-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-(3,5-di-i iso-propyl-6-propoxybenzene) pentanedienoic Acid (25a). To 2.74 g ( 6.45 mmol ) of ester 24a dissolved in 75 mL of ethanol in a 200 mL round-bottom flask was added $10 \mathrm{~mL}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of a 2 M aqueous LiOH solution. The mixture was heated to 90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.0 h , then cooled and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in 100 mL of 1 N aqueous HCl and the flask was shaken for 1 min . The resultant suspension was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layers were combined, washed (brine), dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The concentrate was filtered through a short plug of silica gel (eluting with EtOAc), concentrated under reduced pressure and crystallized from acetonitrile to give 2.41 g ( 5.68 mmol , yield: $88 \%$ ) of corre sponding acid 25a as light yellow crystals ( $\mathrm{mp}=134.1-135.4$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ); C: calcd, 78.75 , found, $78.24 ; \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 9.15, found, 9.31. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $396 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 396$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 396 (100), 378 (30). 296 (30). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$: calcd, 396.2664; found, 396.2870.

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene] cy-clopentene-2-methanol (22b). 22b was synthesized from $0.152 \mathrm{~g}(0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 21 and $0.097 \mathrm{~g}(0.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-bromo-2,2-difluoroethane in the presence of $0.54 \mathrm{~g}(1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of CsF according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 22a. 0.163 g ( 0.48 mmol , yiel d: 87\%) of 22b was isolated as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.80 (d, J $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.97 (tt, J $=55.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07$ (d, J $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.85 (dt, J $=13.9,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.85 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene] cy-clopentene-2-methanol(22c). 22c was synthesized from 0.51 g ( 1.8 mmol ) of 21 and $0.31 \mathrm{~g}(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-bromo-2,2-
difluoroethane in the presence of 1.8 g ( 5.6 mmol ) of CsF according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 22a. 0.53 g ( 1.6 mmol , yield: $85 \%$ ) of 22c was isolated as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3. (heptet, J $=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9$ Hz, 6H).

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene]-2formylcyclopentene (23b). 23b was synthesized from 0.163 $\mathrm{g}(0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ and $0.08 \mathrm{~g}(0.72 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NMO}$ in the presence of $0.008 \mathrm{~g}(0.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ of TPAP according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 23a. $0.162 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{(0.48}$ mmol, yield: 100\%) of 23b was isolated as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl 3 ) $\delta 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.83 (dt, J $=13.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23$ (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

1-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]-2formylcyclopentene (23c). 23c was synthesized from 0.53 $\mathrm{g}(1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 2 c}$ and $0.28 \mathrm{~g}(2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of NMO in the presence of $0.03 \mathrm{~g}(0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ of TPAP according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 3 a} .0 .52 \mathrm{~g}(1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 100\%) of 23c was isolated as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.28 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E ,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoro ethoxybenzene] pentane-2,4,6trienoate (24b). Reaction of $0.162 \mathrm{mg}(0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 0.97 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU, 1.5 mmol ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords 0.202 g ( 0.45 mmol , yield: $93 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.06$ (d, J $=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.90(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.31 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E ,4E ,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoro propoxy)benzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (24c). Reaction of $0.52 \mathrm{~g}(1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 3 c}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $1.15 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate, and 3.2 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU 15.8 mL ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords 657 mg ( 1.5 mmol , yield: 94\%) of the corresponding ester 24c as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
(2E,4E, $6 Z$ )-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-pro-pyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy) benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (25b). Saponification of $0.202 \mathrm{~g}(0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ in the presence of 0.70 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 87.7 mg ( 0.21 mmol , yield: 47\%) of the desired acid 25b as a single stereoi somer (off white solid, $\mathrm{mp}=162.3-165.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\mathrm{J}=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=56.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $418 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 418$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 90$ ), 435 (100), 418 (90), 400 (80), 372 (85), 358 (45). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 418.2320, found, 418.2435 .
(2E,4E,6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-pro-pyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy) benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (25c). Saponification of 657 mg ( 1.5 mmol ) of $\mathbf{2 4 c}$ in the presence of 2.3 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of THF/MeOH ( $7.5 / 7.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25 a affords 499.0 mg ( 1.21 mmol , yield: $81 \%$ ) of the desired acid $\mathbf{2 5 c}$ as a single stereoisomer (off white solid, mp $151.3-153.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{FO}_{3} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) ; \mathrm{C}$, H. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $414 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 414$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 414 (100), 396 (98), 368 (70), 354 (80). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{FO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 414.2570, found: 414.2635 .

2,4-Di-tert-butyl-6-iodophenol (26). Iodination of 50.0 g ( 0.24 mol ) of 2,4-ditert-butyl phenol in the presence of 65.4 g $(0.29 \mathrm{~mol})$ of NIS and $4.6 \mathrm{~g}(0.024 \mathrm{~mol})$ of p -toluenesulfonic acid using the procedure described for the synthesis of 16 affords 76.4 g ( 0.23 mol , yield: $96 \%$ ) of 2,4-ditertbutyl-6iodophenol 26 as a pale yellow solid ( $\mathrm{mp}=76.3-77.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ -methanol-). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy) iodobenzene (27a). To a solution of $250 \mathrm{mg}(0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 16 and 131 mg ( 0.91 mmol ) of 1-bromo-2,2-difluoroethane in 10.0 mL of dry DMF was added $402 \mathrm{mg}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at room temperature. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and water was added ( 15.0 mL ). The solution was extracted twice with ethyl acetate and the organic layers were washed (with water and brine successively) and dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). After concentration, the residue was purified over silica gel col umn chromatography (eluent: 95/5 hexane/ethyl acetate) to afford 300 mg ( 0.81 mmol , yield: 99\%) of 27a as a clear yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)iodobenzene (27b). Alkylation of $2.30 \mathrm{~g}(7.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 6}$ in the presence of 1.07 $\mathrm{g}(7.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 1-bromo-3-fluoropropane and 3.70 g ( 11.30 mmol ) of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 27a affords 2.80 g ( 7.50 mmol , yield: 99\%) of 27b as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46$ (d, J $=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, J $=$ $5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=26.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.21 (d, J $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy) iodobenzene (27c). To a solution of $1.13 \mathrm{~g}(3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $1.21 \mathrm{~g}(7.4$ mmol ) of 1-bromo-2,2,2-trifluoroethane in 15 mL of dry DMF in a 50 mL pressure tube was added $2.41 \mathrm{~g}(7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ at room temperature. The tube was sealed and the mixture was stirred overnight at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling the sample to room temperature, the tube was carefully open and water was added ( 30 mL ). The solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the organic layers were washed (with water and brine successively) and dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ). After concentration, the residue was purified over silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 97.5/2.5 hexane/ethyl acetate) to afford 1.29 g ( 33.6 mmol , yield: $91 \%$ ) of 27c as a clear colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$
$8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.32 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.83 (heptet, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.21 (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3,5-Di-tert-butyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy) iodobenzene (28a). Alkylation of $3.0 \mathrm{~g}(9.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ in the presence of 1.57 g ( 10.84 mmol ) of 1-bromo-2,2-difluoroethane and 520 mg ( 10.84 mmol ) of NaH according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 17 affords 3.29 g ( 8.31 mmol , yield: 92\%) of 28a as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66$ (d, J $=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.2,4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

3,5-Di-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)iodobenzene (28b). Alkylation of $4.00 \mathrm{~g}(12.04 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathbf{2 6}$ in the presence of 2.03 g ( 14.48 mol ) of 1-bromo-3-fluoropropane and 695 mg ( 14.48 mol ) of NaH according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 7}$ affords 4.39 g ( 11.97 mol , yield: $93 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene]-2formylthiophene (29a). Reaction of $300 \mathrm{mg}(0.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 27 a and $152 \mathrm{mg}(0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2 -formylthiophene-3-boronic acid in the presence of $94 \mathrm{mg}(0.082 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords $219 \mathrm{mg}(0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $76 \%$ ) of the corresponding adduct 29a. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{~Hz}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.59 (dd, J $=13.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.55(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.37 (septet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92 (septet, J $=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27$ (d, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]-2formylthiophene (29b). Reaction of $200 \mathrm{mg}(0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and $103 \mathrm{mg}(0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-formylthiophene-3-boronic acid in the presence of $63.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.055 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords $159 \mathrm{mg}(0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $83 \%)$ of 29b. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.49(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.91 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene]-2-formylthiophene (29c). Reaction of 250.0 mg ( 0.65 mmol ) of $\mathbf{2 7 c}$ in the presence of $120.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-formylth-iophene-3-boronic acid and $75.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.065 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19, affords 102.0 mg ( 0.27 mmol , yield: $42.4 \%$ ) of 29c as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.78 (dd, J $=4.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.28 (d, J $=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 16.7, $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.38 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92 (septet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ).

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-[3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (30a). Reaction of $219 \mathrm{mg}(0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 29a in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 0.80 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU 7.0 mL ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords 272 mg ( 0.59 mmol , yield: 94\%) of the corresponding ester 30a as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (d, J $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.2,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}$, J $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl-(2E ,4E ,6Z)-[3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]pentane-2,4,6-
trienoate (30b). Reaction of $159 \mathrm{mg}(0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 9 b}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 0.6 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU 5.0 mL ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords $200 \mathrm{mg}(0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 95\%) of the corresponding ester 30b as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.0,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=24.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-[3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (30c). Reaction of $102.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 9 c}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 0.35 mL of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU 5.0 mL ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords 132.0 mg ( 0.27 mmol , yield: $100 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester $\mathbf{3 0 c}$ as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}),, 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
(2E ,4E,6Z)-[3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-pro-pyl-6-(2,2-difluoropropoxy) benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic acid (31a). Saponification of $272.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 0 a}$ in the presence of 0.90 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(3.0 / 3.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 101.3 mg ( 0.25 mmol , yield: 42\%) of the desired acid 31a as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=158.5-160.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ (s, 1H ), $5.68(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.4,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.56(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,4.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, S. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $434 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 434$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 50$ ), 416 (100), 374 (35). 334 (20). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$: calcd, 434.1727; found, 434.1854.
(2E ,4E,6Z)-[3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di iso-pro-pyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy) benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (31b). Saponification of $200 \mathrm{mg}(0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 0 b}$ in the presence of 0.7 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of THF/MeOH ( $2.5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 46.7 mg ( 0.11 mmol , yield: $25 \%$ ) of the desired acid $\mathbf{3 1 b}$ as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=145.2-147.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=47.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{dp}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ ); C: calcd, 69.74; found: $69.60 ; \mathrm{H}$ : calcd, 7.26; found: 7.34; S: calcd, 7.45; found: 7.30. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $430 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 430$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 30$ ), 412 (100), 370 (40). 330 (25). HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: 430.1978$. Found: 430.2072.
(2E,4E,6Z)-[3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-pro-pyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy) benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (31c). Saponification of $132.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 30 c in the presence of 0.41 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(2.0 / 2.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 24.9 mg ( 0.054 mmol , yield: $20 \%$ ) of the desired acid 31c as a single stereoisomer (pale yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=149-151.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.17 ( d ,
$\mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, ), $7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}) 452 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 452\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right.$, 100), 434 (90), 392 (30), 352 (40). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ $\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 452.1663, found: 452.1770 .

2-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]-3formylfuran (32). Reaction of $1.05 \mathrm{~g}(2.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and 610 mg ( 4.30 mmol ) of 3-formylfuran-2-boronic acid in the presence of $166 \mathrm{mg}(0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and 2.9 mL of a $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ aqueous solution in refluxing DME ( 25 mL ) afford after workup and silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 95/5 and 90/10 hexane/ethyl acetate) 108 mg ( 0.32 mmol, yield: 11\%) of the corresponding adduct 32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-(3,4-furyl)-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxybenzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (33). Reaction of $108 \mathrm{mg}(0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 32 in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}(0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ of of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate and 0.6 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 1.6 M in THF-DMPU $5 / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords 140 mg ( 0.31 mmol , yield: 97\%) of the corresponding ester 33 as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=14.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.
(2E ,4E,6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-(3,4-furyl)-7-[3,5-di-i so-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxybenzene] pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (34). Saponification of $130 \mathrm{mg}(0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 33 in the presence of 1.5 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of THF/MeOH ( $5 / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 87 mg ( 0.23 mmol , yield: $77 \%$ ) of the desired acid 34 as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, mp $\left.138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{dt}$, $\mathrm{J}=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.26$ (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV})$ $415 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 415$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 15$ ), 397 (80), 375 (95), 357 (45), 293 (100), 275 (55). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 415.2282, found: 415.2301.

1-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene]-2formylbenzene (35a). Reaction of $1.93 \mathrm{~g}(5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 27a and $0.87 \mathrm{~g}(5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-carboxybenzeneboronic acid in the presence of $303 \mathrm{mg}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 1.48 g ( 4.3 mmol , yield: $81 \%$ ) of the corresponding adduct $35 \mathrm{a} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93($ septet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy )benzene]-2formylbenzene (35b). Reaction of $2.15 \mathrm{~g}(5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and 0.975 g ( 6.5 mmol ) of 2-carboxybenzeneboronic acid in the presence of $341 \mathrm{mg}(0.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 1.544 $\mathrm{g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $76 \%)$ of the corresponding adduct $35 \mathrm{~b} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 7.66 (dd, J $=7.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ (septet, $\jmath=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ).

1-[3,5-di-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]-2formylbenzene (36). Reaction of $1.83 \mathrm{~g}(5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ and $874 \mathrm{mg}(5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-formylbenzeneboronic acid in the presence of 306 mg ( $0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%$ ) of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 512 mg ( 1.4 mmol , yield: $27 \%$ ) of the corresponding adduct $36 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.78$ (s, 1H), 8.03 (dd, J $=8.0,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69$ (ddd, J $=8.0,7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35$ (dddd, J $=47,9.2,6.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.19 (dddd, J $=47,9.2$, $6.0,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,6.1,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23$ (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=9.2,6.1,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H).

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-cyclohexanedienyl-7-[3,5-diiso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxybenzene] pentane-2,4,6trienoate (37a). Reaction of $1.48 \mathrm{~g}(4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 35 a in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $3.21 \mathrm{~g}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate and 5.0 mL of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU $20 / 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords 1.80 g ( 4.0 mmol , yield: 93\%) of the corresponding ester 37a as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, , $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.53-3.40 (m, 2H), 3.35 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.90 (septet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E,4E, $\mathbf{Z Z}$ )-3-methyl-6,7-cyclohexanedienyl-7-[3,5-diiso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxybenzene] pentane-2,4,6trienoate (37b). Reaction of $1.54 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{( } 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) of $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $3.575 \mathrm{~g}, 13.5 \mathrm{mmol}$, of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate and 5.4 mL of nBuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU $20 / 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords 1.90 g ( 4.2 mmol , yield: 93\%) of the corresponding ester 37b as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.30 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.69 (m, 2H), 1.25 (m, 15H).

Ethyl-3-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxybenzene]-4-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-pentadiene-2,4-dienoate]benzene (38). Reaction of $521 \mathrm{mg}(1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{3 6}$ in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 1.6 mL of n -BuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU $15 / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords 279 mg ( 0.58 mmol , yield: $41 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester 38 as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ Hz, 3H).
(2E ,4E,6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclohexanedienyl-7-[3,5-diiso-propyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxybenzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (39a). Saponification of $1.80 \mathrm{~g}(4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 37 a in the presence of 0.50 g of $\mathrm{LiOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(11.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a $2 / 2 / 1$ mixture of THF/EtOH/H2O (20/20/10 mL) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 1.049 g ( 2.45 mmol , yield: $62 \%$ ) of the desired acid 39a as a single stereoisomer (pale yellow solid, mp $157-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72$ (dd, J $\left.=7.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.43-$ $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $5.48(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dtd}, \mathrm{J}=27,12.8,4.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.35 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.90 (septet, J $=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18$ (s, 3H), 1.25 (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}) 428 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 428\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 25\right), 410$ (60), 368 (100), 328 (85), 286 (75). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; cal cd, 428.2163, found, 428.2249.
(2E,4E,6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclohexanedienyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy benzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoic Acid (39b). Saponification of $1.90 \mathrm{~g}(4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 37b in the presence of 0.88 g of $\mathrm{LiOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a 2/2/1 mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 1.02 g (2.4 mmol, yield: 57\%) of the desired acid 39b as a single stereoi somer (white solid, mp $161-163^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.40-7.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29$ (dt, J = 17.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dt, J = 17.9, $6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ (septet, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.89 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.69 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{FO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $424 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 424$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 15$ ), 406 (70), 364 (100), 322 (40), 282 (40). HRMS Calcd $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{FO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 424.2414, found, 424.2471.

3-[3,5-Di-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxybenzene]-4[(2E,4E )-3-methyl-pentadiene-2,4-dienoic acid]benzene (40). Saponification of $279 \mathrm{mg}(0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 38 in the presence of 243 mg of $\mathrm{LiOH}(5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a $2 / 2 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / E t \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 / 6 / 3 \mathrm{~mL})$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $25 a$ affords $260 \mathrm{mg}(0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 99\%) of the desired acid 40 as a single stereoisomer (white solid, mp 177-178 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.93 (d, J $=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68$ (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{FO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{MS}$ (EI, 70 eV ) $452 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 452$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 15$ ), 396 (30), 378 (100), 322 (55). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{FO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 452.2727, found, 452.2786.

Synthesis of 3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene Boronic Acid (41). To a flame-dried 300 mL roundbottomed flask was charged with 100 mL of anhydrous $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 27c ( $3.86 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TMEDA ( $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using a dry ice/acetone bath and 6.0 mL of n -BuLi solution ( 2.5 M in hexanes) was added dropwise via a syringe. The mixture was kept at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min and 3.4 mL of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}(30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly. This resulting mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , slowly warmed to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched with 50 mL of 1.0 M aqueous HCl solution and stirred at 23 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc (2 $\times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 5 \times 20\right.$ $\mathrm{cm}, 15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane as eluent) to give desired boronic acid 411.38 g ( $46 \%$ ) as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.16(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.91 (septet, $\mathrm{j}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

2-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene]-4-formylpyridine (42). Reaction of $174.1 \mathrm{mg}(0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 41 and 106.5 mg ( 0.57 mmol ) of 3-bromo-4-carboxypyridine in the presence of $33 \mathrm{mg}(0.029 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 150 mg ( 0.41 mmol , yield: $72 \%$ ) of the corresponding adduct 42. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.97 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.29 (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-3-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxyben-zene]-4-[(2E,4E )-3-methyl-pentadiene-2,4-dienoate]pyridine (43). Reaction of $1.011 \mathrm{~g}(2.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 42 in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (gener-
ated from $2.194 \mathrm{~g}, 8.30 \mathrm{mmol}$, of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 3.4 mL of n -BuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THFDMPU $15 / 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords $1.0876 \mathrm{~g}(2.28 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 83\%) of the corresponding ester 43 as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 3.35 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.93 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $2.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ), 1.26 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).

3-[3,5-Di-i so-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxybenzene]-4-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-pentadiene-2,4-dienoic acid]pyridine (44). Saponification of $1.0876 \mathrm{~g}(2.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 43 in the presence of 0.4798 g of $\mathrm{LiOH}(11.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in a $2 / 2 / 1$ mixture of THF/EtOH/H $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(12 / 12 / 6 \mathrm{~mL})$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 665.2 mg ( 1.49 mmol , yield: 65\%) of the desired acid 44 as a single stereoisomer (white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 262-263{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.92 (d, J $=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (septet, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.93 (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}) 447 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 447$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100$ ), 447 (100). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 447.2021, found, 447.2178.

Ethyl-2-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)ben-zene]cyclopentene-1-carboxylate (45). Reaction of 200.0 $\mathrm{mg}(0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 41 in the presence of $208.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ of triflate and $76.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19, affords 258.0 mg ( 0.65 mmol , yield: 98\%) of 45 as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32$ (septet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene] cy-clopentene-2-methanol (46). To $0.258 \mathrm{~g}(0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 45 dissolved in 10 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether in a flame dried 25 mL round-bottom flask at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $0.030 \mathrm{~g}(0.78$ mmol ) of $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ portion-wise. The resultant mixture is stirred for 1.0 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After such time, water ( $0.014 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, followed by 6 N aqueous sodium hydroxide ( 0.26 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature, stirred for 0.5 h , filtered through a plug of silica gel (eluting with diethyl ether) and concentrated under reduced pressureto give 0.225 g ( 0.63 mmol , yield: 97\%) of alcohol 46 as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.00 (d, J $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.97 (d, J $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ (heptet, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52$ (broad s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoroethoxy)benzene]-2-formylcyclopentene (47). 47 was synthesized from 0.225 $\mathrm{g}(0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 46 and $0.11 \mathrm{~g}(0.95 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NMO}$ in the presence of 0.011 g ( 0.031 mmol ) of TPAP according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 23a. 0.222 g ( 0.63 mmol, yield: $95 \%$ ) of 47 was isolated as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.31 (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.73(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-propyl-6-(2,2,2-trifluoro ethoxybenzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (48). Reaction of $222.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 47 in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.46 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate and 0.81 mL of n -BuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU 8.0 mL ) according to the procedure described
for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords $293 \mathrm{mg}(0.63 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $100 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester 48 as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (heptet, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}$, J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}$, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ).
( $2 \mathrm{E}, 4 \mathrm{E}, 6 \mathrm{Z}$ )-3-Methyl-6,7-cyclopentenyl-7-[3,5-di-iso-pro-pyl-6-(2,2,2-difluoroethoxy benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (49). Saponification of $293 \mathrm{mg}(0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{4 8}$ in the presence of 0.94 mL of LiOH (2M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $4.0 / 4.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 76.3 mg ( 0.18 mmol , yield: $28 \%$ ) of the desired acid 49 as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}=159.9-163.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35$ (heptet, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}) 436$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 436\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 418$ (50), 390 (80), 376 (30), 438 (30). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 436.2225, found, 436.2281.

2-tert-Butyl-4-ethyl-6-iodophenol (51). I odination of 50.0 $\mathrm{g}(0.30 \mathrm{~mol})$ of 2-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol 50 in the presence of $74.2 \mathrm{~g}(0.33 \mathrm{~mol})$ of NIS and $5.7 \mathrm{~g}(0.03 \mathrm{~mol})$ of p toluenesulfonic acid acid using the procedure described for the synthesis of 16 affords 88.2 g ( 0.29 mol , yield: 97\%) of 2-tertbutyl-4-ethyl-6-iodophenol 51 as a yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (dd, J $=15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.38 (s, $9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(2,2-Difluoroethoxy)-3-tert-butyl-5-ethylbenzene (52a). Alkylation of 478 mg ( 1.57 mmol ) 51 with 273 mg ( 1.88 mmol ) of 1-bromo-2,2-difluoroethane in the presence of 765 mg ( 2.35 mmol of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in 3 mL of dry DMF according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 27a affords 552 mg ( 1.50 mmol , yield: $97 \%$ ) of 52 a as a clear yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.27 (tt, J $=55.5,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.23(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56$ (dd, J = 15.2, $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.39 (s, 9H), 1.21 (t, $\mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

2-(3-Fluoropropoxy)-3-tert-butyl-5-ethylbenzene (52b). Alkylation of $417 \mathrm{mg}(1.37 \mathrm{mmol}) 51$ with $289 \mathrm{mg}(2.06 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.19 mL ) of 1-bromo-3-fluoropropane in the presence of 669 mg ( 2.06 mmol of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in 3 mL of dry DMF according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 27a affords 489 mg ( 1.34 mmol , yield: $98 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{5 2 b}$ as a clear yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}$, J $=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3H).

1-[3-Ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy)benzene]-2-formylthiophene (53a). Reaction of 261 mg ( 0.71 mmol ) of 52 a and $165 \mathrm{mg}(1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-formylthiophene-3-boronic acid in the presence of $41 \mathrm{mg}(0.035 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 243 mg ( 0.69 mmol , yield: 97\%) of the corresponding adduct 53a. 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

1-[3-Ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy)benzene]-2formylthiophene (53b). Reaction of $213 \mathrm{mg}(0.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 52b and $137 \mathrm{mg}(0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2-formylthiophen-3-boronic acid in the presence of $34 \mathrm{mg}(0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19 affords 161 mg ( 0.46 mmol , yield: 79\%) of the corresponding adduct 53b. 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl-(2E,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyk)-7-[3-ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(2,2-difluoro ethoxybenzene] pentane-2,4,6trienoate (54a). Reaction of $243 \mathrm{mg}(0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 53a in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 1.0 mL of n -BuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU $5 / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords $190 \mathrm{mg}(0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 60\%) of the corresponding ester 54a as a mixture of isomers. 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.19 (d, J $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.63(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.4,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.59 (td, J $=13.5,4.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(d d, \mathrm{~J}=15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Ethyl-(2E, 4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3-ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoro propoxybenzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (54b). Reaction of $161 \mathrm{mg}(0.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 53a in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate and 0.6 mL of ${ }^{\mathrm{n} B u L i}$ in hexanes 1.6 M in THF-DMPU $5 / 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 24a affords $208 \mathrm{mg}(0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $98 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester 54b as a mixture of isomers. 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.17 (d, J $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (d, J $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.97 (d, $\mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \mathrm{1H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62$ (dd, J $=15.1,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
(2E , 4E , 6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3-ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(2,2-difluoroethoxy benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (55a). Saponification of $155 \mathrm{mg}(0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 54 a in the presence of 2 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(5 / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords $110 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 80\%) of the desired acid 55a as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, mp $135^{\circ} \mathrm{CCH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.4$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ C, H, S. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $434 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 434\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 100\right), 451$ (100), 378 (60), 360 (70), 278 (30). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 434.1727, found, 434.1594.
(2E ,4E,6Z)-3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3-ethyl-5-tert-butyl-6-(3-fluoropropoxy benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (55b). Saponification of $160 \mathrm{mg}(0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{5 4 b}$ in the presence of 2 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(5 / 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords $105 \mathrm{mg}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $75 \%$ ) of the desired acid $\mathbf{5 5 b}$ as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, mp $128^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ). 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4$, $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $15.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43$ (s, 9H), $1.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{FO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S}$. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $430 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 430$ (MH+, 70), 447 (100), 430 (70), 412 (95). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{FO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$); calcd, 430.1978, found, 430.2202.

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(3-hydroxypropoxy)iodobenzene (56). Alkylation of 3.22 g ( 10.0 mmol ) of 26 in the presence of 3.04 g ( 11.0 mmol ) of 1-bromo-3-(tert-butyl dimethylsiloxy)propane and 576 mg ( 12.0 mol ) of NaH according to the
procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 7}$ affords the corresponding crude protected alcohol as a clear oil. This one was directly treated with 15 mL of TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF) and stirred until complexion (TLC monitoring). After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was purified over silica gel col umn chromatography to afford $3.55 \mathrm{~g}(9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: $91 \%$ ) of 56 as an oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.01 (s, 6H).

3,5-Di-iso-propyl-6-(3-formylpropoxy)iodobenzene (57). To a mixture of 8.28 g ( 38.0 mmol ) of PCC, 8.3 g of Celite and 8.3 g of molecular sieve in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 3.5 g ( 8.96 mmol ) of $\mathbf{5 6}$ (disolved in 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) at RT. After complexion of the reaction (TLC monitoring), the mixture was filtrated and the solvents removed under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude oil over silica gel column chromatography (eluent: 95/5 hexane/ethyl acetate) affords 2.27 g ( 5.85 mol, yield: $65 \%$ ) of 57 as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

3,5-Di-tert-butyl-6-(3,3-difluoropropoxy)iodobenzene (58). To a mixture of 2.20 g ( 5.66 mmol ) of 57 in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}(3.77 \mathrm{~g}, 23.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of DAST. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and carefully quenched with 2 N aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. After extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the organic fractions were collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated. Purification of the crude oil over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (eluent: hexanes/EtOAc: 95/5) affords $2.06 \mathrm{~g}(5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$, yield: 88\%) of 58 as a clear oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=55.0,4.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.28 (s, 9H).

1-[3,5-Di-tert-butyl-6-(3,3-difluoropropoxy)benzene]-2formylthiophene (59). Reaction of $250.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 58 in the presence of $114.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ of boronic acid and $70.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.061 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 19, affords 192.0 mg ( 0.49 mmol , yield: $80 \%$ ) of 59 as a clear oil. ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=56.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl-(2E ,4E,6Z)-3-methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-tert-butyl-6-(3,3-difluoropropoxybenzene]pentane-2,4,6trienoate (60). Reaction of $192.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 59 in the presence of the anion of triethyl-3-methyl-phosphonocrotonate (generated from $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ of of triethyl-3-methylphosphonocrotonate and 0.63 mL of n -BuLi in hexanes 2.5 M in THF-DMPU $2.5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 4 a}$ affords 240.0 mg ( 0.47 mmol , yield: $97 \%$ ) of the corresponding ester 60 as a mixture of isomers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=56.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.
( $2 \mathrm{E}, 4 \mathrm{E}, 6 \mathrm{Z}$ )-3-Methyl-6,7-(2,3-thienyl)-7-[3,5-di-tert-bu-tyl-6-(3,3-difluoropropoxy benzene]pentane-2,4,6-trienoic Acid (61). Saponification of $240.0 \mathrm{mg}(0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{6 0}$ in the presence of 0.75 mL of LiOH ( 2 M aqueous solution) in a $1 / 1$ mixture of $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}(2.5 / 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 25a affords 29.4 mg ( 0.061 mmol , yield: 16\%) of the desired acid 61 as a single stereoisomer (yellow solid, mp $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{CH} 33 \mathrm{CN}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82$ $(\mathrm{tt}, \mathrm{J}=56.7,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right.$.
$0.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H. MS (EI, 70 eV ) $476 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 476$ ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 40$ ), 458 (45), 420 (45), 402 (100). HRMS for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; calcd, 476.2197, found: 476.2382.

Biology. Cotransfection Assay. All cotransfections were carried out in 96 -well plates in an automated workstation with CV-1 cells as previously described by Boehm and al. ${ }^{28}$

Cotransfection assays were performed in CV-1 cells transfected with an expression vector for each of the RXR subtypes and a luciferase reporter gene under the control of the appropriate RXR response element (RXRE). ${ }^{28}$ A CRBPII-tkLuc reporter construct was used for RXR $\alpha$. Similarly, for the heterodimer assay, RXR $\alpha$ was cotransfected into CV-1 cells along with an expression vector for hPPAR $\gamma$; activation of the RXR:hPPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer was tested on the PPRE-tk-Luc reporter as described. ${ }^{28}$ The luciferase reported contains three copies of the AOX PPRE driving luciferase expression. Compounds were tested in three separate experiments in log dilutions from $1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}$, with triplicate determinations at each concentration. Typically, CV-1 cells were transiently cotransfected with the receptor expression vector, the receptor plasmid, and a $\beta$-galactosidase (RSV- $\beta$ Gal) internal control (used to calculate normalized lucferase response). The cells were incubated in the presence of the test compound and assayed for luciferase and $\beta$-galactosidase activity as described previously. ${ }^{28}$ The luciferase data are presented as percent normalized response with the maximal response (100\%) elicited by the control retinoid (all-transretinoic acid for the RXR assays and BRL49653 for the RXR: hPPAR $\gamma$ heterodimer assay). Synergy assays were performed using the same protocol except that a combination of the test compound plus the agonist of the heterodimeric partner were incubated concomitantly in the same dose-response paradigm. For the RXR:hPPAR $\gamma$ synergy assay, the test compound was incubated simultaneously with 100 nM of BRL49653 and for the RXR:RAR synergy assay, the test compound was incubated simultaneously with 3 nM of TTNPB.

Binding Studies. Receptor binding assays for RARs and RXRs were performed in a similar manner as described in Boehm et al. using [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-9-\mathrm{cis}-\mathrm{RA}$ as the radiol igand for RXRs, [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]-ATRA (purchased from NEN-DuPont) for the RARs. ${ }^{28}$ Receptor binding assays for PPARs were performed in a similar manner using proprietary radioactive ligands as described in recent patent applications. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values for the analogues were determined by application of the ChengPrussof equation.
db/db Mouse Studies. $\mathrm{db} / \mathrm{db}$ mice were obtained from J ackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME) at 5 weeks of age. Animals were housed in groups of 6 on a 12L:12D light cycle (lights on at 0600 h ) with food (Purina 5008) and tap water continuously available. Blood samples were obtained via the tail vein 3 h after dosing on the indicated day. For chronic treatment, mice were gavaged with vehicle + compound of interest. At the end of the experiments, animals were weighed and anesthetized. Blood was collected by cardiac puncture prior to euthanization with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Plasma was used within 1 week for analysis of glucose and triglycerides.
Sprague Dawley Rat Studies. Seven-week-old male Sprague-Dawley rats ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~g}$ body weight) were purchased from Harlan Sprague Dawley (Indi anapolis, IN ). The animals had free access to Purina 5008 diet (Ralston Purina Co., St. Louis, MO) and tap water, with a 12-h dark, 12-h light cycle (lights on from 06:00 to 18:00). Animals were acclimated in our facility for 5-6 days before treatment and were dosed via oral gavage with 1 mL vehicle each day for 3 days prior to the experiment to acclimate them to the dosing procedure. The vehicle consists of $0.085 \%$ povidone (ISP Technol ogies Inc., New Milford, CT), $1.5 \%$ lactose (Quest International, New York, NY), 0.026\% Tween-80 (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 0.2\% $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ Antifoam (Dow Corning, Midland, MI). For experiments animals were dosed by oral gavage with either vehicle al one, or a suspension of compound in the vehicle. Blood was collected from the tail vein of conscious animals. Plasma was prepared and kept frozen at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analyzed.

Supporting Information Available: Purity of compounds 25a, 25b, 25c, 31a, 31b, 31c, 34, 39a, 39b, 40, 44, 49, 55a, 55b, and 61 determined by HPLC. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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