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#### Abstract

Substituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives were prepared as part of a search for subtypeselective human peroxisome proliferator activated receptor $\alpha$ (PPAR $\alpha$ ) activators. Structureactivity relationship studies indicated that the nature and the stereochemistry of the substituent at the $\alpha$-position of the head part containing the carboxyl group, the distance between the carboxyl group and the central benzene ring, the linking group between the central benzene ring and the distal benzene ring, and the substituent at the distal hydrophobic tail part of the molecule all play key roles in determining the potency and selectivity of PPAR subtype transactivation. This study has led to the identification of potent and human PPAR $\alpha$ selective optically active $\alpha$-alkylphenylpropanoic acid derivatives, which will be useful not only as pharmacological tools to investigate the physiology and pathophysiology of PPAR $\alpha$ but also as candidate drugs for the treatment of altered metabolic homeostasis, such as dyslipidemia, obesity, and diabetes.


## Introduction

Peroxisome proliferator activated receptors (PPARs) are members of a huge nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, a group of nuclear proteins that mediate the specific effects of small lipophilic compounds, such as steroids, retinoids, and fatty acids, on DNA transcription. PPARs are heterogeneous, and three subtypes have been isolated to date: PPAR $\alpha$ [NR1C1], PPAR $\delta$ [NR1C2] (also known as PPAR $\beta$, NUCI, FAAR), and PPAR $\gamma$ [NR1C3]. ${ }^{1}$ Each PPAR subtype appears to be differentially expressed in a tissue-specific manner and to play a pivotal role in lipid and lipoprotein homeostasis. Upon ligand binding, PPARs heterodimerize with another nuclear receptor partner, retinoid $X$ receptor (RXR), and the heterodimers regulate gene expression by binding to specific consensus DNA sequences, termed PPRE (peroxisome proliferator responsive elements), ${ }^{2}$ which are located in the regulatory regions of target genes. ${ }^{3}$

One of the subtypes, PPAR $\alpha$, which was originally cloned as an orphan receptor from a mouse liver CDNA library, ${ }^{4}$ has since been cloned from many species, including humans. PPAR $\alpha$ is mostly expressed in organs with a high rate of fatty acid catabolism, such as liver, and regulates the expression of the genes encoding for proteins involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. ${ }^{1}$ In mice lacking PPAR $\alpha$ (PPAR $\alpha-/$ ), inhibition of cellular fatty acid flux caused massive hepatic and cardiac lipid accumulation. ${ }^{5}$ Detailed mRNA and protein expression analysis of PPAR $\alpha-/-$ mice has indicated that the

[^0]metabolic abnormalities are due to altered expression levels of a range of metabolic enzymes. ${ }^{6}$ These results clearly indicate a pivotal role for PPAR $\alpha$ in lipid homeostasis in vivo.
The antihyperlipidemic drugs of the fibrateclass, such as clofi brate, bezafibrate, and fenofibrate (Chart 1), are widely used clinically as hypolipidemic drugs. These drugs increase HDL cholesterol levels and lower LDL and VLDL cholesterol levels. ${ }^{7}$ Fibrates have a stronger triglyceride-lowering effect than statins, which are HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors. These beneficial pharmacological effects of fibrates are thought to be mediated in part by PPAR $\alpha$ activation. ${ }^{8}$ Although fibrates are ligands of PPARs, their affinity is very weak (high micromolar concentrations are needed to activate PPAR $\alpha$ ) and the PPAR subtype-selectivity is poor. Consequently, in humans, fibrates must be used at very high doses (about 300-1200 mg/day) to achieve a sufficient lipidlowering effect. Therefore, the search for more potent and selective activators of PPAR $\alpha$, especially human PPAR $\alpha$, is important. Such activators should be superior to both fibrates and statins for the treatment of altered lipid homeostasis in the target organs, especially liver.

Here, we describe the design and synthesis of novel phenylpropanoic acid derivatives as human PPAR $\alpha$ selective activators, ${ }^{9}$ leading to the identification of some human PPAR $\alpha$ selective $\alpha$-alkylphenylpropanoic acid derivatives as candidate drugs for the treatment of metabolic disorders such as hyperlipidemia, obesity, and diabetes.
To develop structurally new human PPAR $\alpha$ selective activators, we selected KRP-297 (Chart 1) as a lead compound. ${ }^{10}$ Although KRP-297 bel ongs structurally to

Chart 1. Chemical Structures of the PPAR Agonists

(1) Clofibrate

(2) Bezafibrate

(3) Fenofibrate

(4) KRP-297

(5) General formula (A)


Scheme 1. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and Related Compoundsa ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{a}$ Reagents: (a) (EtO) $2 \mathrm{POCH}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaH}$, THF ; (b) (1) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, (2) amine, $\mathrm{CICO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (3) aqueous NaOH , EtOH ; (c) (1) (R) $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OTMS}) \mathrm{OMe}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ or Et$), \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (2) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (d) (1) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, triethylamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (2) same as in (b); (e) same as in (a2); (f) (1) same as in (b1), (2) $\mathrm{NaNO} 2, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{MeOH}$-acetone, (3) ethyl acrylate, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (4) NaSR ( $\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl, phenyl, benzyl), EtOH, (5) same as in (b3).


Figure 1. Chemical structure of the lead compound KRP297 (MK-767).
the glitazones (thiazolidine-2,4-dione class insulin sensitizers), it binds directly to and activates both PPAR $\gamma$ and PPAR $\alpha$ isoforms with almost equal affinity. ${ }^{10}$ This is characteristic of classical glitazones, such as troglitazone, ${ }^{11}$ pioglitazone, ${ }^{12}$ and rosiglitazone, ${ }^{13}$ which were reported to bind to and selectively activate the PPAR $\gamma$ isoform. ${ }^{14}$ The reason KRP-297 exhibits col igand nature is unclear, but we anticipated that the replacement of the thiazolidine-2,4-dionering of KRP-297 with another acidic functionality, such as a carboxyl group, usually used in fibrates, might favor PPAR $\alpha$ selectivity and that further chemical modification might improve the potency and selectivity for the PPAR $\alpha$ subtype.

Structurally, KRP-297can be regarded as having three key regions: (A) the acidic head part, (B) the linker part, and (C) the hydrophobic tail part (Figure 1). Therefore, chemical modification of each part of the molecule was performed to understand the structureactivity relationship in detail.

## Chemistry

Synthetic routes to the phenylpropanoic acids and related compounds prepared in this study are outlined in Schemes 1-9.
The $\alpha$-monosubstituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives (8-20, 65-78) were prepared from 6 via four steps. Compound $\mathbf{6}$, which was prepared from 5 -formylsalicilic acid, was treated with Emmons reagents, followed by hydrogenolysis, condensation with various amine derivatives, and alkaline hydrol ysis to afford the desired product. The $\alpha, \alpha$-disubstituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives $(\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 4})$ were prepared by the reaction of $\mathbf{2 1}$ (which was prepared from 5-formyl-2-methoxybenzoic acid by benzyl esterification, reduction, and acylation) with silylketene acetal derivatives, ${ }^{15}$ followed by hydrogenation, condensation, and alkaline hydrolysis. Compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ was condensed with 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine, followed by reduction. The M eerwein arylation ${ }^{16}$ reaction provided the ethyl $\alpha$-bromophenylpropanoate derivative, which was treated with alkylor (aralkyl)mercaptan, followed by alkaline hydrolysis to afford $\alpha$-alkyl- (or aralkyl)thio-substituted derivatives (27-29) (Scheme 1).

The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}$ linker derivative (38) was synthesized from 33. Oxidation of 33 with activated manganese di oxide yielded the corresponding aldehyde (34), which was treated with triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate followed by reduction to afford 36 . This was converted into the

Scheme 2. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and Related Compoundsa

a Reagents: (g) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (h) (EtO) $)_{2} \mathrm{POCH}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaH}$, THF ; (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (j) (1) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{EDCl} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (2) aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (k) (1) 4-(CF3)PhNCO, EtOH , (2) same as in (j2).

Scheme 3. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and the Related Compoundsa

 NaH , THF; (n) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{AcOH}$; (o) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, EtOH , (p) (1) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhNH}_{2}, \mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, triethylamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (2) same as in (I2).

Scheme 4. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and Related Compoundsa

a Reagents: (q) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{AICl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (r) $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NaH}$; (s) 4-( $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{NaH}$, THF; (t) AcOH, concentrated HCl ; (u) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.

Scheme 5. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and Related Compoundsa ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a Reagents: }}$ (v) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Ph})_{3}, \mathrm{DEAD}, \mathrm{THF} ;(\mathrm{w})(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{POCH}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF} ;(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH} ;(\mathrm{y})$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.
desired compound (38) by a procedure similar to that described for the synthesis of 8 (Scheme 2).

The NHCONH linker derivative (40) was also synthesized from 36 by reaction with 4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyisocyanate and subsequent alkaline hydrolysis (Scheme 2).

Friedel-Crafts reaction of 37 with ethyl 2-chloromethylthi oacetate, followed by ester exchange, afforded the benzyl ester (39), which was treated with triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate. Desulfurization then provided 42, which was reduced, hydrogenolyzed, and converted into the desired $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{2}$ linker derivative (46) by a procedure similar to that described for the synthesis of 8 (Scheme 3).

The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linker derivative (52) was synthesized from 44 via five steps. Friedel -Crafts acylation of $\mathbf{4 4}$ followed by ethoxycarbonylation and benzylation provided 48. Acid-catalyzed decarboxylation and dehydroxylation afforded the desired $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ linker derivative (52) (Scheme 4).
The phenolic hydroxyl group of isovaniline (49) was alkylated, and the product was treated with triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate followed by reduction and alkaline hydrolysis to afford the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ linker derivative (57) (Scheme 5).
The $\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}$ linker derivative (62) was also synthesized from 44. Formylation of $\mathbf{4 4}$ followed by hydroxylamine-hydrochloride treatment and reduction

Scheme 6. Synthetic Routes to the Phenylpropanoic Acids and Related Compounds ${ }^{\text {a }}$

a Reagents: (z) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOCHCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (aa) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, EtOH; (bb) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (cc) (1) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CICO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, triethylamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, (2) aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (dd) (1) $4-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF, (2) same as in (cc2).

Scheme 7. Synthetic Routes to Optically Active Phenylpropanoic Acids ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (ee) (1) pivaloyl chloride, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$, THF, (2) 4-(S)benzyloxazolidinone, t-BuOK, THF ; (ff) $\mathrm{LiOH}, 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

Scheme 8. Synthetic Routes to (R)-10


61: $(R)$-BnBA
63

afforded 58. This was converted into the desired compound (62) by a procedure similar to that described for the synthesis of $\mathbf{8}$ (Scheme 6). Furthermore, 58 was al kylated and subjected to al kaline hydrolysis to afford the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}$ linker derivative (64) (Scheme 6).

Each enantiomer of compound 10, one of the most potent compounds, was obtained according to the procedure reported by Haigh et al., ${ }^{17}$ with slight modifications, i.e., by optical resolution of the corresponding 4-(S)-benzyloxazolidinoneimide derivative of $\mathbf{1 0}$, which was prepared by the reaction of racemic 10 and 4-(S)benzyl oxazol idinone via the mixed-anhydride method, followed by alkaline removal of the chiral auxiliary (Scheme 7). ${ }^{9}$ The optical purity of the enantiomers was estimated to be more than $95 \% .{ }^{18}$ The absolute configuration of the optically active $\mathbf{1 0}$ was determined by the preparation of (R)-10, starting from the optically active (R)-2-benzylbutanoic acid ${ }^{19}$ (Scheme 8). The (R)-10 exhibited levo rotation, so the absolute configuration of

Scheme 9. Asymmetric Synthetic Routes to (S)-10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: ( gg ) LiHMDS, benzyl 5-bromomethyl-2-methoxybenzoate, THF ; (hh) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (ii) 4 -(CF $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CICO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{TEA}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (jj) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
$(-)-10$ was deduced to be $R$ and that of the antipodal (+)-10 was deduced to be S. ${ }^{9}$

Next, the asymmetric synthesis of $\alpha$-monosubstituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives was exploited for the large-scale preparation of the desired enantiomer to provide a sufficient amount for investigation of the in vivo activity (Scheme 9). ${ }^{20}$ Acylation of 4-(R)-benzyloxazolidinone provided 79, which was treated with benzyl 5-bromomethyl-2-methoxybenzoate under Evans's asymmetric alkylation protocol, ${ }^{21}$ followed by hydrogenolysis to afford the key synthetic intermediate 81. This was condensed with the appropriate benzylamine derivative, followed by removal of the chiral auxiliary to afford the desired (S)-configuration product (Scheme 9). By means of this procedure, kilogram-scale preparation of the key intermediate (81) was achieved with high enantiomeric excess.

## Biology

The compounds prepared in this study were at first evaluated for in vitro transactivation activity on human PPARs transfected into Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) K1 cells. The compounds that exhibited potent and/or sel ective PPAR $\alpha$ subtype transactivation activity were then evaluated further, in vitro and in vivo. The in vitro activity of the compounds showed some variation from experiment to experiment. However, the order of efficacy of the test compounds was reproducible.

## Plasmids

cDNA encoding for the ligand-binding domain (LBD) of human PPAR was cloned by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using total RNA from a human hepatoma cell line, HepG2. GAL4/human PPARLBD receptor plasmid was prepared by inserting the DNA fragment of human PPARLBD into the pM vector containing the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of a yeast transcriptional factor, GAL4. pFR-Luc, firefly luciferase reporter plasmid, was purchased from Stratagene (La J olla, CA). pRL-TK, renilla luciferase internal standard plasmid, was obtained from Promega (Madison, WI ).

## Transfection Assay

CHO-K1 cells were seeded at $5 \times 10^{4}$ cells/well in 24-well plates and cultured in Ham's F 12 medium containing $10 \%$ fetal calf serum for 24 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells
were cotransfected for 2 h with 40 ng of GAL4/human PPAR LBD, 400 ng of pFR -Luc, and 5 ng of pRL -TK per well using lipofectamine (Gibco BRL, Grand Island, NY). Transfected cells were treated with various concentrations of test compounds at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and dissolved in passive lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI). Luciferase activity was determined with a microplate luminescence reader, LUCY2 (Anthos, Salzburg, Austria). The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of tested compounds were derived from the curve fitting using the Prism program (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

## Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Charles River J apan (Y okohama, J apan). All rats were given a standard diet CE-2 (CLEA J apan, Tokyo, J apan) and tap water ad libitum. (S)-10 or vehicle was suspended in $0.5 \%$ (w/v) Arabic gum and administered orally to 11 -week-old SD rats once a day at $0.3,3$, or 30 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ for 4 days. In the fructose diet study, all rats were given an AIN-93 modified fructose diet (Oriental Yeast, Tokyo, J apan) after having been deprived of food for 2 days, with tap water ad libitum. (S)-10 (10, $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), bezafibrate ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ), or vehicle was administered orally to 11-week-old SD rats once a day for 4 days. At the end of the treatment period, plasma samples were obtained.

## Determination of Serum Parameters

Plasma total chol esterol and free fatty acid levels were determined by means of an enzymatic method using Cholesterol E Test Wako and NEFA C Test Wako (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Osaka, J apan). Plasma triglyceride levels were determined with a triglyceride assay kit (Roche Diagnostics K. K., Tokyo, J apan). Serum lipoproteins were separated to afford the HDL fraction by using a precipitation reagent (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). The supernatant was used for cholesterol determination of HDL cholesterol. Total cholesterol content of unfractionated whole serum and that of the HDL fraction were determined by an enzymatic method. VLDL and LDL cholesterol levels were calculated as follows: total cholesterol level minus HDL cholesterol level. Hepatic triglyceride content and total cholesterol content were measured by an enzymatic procedure as described for plasma triglyceride and cholesterol after extraction of total tissue lipid with chloroform-methanol. Hepatic triglyceride content and total cholesterol content were expressed as milligrams of triglyceride or total cholesterol per gram of tissue wet weight.

## Results and Discussion

The transactivation activity of the present series of compounds toward human PPARs is summarized in Tables 1-3, together with the results for fibrates. The fibrate class of antihyperlipidemic agents, such as bezafibrate and fenofibrate, exhibited weak and nonselective PPARs activation, in accordance with reported data. ${ }^{22}$

Structure-Activity Relationship: Effect of the Acidic Head Part. For activity on the PPAR $\alpha$ isoform, the distance between the carboxyl group and the right side benzene ring in the compound is important (Table

Table 1. Effect of the Acidic Part of the Present Series of Compounds


| compd | R | transactivation $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PPAR $\alpha$ | PPAR $\gamma$ | PPAR $\delta$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{TZD}^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 | 0.8 | iac |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 1.3 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 0.24 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.8 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 0.040 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 11 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 0.36 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 2.4 |
| 12 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 0.29 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 13 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.0 | 2.5 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 14 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 15 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 0.23 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | iac |
| 16 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 17 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OPh}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | iac | iac | iac |
| 23 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Me})_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 2.9 | iac | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Et})_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 2.8 | iac | iac |
| 27 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SEt}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | 1.6 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
| 28 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{SPh}) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | iac | iac | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}^{\text {d }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $i a^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 31 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 32 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 2.2 | 3.0 | ia ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| bezafib | rate | > 78 | > 137 | >143 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compounds were screened for agonist activity on PPAR-GAL4 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected CHO-K1 cells as described. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value is the molar concentration of the test compound that causes $50 \%$ of the maximal reporter activity. $\mathrm{n}=$ 3. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ KRP-297. Data taken from ref 10. c "ia" means inactive at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Assayed as a racemate.
1). ${ }^{9}$ The benzoic acid and phenylacetic acid derivatives (30 and 31) were inactive at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, but the phenylpropanoic acid derivative (8) exhibited transactivation activity, comparable to that of the lead compound (4). Further elongation of the methylene chain to give the phenylbutanoic acid derivative (32) decreased the activity to some extent.
On the other hand, these surrogates (30-32) were weak activators (32) or inactive $(30,31)$ at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$. These results support the prevailing hypothesis that the thiazolidine-2,4-dione ring structure is a good pharmacophore for potent and selective PPAR $\gamma$ transactivation activity. ${ }^{23}$ F urthermore, these surrogates (8, 30, 31, and 32) were also inactive at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ on PPAR $\delta$.

Considering that 8 possessed distinct (micromolar order) PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity and PPAR $\alpha$ subtype selectivity, we selected 8 as the next lead compound and performed further chemical modification focused on the $\alpha$-position of the carboxyl group of $\mathbf{8}$. We anticipated that the introduction of certain shapes of substituents at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxyl group of 8 would enhance the PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity, based on the results obtained from the X-ray crystallographic analysis of the human PPAR $\gamma$-rosiglitazone complex. ${ }^{24}$
As also indicated in Table 1, introduction of appropriate alkyl substituents at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxyl group of 8 strikingly affected PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity and subtype selectivity. Introduction of a methyl group (9) increased the effect on PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity to some extent, but introduction of an ethyl group (10) greatly enhanced the activity. Bulkier substituents generally decreased the activity. These data

Table 2. Effect of the Linker Part of the Present Series of Compounds


| compd | A | transactivation $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(u \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PPAR $\alpha$ | PPAR $\gamma$ | PPAR $\delta$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.04 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 18 | $\mathrm{NHCO}^{\text {c }}$ | 6.0 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $i^{\text {b }}$ |
| 19 | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NHCO}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.74 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.5 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}^{\circ}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 38 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.13 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 40 | $\mathrm{NHCONH}^{\text {c }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 46 | $\mathrm{NHCOCH}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.02 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 51 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.32 | 7.4 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 52 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.86 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.64 |
| 57 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.68 | iab | 0.40 |
| 62 | $\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.04 | >10 | 0.12 |
| 64 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.9 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | 8.0 |
| bezafib |  | > 78 | >137 | >143 |

[^1]indi cated that there are distinct structural requirements for potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity, and the ethyl group is the most favorable.

On the other hand, as regards the PPAR $\gamma$ and PPAR $\delta$ isoforms, most of the compounds listed in Table 1 were inactive at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Thus, most of these $\alpha$-alkyl-substituted derivatives have preferentiality on PPAR $\alpha$.

A similar tendency was seen in the case of $\alpha$-alkoxyand $\alpha$-alkylthio-substituted derivatives except for $\mathbf{1 6}$ and 27. Although some other structural types of $\alpha$-ethoxyand $\alpha$-ethylthio-substituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives were reported to exhibit potent PPAR transactivation activity, ${ }^{25}$ compounds bearing these substituents in the present series exhibited weak transactivation activity on all PPAR isoforms. Compounds $\mathbf{1 6}$ and $\mathbf{2 7}$ showed weak activity and poor subtype selectivity (panagonistic activity), although the reason is not known.

Introduction of one more substituent at the $\alpha$-position of the $\alpha$-monosubstituted phenylpropanoic acid derivatives is unfavorable for PPAR $\alpha$ activity; i.e., the $\alpha, \alpha-$ dimethyl- and the $\alpha, \alpha$-diethyl-substituted derivatives $(\mathbf{2 3}, \mathbf{2 4})$ exhibited decreased PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity compared to the corresponding $\alpha$-monosubstituted compounds (9, 10).
Almost all the compounds listed in Table 1 showed no significant PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity. These results might indicate that the structural requirements for transactivation of PPAR $\delta$ are more restrictive, though this isoform is ubiquitously expressed in many organs and tissues ${ }^{22}$ (structural requirements for PPAR $\delta$ agonists will be discussed later).

Structure-Activity Relationship: Effect of the Linker Part. Interesting subtypeselective PPAR transactivation profiles were obtained by manipulation of the linker part of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Table 2). In the case of the PPAR $\alpha$ isoform, the length of the linking group is important for potency. The transactivation activity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ (three-atom unit, $-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})-$ ) was very potent, but shortening or lengthening (especially shortening) the linking
group $(\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{1 9})$ considerably decreased the activity. The compounds with a secondary amide bond (-NHCO-) $(\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{4 6})$ or reversed secondary amide bond (-CONH -) $(38,62)$ exhibited almost equipotent transactivation activity, whereas other compounds with a three-atom unit as a linking group showed moderate $(\mathbf{5 1}, \mathbf{5 2}, 57)$ or weak activity (64). The tertiary amide derivative (20) and urea-type derivative (40) did not show transactivation activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. It is well recognized that the three-dimensional distance and the alignment of the acidic head part and the hydrophobic tail part of the molecule are important for potent PPAR transactivation activity. ${ }^{26}$ Therefore, we speculated that the two pharmacophores of molecules with amidetype linkers (10, $46)$ and reversed amide-type linkers $(38,62)$ having a three-atom unit are positioned favorably in the large binding pocket of PPAR $\alpha$. In contrast, the two pharmacophores of molecules with other three-atom unit linkers (51,52, and 57) are not located at the proper position in the binding pocket probably because of conformational flexibility of the linkers. Further, we thought that the urea-type and the tertiary amide compounds (40, 20) did not form favorable conformations for potent transactivation activity toward PPAR $\alpha$ owing to the introduction of the planar ureido bond or the existence of cis/trans isomers ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR study indicated that 20 exists as a 2:1 mixture of regioisomers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). The hydrogen-bonding interaction between the linker part and the PPAR $\alpha$ backbone might not be crucial because all the secondary amide linker compounds exhibited equipotent transactivation activity, although these compounds have various kinds of amide bonds with respect to position and alignment.

It has been determined on the basis of an X-ray crystal lographic study that potent PPARs agonists such as rosiglitazone (PPAR $\gamma$ agonist; Chart 1) and AZ-242 (dual agonist of PPAR $\gamma$ and PPAR $\alpha$; Chart 1) ${ }^{27}$ take a " U "-shaped conformation, wrapping around the helix 3 (H3) region of each PPAR with the central benzene ring directly behind H 3 and the acidic headgroup and hydrophobic tail group wrapping around H3. ${ }^{24}$ Therefore, we speculate that the potent PPAR $\alpha$ activators in the present series of compounds, such as $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 46, might form " U "-shaped conformations, while the less potent derivatives, such as 57 and $\mathbf{4 0}$, might not form a proper " U "-shaped conformation probably because of conformational flexibility or conformational restriction. To investigate this point, computer-aided conformational analysis of representative compounds ( $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{4 6}$, 57) was performed using the Insight 2/Discover $3^{28}$ system. The common part of the molecules, the 2-[4(methoxyphenyl)methyl Jbutyric acid moiety, was used as an anchor. The anchor part of each molecule was built using the crystal structure of GW 409544 bound to the PPAR ligand binding domain homodimer (PDB code 1 k 7 l ) as the reference structure. For the chiral center of the $\alpha$-position of the carboxyl group, theS-form (the binding isomer) was used. The conformations of each molecule were searched by a systematic rotation of torsion angles. During the search, the structure of the anchor moiety was fixed, while the conformation of the rest of the molecule was calculated for each conformer. The energies of conformations were minimized with the cuff force field (conjugate gradi ent method and



$\tau_{1-2}=0-270 / 90^{\circ} \quad \tau_{3-6}=0-330 / 30^{\circ}$

Figure 2. Effect of the stereochemistry of the substituent introduced at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxylic acid group on transactivation to PPAR $\alpha$.
$0.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy convergence criterion). Figure 2 shows the rotated bonds and torsion angle values used to generate conformers for each representative compound, and the analytical results are summarized in Figures 3 and 4. In the case of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 46, which showed potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity, about 70 and 200 conformers, respectively, out of 845 conformers were calculated to form " $U$ "-shaped conformations having an energy of no more than $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the minimum energy structure (relative existence probability (RE) versus the minimum energy structure was estimated to be $65 \%$ and $61 \%$ for 10 and 46, respectively). In the case of 57, only 10 conformers out of 10985 conformers was calculated to form " U "-shaped conformations, but these conformers were energetically unfavorable, being about $3.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the minimum energy structure of 57 (RE was estimated to be only $0.2 \%$ ). M oreover, the ureido linker compound (40) was proved not to form "U"shaped conformations (RE was estimated to be 0\%)




because of the planar and transoid-preferential nature of the ureido linkage. These data clearly support our speculation.

In contrast to the activity toward PPAR $\alpha$, which ranges over more than 2 orders of magnitude, none of the compounds, except 10 and 51, exhibited significant PPAR $\gamma$ transactivation activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. These results might indicate that the chemical modification of the linker part produced an unfavorable orientation of the two pharmacophores for proper binding to the large binding pocket of PPAR $\gamma$.
As for PPAR $\delta$, the methylene linker compound (52) and the ether linker compound (57), which showed about 10-fold less potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity compared to 10, exhibited much more potent PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity (about 5- to 9-fold more potent) than 10. I mprovement of PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity rendered these compounds moderately potent dual activators of PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\delta$. Recent X-ray crystallographic studies of PPAR $\delta$ and a naturally occurring unsaturated fatty acid, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (Chart 1), which is a pan-agonist of PPARs, revealed that EPA occupied the ligand binding pocket of PPAR $\delta$ in two distinct conformations (tail-up and tail-down conformations). ${ }^{29}$ The numerous hydrophobic contacts between the hydrophobic tail part of EPA and the binding pocket of PPAR $\delta$ are likely to be important for potency. Therefore, we considered that the introduction of flexible linkers, such as the methylene linker and the ether linker, improved the conformational flexibility of the hydrophobic tail part of 52 and 57, and as a result, these compounds were stabilized through numerous hydrophobic interactions with the PPAR $\delta$ ligand binding pocket.

Compound 10 R.E. $65 \%, \Delta \mathrm{E}=0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


Compound 46
R.E. $61 \%, \Delta \mathrm{E}=0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


Figure 3. Chemical structures and torsion angle definitions of $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{4 0}, \mathbf{4 6}$, and $\mathbf{5 7}$, together with values assigned in the systematic search.





Compound 57
R.E. $0.2 \%, \Delta \mathrm{E}=3.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


Compound 40
R.E. 0 \%


Figure 4. Schematic presentation of a representative " $U$ "-shaped conformation of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{4 6}$ together with potential energy results from the systematic search of the generated conformers: RE, relative existence probability versus minimum energy conformer; $\Delta \mathrm{E}$, potential energy difference versus minimum energy conformer. Red circle indicates the area of the " U "-shaped conformer.

An exceptionally interesting compound is $\mathbf{6 2}$, which has the $\mathrm{CONHCH}_{2}$ group as a linker. Although almost all amide-containing-linkers are unfavorable for PPAR $\delta$ activity, 62 exhibited potent PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity compared to 10, with comparably potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity. Therefore, $\mathbf{6 2}$ is a dual activator of PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\delta$, although the reason $\mathbf{6 2}$ showed potency against PPAR $\delta$ is not known.

Although our primary goal in the present study was to discover potent PPAR $\alpha$ activators as candidate drugs for the treatment of altered lipid and lipoprotein homeostasis, 62 be of potential value as a dual activator of PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\delta$, since a recent publication indicated that PPAR plays a key role in cholesterol metabolism by contributing to reverse cholesterol transport. ${ }^{30}$

Structure-Activity Relationship: Effect of the Substituent at the Hydrophobic Tail Part. The nonsubstituted compound (65) exhibited moderate PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity (Table 3). Introduction of a small substituent such as a chlorine atom or methoxyl group (66, 69-71) did not affect the activity, but the introduction of a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ or an $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ group, especially at the 4 position, greatly increased the activity. The increase in activity might be explained by the positive contribution of the steric effect and/or the hydrophobic nature of the fluorine atoms of these groups in binding to the hydrophobic ligand-binding pocket of PPAR $\alpha$. In this case, the position of the substituent introduced at the benzene ring is important because 67, which has a $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ group at the 3 position of the benzene ring, did not show superior PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation

Table 3. Effect of the Substituents Introduced at the Left Benzene Ring

|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { insactivat } \\ & \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | PPAR $\alpha$ | PPAR $\gamma$ | PPAR $\delta$ |
| 65 | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 2.3 | 10.0 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 66 | 4-CIC | 3.0 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 67 | $3-\mathrm{CF}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.8 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 10 | $4-\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.040 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 68 | $4-\mathrm{OCF}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.043 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.90 |
| 69 | 2-OCH3 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 9.4 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 70 | $3-\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \mathrm{c}$ | 3.1 | $n d^{\text {d }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 71 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.2 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | 6.60 |
| 72 | 2-OPhc | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 73 | $3-\mathrm{OPh}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.40 | 6.2 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 74 | $4-\mathrm{OPh}^{\text {c }}$ | 0.090 | 2.4 | 3.0 |
| 7/5 | 4-Phc | 0.11 | 4.0 | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 76 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{\text {c }}$ | 1.5 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ | ia ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 77 | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{\text {c }}$ | 5.6 | 5.4 | $i a^{\text {b }}$ |
| 78 | $4-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}^{\text {c }}$ | 2.7 | 3.0 | $i a^{b}$ |
| bezafibrate |  | $>78$ | > 137 | > 143 |

[^2]exhibited potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity. In this case, the position of the introduced substituent is also important, since the introduction of the phenoxyl group at the 2 or 3 position of the benzene ring (72, 73) decreased PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity, especially in the case of the 2-phenoxyl group. The distance between the two phenyl groups is also important. Simple phenoxyl and phenyl groups are preferable, and benxyloxyl (76), phenetyl (77), and phenetyloxyl (78) groups decreased the activity considerably.

As regards PPAR $\gamma$ and PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity, all compounds except $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 8}$ are weak activators. Compound 68, which exhibited potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity comparable to that of 10, exhibited very weak PPAR $\gamma$ transactivation activity but significant PPAR $\delta$ transactivation activity. Therefore, 10 exhibited a much higher $\alpha / \delta$ selectivity ratio compared to that of 68, while the $\alpha / \gamma$ selectivity ratio is reversed between the two compounds. It is of interest to note that the subtype-selectivity ratio can be dramatically changed by a small manipulation of the substituents at the peripheral benzene ring. These results might indicate that the shape and environment of the hydrophobic cavity hosting the 4-substituted benzene ring differ somewhat among the three PPAR isoforms.

Structure-Activity Relationship: Effect of the Stereochemistry of the $\alpha$-Position of the Carboxyl Group. The compounds that have substituents at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxyl group discussed above were assayed as racemates. It has already been reported that the in vitro and in vivo pharmacological properties of other structural series of $\alpha$-alkoxyphenylpropanoic acid derivatives differ between the enantiomers. ${ }^{31}$ Therefore, we decided to investigate the enantio-dependent transactivation activity of a representative compound, optically active 10, on PPAR $\alpha$ isoform. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ has an asymmetric center at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxylic acid functionality, and it is important to determine which enantiomer is the true eutomer. ${ }^{9}$

Optically active $\mathbf{1 0}((+)-\mathbf{1 0}$ and $(-)-\mathbf{1 0})$ was obtained by optical resolution (Scheme 7), and the absolute configuration was determined by the preparation of the (R)-form of 10 starting from optically active (R)-2benzylbutanoic acid (Scheme 8). ${ }^{9}$

As can be seen from Figure 5, a clear enantio dependence of the transactivation activity toward the PPAR $\alpha$ isoform was found. (S)- $\mathbf{1 0}$ exhibited much more potent transactivation activity, while the antipodal (R)10 exhibited less potency. Therefore, we concluded that the activity was found to reside almost exclusively in the (S)-enantiomer.

In the case of rosiglitazone, a potent and selective PPAR $\gamma$ agonist used for the treatment of non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus (type 2 diabetes), enantio dependency was also noted and (S)-rosiglitazone exhibited much more potent binding to PPAR $\gamma$ than (R)rosiglitazone. ${ }^{32}$ However, the enantio dependency of (S)rosiglitazone decreased time-dependently probably because of rapid racemization at the C-5 position of the thiazolidine-2,4-dione ring at physiological $\mathrm{pH} .{ }^{33}$ Therefore, rosiglitazone is optically labile and was launched as a racemate. However, unlike rosiglitazone, (S)-10 is expected to be a potent and optically stable agent for the treatment of metabolic disorders.


Figure 5. Schematic presentation of a representative "U"shaped conformation of $\mathbf{4 0}$ and 57 together with potential energy results from the systematic search of the generated conformers: RE, relative existence probability versus minimum energy conformer; $\Delta \mathrm{E}$, potential energy difference versus minimum energy conformer. Red circle indicates the area of the " $U$ "-shaped conformer.


Figure 6. Summary of the SAR of the present series of compounds.

The X-ray crystallographic analysis of the ligand binding domain in the complex with the dual PPAR $\alpha$ and PPAR $\gamma$ agonist AZ 242 (an $\alpha$-ethoxyphenylpropanoic acid derivative) indicated that the side chain ethoxyl group of AZ 242 is positioned in a hydrophobic region of the PPAR $\alpha$ ligand binding pocket, surrounded by the side chains of the amino acids Phe273, Cys276, Ile354, and Met355. ${ }^{27}$ Because the three-dimensional structure of the acidic head part of (S)-10 appears to be quite similar to that of AZ 242, we speculated that the side chain ethyl group of (S)-10 interacts hydrophobically with the same region of the PPAR $\alpha$ ligand-binding pocket. In contrast to AZ 242 (having an ethoxyl group (three-atom unit) as a substituent at the $\alpha$-position of the carboxylic acid), the two-carbon ethyl group was the most potent $\alpha$-substituent in the present series of compounds. This difference in length may indicate that the ethyl group of (S)-10 is located deeper in the hydrophobic pocket formed by the side chains of Phe273, Cys276, Ile354, and Met355.

Figure 6 summarizes the structure-activity relationships of the present series of compounds.

Species-Dependent PPAR $\alpha$ Transactivation by the Present Series of Compounds. Some peroxisome proliferators show species-dependent transactivation characteristics for PPAR $\alpha .{ }^{34}$ The classical PPAR $\alpha$ agonist WY-14643 is more effective on rodent PPAR $\alpha$ than on human PPARa. Moreover, GW-9578, a recently disclosed ureidothioisobutyric acid derivative with potent PPAR $\alpha$ activity, al so transactivates rodent PPAR $\alpha$

Table 4. Species Differences in the Transactivation of PPAR $\alpha$ Isoform

|  | transactivation <br> $\mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | human | dog | rat |
| (S)-10 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 5.2 |
| fenofibrate | 41 | 50 | 49 |

a Compounds were screened for agonist activity on PPAR-GAL4 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected CHO-K1 cells as described. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value is the molar concentration of the test compound that causes $50 \%$ of the maximal reporter activity. $\mathrm{n}=3$.
preferentially over human PPAR.$^{35}$ 5,8,11,14-Eicosatetraynoic acid (ETYA) showed the reverse preference; i.e., it is 10 -fold more effective on human PPAR $\alpha$ than rodent PPARa. On the other hand, clofibric acid and fenofibric acid, which are active metabolites of the fibrate class antihyperlipidemic agents clofibrate and fenofibrate, respectively, did not show clear species differences. Therefore, three types of PPAR $\alpha$ activators have been identified to date, i.e., rodent-selective, humanselective, and non-species-selective PPAR $\alpha$ activators. For clinical application, the human PPAR $\alpha$ selective nature would be preferred, so we evaluated the speciesselective PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation profile of (S)-10.

As can be seen from Table 4, (S)-10 activated human, dog, and rat PPAR $\alpha$ with $E_{50}$ values of $0.06,0.16$, and $5.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Thus, to our surprise, (S)-10 showed species preference for humans and the transactivation activity of (S)-10 for PPAR $\alpha$ was approximately 100 -fold and 30 -fold less potent in rats than in humans and dogs, respectively.

The (S)-10 was thus proved to be a highly potent, subtype-selective, and species-sel ective PPAR $\alpha$ activator. It exhibited potent activity on human PPAR $\alpha$, with a higher species selectivity over rats than ETYA.

Although the reason (S)-10 exhibits human selectivity is not yet known, we speculate that the species-dependent differences in activation by (S)-10 may be related to different affinities of (S)-10 for the three different PPAR $\alpha$ receptors. To understand the situation at the molecular level, mutational studies using chimeric PPAR $\alpha$ are in progress.
In Vivo Pharmacology. To determine the ability of (S)-10 to impact lipid/cholesterol homeostasis, normal rats were used to investigate the effects of (S)-10 on the serum levels of triglyceride, free fatty acid, total cholesterol, and the sum of LDL and VLDL cholesterol. When administered orally to normal rats $(\mathrm{N}=5)$ once a day for 5 days, (S)-10 ( $0.3,3$, and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) lowered the serum levels of triglyceride ( $-1 \%, 26 \%$, and $33 \%$ ), free fatty acid ( $11 \%, 27 \%$, and $72 \%$ ), total cholesterol ( $19 \%, 26 \%$, and $32 \%$ ), and the sum of LDL and VLDL cholesterol (46\%, 56\%, and 58\%) in a dose-dependent fashion (Table5). U pon withdrawal of (S)-10, the serum levels of all parameters recovered to the pretreatment levels (data not shown). The finding that (S)-10 effectively lowered both triglyceride and total cholesterol indicates that ( S )-10 might have therapeutic advantages over statins in the treatment of hyperlipidemia and atherosclerosis, since currently marketed statins do not effectively lower serum triglyceride.

Since PPAR $\alpha$ is highly expressed in the liver ${ }^{1}$ and is a potent activator of hepatic fatty acid oxidation, we

Table 5. Influence of (S)-10 on Serum Lipid Parameters in Rats

|  | \% reduction after 5 days of oral dosinga |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| treatment | TG | FFA | total <br> chol esterol | LDL, VLDL <br> chol esterol |
| $0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 15 | 12 | 22 | 29 |
| $3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 25 | 28 | 29 | 58 |
| $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 56 | 69 | 35 | 60 |
| bezafibrate, $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 41 | 46 | 27 | 22 |

[^3]investigated whether activation of PPAR $\alpha$ by (S)-10 might be a possible option to treat obesity-related steatosis and associated complications. As can be seen from Table 6, oral dosing of (S)-10 (30 mg/kg) for 5 days to fructose-fed fatty-liver rats effectively lowered the hepatic levels of both triglyceride (by 80\%) and total cholesterol (by 50\%). The effect was much more potent than that of a representative fibrate, bezafibrate ( $30 \mathrm{mg} /$ kg ).
In summary, we have developed the potent human PPAR $\alpha$ selective activator (S)-10, which possesses much more potent PPAR $\alpha$ transactivation activity with higher selectivity for PPAR $\alpha$ over other PPAR isoforms compared to those of classical fibrates. Further in vivo pharmacological evaluation of (S)-10 and related compounds is underway.

## Experimental Section

General. Melting points were determined on a Yanagi moto micromelting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ with TMS and the solvent peak as internal standards, on a J EOL J MN-A400 spectrometer. Mass spectra (MS) were obtained on a J EOL J MS-HX110 spectrometer. Col umn chromatography was carried out on Merck silica gel 60. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck precoated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates, and the compounds were visualized by UV illumination ( 254 nm ) or by heating after spraying with phosphomolybdic acid in ethanol. Elemental analysis was performed in the microanalytical laboratory of Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Chemicals. Syntheses and physicochemical properties of 30-32 were described previously. ${ }^{9}$

Ethyl (3-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-ethylacrylate (7). Sodium hydride ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 5.35 \mathrm{mmol}$; $60 \%$ oil dispersion) was suspended in 10 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran under argon and cool ed with ice. Triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 5.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 20 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. When the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred for 1 h , and then benzyl 5-formyl-2-methoxybenzoate ( $1.44 \mathrm{~g}, 5.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 25 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. When the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred for a further 4.5 h at room temperature and then poured into ice/water. The whole was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the organic phase was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=5: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 1.45 g ( $74 \%$ ) of the title compound as a yellow oil.

This product ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 200 mL of ethanol, $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 1.10 g ) was added, and hydrogenation was carried out for 3 h at an initial pressure of 353 kPa . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated to

Table 6. Influence of(S)-10 on Serum Total Cholesterol and Triglyceride Levels in Rats ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Data represent the mean $\pm \mathrm{SE} . \mathrm{N}=5$. Fructose diet fed SD rats were treated as described in the text. Heparic triglycerides and total cholesterol accumulation were measured in rats given the fructose diet with or without the indicated treatment.
afford 3.0 g (98\%) of ethyl 3-(3-carboxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-2ethylpropionate as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52-1.59$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.59-1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55-2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=14.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.03-4.12$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{+}$) m/e $280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 3-(3-carboxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-ethyl propionate (5.00 $\mathrm{g}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 80 mL of dehydrated dichloromethane, and the solution was cooled to -10 to $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( $6.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 44.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added under stirring, followed by the addition of ethyl chlorocarbonate ( 1.86 mL , 19.5 mmol ) dissolved in 10 mL of dehydrated dichloromethane. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamine ( $2.51 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 10 mL of dehydrated dichloromethane was added dropwise. Stirring was continued for 30 min at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 7 h at room temperature, and finally, the mixture was left to stand overnight. It was washed with aqueous citric acid, aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and brine and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was recrystallized from a mixed solvent of n-hexane and ethyl acetate to afford 7.20 g (93\%) of ethyl 2-ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propionate as colorless crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 77.5-79.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54-2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.04-4.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25-7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4$ Hz ); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z 437 (M+).

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (10). Ethyl 2-ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ]methyl]carbamoyl Jphenyl ]propionate ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 2.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 15 mL of ethanol, and 15 mL of 1 M aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide were stirred for 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water and acidified with diluted HCl . The precipitate formed was collected by filtration, dried, and recrystallized from ethyl acetate to afford 1.26 g (95\%) of the title compound as colorless prisms: mp $144.5-146.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53-1.72$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59-2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (8). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e381 (M ${ }^{+}$). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ ) C, H, N.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2-methylpropanoic Acid (9). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 155-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67-2.83(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$, $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $395\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2-n-propylpropanoic Acid (11). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.28-1.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60-1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.66-$ $2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 423$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2-isopropylpropanoic Acid (12). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 174-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92-2.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resol ution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 423\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-n-Butyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (13). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25-1.39(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.47-1.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.61-$ $1.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.64-2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{El}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 437\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2-phenylpropanoic Acid (14). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $159-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=13.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87-3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.71$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23-7.32(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 457\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Methoxy-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (15). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $161-163{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 2.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88-$ $3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e 411 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-E thoxy-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (16). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $146-148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26-3.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=7.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.81$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $425\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2-phenoxypropanoic Acid (17). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta 3.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 13.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$; low-resolution MS $\left(\mathrm{FAB}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 474(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (18). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{141-142}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e 395( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (19). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $10: \mathrm{mp} 146-147{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{10} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.58-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.94$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.37(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $423\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-methyl-N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (20). This compound (2:1 mixture of the geometric isomers) was prepared using the same procedures as described for the
preparation of 10: foam; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e423 ( ${ }^{+}$). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-(benzyl)carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (65). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $116-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.55-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.29$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32-7.37(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}$, br); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$ $\left.1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[(4-chlorophenyl)methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (66). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 138-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.61-2.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.77 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.32(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $375\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (67). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{mp} 144-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.721(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.62-$ $2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 8.28 ( 1 H , br); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \cdot 1 / 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (68). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.59-2.66 (1H, m), 2.77 (1H, dd, J = 13.7, 6.3 Hz ), 2.96 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-$ $7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e 425 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[(2-methoxyphenyl)methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (69). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.75 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85-6.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $7.22-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 371$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \cdot \frac{1}{10} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[(3-methoxyphenyl)methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (70). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{103-105}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.62-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.24-7.28(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[(4-methoxyphenyl)methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (71). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 143-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.61-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86-$ $6.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.25-7.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \cdot 1 / 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[2-(phenoxy)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (72). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 146-147^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.57-2.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.97-7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.07-$ $7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29-7.34(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $433\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[3-(phenoxy)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (73). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.77$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.89-6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.99-7.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.08-7.12(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.26-7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); Iow-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 433\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NO}_{5}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(phenoxy)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (74). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.78 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.96-7.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.08-7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.26-7.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.31-7.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); Iow-resolution MS (EI+) m/e433 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{NO}_{5}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(biphenylyl)]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (75). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: mp $159-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53-1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-2.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, J $=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.41-$ $7.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56-7.59(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.28$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e417 (M+). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-E thyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(benzyloxy)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (76). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{mp} 127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.55-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59-$ $2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.06$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.24-7.44(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 5.4 Hz ); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $447\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29-}$ $\mathrm{NO}_{5}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-[2-(phenyl)ethyl]phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (77). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{mp} 129-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.53-1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59-$ $2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.96$ ( 1 H , dd, J $=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15-7.21(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.26-7.30$ $(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$m/e $445\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-[2-(phenyl)ethoxy]phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (78). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.58-2.66 (1H, m), 2.77 (1H, dd, J = 13.7, 6.8 Hz), 2.95 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}$,
s), $4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85-$ $6.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.21-7.34(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e461 (M+). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Benzyl 5-Acetoxymethyl-2-methoxybenzoate (21). 5-Formyl-2-methoxybenzoic acid ( $1.76 \mathrm{~g}, 9.77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $1.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium hydrogen carbonate ( $2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 40 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide were mixed and stirred for 4 h at room temperature. Then the insolubles were removed by filteration. Ethyl acetate was added to the filtrate. It was washed with water and brine and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford benzyl 5-formyl-2-methoxybenzoate: mp 58.5-59.5 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $270\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. This product (1.10 $\mathrm{g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 30 mL of methanol were mixed and stirred under ice-cooling, and then sodium borohydride ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 4.10$ mmol ) was added potionwise. After being stirred for 2 h , the mixture was poured into ice-water and acidified with 1 M HCl . The whole was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water and brine and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford 1.11 g (99\%) of benzyl 5-hydroxymethyl-2-methoxybenzoate. This compound and 100 mL of methylene chloride were mixed, followed by the addition of pyridine ( $660 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 8.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), acetic anhydride ( $460 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 4.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.205 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under stirring and icecooling. The mixture was stirred overnight. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl , aqueous solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated to afford $1.27 \mathrm{~g}(99 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $5.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31-7.50$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $314\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 3-(3-Benzyloxycarbonyl-4-methoxyphenyl)-2,2dimethylpropanoate. To a solution of 21 ( $630 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00$ mmol ), methyl trimethylsilyldimethylketeneacetal ( 730 mg , $4.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 25 mL of methylene chloride was added magnesium perchlorate ( $45.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.202 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under argon, and the mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The mixture was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, nhexane/ethyl acetate $=8: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 131 mg (18\%) of the title compound as colorless crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.16(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $5.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30-7.47(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $356\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 3-(3-Carboxy-4-methoxyphenyl)-2,2-dimethylpropanoate (22). To a solution of methyl 3-(3-benzyl oxycar-bonyl-4-methoxyphenyl)-2,2-dimethyl propionate ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.870$ mmol ) in 7 mL of a mixed solvent of ethanol and tetrahydrofuran at a ratio of $1: 1$ was added $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 20 mg ), and hydrogenation was carried out for 5 h . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated to afford 290 mg (90\%) of the title compound as a colorless oily product: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.18(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $4.06(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.46-11.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s); lowresolution MS (EI+) m/e $266\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Methyl 3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[44-(trifluoromethyl)-phenyl]-methyl]carbamoyI]phenyI]-2,2-dimethylpropanoate. By use of 22 ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 0.766 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), triethylamine ( $135 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.969$ mmol), ethyl chlorocarbonate ( $82.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.843 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4-(trifluoromethyl )benzylamine ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.842 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 8 mL of dehydrated dichloromethane, 309 mg (95\%) of the title compound was afforded as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.18(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$; low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$) m/e 266(M+).

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamoyl]phenyl]-2,2-dimethylpropanoic Acid (23). By use of methyl 3-[4-methoxy-3-[ N -[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl ]phenyl]-2,2-dimethylpropionate ( 300 mg , 0.708 mmol ), 5 mL of ethanol, and 2 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide, 206 mg ( $90 \%$ ) of the title compound was afforded as colorless crystals: mp $151.0-152.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $_{6}$ ) $\delta 1.06$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 2.96 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 3.88 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.25$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51-7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 12.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$) m/e $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2,2-Diethyl-3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propaonic Acid (24). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 23: mp $156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.32-1.53$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52-$ $7.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 12.28$ ( 1 H, br); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $437\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Methoxy-5-nitro-N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]benzamide (26). This compound was prepared from 25, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, J $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.05(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 8.36(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$, 3.0 Hz ), $9.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ 354 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).

5-Amino-2-methoxy-N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]benzamide. This compound was prepared from 2-methoxy-5-nitro-N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl ]methyl]benzamide, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $8.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} s)$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $324\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Bromo-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]propanoate. To a solution of 5-amino-2-methoxy-N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]benzamide ( $7.00 \mathrm{~g}, 21.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 85 mL of acetone, and 34 mL of methanol was added 17.5 mL of $47 \%$ hydrobromic acid, sodium nitrite ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 23.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 6.2 mL of water with ice-cooling. The mixture was stirred for 10 min . To the solution was added ethyl acrylate ( $13.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 128 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and CuO (416 $\mathrm{mg}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature. After being stirred for 30 min , the mixture was poured into a saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate sol ution and extracted with ethyl acetate. The mixture was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was recrystallized to afford 683 mg ( $71 \%$ ) of the title compound as col orless crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.21-1.26$ ( 6 H , $\mathrm{m}), 2.61-2.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.10-4.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.30\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $469\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Ethylthio-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamyl]phenyl]propanoate. To a solution of ethyl 2-bromo-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl ]methyl ]carbamyl ]propanoate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 56 mL of ethanol was added sodium thioethoxide ( 268 mg , 2.55 mmol ), and the mixture was refluxed for 1.5 h . The mixture was concentrated, and water was added. The whole was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel col umn chromatography (eluant, n -hexane/ethyl acetate $=2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $3.40 \mathrm{~g}(43 \%)$ of the title compound as colorless crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$; lowresolution MS (EI+) m/e $324\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-E thylthio-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (27). This compound was prepared from ethyl 2-ethylthio-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ]methyl ]carbamyl]phenyl]propanoate, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{mp} 155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.12$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.32\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s); low-resolution MS ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{+}$) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 442(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-[4-Methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]meth-yl]carbamyl]phenyl]-2-phenylthiopropanoic Acid (28). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 27: $\mathrm{mp} 130-132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89-3.93(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.34(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.44-7.46$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resol ution MS (EI+) m/e489 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Benzylthio-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (29). This compound was prepared using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 27: foam; ${ }^{1 H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $14.2,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.28(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.46$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $503\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Ethyl 3-(4-Methoxy-3-nitrophenyl)-2-ethylpropenoate (35). Sodium hydride ( $795 \mathrm{mg}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in 120 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran under argon and cooled with ice. Triethyl 2-phosphonobutyrate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 30 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. When the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred for 1 h . Then 4-methoxy-3-nitrobenzaldehyde ( 3.26 g , 18.0 mmol ) dissolved in 50 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 3 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and mixed with ice-water. The whole was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=8: 1$ and then $2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $4.69 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$ of the title compound as colorless crystals (6:1 mixture of the geometric isomers): low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $279\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 3-(3-Amino-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-ethylpropanoate (36). Compound 35 ( $4.68 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixed solvent of 150 mL of tetrahydrofuran and ethanol (1:1 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 1.50 g ) was added, and hydrogenation was carried out at an initial pressure of 294.3 kPa . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was concentrated to afford 3.96 g (94\%) of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.48-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.47-2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$, $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.04-4.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $251\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]acetylamino]phenyl]propanoic Acid (38). 4-(Trifluoromethyl) phenylacetic acid ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, 0.893 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 36 ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 0.891 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in 10 mL of dichloromethane. 1-[3-(Dimethylaminopropyl)]-3-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.892 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and
the mixture stood overnight at room temperature. The mixture was washed with diluted HCl , saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, water, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated to afford ethyl 2-ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl ]acetylamino]phenyl ]propanoate as a brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.57(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.02-4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 8.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$


This compound was hydrolyzed using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10 to afford the title compound as a foam: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.50-1.69 (2H, m), 2.55-2.62 (1H , m), 2.69 (1H, dd, J $=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 8.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS $\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ureido]phenyl]propanoic Acid (40). This compound was prepared from $\mathbf{3 6}$, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 38 : $\mathrm{mp} 205-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.46-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.37-$ $2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.2,8.3, \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 9.70(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $12.07\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br); low-resolution MS ( $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{I}^{+}$) m/e $410\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Benzyl 2-Methylthio-2-(5-formyl-2-methoxyphenyl)acetate (39). To a solution of 4-methoxybenzaldehyde (7.46 $\mathrm{g}, 57.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 250 mL of dichloromethane was added dropwise anhydrous tin chloride ( $6.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 57.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of dichloromethane under argon atmosphere. When the addition was completed, the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. A mixture of ethyl 2-chloro-2-methylthioacetate ( $9.24 \mathrm{~g}, 54.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 50 mL of $1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ mixture of dichloromethane and carbon tetrachloride was added to the mixture and refluxed for 24 h . The mixture was poured into ice-water, and the whole was extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=5: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $7.59 \mathrm{~g}(52 \%)$ of ethyl 2-methylthio-2-(5-formyl-2-methoxyphenyl)acetate as a yellowish oil: low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e 268 (M ${ }^{+}$). A mixture of this product ( $4.80 \mathrm{~g}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 30 mL of ethanol, and 20 mL of $10 \%$ sodium hydroxide was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The mixture was poured into ice-water, acidified with concentrated HCl , and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water and then dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford 4.00 g of crude 2-methylthio-2-(5-formyl-2-methoxyphenyl)acetic acid as a yellow crystal. A mixture of this product ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, anhydrous potassium carbonate ( $3.71 \mathrm{~g}, 26.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl bromide ( $3.06 \mathrm{~g}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 50 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethyformamide was stirred for 5 h at room temperature. The mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n -hexane/ethyl acetate $=6: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $4.50 \mathrm{~g}(76 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale-brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 2.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.26-7.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$) m/e $330\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Benzyl 2-Methylthio-2-[5-[1-(2-ethoxycarbonyl)buten-yl]-2-methoxyphenyl]acetate (41). This compound (mixture of the geometric isomers) was prepared from 39, using the
same procedures as described for the preparation of 35: Iowresolution MS (EI+) m/e $428\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Benzyl 2-[5-[1-(2-Ethoxycarbonyl)butenyl]-2-methoxyphenyl Jacetate (42). To a mixture of $41(2.14 \mathrm{~g}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 100 mL of acetic acid was added zinc powder $(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 199$ mmol ) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The insolubles were removed by filtration, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was redissolved in 50 mL of ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated to afford 1.86 g (97\%) of the title compound (mixture of the geometric isomers) as a yellow oil: low-resolution MS (EI+) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 382\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[[N-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]carbamoyl]methyl]phenyl]propanoate. This compound was prepared from 42 by hydrogenol ysis and amidation with 4 -(trifluoromethyl) aniline using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.50-1.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.99-4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08-7.12(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $7.52(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.89$ (1H, s); low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$) m/e $437\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[[N-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]carbamoyl]methyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid (46). This compound was prepared from ethyl 2-ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[[N-[4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl]carbamoyl ]methyl ]phenyl ]propanoate, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: $\mathrm{mp} 136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55-2.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11-$ $7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; low-resol ution MS (EI+) m/z $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylate. This compound (mixture of the geometrical isomers) was prepared from 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 36: low-resol ution MS (EI+) m/e $234\left(M^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)propanoate (44). This compound was prepared from ethyl 2-ethyl-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)acrylate, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $36:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.49-2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 13.7, 8.3 Hz ), $3.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; lowresolution MS (EI+) m/e $236\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 3-(3-Acetyl-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-ethylpropanoate (45). To a suspension of anhydrous $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and 30 mL of dichloromethane was added acetyl chloride ( $1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.1$ mmol ) under ice-cooling. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at ambient temperature. A mixture of $\mathbf{4 4}(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 8.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 10 mL of dichloromethane was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for overnight at room temperature. The mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with dichloromethane. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=6: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $1.21 \mathrm{~g}(49 \%)$ of the title compound as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51-2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.02-4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; lowresolution MS (EI+) m/e 278 (M ${ }^{+}$).

Ethyl 3-[3-(2-Ethoxycarbonylacetyl)-4-methoxyphenyl]-2-ethylpropanoate (47). Sodium hydride ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 52.9 \mathrm{mmol}$; $60 \%$ oil dispersion) was suspended in 20 mL of anhydrous ether at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then diethyl carbonate ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at room
temperature. A mixture of 45 ( $3.53 \mathrm{~g}, 12.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 mL of anhydrous ether, and 0.24 mL of anhydrous ethanol was added dropwise for 20 min and refluxed for 6 h . After cool ing to room temperature, the mixture was poured into a mixed solvent of 45 mL of 2 M HCl and 80 mL of ethyl acetate. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was mixed and washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel col umn chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=9: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $2.59 \mathrm{~g}(58 \%)$ of the title compound (mixture of the geometrical isomer) as a yellow oil: low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 350\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 3-[3-[2-Ethoxycarbonylacetyl-3-[4-(trifluoro-methyl)phenyl]proionyl]-4-methoxyphenyl]-2-ethylpropanoate (48). To a solution of $47(2.59 \mathrm{~g}, 7.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 30 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added sodium hydride ( $296 \mathrm{mg}, 7.40 \mathrm{mmol} ; 60 \%$ oil dispersion) portionwise under ice cool ing and an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 20 min under ice-cooling and then for 30 min at room temperature. A mixture of 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl bromide ( $1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 7.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise, and the whole was refluxed for 6 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, 15 mL of 1 M HCl and 100 mL of ice-water were added, and the whole was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=8: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 3.70 g (98\%) of the title compound as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.98-$ $1.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.68-2.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 14.2, 7.3 Hz ), $3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91-4.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.07$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34-7.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 508$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-Ethyl-3-[3-[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]proionyl]-4methoxyphenyl]propanoic acid (51). A mixture of 50 (2.62 $\mathrm{g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 10 mL of glacial acetic acid, and 5 mL of concentrated HCl was refluxed for 5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n -hexane/ethyl acetate/acetic acid = $400: 100: 1.5 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to afford $1.47 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.69(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.54-2.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.73(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 13.7, 6.8 Hz$), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.06$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.87$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.34(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.52-7.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; low-resolution $\mathrm{MS}\left(\mathrm{EI}^{+}\right)$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 408\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

2-Ethyl-3-[3-[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]propyl]-4methoxyphenyl]propanoic Acid (52). 51 (224 mg, 0.548 mmol ), 30 mL of ethanol, and 250 mg of palladium on carbon was mixed, and hydrogenation was carried out at an initial hydrogen pressure of 392 kPa at room temperature for 7 h . The catalyst was removed by filtration, and the filtrate was evaporated off. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate/acetic acid = 200:100:2 $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $185 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$ of the title compound as a yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.94(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.52-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52-1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87-1.94(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.53-2.71 (6H, m), $2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.52(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); low-resolution MS (EI ${ }^{+}$m/z $394\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

4-Methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethoxy]benzaldehyde (50). To a solution of 2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl Jethanol ( $1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 8.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), isovaniline ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 8.41$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, triphenyl phosphin ( $2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 8.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 50 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added a $40 \%$ tol uene sol ution
of diethyl azodicarboxylate ( $3.80 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under icecooling and an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 1 h under ice-cool ing and stood overnight at room temperature. The mixture was evaporated off, and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=6: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $1.96 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of the title compound as a colorless crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.23(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 9.83 (1H, s); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $324\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethoxy]phenyl]acrylate (53). This compound (mixture of the geometrical isomer) was prepared from 50, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 35: low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e 422 ( ${ }^{+}$).
Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethoxy]phenyl]propanoate (54). This compound was prepared from 53, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.90$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48-1.68(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.45-2.55 (1H, m), $2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.99-4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.43(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; lowresolution MS (EI+) m/e $424\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[2-[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]ethoxy]phenyl]propanoic Acid (57). This compound was prepared from 54, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{mp} 64-66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51-$ $2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $=13.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.20$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z $396\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.
Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-(3-formyl-4-methoxyphenyl)propanoate (55). To a solution of $44(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 500 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane was added titanium tetrachloride $(35.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 318 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by dichloromethyl methyl ether ( $13.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 148 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was poured into a dilute HCl solution and separated from the dichloromethane layer. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 10.9 g (97\%) of the title compound as a pale-brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49-1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51-2.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03-4.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.36 ( 1 H , dd, J $=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.44$ (1H, s); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $264\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-[3-(hydroxyimino)-4-methoxyphenyl]propanoate (56). A mixture of 55 ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 4.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1 mL of pyridine, and 20 mL of ethanol was refluxed for 6 h . After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was concentrated and redissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with 1 M HCl , saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, nhexane/ethyl acetate $=6: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to afford $1.00 \mathrm{~g}(89 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.68$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51-2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.04-4.11(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $6.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e279 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$).
Ethyl 3-[3-(Aminomethyl)-4-methoxyphenyl]-2-ethylpropanoate Hydrochloride (58). This compound was pre-
pared from 56, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 0.85$ ( 3 H , $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.47-1.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.50-2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.97-4.09(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 6.97(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.21$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 8.22\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}\right.$ s); low-resol ution MS (EI+) m/e $265\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

3-[3-[4-(Trifluoromethyl)benzoylaminomethyl]-4-meth-oxyphenyl]-2-ethylpropanoic Acid (62). This compound was prepared from 58, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $10: \mathrm{mp} 132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.51-$ $2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.78-$ $6.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Ethyl 2-Ethyl-3-[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylamino-methyl]-4-methoxyphenyl]propanoate. To a solution of 58 ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 0.673 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4 mL of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyformamide was added potassium carbonate ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-(trifluoromethyl)benzyl bromide ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 0.707 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and then for 4 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into ice-water and the whole was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=2: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford 92.3 mg (32\%) of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.17(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.50-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.1,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.01-4.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.01-7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.47(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}$, d, J $=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/e $424\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.

2-Ethyl-3-[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylaminomethyl]-4-methoxyphenyl]propanoic Acid (64). This compound, obtained as an oil, was prepared from ethyl 2-ethyl-3-[3-[4-(trifluoromethyl)benzylaminomethyl]-4-methoxyphenyl]propanoate, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{j}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71-1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.52-2.57$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.71-2.83 (2H, m), $3.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88-3.98(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $6.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.3 Hz ); low-resolution MS ( $\mathrm{EI}^{+}$) m/z $394\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
[3(2S),4S]-3-[2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoro-methyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propionyl]-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one (59). Racemic 10 ( $26.8 \mathrm{~g}, 65.6$ mmol ) and 34 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran were mixed under an argon atmosphere, and triethylamine ( $9.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.8$ mmol ) and pival oyl chloride ( $8.07 \mathrm{~mL}, 65.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added dropwise with ice-cooling. The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature to afford the mixed acid anhydride derivative. In another vessel, potassium tert-butoxide ( 8.83 g , 78.7 mmol ) and 88 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran were mixed under an argon atmosphere and (S)-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one ( $13.9 \mathrm{~g}, 78.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 70 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 45 min . Then, the suspension of the mixed acid anhydride derivative described above was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was concentrated, poured into water, and extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, and brine and then dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluant, n -hexane/ethyl acetate $=3: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ and then methylene chloride/methanol $=15: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and recrystallized from diisopropyl ether and ether to afford 5.62 g (15\%) of the title compound as colorless crystals: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $0.98(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56-1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.78-1.87(1 \mathrm{H}$,
m), $2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2$, $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.98-4.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.61-4.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $4.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-7.38$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z 568 (M ${ }^{+}$).
(+)-2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid ((+)10). 59 ( $90.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.160 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissol ved in 802 mL of a mixed solvent of tetrahydrofuran and water ( $4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) under an argon atmosphere with ice-cooling. To this solution was added $30 \%$ aqueous hydrogen peroxide ( $63.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.630 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). Then lithium hydroxide monohydrate ( $10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.256 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissolved in 267 mL of water was added, and the mixture was stirred further for 1 h under ice-cooling. Sodium hydrogen sulfite ( $64 \%, 102$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.627 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dissol ved in 401 mL of water was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was concentrated, poured into ice-water, acidified with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, and then extracted with methylene chloride. The extract was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and n-hexane and allowed to stand. The precipitated crystals were collected by filtration and dried. A second crop of crystals was obtained from the filtrate. The first and second crops were combined, washed with a mixed solvent of n -hexane and ethyl acetate ( $4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), and dried to afford 52.4 g (80\%) of the title compound as a colorless crystalline powder: mp $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.58-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 13.7, 8.3 Hz ), $3.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3$, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z $409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+24^{\circ}$ (c 0.8, MeOH). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(-)-2-E thyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-[N-[[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]methyl]carbamoyl]phenyl]propanoic Acid ((-)10). This compound was prepared from racemic 10, using the same procedures as described for the preparation of $(+)-\mathbf{1 0}$ : $\mathrm{mp} 128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.95$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, J $=7.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58-2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.92$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 4.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
 $[\alpha]_{D}-24^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.8, \mathrm{MeOH})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(R)-3-(1-Butyryl)-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one (79). Potassium tert-butoxide ( $6.18 \mathrm{~g}, 55.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 100 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran were mixed under an argon atmosphere, and (R)-4-benzyloxazol idin-2-one ( $8.86 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 100 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise under stirring and cooling with ice. The mixture was stirred for 30 min under cooling with ice, and then n-butyryl chloride ( $5.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 50.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 40 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise. After completion of the addition, the mixture was stirred for 1 h and a saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride was added. The whole was extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic solution was washed with water, saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ethyl acetate $=5: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to afford $9.63 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of the title compound as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $13.9,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84-3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.15-4.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.20-7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; lowresolution MS (EI+) m/z $247\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
[3(2S),4R]-3-[2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-(benzyloxycarbo-nyl)phenyl]propionyl]-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one (80). (R)-3-(1-Butyryl)-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one ( $3.37 \mathrm{~g}, 13.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 70 mL of dehydrated tetrahydrofuran were mixed under an argon atmosphere and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Under stirring, a 1

M solution of sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide in tetrahydrofuran ( $15.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After completion of the addition, the mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then a solution of benzyl 5-bromomethyl-2methoxybenzoate ( $5.04 \mathrm{~g}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran (20 mL ) was added dropwise. After completion of the addition, the mixture was further stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A saturated aqueous solution of ammonium chloride was added to the reaction mixture, and the whole was extracted with ethyl acetate. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (eluant, n-hexane/ ethyl acetate $=4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to afford $4.38 \mathrm{~g}(64 \%)$ of the desired compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.98$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.51-1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71-1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,6.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.99-3.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03-4.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.64$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.03(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-$ $7.42(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z $501\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
[5(2S,4R )]-2-Methoxy-5-[[2-(2-oxo-4-benzyloxazolidin-3-yl)carbonyl]pentyl]benzoic Acid (81). [3(2S),4R]-3-[2-Ethyl-3-[4-methoxy-3-(benzyloxycarbonyl)phenyl]propionyl]-4-benzyloxazolidin-2-one ( $4.38 \mathrm{~g}, 8.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 400 mg of $10 \%$ palladium on carbon, and 100 mL of ethyl acetate were mixed, and catalytic hydrogenation was carried out at an initial hydrogen pressure of 294 kPa . After completion of the reaction, the catalyst was removed by filtration and the filtrate was washed with ethyl acetate. The reaction mixture and the washings were combined and concentrated to afford 3.45 g (96\%) of the title compound as a colorless oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49-1.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $\mathrm{J}=13.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99-4.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.10-4.19$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.09(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.23-7.31(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s); low-resolution MS (EI+) m/z $411\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$.
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[^1]:    a Compounds were screened for agonist activity on PPAR-GAL4 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected CHO-K1 cells as described. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value is the molar concentration of the test compound that causes $50 \%$ of the maximal reporter activity. $\mathrm{n}=$ 3. " "ia" means inactive at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\text {c A Assayed as }}$ a racemate.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Compounds were screened for agonist activity on PPAR-GAL4 chimeric receptors in transiently transfected CHO-K1 cells as described. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value is the molar concentration of the test compound that causes $50 \%$ of the maximal reporter activity. $\mathrm{n}=$ 3. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ "ia" means inactive at a concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Assayed as a racemate. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ nd means not determined.
    activity compared with 65. On the other hand, some compounds in which the benzene ring contains substituents such as phenoxyl (74) and phenyl (75) also

[^3]:    a Data represent the mean of the percent reduction. $\mathrm{N}=5$ or 6 . Normal SD rats were treated as descried in the text. Blood samples from animals in the fed state were analyzed for triglycerides (TG), free fatty acids (FFA), total cholesterol, and LDL, VLDL cholesterol.

