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Nuclear receptor family proteins are structurally related transcription factors activated by specific lipophilic compounds. Because they are activated by a variety of hormonal molecules, including retinoic acid, vitamin D, and steroid hormones, they are assumed to be promising targets for clinical drugs. We previously found that one ascochlorin (1) derivative, 4-O-carboxymethyl-ascochlorin (2), is a potent agonist of peroxisome prol iferator activated receptor $\gamma$ (PPAR $\gamma$ ). Here, we synthesized derivatives of 1, designated as a lead compound, to create new modulators of nuclear hormone receptors. Two derivatives, 4-O-carboxymethyl-2-0methylascochlorin (9) and 4-O-isonicotinoyl-2-O-methylascochlorin (10), showed improved agonistic activity for PPAR $\gamma$ and induced differentiation of a progenitor cell line, C3H 10T1/2. We also found that 1, dehydroascofuranon (29), and a 2,4-O-diacetyl-1-carboxylic acid derivative of $\mathbf{1}$ (5) specifically activated estrogen receptors, PPAR $\alpha$, and an androgen receptor. All of the derivatives (1-29) activated the pregnane $X$ receptor. These results suggest that the chemical structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is useful in designing novel modulators of nuclear receptors.

## Introduction

Ascochlorin (1) and ascofuranone (20) that were originally isolated as antiviral and antifungal compounds are antibiotics possessing a unique prenylphenol moiety. ${ }^{1}$ In addition to their antimicrobial activities, these molecules modulate various physiol ogical events in animals, including hypolipidemic activity, ${ }^{2-6}$ improvement of type I and type II diabetes, ${ }^{7-11}$ suppression of hypertension, ${ }^{12}$ tumor supression, ${ }^{13,14}$ and immunological modulation. ${ }^{15,16}$ They also induce differentiation of human and mouse myeloid cell lines. ${ }^{17}$ Recently, we found that 4-O-carboxymethyl ascochlorin (2) transcriptionally activated and directly interacted with peroxisome proliferator activated receptor $\gamma$ (PPAR $\gamma$ ), ${ }^{18}$ a nuclear hormone receptor involved in the devel opment of diabetes. ${ }^{19,20}$

Nuclear hormone receptors are a family of transcription factors that induce the transcription of target genes when activated by their specific lipophilic ligand, including steroid hormones, retinoic acid, and lipid metabolites. These proteins exhibit strong structural similarities, and all contain separable domains for DNA binding and ligand binding. ${ }^{21-25}$ Currently, 70 nuclear receptors are known, but specific endogenous ligands have been identified for only half of them. Because nuclear hormone receptors have been implicated in many biochemical pathways, any number of human diseases can result from defects in these proteins.

[^0]Therefore, drugs that specifically modulate the transcription activity of nuclear receptors are expected to have a promising therapeutic potential to treat disease.
In the present study, we synthesized new derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ in order to improve the agonistic activity of 2 on PPAR $\gamma$ and succeeded in obtaining new, more potent agonists for PPAR $\gamma$. We also found several agonists for other nuclear hormone receptors. The results suggest that the chemical structure of $\mathbf{1}$ is useful in designing nuclear hormone receptor modulators (Figure 1).

## Results

Prediction of Three-Dimensional Structure of 2
in PPAR $\gamma$. The rationale for designing new derivatives was based on the hypothesis that the molecular structure of $\mathbf{2}$ mimics the three-dimensional structure of the antidiabetic ligand rosiglitazone (Figure 2). X-ray crystallography has revealed that rosiglitazone forms a ternary complex containing the PPAR $\gamma$ ligand-binding domain (LBD) and amino acids of human steroid receptor coactivating factor-1. ${ }^{26,27}$ We searched the pharmacophore model of $\mathbf{2}$ using CONFLEX calculations and discovered a resemblance among stable derivatives of 2 and rosiglitazone with respect to molecular size and shape. This analysis led us to predict that the aromatic portion of $\mathbf{2}$ can act as a bioisosteric repl acement for the thiazolidine part of rosiglitazone, maintaining high affinity binding and receptor activation. We predict that the carboxylic acid of $\mathbf{2}$ could interact with H 449 and the oxygen atom of the $\mathrm{C}-1$ aldehyde interacts with H323 of PPAR $\gamma$ LBD. The other hydrophobic parts of $\mathbf{2}$ would be free to interact in a relatively nonspecific manner with the large ligand-binding pocket as mentioned by Nolte et al. ${ }^{26}$ Therefore, we synthesized derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$, focusing mainly on the aromatic ring.

ascochlorin (1): $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
2: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
3: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
4: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ nicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
5: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$
6: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$
7: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
8: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
9: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
10: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ isonicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
11: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
12: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ isonicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
13: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ picolinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
14: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ nicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
15: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ picolinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
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ascofuranon (20): $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
21: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
22: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
23: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
24: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
25: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ nicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
26: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ isonicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
27: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
28: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$

Figure 1. Chemical structures of derivatives.


Figure 2. Stable conformations of compound $\mathbf{2}$ and rosiglitazone.

Synthesis of Ascochlorin-Related Compounds. The synthetic routes for the derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ are summarized in Figure 3, and the structures of the derivatives are shown in Figure 1. Regioselective modifi cation to the C-4 phenolic alcohol of $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved by taking advantage of the difference in reactivities between it and the C-2 phenolic alcohol, which forms an intramolecular hydrogen bond with the C-1 aldehyde. Acetylation of 1 with acetic anhydride in pyridine gave 4-O-acetylascochlorin (30) in an 89\% yield. Methylation of the C-2 phenolic al cohol of $\mathbf{3 0}$ afforded the methyl ether product,
which was hydrolyzed to produce a key compound, 2-Omethylascochlorin (7). 4-O-I sonicotinoyl-2-O-methylascochlorin (10) and 4-O-carboxymethyl-2-O-methylascochlorin (9) were prepared by isonicotynoylation of 7 and introduction of a carboxymethyl group into 7 via the intermediate 8 (4-O-(ethoxycarbonyl)methyl-2-O-methylascochlorin), respectively. Methylation of $\mathbf{1}$ with excess methyl iodide afforded the dimethyl derivative 3, which was treated with m-CPBA to give the monoepoxide (2,4-di-O-methylascochlorin oxide, 16). The aldehyde of $\mathbf{3}$ was converted to the acid derivative (2,4-di-O-methylascochlorinic acid, 6) by oxidation with sodium chlorite.
Treatment of 1 with concentrated sulfuric acid gave a mixture of cyclic products, 18 and 19, in yields of 20 and $30 \%$, respectively. 4-O-Nicotinoylascochlorin (4) and 4-O-i sonicotinoylascochlorin (12) were prepared from 1 by selective acylation with nicotinoyl chloride and isonicotinoyl chloride in pyridine, respectively. The 4-0glutarate derivative (4-O-(4-carboxybutanoyl) ascochlorin, 11) was obtained by acylation of 1 with glutaric anhydride in pyridine in the presence of a catalytic amount of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine.
Although acetylation of the C-2 alcohol in $\mathbf{1}$ with acetic anhydride hardly proceeded, 2,4-di-O-acetylascochlorin (31) was obtained by treatment with acetyl chloride in pyridine. The 1-carboxylic acid derivative (2,4-di-O-acetylascochlorinic acid, 5) was prepared from 31 in the same manner as 6. A cyclic derivative (17) was unintentionally obtained from 31.

Synthesis of Ascofuranone-Related Compounds. Procedures similar to those used to synthesize ascochlorin derivatives were applicable to preparation of the ascofuranone derivatives, 4-O-methylascofuranone (21), 4-O-acetylascofuranone (22), 4-O-carboxylmethylasco-


ascochlorin (1): $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$ 30: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$

7: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
10: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ isonicotinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
13: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ picolinoyl, $\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$
R
-
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$

4: $R_{1}=H, R_{2}$ nicotinoyl $R_{3}=C H O$
12: $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=$ isonicotinoyl, $R_{3}=C H O$
11: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{CHO}$

Figure 3. Synthetic schemes for derivatives. Key: (a) $\mathrm{Ac} \mathrm{c}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}$, $89 \%$. (b) (i) $\mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, $87 \%$; (ii) $1 \%$ aqueous NaOH , $\mathrm{MeOH}, 55 \%$. (c) I sonicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride, $\mathrm{Py}, 90 \%$. (d) Ethyl bromoacetate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 77 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(20 \%), \mathrm{MeOH}$, $63 \%$. (f) Picolinoyl chloride hydrochloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Py}, 50 \%$. (g) Mel , $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, $97 \%$ for $\mathbf{1 3}, 95 \%$ for $\mathbf{1 4}$. (h) Excess $\mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, $89 \%$. (i) MCPBA, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 16 \%$. (j) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$, t-BuOH, 2-methyl-2-butene, $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 87 \%$. (k) Concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 20 \%$ for 18 and $33 \%$ for 19. (I) Nicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride, Py, 39\%. (m) Isonicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride, Py, $79 \%$. (n) Glutaric anhydride DMAP, Py, 42\%. (o) AcCl, Py, $88 \%$. (p) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$, t-BuOH, 2-methyl-2-butene, $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 64 \%$. (q) (i) $\left(\mathrm{TMSOCH}_{2}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{TMSOTf}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 33 \%$; (ii) MCPBA, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (iii) MeOK, 18-crown-6, hexamethyldisilane, THF, $56 \%$.
furanone (23), 4-O-(4-carboxybutanoyl)ascofuranone (24), 4-O-nicotinoylascofuranone (25), 4-O-isonicotinoyl-2-Omethylascofuranone (26), 2-O-methyl ascofuranone (27), and 4-O-acetyl-2-methylascofuranone (28). The structures of these derivatives appear in Figure 1. Dehydroascofuranone (29) was obtained by oxidative treatment of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with silver oxide in the presence of sodium hydroxide.

Modulation of PPAR $\gamma$ Activity. Twelve derivatives, 4, 5, 7-15, and 27, activated the transcriptional activity of PPAR $\gamma$, as indicated in Table 1 and Figure 4. The presence of an oxidized aldehyde group (compounds 5 and 6) failed to induce agonistic activity for PPAR $\gamma$. On the contrary, a methylated C-2 al cohol in 1 (7) resulted in PPAR $\gamma$ activation to the same degree as for 2. Introduction of both 2-O-methyl and 4-O-carboxymethyl groups (9) resulted in additive PPAR $\gamma$ activation. The ethyl ester derivative of 9 (compound 8) showed a decreased additive effect. Modification of the side chain (compound 16) or bonding between a phenolic alcohol and a double bond of the side chain (compounds 17-19) produced compounds that did not induce PPAR $\gamma$ activation. Acylation of the C-4 al cohol with a longer carboxylic acid unit (compound 11) failed to improve the agonistic activity of $\mathbf{2}$. Isomers of $\mathbf{4}$ ( $\mathbf{1 2}$ and 4-O-picolinoylascochlorin, 15) comparably activated PPAR $\gamma$. Methylation of the C-2 hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$, as in the case of 9 , improved agonistic activity of the corresponding derivatives, 10 and 2-O-methyl-4-O-picolinoylascochlorin (13), while methylation of 4 (2-O-methyl-4-O-nicotinoylascochlorin, 14) did not. For derivatives of 20, methylation of the C-2 hydroxyl group (compound 27) induced slight activation of PPAR $\gamma$. None of the derivatives (1-29) synthesized showed antagonistic activity against PPAR $\gamma$ activated by $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the agonist pioglitazone. Agonistic activity of $\mathbf{2 , 1 2 , 1 3}$, and 15 decreased at the highest concentration, because of the nonspecific toxicity of the compound as shown in proliferation inhibition by these compounds (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of Biological Activity of Ascochlorin and Ascofuranone Derivatives ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| compds | growth inhibition $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | minimum effective concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PPAR $\gamma$ | PPAR2 | PXR | ER $\alpha$ | ER $\beta$ | AR |
| 1 | 1.0 | ND | ND | 1 | 1 | 1 | ND |
| 2 | 56 | 30 | ND | 30 | ND | ND | ND |
| 3 | 1.8 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 4 | 4.7 | 10 | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 5 | 32 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | 1 |
| 6 | 56 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 7 | 5.6 | 10 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 8 | 3.2 | 3 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 9 | 56 | 3 | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 10 | 5.6 | 0.3 | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 11 | 0.6 | 10 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 12 | 10 | 10 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 13 | 38 | 30 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 14 | 37 | 100 | ND | 10 | ND | ND | ND |
| 15 | 5.6 | 3 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 16 | 10 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 17 | 18 | ND | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 18 | 56 | ND | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 19 | 18 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 20 | 3.2 | ND | ND | 3 | ND | ND | ND |
| 21 | 5.6 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 22 | 10 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 23 | 32 | ND | ND | 30 | ND | ND | ND |
| 24 | 3.2 | ND | ND | 10 | ND | ND | ND |
| 25 | 10 | ND | ND | 10 | ND | ND | ND |
| 26 | 10 | ND | ND | 10 | ND | ND | ND |
| 27 | 18 | 30 | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 28 | 10 | ND | ND | 1 | ND | ND | ND |
| 29 | 56 | ND | 10 | 1 | ND | ND | ND |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ ND indicates not detected.
Activation of Other Nuclear Receptors by As-cochlorin-Related Compounds. Through a vast screening for ligands of nuclear hormone receptors based on reporter assays, we found that all of the derivatives activated pregnane X receptor (PXR) (Table 1). One of the most potent derivatives, 12, activated PXR as effectively as clotrimazole. In contrast, only $\mathbf{1}$ activated estrogen receptors (ER) $\alpha$ and $\beta$ (Figure 5A,B). Compounds $\mathbf{2 9}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ specifically activated PPAR $\alpha$ and


Figure 4. Agonistic activity for PPAR $\gamma$ of derivatives. U2OS cells transfected with expression plasmids for nuclear receptor, together with the corresponding reporter plasmids and pCMV- $\beta$-galactosidase as an internal control. The cells were incubated with each ascochlorin derivative for 24 h , as described in the Experimental Section. Agonistic activity of the reagents is expressed as stimulation index. Values are the means of triplicate cultures. Bars represent standard deviation. *, Statistically significant as compared to control ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$, t -test).
an androgen receptor (AR), respectively (Figure 5C,D). N one of the derivatives (1-29) activated other nuclear hormone receptors, which included retinoic acid receptor, retinoid $X$ receptor (RXR), glucocorticoid receptor, mineralocorticoid receptor, PPAR $\delta$, thyroid hormone receptor, liver $X$ receptor, and farnesoid $X$ receptor (data not shown).

## Effects on Cell Function of Ascochlorin-Related

 Compounds. It is known that activators of PPAR $\gamma$ induce differentiation of adipocytes. ${ }^{19,20}$ As expected, derivatives that were potent activators of PPAR $\gamma$ also induced differentiation of C3H 10T1/2 progenitor cells into adipocytes, as judged by induction of glycerol phosphate dehydrogenase (GPDH), a marker enzyme of mature adipocytes. Correlated to agonistic activity on PPAR $\gamma$, compound 9 more effectively induced differentiation than did $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{7}$, while compound 10, which has comparable PPAR $\gamma$ agonistic activity, was less effective than 9, probably because of its cytotoxicity. Compounds 14 and 15 did not induce adipocyte differentiation, whereas 13, which had a more potent PPAR $\gamma$ agonistic activity, did (Figure 6). Inhibition of cell proliferation was decreased in most derivatives except 11 (Table 1). Toxicities of 6, 9, 18, and $\mathbf{2 9}$ were decreased to the same level as 2.
## Discussion

Because the calculated partial negative charge on the C-1 carbonyl oxygen atom of $\mathbf{2}$ is lower than that of $\mathbf{1}$
( $-0.322 \mathrm{vs}-0.334 \mathrm{au}$ ), we were interested in synthesizing derivative 7, which has a much lower partial negative charge at the C-1 oxygen atom ( -0.280 au ). As expected, $\mathbf{7}$ activated PPAR $\gamma$; we therefore synthesized 9, a hybrid compound of 2 and 7. Compound 9, which has the lowest partial negative charge ( -0.272 au ) on the C-1 oxygen atom among these three compounds, demonstrated improved agonistic activity on PPAR $\gamma$. Methylation at the C-2 alcohol of 12 (compound 10) improved agonistic activity in the same manner as 9.

Therefore, we next synthesized 13 ( -0.265 au ) and 14 (-0.274 au), hybrid compounds of $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( -0.323 au ) and 4 ( -0.323 au ), respectively, with 7. Although we observed improved maximum agonistic activity for compound 13, the activity of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was not as obvious (Figure 4A). These data suggest that the moderate partial charge on the oxygen atom of the C-1 aldehyde is one of the important parameters in establishing the agonistic activity on PPAR $\gamma$ of these derivatives. M olecular shape and size are also important as evidenced by the behavior of the compound 7 type cyclic derivatives, 1719, which did not show any PPAR $\gamma$ agonistic activity.

Among the derivatives synthesized in this study, two compounds, 9 and 10, had the most potent agonistic activity, and 9 effectively induced adi pocyte differentiation. The inhibitory concentration for cell prol iferation by $\mathbf{9}$ decreased as it did for $\mathbf{2}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ activated PPAR $\gamma$ and induced adi pocyte differentiation at lower


Figure 5. Activation of nuclear receptors by derivatives. U2OS cells were transfected with expression vectors for Gal4PXR (A), ER (B), Gal4-PPAR $\alpha$ (C), or AR (D), together with the corresponding reporter plasmids and pCMV- $\beta$-galactosidase as an internal control. The cells were incubated with ascochlorin derivatives for 24 h , as described in the Experimental Section. Agonistic activity of the reagents is expressed as stimulation index. The representative result in more than three experiments is shown. Values are the means of triplicate cultures. Bars represent standard deviation.
concentrations than did 2 and 9; this compound activated the PPAR $\gamma$ at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The toxicity of $\mathbf{1 0}$ was also improved relative to the original compound, 1. Although compounds with the thiazolidinedione structure, including rosiglitazone, troglitazone, and pioglitazone, activate PPAR $\gamma$ and induce adipocyte differentiation more effectively than do 9 and 10, they sometimes exhibit severe hepatotoxicity. F or this reason, troglitazone has been withdrawn from clinical use. Compounds 9 and 10 are promising candidates for clinical study as nonthiazolidinedione antidiabetic drugs.

Although PPAR $\gamma$ activation and adipocyte differentiation induced by the ascochlorin derivatives were mostly correlated, several exceptions were observed. For ex-
ample, $\mathbf{1 0}$ did not show improved activity to induce adipocyte differentiation, although it did activate PPAR $\gamma$ more potently than did 4. Moreover, 20 and $\mathbf{2 9}$ significantly induced differentiation of adipocytes (data not shown), whereas they had little or no activity for activation of PPAR $\gamma$. Troglitazone acts as a partial agonist of PPAR $\gamma$ in muscle and kidney cells, while it behaves as its full agonist in 3T3L1 adipocytes. ${ }^{28}$ FMOC-L-leucine, a chemically distinct PPAR $\gamma$ ligand, induces a particular allosteric configuration of PPAR $\gamma$, resulting in differential cofactor recruitment. ${ }^{29}$ This compound improves insulin sensitivity; yet, it has a lower adipogenic activity. It is also reported that coactivator interactions induced by a natural ligand, 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$-prostaglandin J 2, could not be observed with troglitazone. ${ }^{30}$ Differences in chemical structure among the ascochlorin derivatives might affect the set of cofactors recruited to PPAR $\gamma$ and the set of target genes induced in different cell types, resulting in distinct pharmacological properties. Alternatively, it is also possible that these derivatives induce adipocyte differentiation through cellular factors other than PPAR $\gamma$.

We found that all of the derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ activated PXR as strongly as clotrimazole. PXR is a nuclear receptor involving detoxification of hydrophobic substances including xenobiotics and bile acids. ${ }^{31-35}$ PXR binds as a heterodimer with RXR to DNA binding elements in the regulatory regions of cytochrome P450 3A monooxygenase and a number of other genes involved in the metabolism and elimination of these toxins from the body. The PXR LBD has a large spherical ligand-binding cavity that allows it to interact with a wide range of hydrophobic chemicals, ${ }^{36}$ explaining why all of the derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ activated PXR. Although PXR protects the body by facilitating the rapid clearance of the drug, it may potentially give rise to the harmful drug-drug interactions. Thus, modulation of PXR activity will be important for the clinical use of derivatives in future.
In addition, activation of PXR might contribute to modulation of cholesterol metabolism of ascochlorin derivatives, because $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ have hypochol esterol emic activity despite the lack of PPAR $\gamma$ activation. ${ }^{2-6}$ We also found that 1, 6, and 29 activated $\mathrm{ER} \alpha$ and $\beta, \mathrm{AR}$, and PPAR $\alpha$, respectively. Sex steroids slow the rate of bone remodeling, protect against bone loss, and elongate the life span of mature bone cells. ${ }^{37}$ They also have neuromodulatory and neuroprotective roles. ${ }^{38}$ The inhibitory effect of estrogens on atherogenesis is well-documented in numerous animal models. ${ }^{39}$ Dietary consumption of weak agonists of ER improves insulin resistance ${ }^{40}$ and is associated with a decreased breast cancer risk. ${ }^{41}$ PPAR $\alpha$ is considered a major regulator of intra- and extracellular lipid metabolism. ${ }^{42}$ AR and PPAR $\alpha$ also modulate immunological responses. ${ }^{43,44}$ These observations suggest the therapeutic potential of the present derivatives in the treatment of osteoporosis, neural injuries, metabolic diseases, cardiovascular diseases, immune diseases such as inflammation and autoimmune diseases, and cancers.

## Conclusions

We synthesized new derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ in order to improve the agonistic activity of $\mathbf{2}$ on PPAR $\gamma$ and


Figure 6. Adipocyte differentiation of C3H10T $1 / 2$ cells induced by derivatives. C3H 10T1/2 cells were induced to differentiate into mature adipocytes in the presence of ascochlorin derivatives, as described in the Experimental Section. Adipocyte differentiation was evaluated through the induction of GPDH activity. Values are the means of triplicate cultures. Bars represent standard deviation. *, Statistically significant as compared to control (p $<0.05$, t-test).
succeeded to obtain more new potent agonists for $\operatorname{PPAR} \gamma$ through the modification of the aromatic ring. We also found that all of the derivatives activated PXR, 29 activated PPAR $\alpha$, and $\mathbf{1}$ and 5 were specific ligands for ERs and AR. These results demonstrate that the chemical structures of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ are useful for discovering new ligands of nuclear receptors, which could be used in the treatment of human diseases including diabetes, arteriosclerosis, and chronic inflammation.

## Experimental Section

Prediction of Three-Dimensional Structure. CONFLEX and MOPAC calculations as well as quantitative structure-activity relationship anal yses were executed using the CAChe Work System on a Power Macintosh G3. Calculations of partial charge for $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{7}, 9$, and others were done with 3 -methyl model compounds instead of real side chain compounds. The three-dimensional structure of PPAR $\gamma$ from the Protein Data Bank was displayed using MolScript on an SGI Indy computer.

Synthesis of Derivatives. Compound 30. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Acetate. Acetic anhydride ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.989 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and then poured into ice water and extracted with ethyl ether. The extract was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane-acetone, 95:5) to give an oil, which was crystall ized to a pure compound 30 ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ); mp $144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): $1775,1715,1645 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): 0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.90-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.36-2.44 (3H, m), $2.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

4-O-Acetyl-2-0-methylascochlorin (33). 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5((1R ,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Acetate. A solution of $\mathbf{3 0}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12$ mmol) in acetone ( 30 mL ) was heated at reflux for 2 h with methyl iodide ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 32.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resultant mixture was filtered, and evaporation of the filtrate gave 33 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) after
crystallization from hexane-acetone (95:5); mp $114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): 1780, $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 0.71 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.58-1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.91-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.35-2.44(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.46(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 7. 3-Chloro-4-hydroxy-6-methoxy-2-meth-yl-5-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]benzaldehyde. A $1 \%$ aqueous NaOH solution ( 20 mL ) was added to a stirred solution of 33 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.717 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol, and the mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h . The resultant mixture was acidified with dilute hydrochloric acid, and MeOH was then removed in vacuo. The aqueous residue was extracted with EtOAc. The organic solution was washed with water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexane-acetone, 95:5) to give 7 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 126^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): $1715,1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.89-1.96$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.34-2.46(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.56$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $10.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; C: calcd, 68.81; found, 69.25.

Compound 8. Ethyl \{2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenoxy\}acetate. So dium hydride ( $60 \%$, dispersion in mineral oil, $23.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.578$ mmol ) was washed with dry pentane and suspended in dimethylformamide (DMF; 1 mL ). To the above suspension was dropped 7 ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.525 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , and ethyl bromoacetate ( $0.0641 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.578 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, poured into a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with water and brine successively, dried, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to give 8 as a col orless gum ( $0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). IR (film): 1765, 1713, $1694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.58-$ $1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.90-1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.34-2.44$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $4.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.42$ (1H, s). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ ) C, H.

Compound 9. \{2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenoxy\}acetic Acid. A 20\% aqueous potassium carbonate solution ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $8(0.207 \mathrm{~g}, 0.409 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was acidified to pH 2 with a 3 N aqueous HCl solution and extracted with ether. The extract was washed with water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give 9 as a col orless gum ( $0.122 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). IR (film): 1715, $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 0.70 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.91-1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.34-2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.84$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 10. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Pyridine-4-carboxylate. Isonicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $71.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.403$ mmol ) was added to a solution of $7(61.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.146 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, water was added, and the sol ution was stirred for an additional 30 min . The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc, and the extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to produce $\mathbf{1 0}(69.3 \mathrm{mg}$, $90 \%$ ) as a colorless gum. IR (film): 1760, 1710, $1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.76-0.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br})$, 1.59-1.64 (1H, m), $1.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), 1.85-1.96 (2H, m), 2.32$2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.39-3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 3.50-3.63(1 \mathrm{H}$, br), $3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.79-7.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.87(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), $10.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

2,4-Di-O-methylascochlorin (32).3-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-2-methyl-5-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]benzaldehyde. Mel (2 $\mathrm{mL}, 32.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.989 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 20 mL ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 3 h and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and crystallized from hexane to afford 32 ( $380 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ); mp $101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): 1732, $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57-1.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $1.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), 1.91-1.97(2H, m), 2.34-2.46(3H, m), 2.64 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 16. 3-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-2-methyl-5-[(E)-2,3-epoxy-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-4-pentenyl]benzaldehyde. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(0.111 \mathrm{mg})$ and m-chloroperbenzoi c acid ( $70 \%, 157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.637 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $32(229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.530 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7$ mL ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h in an ice bath, diluted with water, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with $10 \%$ sodium thiosulfate aqueous solution, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel col umn chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 5:1) to give 16 ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). IR (film): 1715, 1696, 1553, 1458, 1379, $1309,1228,1100 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $3,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.67(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.78(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.804(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.806$ $(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.557(1.5 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.562(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56-1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.32-$ $2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.97-3.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.00-3.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.93(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.24(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25$ $(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.5 .54(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 6. 3-Chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-2-methyl-5[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R ,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocy-clohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]benzoic Acid. $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 0.993 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water ( 2.6 mL ) were added to 32 ( $0.428 \mathrm{~g}, 0.989 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). tert-Butyl alcohol ( 10.4 mL ), 2-methyl-2-butene ( $0.460 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $90 \%$ sodium chlorite ( $0.305,3.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the mixture, which was then stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give 0.396 g of crude product. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ to give 6 ( $0.384 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as a colorless gum. IR ( KBr disk): 3440, $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 0.70 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 0.79 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=13.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.92-2.10(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.24-2.29$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.50-2.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{Cl} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compounds 18 and 19. 6-Chloro-5-hydroxy-2,7-di-methyl-2-[2-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohex-yl)vinyl]chroman-8-carbaldehyde and 8-Chloro-5-hy-droxy-2,7-dimethyl-2-[2-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)vinyl]chroman-6-carbaldehyde. Compound 1 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.989 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 16 mL ) and was left standing for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into ice water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexane-acetone, 95:5-9:1) to give 18 and 19, which were crystallized to 18 ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ) from hexane-acetone ( $9: 1$ ) and to 19 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) from hexane-acetone (95:5), respectively. Compound 18: $\mathrm{mp} 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): 1710, $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.55(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.59(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.79(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.82(1.5$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.49(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54-1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.69-1.76$ ( $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.82-1.95 ( $2.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.96-2.02 (1H , m), 2.19 (0.5H, $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30-2.41(2.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.50-2.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.66$ $(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.67(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.76(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.79(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.316(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.323(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.364(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.370(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.183(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.185(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.592(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 10.595 ( $0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$. Compound 19: mp $99{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): 1710, $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): 0.52(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.57(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.80(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.54-1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68-1.76(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, 1.79-1.96 (2.5H, m), 1.97-2.03 (1H, m), $2.20(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.30-2.40(2.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40-2.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.61$ $(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.63(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.74(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.78(0.5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.304(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.311(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.346(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.359(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.13(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.14(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; C: calcd, 68.22; found, 68.70.

Compound 4. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Pyridine-3-carboxylate. Nicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $1(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.358 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, after which time water was added and the solution was stirred for an additional 30 min . The resulting mixture was extracted with ether. The extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ sol ution, and brine successively, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 3:1) to give 4 ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.68$ (3H, s), $0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.56-1.66$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.86-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.44(3 \mathrm{H}$, m), $2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.33-3.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 3.48-3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.30$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$,
$\mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48-7.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.44-8.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.89-$ $8.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.41-9.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 10.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 14. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Pyridine-3-carboxylate. $\mathrm{Mel}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and potassium carbonate (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.289 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $4(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.196$ mmol ) in acetone ( 1.0 mL ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 2:1) to afford 14 ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.74-0.83$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ ), 1.561 .66 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.84-1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.30-2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $2.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.38-3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50-3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.40-8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.38$ $9.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 10.49(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 13. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Pyridine-2-carboxylate. Picolinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.385 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.083 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.593 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.120 \mathrm{~g}, 0.296 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 5 h ; saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution was added, and the mixture stirred for an additional 30 min . The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The extract was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 3:1) to give 15 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.77$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.68(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.83-1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.302 .42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.68$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.44-3.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.51-3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7,4.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=7.7$, $7.7,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}$ ) C, H, N.

Mel ( $0.045 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.723 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate (10 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.072 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 5}(0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 0.045$ mmol ) in acetone ( 1.0 mL ). The mixture was heated for 2 h under reflux and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 2:1) to afford $\mathbf{1 3}$ ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ $\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 0.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54-1.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.82-1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28-2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40-3.55$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55-3.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.59$ ( 1 H , ddd, J = 7.7, 4.7, 1.1 Hz ), 7.92 ( 1 H, ddd, J $=7.7,7.7,1.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 10.49 (1H, s). Anal. ( $\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{CINO}\right)_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 11. 4-(\{2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-meth-yl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenoxy\} carbonyl)butanoic Acid. 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine ( $4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and glutaric anhydride ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.430 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.358 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1.5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, acidified to pH 2 with 1 N HCl , and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to produce 11 as col orless crystals ( $0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ); mp 120-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr disk): 3000, $1771,1711,1649 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 0.70(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59-$ $1.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.891 .96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.12(2 \mathrm{H}$, qui, J $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34-2.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClO}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 12. 2-Chloro-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methyl-6-[(2E ,4E )-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Pyridine-4-carboxylate. I sonicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $0.242 \mathrm{~g}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1}(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.494 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature, quenched with water, and stirred for an additional 30 min . The resulting mixture was extracted with ether. The extract was washed with aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ sol ution, water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant crude crystals were recrystallized from hexanesether to give 12 ( $0.199 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ) as colorless crystals; mp $119-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (film): 1752, 1711, $1642 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.81$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.59-1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.86-$ $1.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.33-2.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.34-3.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, br), $3.46-3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 5.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 8.858 .95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 10.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 31. 3-Acetoxy-4-chloro-6-formyl-5-methyl-2-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((1R ,2R,6R )-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxo-cyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Acetate. A cetyl chloride ( $0.158 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a sol ution of $\mathbf{1}(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.494 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 2 mL ), and stirred for an additional 20 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with water and then extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ sol ution, water, and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to afford $31(0.320 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless gum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): 0.71(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qd}, \mathrm{J}=13.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.90-$ $1.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.362 .43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.35$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Compound 5.3-Chloro-4,6-diacetoxy-2-methyl-5-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((1R,2R,6R)-1,2,6-trimethyl-3-oxocyclohexyl)-2,4-pentadienyl]benzoic Acid. $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.103 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.660 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water ( 1.8 mL ) were added to $31(0.428 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.989 mmol ). tert-Butyl alcohol ( 7.2 mL ), 2-methyl-2-butene ( $0.309 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $90 \%$ sodium chlorite ( $0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 1.99$ mmol ) were added to the mixture, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo to give 0.290 g of crude product. The product was purified by silica gel col umn chromatography ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}\right)$ to give 5 ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) as a colorless gum. IR ( KBr disk): 3450, 1781, 1735, $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CD 3 OD, 500 MHz ): 0.71 ( 3 H , s), $0.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, qd, J $=13.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.93-1.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.99-$ $2.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,4.8,2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.42(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.51-2.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

2,4-Di-O-acetylascochlorin Bis-ethyleneacetal (34). 3-Acetoxy-4-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-[(2E,4E)-3-methyl-5-((6R,7R,8R)-6,7,8-trimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro-[4.5]dec-7-yl)-2,4-pentadienyl]phenyl Acetate. Ethylene glycol bis-TMS ether ( 1.05 mL ), and trimethylsilyl trifluo romethanesulfonate ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) were added to a solution of 31 (694 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h , while gradually raising the temperature to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then quenched with pyridine ( $12 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) diluted with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ aqueous solution, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel col umn chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 3:1) to give 34 ( $267 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 0.72 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.35-1.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.431 .48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$1.78-1.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.48$ (3H, s), 3.18-3.34 (2H , br), 3.76-3.81 (1H, m), 3.88-3.94 (2H, m), 3.96-4.01 (3H, s), 4.14-4.18 (2H, m), $5.22(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.88$ (1H, s). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{ClO}_{8}$ ) C, H .

2,4-Di-O-acetylascochlorin Bis-ethyleneacetal Oxide (35). 3-Acetoxy-4-chloro-6-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)-5-methyl-2-[(E )-2,3-epoxy-3-methyl-5-((6R,7R,8R )-6,7,8-trimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-yl)-4-pentenyl]phenyl Acetate. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(97.5 \mathrm{mg})$ and m-chloroperbenzoic acid ( $70 \%, 137 \mathrm{mg}$ ) were added to a solution of $34(267 \mathrm{mg}, 0.464 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred on ice for 1.5 h . The reaction was quenched with a $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ aqueous solution, stirred for an additional 15 min , diluted with water, and then extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with a $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ aqueous solution, a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo to give 35 ( 302 mg ).

Compound 17. 5-Chloro-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-2-[(E)-1-Hydroxy-1-methyl-3-((6R,7R,8R)-7,8,9-trimethyl-1,4-dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-7-yl)-2-propenyl]-2,3-dihydrobenzo-[b]furan-7-carbaldehyde. A 30\% methanolic potassium methoxide solution ( $0.262 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced into a flask under nitrogen atmosphere, and methanol was removed in vacuo. To the solid potassium methoxide was added dropwise a solution of the epoxide 35 and 18-crown-6 ( 13.5 mg , 0.051 mmol ) in tetrahydrofuran (THF; 4.5 mL ) and hexamethyldisilane ( $0.157 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.767 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was heated at reflux for 10 h , acidified with an oxalic acid aqueous solution, and extracted with ether. The organic Iayer was washed with water and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 5:2) to afford 17 ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ in two steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $0.68-$ $0.72(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.816(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.822(1.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36-1.60(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 1.63-1.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH})$, $2.66(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.06-3.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.76-3.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88-3.94$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.96-4.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.844 .88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.490(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.493(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 21. 3-Chloro-6-hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-meth-yl-5-[(2E,6E)-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]benzaldehyde. A mixture of $\mathbf{2 0}$ $(4.20 \mathrm{~g}, 9.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, methyl iodide ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 50 mL ) was heated at reflux for 1 h . The resultant mixture was filtered, and evaporation of the filtrate gave 21 ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) after purification by silica gel column chromatography (hexaneacetone, 95:5). IR ( KBr disk): 1730, $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 1.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.79$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.99-2.07(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.09-2.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tm}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $10.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO} 5\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 22. 2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenyl Acetate. Acetic anhydride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( 4.0 $\mathrm{g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 5 mL ). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, poured into ice water, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was crystallized from hexane-acetone (95: 5) to give $\mathbf{2 2}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ ); mp $99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr disk): 1775, 1730 , $1635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 1.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.992 .06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.10-2.17(2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.37(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 18.0, 6.5 Hz$), 3.29(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 10.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO}\right.$ ) C, H .

Compounds 28 and 27. 2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octa-dienyl]-4-formyl-5-methoxy-3-methylphenyl Acetate and

3-Chloro-4-hydroxy-6-methoxy-2-methyl-5-[(2E,6E)-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]benzaldehyde. A mixture of $22(0.301 \mathrm{~g}, 0.651$ mmol ), methyl iodide ( $0.0484 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.781 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium carbonate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.716 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 2 mL ) was heated at reflux for 2 h . The resultant mixture was filtered, and evaporation of the filtrate gave a crude product. The product was purified by silica gel column chromatography to afford $\mathbf{2 8}$ ( $75.5 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) as a colorless gum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500$ $\mathrm{MHz}): 1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $2.04(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.09-2.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.39$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.2,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J $=10.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClO}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; H: calcd, 6.97; found, 7.40.

A $1 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ aqueous solution ( $4.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 8}(63.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.133 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.2$ mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was neutralized with 2 N HCl , and MeOH was removed in vacuo. The residue was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water, a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and brine successively, dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to give 27 as a col orless gum ( $52.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). IR (film): 3390, 1756, 1686, $1564 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl 3,500 $\mathrm{MHz}): 1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12-2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.47(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{J}=6.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$; H: calcd, 7.18; found, 7.59 .

4-O-Ethoxycarbonylmethylascofuranone (36). Ethyl \{2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydro-furan-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenoxy acetate. Sodium hydride ( $60 \%$, dispersion in mineral oil, $31.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was washed with dry hexane and suspended in DMF ( 1 mL ). To the above suspension was added dropwise $\mathbf{2 0}(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF (5 mL ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min , and ethyl bromoacetate ( $0.145 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting solution was stirred for an additional 5.5 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, poured into a mixture of a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and ice, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc, 16:1) to give 36 ( 0.527 g , 88\%). NMR (CDCI ${ }_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 1.21 (3H , s), 1.27 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 1.33 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.99-2.07(2 \mathrm{H}$, m), 2.08-2.18 (2H, m), 2.35 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.42 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.51(1 \mathrm{H}$, s). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClO}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 23. \{2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenoxy\}acetic Acid. A solution of potassium carbonate ( $0.456 \mathrm{mg}, 3.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 mL of water was added to a solution of $36(0.448 \mathrm{~g}, 0.943 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 15 mL ), and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was acidified with 3 N aqueous HCl solution, diluted with brine, and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}\right.$, 15:1) to give 23 ( $0.387 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.03-2.09$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.09-2.17 (1H, m), 2.18-2.27 (1H, m), 2.44 (1H, dd, $\mathrm{J}=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.42-3.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\mathrm{J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$
$7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClO}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 24. \{2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenoxy\}carbonylacetic Acid. 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine ( $2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and glutaric anhydride ( $0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.490 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of $20(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight, acidified to pH 2 with 1 N HCl , and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to produce $\mathbf{2 4}$ as a colorless gum ( 0.040 g , 32\%). IR (film): $3000,1752,1713,1638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): 1.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), $1.74(3 \mathrm{H}$, br s), $2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.11(2 \mathrm{H}$, qui, J $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.12-$ $2.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.2,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=18.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.76$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.24-3.31(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 4.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{J}=7.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 10.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{ClO}_{8}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$.

Compound 25. 2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenyl Pyridine-3-carboxylate. Ni cotinoyl chloride hydrochl oride ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 0}(4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature and poured into ice water. The resulting mixture was extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and brine successively, dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (hexane-acetone, 95:5) to give 25 ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ). IR (KBr disk): 1750, 1700, 1640, $1590 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $500 \mathrm{MHz}): 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, 1.90-1.97 (2H, m), 2.03-2.12 (2H, m), $2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ dd, J = $18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.23-3.33$ (1H, br), 3.36-3.46 (1H, br), 4.51 (1H, dd, J = 10.0, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.51$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.90$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{j}=5.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.35(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), 12.59 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{6}$ ) C, H, N.

Compound 26. 2-Chloro-6-[(2E,6E )-7-((S)-2,2-dimethyl-3-oxotetrahydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]-4-formyl-5-hydroxy-3-methylphenyl Pyridine-4-carboxyIate. I soni cotinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $0.233 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $20(0.200 \mathrm{~g}, 0.476 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 1 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, quenched with water, and stirred for an additional 30 min . The resulting mixture was extracted with ether. The extract was washed with an aqueous $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ solution, water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, and brine successively, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-EtOAc) to give 26 as a colorless gum ( $0.135 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ ). IR (film): 1756, $1644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): 1.21 (3H, s), $1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s), 1.62 (3H, br s), 1.88-1.97 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.02-2.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=18.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.21-3.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, br), $3.33-3.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}), 4.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.09$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.00-8.02$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 8.89-8.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 10.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 29. 3-Chloro-4,6-dihydroxy-2-methyl-5[(2E ,6E )-7-(2,2-dimethyl-3-oxo-2,3-dihydrofuran-5-yl)-3-methyl-2,6-octadienyl]benzaldehyde. A solution of $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ $(0.340 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deionized water ( 0.7 mL ) was added dropwise to a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deionized water ( 0.7 mL ) while cooling in a water bath. To the resultant suspension was added a solution of $20(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.476 mmol ) in dioxane ( 1.4 mL ). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and diluted with a mixture of water and dioxane (1:1). The solution was then filtered through a Cerite pad. The filtrate was acidified with 6 N HCl and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was washed with
water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-acetone, $60: 1$ ) to afford 29 ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ); mp 139.5$140.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: 1680, $1635,1555 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500$ $\mathrm{MHz}): 1.35(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}$, br t, J $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tm}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $6.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 6.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tm}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 10.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 12.69$ (1H, s). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (ppm): 12.93, 14.44, 16.13, 22.03, 23.03 (probably two overlapping peaks), 27.05, 38.29, 88.10, 98.52, 113.14, 113.59, 114.21, 121.90, 125.76, 135.03, 137.71, 138.12, 156.17, 162.15, 184.66, 193.30, 207.20. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClO}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H.

Cell Culture. U2OS, a human osteosarcoma, was provided by C.-L. Wu (MGH Cancer Center, Charlestown, MA). C3H 10T1/2 was obtained from the Institute of Fermentation (Osaka, J apan). These cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with $5 \%$ fetal bovine serum at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified incubator under $10 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ atmosphere.

Plasmids. Expression vectors of intact human nuclear receptors, corresponding Gal4-fusion nuclear receptors, and reporter plasmids for the corresponding nuclear receptors, as well as Gal4-fusion nuclear receptors, were kind gifts from S. Kato (University of Tokyo, Tokyo, J apan). ${ }^{45-47}$ pCMV- $\beta$-galactosidase was provided by N. H. Heintz (University of Vermont, Burlington, VT).

Proliferation Assay. U2OS cells ( $5 \times 10^{3}$ cells) were cultured with drugs for 48 h in microplate wells, and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]-$ thymidine ( $0.5 \mu \mathrm{Ci} /$ well) was added 4 h before harvesting. The radioactivity incorporated was determined by a liquid scintillation counter. ${ }^{48}$

Reporter Assay. U2OS cells ( $1 \times 10^{6}$ cells in a 10 cm dish) were transfected with pCMV - $\beta$-galactosidase ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), an expression vector of the nuclear receptor ( $2 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ), and a corresponding reporter plasmid ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) by calcium precipitation method, as described previously. ${ }^{18}$ Cells were cultured for 12 h and washed with phosphate-buffered saline three times to remove DNA preci pitates. After they were cultured in fresh medium for 4 $h$, cells were trypsinized and suspended in fresh medium. Aliquots of cells were further cultured with drugs for 20 h . Luciferase activity, $\beta$-galactosidase activity, and chloramphenicol acetyltransferase expression in the cell lysates were determined with commercial assay kits.

Adipocyte Differentiation. C3H 10T $1 / 2$ cells ( $1 \times 10^{5}$ cells/ well) were grown for 48 h in a 24 well until reaching a confluent state. Cells were treated with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dexamethasone and 0.5 mM 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine for 48 h followed by $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ insulin and drugs for 5 days. At the end of the culture, GPDH activity and protein concentration in the cell lysate were determined. ${ }^{18,49}$
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