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Radicicol (1), a macrocyclic antifungal antibiotic, is the lead compound of a novel class of heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) inhibitors that result in the inhibition or degradation of Hsp90associated proteins, such as v-src and Raf-1 kinases. New O-carbamoylmethyloxime derivatives of 1 were synthesized and evaluated for their in vitro antiproliferative activities against v-srcand K-ras-transformed cells and for their inhibitory activity against v-src tyrosine kinase. O-(Piperidinocarbonyl)methyloxime 9b, one of the most potent of these derivatives, exhibited more potent antiproliferative activity than 1 and its hydroxime KF25706 (2) and had an IC50 of 25 nM for the inhibition of v-src kinase activity. Compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ was also found to decrease the Raf-1 protein level of KNRK5.2 cells. Furthermore, compound 9b exhibited significant antitumor activity when tested against MX-1 and A431 xenografts in nude mice.

## I ntroduction

An antifungal antibiotic radicicol (1), first isolated





4 (GA): $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=H, R^{3}=O M e$
5 (HA): $R^{1}=M e, R^{2}=O M e, R^{3}=H$
6 (17-AAG): $R^{1}=H, R^{2}=H, R^{3}=\mathrm{NH}^{2}$
from the fungus Monosporium bonorden, ${ }^{1}$ was shown to inhibit v-src tyrosine kinase ${ }^{2}$ and to suppress the transformation by various oncogenes such as src, ras, raf, mos, and fos. ${ }^{3-5}$ It was also reported that 1 could inhibit K-ras-activated aberrant signaling pathway through the selective depletion of Raf-1 kinase and subsequent inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway in K-ras-transformed rat cells

[^0](KNRK 5.2 cells), leading to the inhibition of cell growth. ${ }^{6}$ In addition, compound $\mathbf{1}$ was found to show potent in vitro antiproliferative activity against a wide variety of human tumor cell lines. ${ }^{7}$
Although compound 1 exhibited potent antiproliferative activity, the compound was inactive when tested against in vivo antitumor models. ${ }^{7}$ It was also reported that the inhibitory effect of $\mathbf{1}$ against v-src kinase was abolished by reducing agents such as dithiothreitol. ${ }^{2}$ Therefore, novel oxime derivatives such as K F 25706 (2) and KF29158 (3), which are more stable than 1, were designed and synthesized. ${ }^{7,8}$ Compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ were found to show more potent antiproliferative activities than $\mathbf{1}$ and significant in vivo antitumor activities in several human tumor xenograft models.
Soon after the present study was started, for the purpose of increasing the antitumor activity of oxime derivative 2, it was revealed that one of the major molecular targets of $\mathbf{1}$ is the heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) family, including H sp90 and glucose-regulated protein 94 (Grp94), ${ }^{9-11}$ which plays an essential role in the stability and function of important cellular proteins such as v-src, Raf-1, EGFR, p185erbB2, Cdk4, steroid hormone receptors, and mutated p53. ${ }^{12-22}$ Binding of $\mathbf{1}$ to the highly conserved N -terminal site of $\mathrm{Hsp90}$ alters Hsp90 function, leads to the inhibition or degradation of these Hsp90-associated proteins, and results in cell death. ${ }^{9-11}$ In addition, $\mathbf{2}$ was shown to deplete several Hsp90-associated proteins through the inhibition of the Hsp90 function, similar to $1 .{ }^{7} \mathrm{H} s p 90$ inhibitors that can simultaneously stimulate depletion of multiple oncogenic proteins may be of clinical benefit.

It has been also reported that ansamycin antibiotics, such as geldanamycin (GA, 4) and herbimycin A (HA, 5), are Hsp90 inhibitors, which destabilize many Hsp90associated proteins. ${ }^{14,16-19,22,23}$ Both antibiotics $\mathbf{1}$ and 4 were shown to directly bind to the N -terminal nucle-otide-binding domain of $\mathrm{Hsp90}$ by X-ray structures of

## Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$
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$9 a-v$
${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ (a) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{Py}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) amine, EDCI, HOBt, DMF; (c) amine, EDCI, DMAP, DMF; (d) amine, DCC, N -hydroxysuccinimide, DMF.
radicicol-Hsp90 and GA-Hsp90 complexes. ${ }^{24,25}$ More recently, PU3 (7), a small-molecule inhibitor of Hsp90, was designed by computer modeling using X-ray structures of ligand-Hsp90 complexes. ${ }^{26,27}$ 17-Allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG, 6), a less toxic derivative of 4, is currently in phase I clinical trial. ${ }^{28,29}$

We concentrated our efforts on optimizing the oxime group of $\mathbf{2}$ to further increase in vivo antitumor activity. As the first approach, we optimized a carbamoyl group of 3, using an easily accessible O-carboxymethyloxime 8. ${ }^{8}$ In addition, O-carbamoylmethyl derivatives with polar functional groups weresynthesized for the purpose of improving the poor water solubility of $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$. We report here the synthesis, in vitro antiproliferative activity, the effects on Hsp90-associated proteins such as v-src and Raf-1, and in vivo antitumor activity of a new series of O-carbamoylmethyloxime derivatives of 1.

## Chemistry

As depicted in Scheme 1, O-carboxymethyloxime 8 was prepared from 1 by the modification of the method reported previously. ${ }^{8}$ Compound 8 was then condensed with various amines in the presence of condensing agents to give carbamoyl derivatives $\mathbf{9 a}-\mathbf{v}$ of Table 1. Because 8 was a mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers on the oxime group ( $E / Z=1 / 4$ ), all carbamoyl derivatives synthesized were obtained as isomeric mixtures, which were not separated by TLC, in E/Z ratios varying from $1 / 2$ to $1 / 10$ as shown in Table 1. When compound 9b was prepared by two different methods using 1,3dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) and N-hydroxysuccinimide and using 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethyl carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI) and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole hydrate (HOBt), a variation of the $E / Z$ ratios was observed ( $E / Z=1 / 6$ and $1 / 2$, respectively). It is suggested that the variation of the $E / Z$ ratios is due to loss on purification using column chromatography or preparative TLC and not to reactivity between both isomers. The oxime configurations and the $E / Z$ ratios of these compounds were identified by comparison with
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of both isomers of $\mathbf{2}$ and its methoxime, ${ }^{8}$ which were assigned on the basis of NOESY and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data. ${ }^{30}$

The poor solubility of compounds was not improved by the introduction of polar functional groups into the oxime group (data not shown).

## Results and Discussion

Compounds were first evaluated for their antiproliferative activities against normal rat fibroblast cells (3Y 1-B cells), its v-src-transformed cells (SR-3Y 1 cells), normal rat kidney epithelial cells (NRK cells), and its K-ras-transformed cells (KNRK5.2 cells) and for their inhibitory activity against the v-src-induced tyrosine autophosphorylation in SR-3Y1 cells because 1 was shown to suppress the growth of these transformed cell lines and to inhibit the tyrosine kinase. ${ }^{2,6,7}$
As shown in Table 1, compounds 9a-c exhibited more potent antiproliferative activities against SR-3Y1 and KNRK 5.2 cells than $\mathbf{1}$. In particular, $9 \mathbf{9 b}, \mathbf{c}$ were found to exhibit a greater than 2-fold increase in the antiproliferative activity compared to compounds 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ (IC50 $=0.015 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against SR-3Y 1 cells) with a potent in vivo activity. ${ }^{7,8}$
However, although compound $\mathbf{1}$ exhibited strong antiprol iferative activity against the transformed cells compared with their normal counterparts, these oximes inhibited the growth of 3Y1-B and NRK cells as well as their transformed cells. Compounds 9a-c inhibited tyrosine phosphorylation with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 0.070 , 0.025 , and $0.067 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively, compared to an I C50 value of $0.056 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $\mathbf{2}$. Although compound 9c showed more potent antiprol iferative activity than $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$, its inhibitory effect on tyrosine phosphorylation was less potent compared to the inhibitory effect of these compounds.

The introduction of a polar functional group, such as hydroxyl, piperidino, and carbamoyl groups, into the piperidine ring ( $\mathbf{9 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{f}$ ) and the conversion of the piperidine ring into a polar morpholine or N-methylpiperazine ring ( $\mathbf{9 g}, \mathbf{h}$ ) substantially reduced the antiproliferative activity against SR-3Y1 and KNRK 5.2 cells. However, the inhibitory activity of $\mathbf{9 h}$ against v-src kinase was more potent than that of 2.

In the case of N -monosubstituted carbamoylmethyloximes, similar SARs were also observed. Compounds $\mathbf{9 i}-\mathbf{k}$ with a hydrophobic cycloalkyl group exhibited a more potent antiproliferative activity against SR-3Y1 cells than 2, and compounds $\mathbf{9 l}-\mathbf{p}$ containing polar functional groups at the N -alkyl group exhibited a less potent in vitro activity. Compounds 9 i and 9 k strongly inhibited v-src tyrosine phosphorylation with I $C_{50}$ values of 0.014 and $0.017 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively; however, the inhibitory effect of $\mathbf{9 j}$ on v-src kinase was less potent.

On the other hand, $\mathbf{9 q}-\mathbf{v}$ with an aromatic ring or a heteroaromatic ring were about 2- to 11-fold less active against SR-3Y1 and KNRK 5.2 cells than 2. However, $\mathbf{9 q}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}$ exhibited strong inhibitory activity against v-src kinase.

All of oximederivatives, except $\mathbf{9 d} \mathbf{d} \mathbf{f}$, showed stronger antiproliferative activity against SR-3Y1 cells than against KNRK5.2 cells.
Among the derivatives synthesized, $\mathbf{9 b}$, one of the most potent, was further evaluated for its ability to

Table 1. Antiproliferative Activity and Inhibitory Activity against v-src Tyrosine Kinase of O-Carbamoylmethyloximes of $\mathbf{1}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1] 3 Y 1 cells after a 15 h drug exposure. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{NT}$, not tested.
deplete Raf-1 levels and consequently inhibitory activity against K-ras-induced phosphorylation of MAPK (Erk2) in KNRK5.2 cells, to validate whether this compound would inhibit a MAPK pathway, like $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 6 . 7}$

As shown in Table 2, 9b, which showed stronger antiproliferative activity against KNRK 5.2 cells than $\mathbf{2}$, markedly decreased Raf-1 protein compared to $\mathbf{2}$ and significantly inhibited Erk2 phosphorylation when the

Table 2. Inhibition of K-Ras Signal Transduction Pathway by Selected Oxime 9b in KNRK 5.2 Cells

|  | Raf-1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Erk2 $^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| compd | \% depletion at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | \% inhibition at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 29 | 81 |
| $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 74 | 95 |

a \% depletion value of Raf-1 protein, after a 40 h drug exposure. b \% inhibition value of formation of phosphorylated Erk2, after a 40 h drug exposure. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{9 b}$ with $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ ratio of $1 / 6$ was used.
cells were treated at $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ did not affect the expression level of the Erk2 protein, which is not a client of Hsp90. These results suggest that $\mathbf{9 b}$ inhibits the growth of KNRK 5.2 cells through the depletion of Raf-1 and the resultant inhibition of Erk2 phosphorylation. The effects of $\mathbf{9 b}$ on the Hsp90-associated proteins, such as v-src and Raf-1, suggest that 9b exerts its biological effects by binding to Hsp90, similar to $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.6,7

In this study, there was no correlation between the antiproliferative activity against SR-3Y1 cells and inhibitory activity against v-src kinase, although Hsp90 inhibitors are thought to result in their antiproliferative effects through the inhibition or degradation of the Hsp90-associated proteins. Compounds 9h, 9q, and 9s strongly inhibited v-src kinase but were less active against SR-3Y1 cells. Contrary to this, compounds 9n and $9 \mathbf{v}$, which had $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of above $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for v-src kinase inhibition, were found to moderately inhibit the growth of SR-3Y 1 cells. In addition, several compounds (e.g., 9a-c and $\mathbf{9 g}$ ) exhibited high sensitivity to both the transformed cells and their normal counterparts, although Hsp90 inhibitors are expected to selectively inhibit the growth of the transformed cells, which depend strongly on v-src or Raf-1 kinases for their survival.

To clarify these discrepancies, both isomers of each compound need to be separated and evaluated for their biological activity. We have recently clarified that the $E$ configuration of the oxime group is more important for the binding affinity to Hsp90, the effects on Hsp90associated proteins such as Raf-1 and erbB2, and antiproliferative activity against human breast tumor cell lines by separating both isomers of an O-[2-(2pyrrol idonyl) ethyl loxime derivative of $1 .{ }^{31,32}$ The active form of $\mathbf{9 b}$ was also assumed to be E configuration. When $\mathbf{9 b}$ was resynthesized by using the method with EDCI and HOBt to investigate the effects on in vivo xenograft models, a compound with an $E / Z$ ratio of $1 / 2$ was obtained, as described above. This compound exhibited stronger antiproliferative activity $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=\right.$ $0.0029 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) against SR-3Y1 cells than that with an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ ratio of $1 / 6$. The result suggests that the $E$ isomer of $\mathbf{9 b}$ is a more potent inhibitor than its Z isomer. Compound 9b with an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ ratio of $1 / 2$ also showed the selectivity for the transformed cells $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.0081 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ against $3 Y 1-B$ cells) compared to that with an E/Z ratio of $1 / 6$. The result suggests that $Z$ isomers, in which the inhi bitory effects on the $\mathrm{H} s p 90$ function are less potent, might cause growth inhibition not correlated to v-src inhibitory activity, and nonselective antiproliferative activity. No correlation between the antiproliferative activity and v-src inhibition might also result from the different stability of the compounds in the cells (in antiproliferative and v-src assays, the cells were treated

Table 3. Antitumor Activity of Selected Oxime $\mathbf{9 b}$ against Human Tumor Xenograft Modelsa

| compd | tumor | dose <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | frequency | T/C minimum <br> (on day) | mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}^{\mathrm{c}}$ | MX-1 | 100 | $(1 /$ day $) \times 5$ | $0.49(14)$ | $0 / 5$ |
| $\mathbf{9 b}^{\mathrm{d}}$ | MX-1 | 50 | (1/day) $\times 5$ | $0.17(11)^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $0 / 5$ |
|  | MX-1 | 25 | (1/day) $\times 5$ | $0.54(11)^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $0 / 5$ |
|  | A431 | 50 | (1/day) $\times 5$ | $0.44(7)^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $0 / 5$ |

a BALB/c-nu/ nu mice ( $n=5$ ) transplanted with tumor cells were treated with oxime derivatives by daily iv injections for 5 consecutive days. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ T/C value was calculated as described in Experimental Section. ${ }^{c}$ Data from ref 7. ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mathbf{9 b}$ with $E / Z$ ratio of $1 / 2$ was used. e $P<0.02$ by Mann-Whitney $U$ test.


Figure 1. Tumor growth-inhibitory effect of $\mathbf{9 b}$ against human breast tumor MX-1 xenograft model in vivo. MX-1 cells were inoculated sc into nude mice on day -14 . Compound $9 \mathbf{b}$ ( $E / Z=1 / 2$ ) was administered by daily iv injections on days 0-4: (O) control; (土) 9b, $25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(\bullet) \mathbf{9 b}, 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} ;(* *) \mathrm{P}<$ 0.02 and (*) $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ by Mann-Whitney U test.
with each compound for 72 and 15 h , respectively). To clarify these possibilities, further investigations are currently in progress.

Compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ with an $E / Z$ ratio of $1 / 2$ was evaluated for the antitumor activities against subcutaneously inoculated human breast carcinoma MX-1 cells and human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells in nude mouse models. ${ }^{7}$ As shown in Table 3 and Figure 1, 9b given at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ once daily over five consecutive iv injections exhibited strong antitumor activity against MX-1 cells compared to $\mathbf{2}$, which was more potent than 3 in this animal model. 7,8 In addition, visual toxicity such as body weight loss was not observed at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} 9 \mathrm{9b}$. However, compound 9b exhibited lethal toxicity at a dose of $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.
Furthermore, 9b at a dose of $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ exhibited significant antitumor activity against A431 cells (Table 3), which were known to express high levels of EGFR and mutated p53, and its antitumor effect was more potent than that of $\mathbf{2}$, as shown in our previous study. ${ }^{7,8}$

## Conclusions

In this study, we have introduced various carbamoylmethyl groups into the oxime group of the lead 2. The introduction of hydrophobic carbamoylmethyl groups enhanced the antiproliferative activity compared to 2. In contrast, the introduction of carbamoylmethyl groups containing a hydrophilic functional group or an aromatic ring resulted in a decrease in antiprol iferative activity. Compound $\mathbf{9 b}$ was one of the most potent inhibitors with strong inhibitory activities against both thetransformed cells and v-src kinase. Furthermore, 9b was found to decrease the Raf-1 protein level in KNRK 5.2 cells and to exhibit significant in vivo antitumor activities against MX-1 and A431 xenograft models. The effects of $\mathbf{9 b}$ on
the H sp90-associated proteins, such as v-src and Raf1, suggested that it targeted Hsp90, similar to 1 and 2.

Compound 9b, which exhibited potent antitumor activities with no visual toxicity, is one of the most promising in the series of oxime derivatives of 1. Further optimization of the oxime group of $\mathbf{2}$ has been carried out ${ }^{31,32}$ and will be reported in detail in another paper.

## Experimental Section

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were measured on J EOL J NM -GX270 and J NM-EX270 spectrometers. The spectra were referenced to $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{S}}$ or residual protonated solvent residues as an internal standard. Mass spectra were measured with a JEOL JMSDX303 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 C, H, N analyzer. For column chromatography, silica gel ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, Merck silica gel 60 or YMC GEL SIL-120-S50) was used. For further purification, preparative TLC was carried out on glass plates coated with Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$. Usual workup refers to washing of organic layers with brine, drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporating of the sol vents under reduced pressure. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of major $\mathbf{Z}$ isomers are only reported except for $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$.

Radicicol 6-[0-(Carboxymethyl)oxime] (8). To a solution of compound $\mathbf{1}(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in Py/AcOH (5:1, 48 mL ) was added carboxymethoxyamine hemihydrochloride (5.66 $\mathrm{g}, 51.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was then stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , more carboxymethoxyamine hemi hydrochloride ( 0.53 g , 4.9 mmol ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 4.5 h , then diluted with 0.5 M HCl and extracted with AcOEt, and then worked up as usual. The residue was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ MeOH (20:1 to 8:1) to give 8 as an E/ $Z$ mixture of $1 / 4$ ( 2.82 g , $47 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.53$ (d, J $=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(d d d, \mathrm{~J}=4.2,9.0,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (m, 1H), $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.4,10.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (dd, J = 10.5, $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD, as a E isomer) $\delta 1.53$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47$ (dd, 1H), 6.00-6.17 (m, 2H ), 6.42 (s, 1H), 7.19 (dd, 1H); FABMS m/z (M+H)+ 438.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Pyrrolidin-1-ylcarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9a). To a sol ution of $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2.5 mL ) were added HOBt ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), EDCI ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ mmol ), and pyrrol idine ( $0.042 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 19 h . The resulting solution was diluted with 0.01 M phosphoric buffer ( pH 7 ), extracted with AcOEt, and then worked up as usual. The residue was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (80:1 to 50:1) to give 9a as an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture of $1 / 3$ (109 mg, 49\%): ${ }^{1}$ H NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.21-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.43$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.4,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.00(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, 1H), 10.34 (br s, 1H); FAB-MS m/z (M+H)+ 491. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 1.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Piperidinocarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9b). This compound ( $0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 2$ ) was prepared from $8(3.26 \mathrm{~g}, 7.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine ( $1.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.20-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 3.25-3.57 (m, 5H ), 3.46 (d, J = $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.80 (d, J $=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5$, $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 10.33 (s, 1H); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 , as an E isomer) $\delta 1.20-$ $1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=3.6,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$
$10.9,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.33$ (s, 1H); FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$505. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Hexamethyleneiminocarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9c). This compound ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 10$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hexamethyleneimine ( $0.062 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.18-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95$ (ddd, J = 4.0, 8.9, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.31 (dt, J $=3.6,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 2.94 (ddd, J = 2.3, 2.6, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.16 (m, 1H), 3.37$3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $4.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0$, $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.6,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.6,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.86 (br s, 1H), 10.78 (br, 1H); FAB-MS m/z (M + H) ${ }^{+} 519$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 1.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Radicicol 6-\{0-[(4-Hydroxypiperidinocarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9d). This compound ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-hydroxypiperidine ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.20-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.12-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.63$ (br, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.11 (dd, J = 10.2, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), $6.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$521. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.7 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Radicicol 6-\{O-[(4-Piperidinopiperidinocarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9e). This compound ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 8$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4 -piperidinopi peridine ( $0.092 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta$ $1.25-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.42-2.80 (m, 6H), 2.80-3.05 (m, 2H), 3.20 (br, 1H), 3.71$4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.9$, $11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H); FAB-MS m/z (M + H ) ${ }^{+}$588. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 2.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H,N.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(4-Carbamoylpiperidinocarbonyl)methylloxime\} (9f). This compound ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%, E / Z=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isonipecotamide ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.43-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.82-3.12 (m, 2H), 3.17 (br s, 1H), 3.80-4.04 (m, 2H ), 4.30$4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,10.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06$ (dd, J $=10.2,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.67(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$548. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 1.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.6 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Morpholinocarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} ( 9 g ). To a solution of $8(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.685 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 2 mL ) were added DCC ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 0.753 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N-hydroxysucainimide $(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$, and morpholine ( $0.090 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 39 h. The resulting solution was diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted with AcOEt, and then worked up as usual. The residue was purified by col umn chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (20:1) to give $\mathbf{9 g}$ as an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 / 4}$ (42 $\mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ): ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.52$ ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (ddd, J $=3.5,4.0,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87 (d, J $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.82 (s, 2H), 5.30 (m, 1 H ), 5.62 (dd, J $=3.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.17 (dd, J $=10.4,11.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.4,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; FAB-MS m/z (M+H)+507. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.3 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Radicicol 6-\{0-[(4-Methylpiperazin-1-ylcarbonyl)methyl]oxime\} (9h). This compound ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 4$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-methylpiperazine ( $0.028 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9g: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.52$ ( d , $\mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62$ (dd, J $=4.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 520$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot\right.$ $\left.2.0 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Cyclopentylcarbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9i). This compound ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopentylamine $(0.054 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.55 mmol ) in the same manner as described for 9 a : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.38-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.68 (dd, J $=3.1,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (dd, J = 11.4, $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.50 (br, 1H), 10.75 (br, 1H); FAB-MS m/z $(M+H)^{+} 505$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot\right.$ $1.9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H. N: found 4.76, calcd 5.20.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Cyclohexylcarbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9j). This compound ( $118 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclohexylamine $(0.063 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.55 mmol ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.08-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=$ $3.3,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J})=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ (dd, J $=10.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.67 (d, J $=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23 (dd, J $=11.2,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.90(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.75(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z (M + H $)^{+} 519$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 1.7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[((Cyclohexylmethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9k). This compound ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 4$ ) was prepared from $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and (aminomethyl)cyclohexane ( $0.071 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.10-1.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.1$, $10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.16 (dd, J $=10.6,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.2,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.75(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$533. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0 \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$ : found 6.94 , calcd 6.34 .

Radicicol 6-\{0-[((2-Hydroxyethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (91). To a solution of $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) were added EDCI ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethanolamine ( $0.025 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 4 -(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 25 h . The resulting solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $20: 1$ to $9: 1$ ) to give 9 as an $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ mixture of $1 / 5$ ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3}-$ OD, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19$ (dd, J = 10.9, $11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44$ (s, 1H), 6.85 (d, J $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 481$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 1.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{ $\mathbf{O}$-[(Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} ( 9 m ). This compound ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 5$ ) was prepared from $8(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.685 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diethanolamine ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 91: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.53$ (d, J $=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.4$, $10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=10.9,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 525$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot 1.6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[((2-Ethoxyethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9n). This compound ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%, \mathrm{E} / Z=1 / 10$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-ethoxyethylamine ( $0.062 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.14$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,8.6,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 (ddd, J $=3.3,3.6,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (ddd, J = 2.3, 2.6, $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.22 (br s, 1 H ), 3.40-3.61 (m, 6H), 4.10 (br d, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{brd}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 3.1, $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.16 (dd, J = 10.4, $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.60(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (br, 1H), $6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.23 (dd, J = 11.2, $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.76 (br, 1H); FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 509$.
Radicicol 6-\{0-[((2-(Diethylamino)ethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (90). This compound ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from $8(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.288 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylethylenediamine ( $0.035 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for $\mathbf{9 g}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.13$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.46 (m, 2H), 3.96 (br s, 2H), 4.58 (s, 2H), $5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63$ (dd, J $=3.5,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.4,16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{FAB}-\mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 537$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.2 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{N}$ : found 5.29, calcd 7.41.
Radicicol 6-\{O-[((Methoxycarbonylmethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9p). This compound ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 4$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and glycine methyl ester hydrochloride ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 91: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.53$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.5,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63$ (dd, J $=4.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21$ (dd, J $=10.4,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; FAB-MS m/z (M + H $)^{+}$ 509. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(Phenylcarbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9q). This compound ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and aniline ( $0.050 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.57$ (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.99 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.0,8.6$, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 (ddd, J = 3.3, 3.6, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99 (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=2.6,3.3,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ (dd, J $=3.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.37$ (m, 3H ), 7.45-7.58 (m, 2H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 10.76 (br, 1H); FABMS m/z (M + H ) +513 . Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{N}$ : found 6.11, calcd 5.39.
Radicicol 6-\{0-[((4-Methoxyphenyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9r). This compound ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and p-anisidine ( 68 mg , 0.55 mmol ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.53$ (d, J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.94 (ddd, J $=4.0,8.9,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33$ (ddd, J = 3.3, 3.6, $15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.96 (ddd, J $=2.3,3.6,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}$, $\mathrm{J}=10.6,11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.83 (d, J $=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.26 (dd, J = 11.5, 16.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.60(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$543. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \cdot 2.1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Radicicol 6-\{0-[((4-(Diethylamino)phenyl)carbamoyl)methyl ]oxime\} (9s). This compound ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ )was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine ( $0.091 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.12(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (ddd, $\mathrm{J}=4.0,8.9,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.34 (ddd, J $=3.3,3.6,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.99 (ddd, J = 2.3, 2.6, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.21 (br s, 1H), 3.31 $(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,10.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\mathrm{J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (d, J $=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z (M + H)+ 584. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{ClN} \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 1.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$ : found 6.83 , calcd 6.06 .

Radicicol 6-\{O-[(Pyrid-3-ylcarbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9t). This compound ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-aminopyridine ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55$ mmol ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}$ $=4.0,8.9,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72$ (dd, J $=3.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,10.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (d, J = $16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$514. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right.$ $\left.0.4 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H} . \mathrm{N}$ : found 6.79, calcd 7.43 .

Radicicol 6-\{ O-[((Pyrid-3-ylmethyl)carbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9u). This compound ( $106 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%, \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}=1 / 3$ ) was prepared from 8 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(aminomethyl)pyridine ( $0.051 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.52$ ( d , J $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-$ $4.68(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.10 (dd, J $=10.2,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.65 (d, J $=16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.36 (dd, J $=4.6,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.81 (ddd, J $=1.7,2.0,7.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;$ FAB-MS m/z $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 528$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{CIN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$. N : found 6.29, calcd 6.98.

Radicicol 6-\{0-[(2-(1-Methyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)ethylcarbamoyl)methyl]oxime\} (9v). This compound ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$, $E / Z=1 / 3)$ was prepared from $8(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(2-aminoethyl)-1-methylpyrrole ( $0.069 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same manner as described for 9a: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N M R\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, as a Z isomer) $\delta 1.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=4.0,8.9,16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=3.3,16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{br}$ d, 1H ), 4.53-4.70 (m, 3H), 5.47 (m, 1H), 5.68 (dd, J $=3.0,10.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.46-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $9.02(b r, 1 H), 10.70(b r, 1 H) ;$ FAB-MS m/z (M + H ) ${ }^{+} 544$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \cdot 0.4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Antiproliferative Assays. 3Y1-B, SR-3Y1, NRK, and KNRK 5.2 cell lines were obtained as described previously, ${ }^{7}$ and the cell cultures were performed at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humidified atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The cells were precultured in Dulbecco's modified E agle's medium containing 10\% fetal calf serum for 24 h in 96 -well microwell plates (Nunc) and then were incubated with each drug for another 72 h . The cell viability was determined using an MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay. ${ }^{7}$ I $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ is defined as the concentration of drug required for $50 \%$ inhibition of cell growth.

Src Kinase Assays. SR-3Y 1 cells were incubated with each drug for 15 h and were lysed for 20 min by the addition of ice-cold lysis buffer [ 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), 150 mM NaCl , $1 \%$ Triton X-100, $0.1 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate, $1 \%$ sodium deoxycholate, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ leupeptin, 0.15 unit $/ \mathrm{mL}$ aprotinin, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Na}{ }_{3}-$ $\mathrm{VO}_{4}$ ] on ice. The cell lysate was clarified by centrifugation (10 min at 14000 rpm$)$. The cell lysate adjusted to a certain amount of proteins was electrophoresed by SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, and immunoblotted with primary mouse polyclonal phosphotyrosine antibody MXpTYR (Kyowa Medex). F or detection, the blots were incubated with secondary mouse IgG antibody conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (BIO-RAD), and tyrosine-phosphorylated protein was developed using an enhanced chemiluminesence detection system (Amersham) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ is defined as the concentration of drug required for $50 \%$ inhibition of tyrosine phosphorylation.

Raf-1 Depletion and Phosphorylated-Erk2 Inhibition Assays. KNRK 5.2 cells were incubated with $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ selected
drug for 40 h . After the cells were lysed, the resulting cell lysate, which was adjusted to a certain amount of proteins, was analyzed by Western blot using anti-Raf-1 rabbit polyclonal antibody (C-12, Santa Cruz), phosphospecific MAPK antibody (New England Biolabs), and anti-Erk2 monoclonal antibody (clone 1B3B9; Upstate Biotechnology) in duplicate, in the same manner as described previously. ${ }^{7}$

In Vivo Antitumor Assays. MX-1 and A431 cell lines were obtained as described previously. ${ }^{7}$ These tumors were inoculated subcutaneously in the flanks of adult BALB/c nu/nu mice. The drug was dissolved in a solution of cremophor EL, N,Ndimethylacetamide, and physiological saline (7.5:5:87.5) and was administered daily by iv injections for 5 days from day 0 to day 4. MX-1 and A431 cells were transplanted on days -14 and -18 , respectively.

For the evaluation of antitumor activity, the length and width of the tumors were measured and the tumor volume was calculated using the following formula, according to the method of the National Cancer Institute. ${ }^{33}$

$$
\text { tumor volume }\left(\mathrm{mm}^{3}\right)=\frac{\text { length }(\mathrm{mm}) \times[\text { width }(\mathrm{mm})]^{2}}{2}
$$

Drug efficacy was expressed as the ratio of the mean experimental $V / N_{0}$ value to that of the control group (T/C ratio), where V is the tumor volume at the day of evaluation and $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ is the tumor volume at the day of the initial treatment with the drug. The criterion for effectiveness is a T/C ratio of 0.50 or less, and statistical significance was determined by the Mann-Whitney U test.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ ratio of oxime group was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Drug concentration to inhibit the growth of $3 \mathrm{Y} 1-\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{SR}-3 \mathrm{Y} 1$, NRK, and KNRK5.2 cells to $50 \%$ of control cultures, using a 72 h drug exposure. ${ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value for the inhibition of v -src tyrosine autophosphorylation in SR-

