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#### Abstract

A series of substituted pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7] or [1,10]-phenanthrolin-7-ones, analogues of the marine pyridoacridines meridine and ascididemin, have been synthesized on the basis of DielsAlder reactions involving different quinoline- 5,8 -diones and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-aldehyde-dimethyl hydrazones. All the compounds were evaluated for in vitro cytotoxic activity against 12 distinct human cancer cell lines. They all exhibit cytotoxic activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values at least of micromolar order.


## I ntroduction

Since the discovery of amphimedine in $1983^{1}$ until recent isolation and characterization of kuanoniamines E and F in 2002, ${ }^{2}$ more than 50 pyridoacridine alkaloids have been isolated from sponges and ascidians. A considerable amount of attention has been focused on this class of compounds due to their potentially valuable biological activity. ${ }^{3}$

Ascididemin $\mathbf{1}$ and meridine $\mathbf{2}$ are two members of the pyridoacridine family differing by the attachment of the benzene ring, the position of a nitrogen atom, and a hydroxy substituent in meridine. In a previous report, ${ }^{4 a}$ we have shown that this substituent was not necessary for biological activity, both the unsubstituted analogue of meridine and ascididemin presenting important cytotoxicity on different cell lines. So far, despite the results of structure-activity study reported by Lindsay et al.,5 the minimal structural requirement for biological activity of these compounds remains unknown.
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As part of our work on natural pyridoacridines as a source of new and useful anticancer drugs, ${ }^{4}$ we have

[^0]investigated the tetracydic pyridophenanthrolin-7-one compounds $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$, of which the sole structures previously reported were the unsubstituted ones, by Matsumoto et al. ${ }^{6}$ These skeletons constitute the common moiety of the marine pyridoacridine alkaloids $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

We describe herein the synthesis of these compounds and their in vitro antitumor activity and discuss the influence of the different substituents on this activity.

## Chemistry

In a general way, most of the different tetracyclic compounds were prepared on the basis of hetero-DielsAlder reactions. Almost all the reactions involved quino-line-5,8-dione or substituted-quinoline-5,8-diones as dienophiles and 2-butenal N,N-dimethylhydrazone or 2-methoxy-2-butenal N,N-dimethylhydrazone as dienes. Generally, these reactions afforded mixtures of the corresponding diazaanthraquinones $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, in low to moderate yields, with the last compound as the majority regioi somer.
This isomer was the sole compound isolated in four cases including addition of crotonaldehyde $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{di}$ methylhydrazone to ethoxycarbonyl-3-quinoline-5,8dione, to 2-hydroxyquinoline-5,8-dione or to 2-chloro-quinoline-5,8-dione. The identification of the two regioisomers was realized both on the basis of the IR spectra of the tricydic compounds $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ (Scheme 1) (structure a presented a sole carbonyl band at 1683-1702 cm-1 whereas structures $\mathbf{b}$ had two bands, the first one between 1651 and $1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the second one at $1684-1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and NMR spectra of the tetracyclic compounds (this last method was only usable for compounds unsubstituted at $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ). We have shown in a previous work ${ }^{4 c}$ a notable difference in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shift of the proton at position 4 (ring A). This proton was deshielded 0.4 ppm in structures d relative to structure c. For structures c, the chemical shift of this proton was about $8.68-8.80 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $8.91-9.56$ ppm in structure d.

## Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$


a (a) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$; (b) DMFDEA, DMF, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{2 a}^{\text {a }}$


${ }^{\text {a }}$ (a) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$; (b) TFA.

The first method to achieve the final ring $D$ annelation was based on Bracher's methodology, ${ }^{7}$ involving in a first step addition of dimethylformamide-diethylacetal in DMF under nitrogen and in the second step, subsequent cyclization of the formed enamine with ammonium chloride in ethanol. In some cases, these reaction conditions resulted in the formation of several secondary products. For example, it has not been possible to obtain the tetracyclic compounds 4c, 4d, 7c, and 7d from the corresponding tricyclic compounds, 4a, 4b, 7a, and 7b, respectively. M oreover, the two isomers have a high difference in reactivity of their enamine to cyclize, reactions of isomers $\mathbf{b}$ working better than those
of isomers a, which were realized in high diluted conditions in order to decrease intermolecular reactions. A second method of formation of ring D was investigated to obtain the tetracyclic compounds which could not be synthesized according to the previous one. It was also based on a Diels-Alder strategy involving N-BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al dimethylhydrazone as the diene, the final ring $D$ annelation being in that case realized by acid treatment (Scheme 2).

The different substituents $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$ of ring $A$ were introduced according to two pathways: synthesis starting from the corresponding substituted-quinoline-5,8-diones or modification of existing substituent either

## Scheme $3^{a}$


a (a) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$; (b) DMFDEA, DMF, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.
of the diazaanthraquinone (e.g., conversion of the nitro group of $\mathbf{7 b}$ into a dimethylamino-group to give $\mathbf{8 b}$ ) or during the formation of ring $D$ (e.g., compound $\mathbf{6 d}$ with an hydroxyl group was obtained when the chlorodiazaanthraquinone 4b' was reacted with TFA). It has to be noted that it has not been possible starting with the diazaanthraquinones $\mathbf{4 a}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$, using Bracher's methodology, to obtain the corresponding tetracydic compounds $\mathbf{4 c}$ and $\mathbf{4 d}$, the chloro group being substituted into a dimethylamino group. Nevertheless, compound 4c was obtained by action of TFA on the diazaanthraquinone 4a'. We were also interested in an attempt to design bifunctional compounds. Compound 14d was thus obtained from the corresponding dimethoxy-tricydic compound 14b, derived from reaction of the dichloro derivative 13b with sodium methylate. Direct formation of the last cycle using Bracher's methodology on this last compound gave compound 15d.
The methoxy group at $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ was introduced involving 2-methoxy-2-butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone as the diene in the Diels-Alder reaction (Scheme 3). This Diels-Alder reaction was performed with four different quinoline-5,8-diones with lower yields than the same reactions with 2-butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone.

The regioselectivity was similar with the two dienes, and the isomers 16a and 19a were not isolated due to the low yield of the reaction. The tricyclic compounds 9a, 9b, 17a, and 19b were transformed into the corresponding tetracyclic compound using Bracher's methodology. In these conditions, 16b did not give the tetracyclic compound. However, 16b was transformed into the dimethylamino derivative $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ which led to the tetracyclic compound 18d.

## Pharmacology

In Vitro Determination of the Drug-Induced Inhibition of Human Cancer Cell Line Growth. For each of the compounds under study, six concentrations were tested on 12 distinct human cancer cell lines including various histopathol ogical types (glioblastomas and breast, col on, lung, prostate, and bladder cancers). We made use of the colorimetric MTT assay, which
indirectly assesses the effect of potentially anticancer compounds on overall growth of adherent cell lines. ${ }^{8}$ The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values, i.e., the concentration which reduced the mean growth value of the 12 cell lines by $50 \%$, was determined for each drug, as compared to the mean control growth value. Table 1 illustrates the individual $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of the different compounds obtained for each of the 12 cell lines under study; the in vivo global toxic effects as revealed by the maximun tolerated dose (MTD) index are also reported in the same table.

## Discussion

The tetracyclic compounds 3c and 3d have been already described by Matsumoto et al. ${ }^{6}$ and the cytotoxic activity of 3d toward the P388 cell line was reported ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). M ost of the synthesized compounds in this work have $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of micromolar order. Five pairs of regioisomers $\mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ have been tested; for three of them $\mathbf{3 c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{5 c}, \mathbf{d}$, and $\mathbf{1 2 c}$, $\mathbf{d}$ the cytoxic activity of the two isomers was similar. For the couple $\mathbf{9 c}$,d, the isomer 9d was approximatively 10 times more active than $9 \mathbf{9}$ whereas for the couple 17c,d, the isomer 17d was approximatively 100 times more active than 17c.

Among the different substituents, the methoxy group gave the more active compounds, the better position of substitution being $R_{5}$ and after $R_{1}$ and $R_{3}$. The introduction of a methoxy group both at $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ led to the most active compound of the series (17d). The activity decreased when the second methoxy group was at $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ instead of $R_{1}(19 d)$.

The effect of substituents on the cyctotoxicity was already studied on the two related ascididemin ${ }^{4 a}$ and meridine ${ }^{4 b}$ series. A difference in selectivity was observed between the compounds of these series and the tetracydic compounds considered in this study. Ascididemin and meridine derivatives exhibited a low cytotoxic activity against LoVo cell line whereas pyridophenanthrolines have a normal activity. On the opposite, pyridophenanthrolines have low activity against J 82 cell line, when ascididemin and meridine anal ogues have good activity. Despite this difference of selectivity, Matsumoto et al. ${ }^{6}$ reported the same mechanism of

Table 1. Characterization of the in Vitro Cytotoxic-Related Antitumor Effects $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right.$ value $\left.\times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of the Compounds Listed

| compounds | cell lines ( $\mathrm{C}_{50,} \mu \mathrm{M}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-87M G | U-373MG | SW 1088 | T24 | J 82 | HCT-15 | LoVo | MCF 7 | T-47D | A-549 | A-427 | PC-3 | MTD (mg/kg) |
| 3c | 0.5 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 7 | 5 | 10 |
| 3d | 6 | 4 | 5 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 10 |
| 4c | 0.8 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 5 | 20 |
| 5c | 4 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 10 |
| 5d | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.3 | 0.07 | 0.9 | 5 |
| 6d | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | > 10 | 10 |
| 8d | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0.9 | 4 | 1 | 0.9 | 4 | 10 |
| 9c | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | > 160 |
| 9d | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.06 | 0.8 | 0.09 | 0.7 | 0.06 | 6 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | > 160 |
| 10d | $\mathrm{NT}^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NT |
| 11d | NT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NT |
| 12c | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | > 10 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 6 | > 160 |
| 12d | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 40 |
| 14d | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 40 |
| 15d | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | > 10 | 6 | > 10 | 40 |
| 17c | 0.8 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 0.8 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 80 |
| 17d | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.04 | 20 |
| 18d | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 6 | > 160 |
| 19d | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1 | > 160 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The $\mathrm{C}_{50}$ value constitutes the concentration of the compound which inhibits the growth of the human cancer cells by $50 \%$ as compared to the control value. Six concentrations ranging from $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to 0.1 nM were assayed on 12 different human cancer cell lines for each compound under study. The drug-induced effects at cell line growth level were determined by means of the MTT colorimteric assay. Data represent the mean values for three independant assays. The values for standard errors are not reported here (for the sake in clarity of the tables) because they reach less tha $3 \%$ of the mean values. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ MTD was defined following single ip injection to B6D2F 1/jico mice. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ NT $=$ not tested.
action for ascididemin and compound 3d, oxidative damage to DNA via a thiol-dependent conversion of oxygen to DNA-cleaving oxygen radical.
The synthesized pyridophenanthrolines have also different toxicities depending on the substituent. The compounds sharing a methoxy group at $R_{5}$ have the lower toxicity (except 17d). There was no clear-cut relationship between cytotoxicity against the different cell lines and toxicity; for example, 9d has a good cytotoxicity associated with a MTD > $160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ whereas $\mathbf{1 7 d}$, which is the best cytotoxic compound of the series, has a relatively high toxicity (MTD $=20 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ).
In conclusion, a good series of pyridophenanthrolines with high cytotoxic activity and selectivity was obtained. Experiments are under way to characterize the mechanisms of action of these drugs and to discover further antitumor activity on in vivo models.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Chemical Synthesis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were obtained with a J EOL 400 MHz spectrometer with the chemical shifts of the remaining protons of the deuterated solvents serving as internal standards. IR spectra were obtained with a Perkin-Elmer ( 1600 series FTIR) spectrometer. Mass spectra (MS) were recorded on an automass Unicam spectrometer. Reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Chromatography was performed on silica gel ( $15-40 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) using the sol vent systems indicated below. The purity of the different ascididemin analogues was evaluated on two analytical chromatographic systems. System I consisted of a inertsil ODS-3, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6$ mm ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA (see composition) at $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, 260 nm , and system II consisted of a Kromasil SI, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ 100 A column ( $250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), isooctane/EtOH (see composition) at 1 or $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate, 250 nm .

2-Chloro-4-methylquinoline-5,8-dione. A solution of 2-chloro-5,8-dimethoxy-4-methylquinoline ${ }^{9}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cerium ammonium nitrate ( $16 \mathrm{~g}, 29.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(140 \mathrm{~mL} / 60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for $40 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(260 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$(6 \times 400 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After concentration to dryness, the expected quinone was obtained as an orange solid ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ), mp $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$; 7.07 (d, 1H, J $=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ; $7.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 21.89; 126.22 ; 131.37; 136.96; 139.50; 148.46; 153.62; 155.87; 181.91; 185.78. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1669,1685 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

N-BOC-1-amino-3-hydroxypropane. To a solution of 3-amino-1-propanol ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dioxane $(60 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $4.2 \mathrm{~g}, 29.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room-temperature overnight and was acidified to pH 1 with concentrated HCl . The mixture was extracted with ACOEt ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and the organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated over vacuum to give the expected product as a yellow oil ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.20(\mathrm{br}$. s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 156.88; 79.14; 59.08; 36.89; 32.34; 28.15.

N-BOC-3-aminopropanal. A suspension of N-BOC-1-amino-2-hydroxypropane ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, 103 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO, $1.62 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and N -chlorosuccinimide ( 21 $\mathrm{g}, 157.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture $0.5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3 / 0.05 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(350$ mL ) and $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(350 \mathrm{~mL})$ was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The organic layer was recovered, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated over vacuum to yield quantitatively the expected aldehyde as an orange oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.98$ (br. s, 1H); 9.90 (s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 23.39 (3C); 29.21; 39.69; 74.18; 150.88; 196.91.

N-BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al. A solution of N-BOC-3aminopropanal ( $11 \mathrm{~g}, 63.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and formylmethylenetriphenylphosphorane ( $24.3 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 350 mL ) was refluxed for 9 h . The solvent was evaporated over vacuum, and the crude product was first purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right)$ to remove triphenyl phosphine and then again (AcOEt/ heptane 8:2) to obtain the expected product as a yellow-orange oil ( $3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1.35 (s, 9H); 2.44 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.90(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8$ and 15.6 Hz ); 6.74 (td, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$ and 15.6 Hz ); 9.39 (d, 1 H , $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

N-BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al dimethylhydrazone. N -BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al ( $3.88 \mathrm{~g}, 19.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a solution of dimethylhydrazine ( $1.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and acetic acid ( 0.3 mL ) in ether ( 30 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min , and the organic layer was separated and washed with 1 N HCl and brine. After being dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentration over vacuum, the hydrazone was obtained as an orange oil ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.40$ (s, 9H); $2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.52(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 5.70 (td, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8$ and 15.6 Hz$) ; 6.22(\mathrm{ddd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=0.8,8.8$ and 15.6 Hz ); $6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 28.15$; 33.05; 39.58; 42.51; 78.77; 130.84; 130.95; 135.54; 155.68.

Formation of the Diazaanthraquinone Compounds by Diels-Alder Reaction. General Method A. A mixture of dienophile, diene, and acetic anhydride in solvent was stirred. The solvent was evaporated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography to obtain the mixture of the two isomers. A mixture of these isomers and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ in sol vent was refluxed for 2 h , cooled, and filtered over Celite. The filtrate was concentrated over vacum and purified by flash chromatography to give the two expected isomers.

4-Methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (3a) and 4-Methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (3b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of quinoline-5,8-dione (0.5 $\mathrm{g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and acetic anhydride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was sonicated for 1 h . After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right)$, the mixture of the two isomers and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 2 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98\right.$ : 2) gave the expected compounds:
(3a) brown solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ), mp $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.75(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4$ and $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$ and 7.6 Hz$) ; 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 9.12$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$ and 4 Hz$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.75$; 127.93; 128.04; 129.32; 131.50; 135.50; 148.73; 149.26; 152.11; 153.68; 155.47; 181.46; 182.87. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(3b) brown solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ), mp $270^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and 8.4 Hz ); 8.59 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$ and 8.4 Hz$) ; 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.11(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2$ and 4.8 Hz$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 22.81; 128.30; 128.39; 130.84; 131.55; 135.52; 147.90; 149.95; 151.74; 153.94; 155.35; 180.42; 184.02. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1672 ; 1700$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

4-(N-BOC-1-aminoethane)-pyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10dione (3a') and 4-(N-BOC-1-aminoethane)-pyrido[3,2-g]-quinoline-5,10-dione (3b'). M ethod $A$ was used and involved a mixture of quinoline-5,8-dione ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N-BOC-5-amino-2-pentenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 3.14 \mathrm{mmd}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was sonicated for 20 min . After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right)$, the mixture of the two isomers and $85 \%$ $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 2 h. The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ gave the expected compounds:
(3a') brown solid (100 mg, 9\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; 3.5 (m, 4H); 4.80 (br. s, 1H); 7.58 (d, 1H, J $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7.76 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and 8.0 Hz$) ; 8.69(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$ and 8.0 Hz$)$; $8,96(d, 1 H, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.13(d d, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0$ and 4.8 Hz$) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 28.43; 35.85; 40.63; 79.67; 128.46; 129.51; 129.71; 131.88; 135.91; 149.04; 149.90; 153.04; 154.25; 155.84; 156.21; 181.57; 183.4. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(3b') brown solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.35(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H ); 3.46 (m, 4H); 4.74 (br. s 1H); $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.77(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and 8.0 Hz$) ; 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2$ and $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.14$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.2$ and 4.8 Hz ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 28.30; 35.52; 40.21; 79.51; 128.33; 128.43; 130.90; 131.48; 135.65; 147.83; 150.19; 152.31; 153.97; 155.42; 155.84; 180.20; 184.10. IR ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ) 1672; 1676; 1702 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

4-Chloro-9-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (4a) and 4-Chloro-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (4b). Method $A$ was used and involved a mixture of 4-chloro-quinoline-5,8-dione ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 10.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 10.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride (1.46 $\mathrm{mL}, 15.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(28 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was sonicated for 1 h. After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$
the mixture of the two isomers and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(12.3 \mathrm{~g}, 120.3$ mmol) in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(140 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 3 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ gave the expected compounds:
(4a) brown solid (200 mg, 8\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.50 ;$ 126.83; 128.12; 130.78; 131.22; 145.78; 150.11; 151.00; 151.79; 154.04; 154.17; 180.05; 182.20. IR ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ) $1684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(4b) brown solid ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ), mp $216{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $2.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.67 ; 128.35 ; 130.09 ; 131.41 ; 131.99 ; 145.49 ; 148.84 ;$ 150.02; 151.54; 154.10; 154.14; 180.13; 183.09. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right)$ 1678; $1696 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4-Chloro-9-(N-BOC-1-aminoethane)-5,10-dihydropyrido-[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (4a') and 4-Chloro-6-(N-BOC-1-aminoethane)-5,10-di hydropyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10dione (4b'). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 4-chloroquinoline-5,8-dione ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -BOC-5-amino-2-pentenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was sonicated for 30 min . After concentration, the mixture and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 26.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(22 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 2 h . The second flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1$ ) gave the expected compounds:
(4a') brown solid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 1.35 (s, 9H ); 3.45-3.52 (m, 4H); 4.86 (br. s, 1H); 7.56 (d, 1H, $\mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N MR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 28.37 ; 35.32 ; 40.30$; 79.47; 126.84; 128.04; 130.88; 131.17; 145.78; 150.34; 150.98; 152.29; 154.05; 154.36; 155.88; 179.76; 182.32. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1695$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
(4b') brown solid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \%$ ), mp $>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.86(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 28.24 ;$ 34.96; 40.33; 79.47; 128.46; 130.15; 131.06; 131.59; 145.20; 148.76; 149.71; 151.74; 153.88; 153.92; 155.84; 179.76; 183.20. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4-Methoxy-9-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (5a) and 4-Methoxy-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (5b). M ethod A was used and involved a mixture of 4-methoxyquinoline-5,8-dione ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 2.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was refluxed for 1 h . After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ 98:2), the mixture of the two isomers and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}$, $22.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(26 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 2 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ gave the expected compounds:
(5a) red solid (57 mg 9\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.06$ (s, 3H); $7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.871$ $(d, 1 H, J=6 H z) ; 8.874(d, 1 H, J=4.4 H z) . I R\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1683$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
(5b) orange solid ( $293 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.2(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 21.75; 43.41; 112.74; 119.72; 130.93; 131.04; 148.32; 149.22; 150.26; 151.60; 152.80; 155.11; 181.44; 184.53. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1675 ; 1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

4-Nitro-9-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (7a) and 4-Nitro-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (7b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 4-nitro-quinoline-5,8-dione ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( 0.55 mL , $5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was sonicated for 30 min . After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$, the mixture of the two isomers and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(2.9 \mathrm{~g}, 28.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(29 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 2 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ gave the expected compounds:
(7a) yellow solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.98$ ( s , 3 H ); 7.19 (d, 1H, J $=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1703$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
(7b) yellow solid ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.85$ (s, 3 H ); $7.6(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.99(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
4-(Dimethylamino)-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline$\mathbf{5 , 1 0}$-dione (8b). A solution of compound $\mathbf{7 b}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.558$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide diethyl acetal (DMF-DEA, $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ( 2.1 mL ) was warmed at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After concentration of the solvent over vacuum, the expected dimethylamino derivative was obtained and used without further purification in the next step ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.77$ (s, 3H); 3.05 (s, 6H ); 6.89 (d, 1H, 6.0 Hz ); 7.39 (d, $1 \mathrm{H}, 4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

3-Methoxy-4-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (9a) and 3-Methoxy-4-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (9b). Method A was used and invol ved a mixture of quinoline-5,8-dione ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methoxy-2butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ${ }^{10}(1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 12.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5\right)$, the mixture of the two isomers and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right)$ gave the expected compounds:
(9a) brown solid ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.67(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.1$ and 1.9 Hz ); 9.10 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and 1.9 Hz ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 13.03; 56.87; 127.88; 129.50; 129.95; 135.50; 136.64; 139.26; 142.56; 149.33; 155.11; 157.24; 180.63; 183.56. IR ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ) $1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(9b) brown solid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.6$ and $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0$ and 1.6 Hz$) ; 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.12$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.6$ and 1.6 Hz ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 12.98 ; 56.93$; 127.99; 129.06; 131.27; 135.53; 136.84; 138.81; 143.27; 148.16; 155.20; 157.16, 179.69; 184.59. IR ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ) 1670; $1692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

3-Ethoxycarbonyl-6-(2-N-BOC-aminoethyl)pyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (10b'). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 3-ethylquinolinecarboxylate-5,8-dione ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 4.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N -BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) which was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After concentration, the mixture and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~g}, 48.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 1.5 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99\right.$ : 1) gave the expected compound as a brown sol id ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.47(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 3,52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.51(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.78(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.17(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 14.33$; 28.40; 35.74; 40.22; 62.62; 79.63; 128.65; 130.33; 130.49; 131.83; 137.30; 149.60; 150.23; 152.72; 154.23; 155.72; 155.98; 163.52; 179.69; 183.38. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 3457; 1726; 1705; 1677 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

2-Hydroxy-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (11b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 5,8dioxocarbostyril ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 5.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.703 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( 6.2 mL , 65.71 mmol ) in acetonitrile ( 220 mL ) which was refluxed for 6 h. After the first flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$, $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~g}, 29.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right)$ gave the expected compound as a beige solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>$ $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 12.27 (br. $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 21.92; 114.30; 122.66; 127.30; 131.52; 135.94; 148.60; 149.80; 152.48 (2C); 176.41; 182.13 (2C). IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1684 ; 1664 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2-Hydroxy-6-(2-N-Boc-aminoethyl)pyrido[3,2-g]quino-line-5,10-dione(11b'). Method A was used and involved a
mixture of 5,8 -dioxocarbostyril ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 5.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N-BOC-5-amino-2-penten-1-al N,N-dimethylhydrazone (1.49 g, 6.15 mmol ), and acetic anhydride ( $5.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 61.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 30 mL ) which was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. After concentration, the mixture and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(7 \mathrm{~g}, 68.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 1.5 h . Purification on flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ gave the expected compound as a brown solid ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp} 252^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 1.36 (s, 9H); 3.49 (m, 4H); 4.73 (br. s, 1H); $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.66(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 28.29$ (3С); 35.53; 40.24; 77.8; 117.01; 127.87; 128.62; 132.29; 136.18; 138.04; 148.25; 152.26; 153.33; 155.86; 176.36; 181.35. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3457 ; 3340 ; 1693 ; 1663 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2-Methoxy-6-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (12a) and 2-Methoxy-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quino-line-5,10-dione (12b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 2-methoxyquinoline-5,8-dione ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 6.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-butenal $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylhydrazone ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 7.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( $7.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 79.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 150 mL ) which was stirred at room temperature for $68 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (85\%) ( $7 \mathrm{~g}, 68.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . Purification on flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1$ ) gave the expected compounds:
(12a) brown solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.80$; 54.72 ; 117.30; 124.89; 128.96; 131.22; 137.91; 148.23; 149.40; 151.76; 153.55; 167.66; 180.92; 183.24. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1685,1603 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(12b) brown solid ( $550 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ), mp $128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 29.69; 54.92; 117.58; 126.24; 128.09; 131.30; 137.73; 147.31; 150.00; 151.34; 153.38; 167.39; 180.44; 183.70. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1698 ; 1667 ; 1603 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2,4-Dichloro-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (13b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 2,4 -chloroquinoline-5,8-dione ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 2.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-butenal dimethylhydrazone ( $0.325 \mathrm{~g}, 2.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and acetic anhydride ( 5 mL ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere, in the dark for 20 h. After concentration, the crude product and $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ (85\%) (3.65 g, 35.7 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(140 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred at room temperature for 56 h . Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ gave the product as a brown solid ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ), mp $177^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.41$; 125.44; 127.84; 131.13; 131.30; 147.44; 149.81; 150.62; 151.90; 154.30; 156.58; 179.12; 180.66. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1706; $1683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2,4-Dimethoxy-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (14b). A mixture of compound 13b ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium methoxyde ( $300 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na} / 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ MeOH; 13.04 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 17 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was neutralized with $25 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the sol vent was removed over vacuum to yield quantitatively the expected compound as a brown solid, $\mathrm{mp} 219{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 8.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 22.64 ; 54.73$; 56.80; 97.79; 117.61; 129.55; 131.46; 148.67; 149.41; 150.73; 152.96; 167.95; 168.00; 180.91; 183.41. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1701; 1668 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

3-Methoxy-4-methyl-6-chloropyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (16b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of chloroquinolinedione ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 7.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methoxy-2butenal dimethylhydrazone ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was stirred at room temperature in the dark for 5.5 h . After the first flash chromatography, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2$ ), the major isomer and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 30 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . The second flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 97: 3$ ) gave the product as a yellow solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.68$
(s, 3H); $4.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 8.90 (d, 1H, J = 5.2 Hz$).{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 12.96; 56.97; 128.92; 130.72; 130.98; 136.95; 138.12; 141.93; 145.06; 150.21; 153.85; 157.55; 179.31; 183.67. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1696 ; 1684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

3,9-Dimethoxy-4-methylpyrido[2,3-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (17a, tricycle73) and 3,6-Dimethoxy-4-methylpyrido-[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (17b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 4-methoxyquinoline-5,8-dione ( 6.0 g , $31.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-methoxy-2-butenal dimethylhydrazone $(6.75 \mathrm{~g}, 47.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(210 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere, in the dark, for 16 h . After the first flash chromatography $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 95:5), the mixture of the two isomers and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(29 \mathrm{~g}$, 283.5 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The second flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right.$ 97:3) gave the expected products:
(17a) yellow solid ( $245 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ), mp> $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 12.99 ; 56.89 ; 56.99 ; 111.07 ; 119.54 ; 128.56 ; 136.90 ;$ 138.40; 143.56; 152.0; 155.52; 156.80; 166.53; 180.24; 184.22. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
(17b) brown solid (992 mg, 11\%), mp > $260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 12.85; 56.81; 56.84; 111.14; 121.32; 130.95; 136.43; 137.79; 141.95; 150.31; 155.44; 157.33; 165.97; 180.13; 184.24. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1678,1692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

3-Methoxy-4-methyl-6-dimethylaminopyrido[3,2-g]quino-line-5,10-dione (18b). A solution of compound 16b (90 mg, 0.31 mmol ), dimethylamine hydrochloride ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56$ mmol), and NaOH ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}: 2$ mL ) was refluxed for 1 h . After concentration, a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ( 50 mL ) was added to the crude product. The organic layer was separated and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After concentration, the crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 95: 5\right)$ to give the compound as a yellow solid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.64$ (s, 3H); 3.06 (s, 6H ); 4.08 (s, 3H); $6.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $8.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 12.62 ;$ 43.40; 56.80; 112.39; 120.50; 132.23; 135.90; 136.08; 141.86; 150.53; 151.70; 155.04; 157.19; 180.67; 185.45. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1693; $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

2,7-Dimethoxy-6-methylpyrido[3,2-g]quinoline-5,10-dione (19b). Method A was used and involved a mixture of 2-methoxyquinoline-5,8-dione ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methoxy-2-butenal dimethylhydrazone ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (70 mL ) which was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere, in the dark for 40 h . After concentration the crude product and $85 \% \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ gave the product as a brown solid ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.20$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.63$ (s, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 54.41 ; 57.43$ (2C); 116.44; 125.13; 125.62; 129.64; 137.76; 139.53; 142.19; 148.19; 153.58; 165.72; 166.67; 177.99; 181.13. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1693 ; 1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Formation of the Tetracyclic Compounds. General Method B. A mixture of diazaantraquinone and dimethylformamide diethylacetal in DMF was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration of the solvent, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and sol vent were added, and the reaction media was refluxed. The solvent was removed, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and the crude product was purified to give the expected tetracyclic compound. General Method C. A mixture of diazaanthraquinone and trifluoroacetic acid was stirred at room temperature. TFA was removed over vacum, and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ saturated solution and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ were added. The organic layer was recovered and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The sol vent was removed over vacuum to yield the tetracyclic compound.

7H-Pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7-one (3c). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 3a (0.63
g, 2.70 mmol ) and DMF-DEA ( $1.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was warmed at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 65.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and ethanol ( 60 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50$ mL ). After drying, the organic layers were concentrated to give the expected tetracydic compound as a green solid ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ), $\operatorname{mp} 240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.68(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4$ and 8.0 $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.77$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.6$ and 8.0 Hz ); $9.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.16$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.6$ and 4.4 Hz ); $9.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 120.95; 124.40; 126.14; 129.32; 136.78; 139.09; 147.45; 148.58; 148.82; 148.96; 150.66; 152.00; 155.73; 181.96. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m/z} 233$ (96); 205 (100); 178 (29). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 5.41 min ( $98.7 \%$ purity), using system I $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA}\right.$ 20:80:0.1), and $t_{R}$ is 7.85 min ( $97.3 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

7H-Pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7-one (3d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 3b (0.87 $\mathrm{g}, 3.88 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF-DEA ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 6.1 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 91.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethanol ( 780 mL ) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated to give the expected tetracydic compound as a yellow solid ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.76(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4$ and 8.0 $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.93(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); $9.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.6$ and 4.4 Hz$) ; 9.17$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.6$ and 8.0 Hz$) ; 9.19(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 119.39 ; 120.01 ; 123.85 ; 128.15 ; 132.87 ; 133.80 ; 138.65$; 147.54; 147.74; 148.93; 149.49; 149.99; 152.97; 180.73. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 233$ (100); 205 (68); 178 (56); 151 (42). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 9.11 min ( $92 \%$ purity), using system I $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ TFA 20:80:0.1), and $t_{R}$ is 10.50 min ( $100 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80:20), Flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

8-Chloro-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7one (4c). Method $C$ was used and involved a mixture of compound 4a' ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and TFA ( 2.6 mL ) which was stirred for 64 h . After evaporation of TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ 95:5 ( 200 mL ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The residue was washed with ether to yield the tetracyclic compound as a brown solid ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.68$ (d, 1H, J $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; 9.19 (d, 1H, J = 5.5 Hz ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 119.87; 120.88; 123.61; 126.31; 129.01; 138.56; 146.87; 147.37; 148.46; 148.94; 149.76; 153.85; 153.96; 179.87. MS (m/z) 268 (17); 266 (37); 240 (19); 239 (65); 238 (41); 204 (100). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 5.22 min (99.9\% purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 30: 70: 0.1$ ), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 15.15 min (99.9\% purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80: 20), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

8-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7one (5c). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 5a ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 2.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF -DEA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.7$ mmol) in DMF ( 5.2 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4.5$ $\mathrm{g}, 84.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethanol ( 67 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (50 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ to give the expected tetracyclic compound as an orange solid ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ ), mp $>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.82(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 9,07(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 56.77; 109.26; 119.08; 119.70; 120.47; 123.09; 138.50; 147.85; 148.25; 148.69; 150.66; 154.08; 155.68; 167.54; 180.40. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS m/z 263 (89); 262 (100); 234 (40); 233 (38); 206 (30); 205 (75). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.75 min (99.7\% purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 20: 80: 0.1$ ), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 11.69
min (97.0\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 60:40), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

11-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7one (5d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 5b ( $1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 4.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF-DEA ( $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 8 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(4.5$ $\mathrm{g}, 84.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanol ( 67 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2$ ) to give the expected tetracyclic compound as a yellow solid ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{H}}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $4.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.70(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 57.05; 111.33; 118.72; 119.61; 122.12; 124.29; 138.56; 146.71; 147.10; 148.69; 149.81; 150.96; 153.13; 165.83; 180.82. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1691 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 263$ (87); 262 (100); 234 (28); 205 (69). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.76 min ( $99.1 \%$ purity), using system I $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ /TFA 20:80:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 22.66 min (99.1\% purity), 11.69 min using system II (isooctane/EtOH 60:40), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

11-Hydroxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7one ( $6 \mathbf{d}$ ). Method $C$ was used and involved a mixture of compound $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.129 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TFA ( 0.5 mL ) which was stirred for 24 h . After evaporation of TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (until pH 10) were added. Concentration of the organic layer gave the expected tetracyclic as a yellow solid $(20 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%), \mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.72(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 14.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d 6 ) 116.47 ; 117.05; 118.90; 119.74; 123.74; 138.58; 143.86; 14.92; 14.73; 15.15; $15.55 ; 16.78 ; 180.29$. $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 4.81 min ( $98.3 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA 10:90:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is $15.57 \mathrm{~min}(96.1 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80:20), flow rate 2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

11-(Dimethylamino)-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenan-throlin-7-one (8d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound $\mathbf{8 b}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMF-DEA ( 0.21 $\mathrm{mL}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1.2 mL ) which was warmed at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethanol ( 80 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 40 min . After concentration $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 5 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated to give quantitatively the expected tetracyclic compound as a red solid, which decomposes before melting. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $3.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.39$ (2C); 114.03; 117.24; 119.27; 119.72; 123.95; 138.71; 146.66; 147.09; 148.74; 150.46; 151.14; 151.75; 156.77; 181.74. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 276$ (24); 275 (32); 261 (100); 260 (95); 247 (34); 246 (38). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 4.08 min ( $97.3 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA 10:90:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 14.34 min (98.8\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

4-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7one (9c). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 9a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF -DEA ( 0.27 mL , 1.58 mmol ) in DMF ( 0.7 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethanol ( 90 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ) to give the expected tetracyclic compound as a brown solid ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.65(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.8$ and 8.0 Hz ); $8.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.78(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.0$
and 1.9 Hz ); $9.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{1H}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.13(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.9$ and 4.8 Hz$)$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 56.97 ; 115.63 ; 120.81 ; 125.52$; 129.02; 129.16; 130.22; 136.24; 139.81; 147.37; 149.31; 151.65; 153.07; 154.81; 180.34. IR ( $\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}$ ) $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 4.57 min (99.5\% purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 30: 70: 0.1$ ), and $t_{R}$ is 5.59 min (99.4\%purity), using system II (isooctane/ $\mathrm{EtOH} 70: 30$ ), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

4-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7one (9d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 9b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF-DEA ( 0.27 mL , 1.58 mmol ) in DMF ( 0.7 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.6$ $\mathrm{g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanol ( 90 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2$ ) to give the expected tetracyclic compound as a yellow solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 59 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.4$ and 8.1 Hz ); $8.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; 9.05 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.9$ and 4.4 Hz ); 9.19 (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.9$ and $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 57.03 ; 115.16 ; 119.70 ; 127.69$; 129.48; 130.15; 132.86; 133.74; 140.82; 146.80; 147.98; 148.63; 152.81; 152.98; 179.84. IR $\left(\mathrm{HCCl}_{3}\right) 1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 13.75 min (99.5\% purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 30: 70: 0.1$ ), and $t_{R}$ is 11.45 min ( $95.3 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/ EtOH 80:20), flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

10-E thoxycarbonyl-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenan-throlin-7-one (10d). Method $C$ was used and involved a mixture of compound 10b' ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TFA ( 0.27 $\mathrm{mL}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) which was stirred for 64 h . After evaporation of TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. Concentration of the organic layer and purification of the crude product by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ gave the expected tetracyd ic compound as a yellow solid ( $11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ), mp 246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.49(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 4.53(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7,85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.56(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.73(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 14.32$; 62.29; 119.61; 120.39; 124.04; 129.94; 132.60; 135.46; 138.77; 147.74; 148.78; 149.17; 149.46; 153.23; 164.15; 180.20 (1C not observed). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1694; $1726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 305$ (91); 304 (100); 260 (7); 232 (93); 204 (24). $t_{R}$ is 5.16 min ( $94.5 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA}$ 50:50:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 9.13 min (98.6\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80:20), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

9-Hydroxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7one (11d). Method $C$ was used and involved a mixture of compound 11b' ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.135 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TFA ( $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 7$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 30 mL ) which was stirred for 48 h . After evaporation of TFA, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(13 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 \times$ 30 mL ). Concentration of the organic layer and purification of the crude product by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 97\right.$ : 2) gave the expected tetracyclic compound as an orange solid ( $16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 1690; 1667; $1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS: m/z 249 (100); 221 (78); 193 (99). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 11.41 min ( $99.7 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA 20:80:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 11.63 min ( $97.8 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80:20), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

9-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7one (12c). Method $B$ was used and involved a mixture of compound 12a ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMF-DEA ( $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.23$ mmol ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under nitrogen atmosphere for 1 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.48$ $\mathrm{g}, 8.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanol ( 80 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product
was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 98: 2\right)$ to give the tetracyclic compound as yellow solid ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 54.64; 114.60; 120.50; 120.55; 123.65; 124.45; 138.57; 138.97; 147.38; 148.13; 148.53; 150.67; 151.51; 167.58; 180.88 . IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1669,1593 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 263 (77); 233 (99); 204 (35). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 6.78 min ( $99.7 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA $30: 70: 0.1$ ), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 20.43 min (97.8\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80:20), flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

9-Methoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7one (12d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 12b ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMF -DEA ( 0.47 mL , 2.74 mmol ) in DMF ( 3.2 mL ) which was refluxed, under nitrogen atmosphere for 2 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ (1.4 $\mathrm{g}, 26.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethanol ( 200 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL ) was added, and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $5 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). After drying, the organic layers were concentrated, and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right)$ to give the tetracydic compound as a brown solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 53.41 ; 117.66; 118.54; 118.93; 123.70; 127.73; 136.29; 138.52; 145.95; 147.45; 148.03; 148.83; 150.19; 165.86; 180.55. IR ( $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1686$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 263$ (8); 233 (25); 204 (30). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 12.87 min ( $99.6 \%$ purity), using system I $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 30: 70: 0.1\right)$, and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 11.14 min ( $96.0 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 80: 20), flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

9,11-Dimethoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7-one (14d). Method $B$ was used and involved a mixture of compound 14b ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMFDEA ( 0.22 mL , 1.29 mmol ) in DMF ( 1.5 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EtOH $(95 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right)$ gave the expected compound as an orange solid ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; 4.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); $6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 54.39 ; 57.02 ; 98.26 ; 117.89 ; 118.64 ; 118.86$; 124.16; 138.50; 146.93; 147.09; 148.29; 148.62; 151.50; 166.32; 167.73; 180.65. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1688 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS: m/z 293 (15); 292 (28); 233 (24); 204 (13); 165 (10). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.75 min ( $99.7 \%$ purity), using system ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA}$ 20:80:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 8.06 min (99.4\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

9-Chloro-11-(dimethylamino)-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]-phenanthrolin-7-one (15d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 0.387 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMFDEA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1.1 mL ) which was warmed at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.7$ $\mathrm{g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and EtOH ( 95 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 99: 1\right)$ gave the expected compound as a red-purple solid ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ ), mp $246{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $3.04(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 44.39$; 113.57; 117.60; 119.00; 119.37; 123.99; 138.50; 146.51; 146.77; 148.83; 150.68; 150.89; 153.68; 158.21; 180.05. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS: m/z 311 (19); 309 (11); 296 (89); 294 (100); 269 (4); 267 (1); 204 (66). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 5.85 min ( $99.7 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} /$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA 30:70:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 10.87 min ( $99.3 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

4,8-Dimethoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,10]phenanthrolin-7-one (17c). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 17a ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMFDEA ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.25$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3.5 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 37.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and MeOH ( 300 mL ) were added and the mixture was refluxed for 9 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$. flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 97: 3$ ) gave the expected compound as a brown solid ( $56 \mathrm{mg} 17 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$; $8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (CDCI ${ }_{3}$ ) 56.71; 56.88; 109.08; 115.72; 119,42; 120.02; 129.02; 130.19; 140.83; 147.54; 149.82; 152.48; 154.30; 155.30; 167.62; 179.75. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 293$ (44); 279 (13); 278 (100). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.67 min ( $100 \%$ purity), using system I $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ TFA 30:70:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{R}$ is 12.89 min ( $99.5 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate 2 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
4,11-Dimethoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7-one (17d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 17b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMFDEA ( 0.24 mL , 1.23 mmol ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EtOH ( 100 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 $\min$. After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. Flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5$ ) gave the expected compound as a yellow solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 4.08 (s, 3H); 4.26 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); 7.54 (d, 1H, J $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); 7,98 (d, 1H, $5,9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8,77(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.94(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}$ $=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{6}$ ) 57.41; 58.07; 112.43; 113.75; 119.84; 122.13; 129.60; 130.54; 140.17; 146.81; 150.17; 150.62; 153.03; 153.35; 166.06; 179.30. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ 1682; 1608; 1572 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. MS: m/z 293 (34); 292 (42); 220 (19); 192 (30); 165 (22). $t_{R}$ is 3.71 min ( $98.0 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ / TFA 30:70:0.1), and $t_{R}$ is 16.60 min ( $95.3 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
4-Methoxy-11-(dimethylamino)-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]-phenanthrolin-7-one (18d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 18b ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMFDEA ( $0.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 2 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}$, 7.48 mmol ) and EtOH ( 90 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min . After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH} 95: 5\right)$ gave the expected compound as a red solid ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ), which decomposes before melting. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.08$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ 44.28; 56.94; 112.14; 113.63; 119.38; 119.73; 129.31; 129.99; 140.20; 145.81; 150.31; 150.63; 151.41; 152.99; 156.77; 180.57. IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $1682 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \mathrm{MS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 306$ (52); 305 (32); 291 (100); 290 (66); 276 (24); 248 (9); 220 (13); 193 (21). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.69 min ( $100 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA} 30: 70: 0.1$ ), and $t_{R}$ is 10.51 min ( $100 \%$ purity), using system II (isooctane/ EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.
4,9-Dimethoxy-7H-pyrido[4,3,2-de][1,7]phenanthrolin-7-one (19d). Method B was used and involved a mixture of compound 19b ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMFDEA ( 0.24 mL , 1.4 mmol ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) which was warmed at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h. After concentration, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and EtOH ( 100 mL ) were added, and the mixture was refluxed for 30 min. After concentration, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ gave the expected compound as a green solid ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ ), $\mathrm{mp}>260{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; $4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;$ $8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}) ; 9.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 54.44; 56.92; 114.04; 117.17; 118.86; 127.74; 129.43; 129.99; 136.29; 141.16; 146.36; 146.72; 149.38; 152.94; 165.80; 179.70. IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. MS: m/z 293 (44); 248 (100); 220 (12). $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 5.82 min ( $95.1 \%$ purity), using system I ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ TFA 30:70:0.1), and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is 3.31 min (98.0\%purity), using system II (isooctane/EtOH 70:30), flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Pharmacology. In Vitro Characterization of DrugInduced Effects with Respect to Human Cancer Cell Line Growth. Twelve human tumor cell lines were obtained from the American Type CultureCollection (ATCC, Manassas, VA). These included three glioblastomas (A-172, U-373 MG and U-87 MG), two colon (HCT-15 and LoVo), two non-small-cell-lung (A549 and A-427), two bladder (J 82 and T24), one prostate (PC-3), and two breast (T-47D and MCF7) cancer models. The ATCC numbers of these cell lines are CRL1620 (A-172), HTB 14 (U-87 MG), HTB 17 (U-373 MG), CCL225 (HCT-15), CCL 229 (LoVo), CCL 185 (A549), HBT 53 (A-427), HTB1 (J 82), HTB4 (T24), HTB133 (T-47D), HTB22 (MCF7), and CRL1435 (PC-3). The cells were cultured at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in sealed (airtight) Falcon plastic dishes (Nunc, Gibco, Belgium) containing Eagle's minimal essential medium (MEM, Gibco) supplemented with $5 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS). All the media were supplemented with a mixture of $0.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ glutamine (Gibco), $200 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin (Gibco), $200 \mathrm{IU} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin (Gibco), and $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ gentamycin (Gibco). The FCS was heat-inactivated for 1 h at $56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The 12 cell lines were incubated for 24 h in 96 -microwell plates (at a concentration of 40000 cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ culture medium) to ensure adequate plating prior to cell growth determination, which was carried out by means of the col orimetric MTT assay, as detailed previously. ${ }^{11,12}$ This assessment of cell population growth is based on the capability of living cells to reduce the yellow product MTT (3-(4,5)-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide; Sigma, St Louis, MO) to a blue product, formazan, by a reduction reaction occurring in the mitochondria. The number of living cells is directly proportional to the intensity of the blue, which is quantitatively measured by spectrophotometry on a DIAS microplate reader (Dynatech Laboratories, Guyancourt, France) at a 570 nm wavelength (with a reference of 630 nm ). Each experiment was carried out in sextuplicate. We validated the MTT-rel ated data using two alternative techniques, namely direct cell counting and the genomic incorporation of tritiated thymidine (data not shown).

Six concentrations ranging from $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ were assayed for each of the compounds under study (see Table 1).

In Vivo Determination of Drug-Induced Toxicity. Drug-induced toxicity can be monitored in vivo by determining the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). This MTD determination is carried out by defining the maximum dose of the drug which can be administered acutely (i.e., in one intraperitoneal single dose) to healthy animals (B6D2F 1 mice, Iffa Credo), i.e., not grafted with tumors. The survival and weight of the animals are recorded for up to 28 days postinjection. Six different doses of each drug (5, 10, 20, 40, 80, and $160 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) are used for the MTD index determination, with each experimental group being composed of three mice for this purpose.

Statistical Analysis. The statistical comparisons of the data were carried out by means of the Fisher F (one-way variance analysis for more than two groups) or the Student $t$ (for two groups) tests after a check of the equal ity of variance by means of the Levene test and of the normal distribution
fitting of the data by means of the $\chi^{2}$ test of goodness-of-fit. When these parametric conditions were not satisfied, the nonparametric Kruskall-Wallis (for more than two groups) or the Mann-Whitney (for two groups) tests were carried out. All the statistical analyses were carried out using Statistica (Statsoft, Tulsa, OK).
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