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#### Abstract

Introduction of nitrogen-containing heteroaromatic groups at the 4-position of the quinoline moiety of our non-peptide $B_{2}$ receptor antagonists resulted in enhancing binding affinities for the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor and reducing binding affinities for the guinea pig one, providing new structural insights into species difference. A CoMFA study focused on the diversity of the quinoline moiety afforded correlative and predictive QSAR models of binding for the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor but not for the guinea pig one. A series of 4-(1-imidazolyl)quinoline derivatives could be dissolved in a $5 \%$ aqueous solution of citric acid up to a concentration of $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$. A representative compound 48a inhibited the specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] B K$ to the cloned human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 0.26 nM and significantly inhibited BK-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs even at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ by intravenous administration.


## I ntroduction

Human kinins consist of two endogenous peptides, bradykinin (BK; Arg ${ }^{1}-\mathrm{Pro}^{2}-\mathrm{Pro}^{3}-\mathrm{Gly}^{4}-\mathrm{Ph}^{5}-\mathrm{Ser}^{6}-\mathrm{Pro}^{7}-$ Phe ${ }^{8}-\mathrm{Arg}^{9}$ ) and kallidin (KD; [Lys $\left.{ }^{0}\right] B K$; Lys ${ }^{1}-$ Arg $^{2}-$ Pro$^{3}-$ $\left.\mathrm{Pro}^{4}-\mathrm{Gly}^{5}-\mathrm{Phe}^{6}-\mathrm{Ser}^{7}-\mathrm{Pro}^{8}-\mathrm{Phe}^{9}-\mathrm{Arg}^{10}\right)$. BK is generated from high molecular weight kininogen by plasma kallikrein, while kallidin is released by tissue kallikrein from low molecular weight kininogen and is successively converted to BK through the action of aminopeptidases. Kinins can be degraded by a variety of enzymes called kininases, including kininase I, which cleaves the Cterminal arginine from kinins to produce [des-Arg ${ }^{9}$ ]BK and [des-Arg ${ }^{10}$ ]KD. ${ }^{1}$

There are at least two subtypes of specific G-proteincoupled cell surface receptors, designated as $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, both of which have been identified by molecular cloning and pharmacological means. ${ }^{2-4}$ C-Terminal des-arginated derivatives of kinins have strong affinities for the $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ receptor, which is induced under stressful and inflammatory conditions. ${ }^{5-7}$ On the other hand, kinins

[^0]Chart 1. Representative Peptide $B_{2}$ Receptor Antagonists

> D-Arg-[Hyp ${ }^{3}$, D-Phe $\left.{ }^{7}\right]-\mathrm{BK}$
> NPC567

D-Arg-Arg-Pro-Hyp-Gly-Thi-Ser-D-Tic-Oic-Arg 1(HOE140, Icatibant)

are highly potent agonists of the $B_{2}$ receptor, which is expressed constitutively in many tissues and is thought to mediate most of the biological actions of BK. 2,4
BK exhibits highly potent and diverse proinflammatory activities and is believed to play important roles in a variety of inflammatory diseases including asthma, rhinitis, pancreatitis, sepsis, rheumatoid arthritis, brain edema, angioneurotic edema, hepatorenal syndrome, ${ }^{8}$ and ulcerative colitis. ${ }^{9}$ Recently, it was also suggested that BK may be involved in the pathology of small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), ${ }^{10,11}$ bacterial and viral infections, ${ }^{12,13}$ and Alzheimer's disease. ${ }^{14}$ Therefore, the development of specific $B K$ antagonists has been of great importance for investigating the pathophysiological roles of BK and for developing a novel class of therapeutic drugs.
Since the discovery of the first peptide BK $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor antagonist, NPC567 ([D-Arg ${ }^{0}$, $\mathrm{Hyp}^{3}$, d-Phe $\left.{ }^{7}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ ), by Vavrek and Stewart in 1985, ${ }^{15}$ a number of peptide B 2

Chart 2. Representative Fujisawa Non-Peptide $B_{2}$ Antagonists (2, 3a-d, and 4)


## Scheme $1^{\text {a }}$


a Reagents: (a) 1-phenyl-2-buten-1-one, concentrated HCl .
antagonists have been synthesized, ${ }^{16-20}$ including the clinically evaluated second-generation antagonists 1 (HOE140; icatibant; [D-Arg ${ }^{0}, \mathrm{Hyp}^{3}$, $\mathrm{Thi}^{5}$, D-Tic ${ }^{7}$, $\mathrm{Oic}^{8}$ ]BK) and CP0127 (bradycor) (Chart 1). On the other hand, WIN64338 was disclosed as the first non-peptide $B_{2}$ antagonist in 1993. ${ }^{21}$ However, this compound was not so selective ${ }^{22}$ and was practically inactive against the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor in isol ated human umbilical vein. ${ }^{23}$ In 1996, we presented 3a (FR 173657) as the first potent, selective, and orally active non-peptide $B K B_{2}$ receptor antagonist. Since then, we have reported several novel classes of non-peptide $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ antagonists, ${ }^{24-30}$ represented by 2, 3b,c, and 4 (Chart 2). Recently, several new pseudopeptide ${ }^{31-33}$ and non-peptide ${ }^{34-39}$ compounds were described as $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor antagonists.

We recently initiated a new research program to discover non-peptide $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ antagonists that would be suitable for intravenous infusion for the treatment of life-threatening inflammatory disorders such as acute pancreatitis, septic shock, and traumatic brain edema. Herein, we report the SAR and new insights into species difference revealed in the course of discovery of a new class of highly potent non-peptide $B_{2}$ antagonists with improved aqueous solubility.

## Chemistry

The compounds described herein are presented in Tables 1-3, and the methods for their syntheses are outlined in Schemes 1-7.

Schemes 1 and 2 show the synthetic routes for the 4 -substituted quinolinol derivatives. Cyclization of 2aminophenol (5) with 1-phenyl-2-buten-1-one provided 2-methyl-4-phenyl-8-quinolinol (6) (Scheme 1).

## Scheme $\mathbf{2 a}^{\text {a }}$



a Reagents: (a) ethyl acetoacetate, AcOH , benzene; (b) biphenyl, phenyl ether, $235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhNMe}_{2}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, reflux; (d) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) five-membered heterocycles, 1,4-dioxane, reflux; (f) DMF , reflux.

The crotonate $\mathbf{8}$, which was prepared by condensing o-anisidine (7) with ethyl acetoacetate, was cyclized to give 9 . The quinolinol 9 was treated with phosphorus oxychloride to give the 4 -chloroquinoline 10, which was deprotected to yield 11. The quinolinol $\mathbf{1 1}$ was heated with imidazole, pyrazole, or triazole in 1,4-dioxane to give 12a, 12b, or 12c, respectively. On the other hand, 4-(dimethylamino)-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (12d) was obtained from $\mathbf{1 1}$ by heating in DMF (Scheme 2).
The quinolinols 6 and 12a-d were coupled with the benzyl bromide $\mathbf{1 3 a}^{26}$ or the benzyl chloride $\mathbf{1 3 b}^{\mathbf{2 6}}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to give 14, 15a-c, and 49a, respectively. The 4 -substituted quinoline derivatives 14, 15ac, and 49 a were treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in MeOH to afford the corresponding hydrochl orides 16, 17a-c, and 50a, respectively (Scheme 3).
Preparation of the 4-pyrazol-1-yl or the 4-triazol-1yl derivatives 23b and 23c are shown in Scheme 4. The amine $18^{26}$ was acylated and deprotected to provide the benzyl alcohol 20. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with methanesulfonyl chloride and successive condensation with the quiunolinols 12b and 12c yiel ded the 4-heteroaromatic quinoline derivatives 22b and 22c, respectively. The compounds $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$ c were treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in

## Scheme $3^{a}$



13a: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$
13b: $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}$

14: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me} \quad$ 16: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Me}$
15a: $R=$ imidazolyl, $X=C l \quad$ 17a: $R=$ imidazolyl, $X=C l$
15b: R=pyrazolyl, $X=C l$ 17b: $R=$ pyrazolyl, $X=C$

49a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NMe}_{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$

50a: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{NMe}_{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$
a Reagents: (a) 4-substituted quinolinols (6, 12a-d), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF; (b) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Scheme $\mathbf{4}^{\text {a }}$


a Reagents: (a) (E)-4-(N,N-dimethylcarbamoyl)cinnamic acid, WSCD• $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) n-Bu4NF, THF; (c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (d) 4-substituted quinolinols (12b or 12c), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF; (e) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$.

MeOH to afford the corresponding hydrochlorides 23b and 23c, respectively.
The fragments of the terminal cinnamide and ureas were prepared as shown in Scheme 5. The cinnamate

25a was obtained from the acid $24^{25}$ via its acid chloride. On the other hand, condensation of $\mathbf{2 4}$ with 2 -(aminomethyl) pyridine gave methyl (E)-4-\{(2-pyridinylmethyl)amino]carbonyl \}cinnamate (25b). The methyl esters 25a and 25b were hydrolyzed to the give (E)-4-(substituted)cinnamic acids 26a and 26b, respectively. Ethyl (E)-4aminocinnamate (27) ${ }^{25}$ was treated with isonicotinoyl chloride and was saponified to give the acid 29. Coupling of 3 -nitrobenzoyl chloride (30) with 4 -aminopyridine followed by reduction of the nitro group and treatment with phenyl chloroformate yielded the phenyl carbamate 33.

Modifications of the terminal cinnamamide moiety of the 4-(imidazol-1-yl) or 4-dimethylaminoquinoline derivatives are shown in Scheme 6. The benzyl alcohol 34 was mesylated and coupled with the quinolinols 12a and 12d to give 35a and 35b, respectively. Removal of the N -phthaloyl groups of $\mathbf{3 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 5 b}$ and coupling with (E)-4-(substituted)cinnamic acids afforded the corresponding cinnamamides 22a, 37, and 49b. They were treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in MeOH to afford the corresponding hydrochlorides 23a, 38, and 50b.

On one hand, the amine 36a was coupled with phenylcarbamate 33 and was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCI}$ in MeOH to give the hydrochloride 40 (Scheme 6).

Preparation of 4-(substituted)pyrrol e derivatives 48a and $\mathbf{4 8 b}$ is outlined in Scheme 7. The pyrrole $\mathbf{4 2}$ was

## Scheme $5^{\text {a }}$


a Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{RNH}_{2}$; (b) $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}, \mathrm{WSCD} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (c) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) isonicotinoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (e) 4-aminopyridine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 1,4$-dioxane; (g) phenyl chloroformate, $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, 1,4$-dioxane.

## Scheme $6^{a}$



39
a Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (b) 12a or 12d, $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF; (c) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, EtOH ; (d) 4-substituted cinnamic acid, WSCD• $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (e) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (f) $33, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Scheme $7^{\text {a }}$


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reagents: (a) 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{CISO}_{2} \mathrm{NCO}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (c) LAH , THF ; (d) 4-substituted cinnamic acid, WSCD•HCl, HOBt, DMF; (e) n-Bu4NF, THF; (f) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (g) 12a, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF; (h) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{MeOH}$.
obtained from the aniline $41^{26}$ by heating with $2,5-$ dimethoxytetrahydrofuran in ACOH . Reaction of 42 with chlorosulfonyl isocyanate provided the 2-cyano derivative 43. The cyano group of 43 was reduced to give the amine 44, which was condensed with the (E )-4-(substituted)cinnamic acids followed by deprotection to give the benzyl alcohols 46a and 46b, respectively. Reaction of the benzyl alcohols 46a and 46b with methanesulfonyl chloride and successive condensation with 12a yielded the quinoline derivatives 47a and 47b, which were converted to the corresponding hydrochlorides 48a and 48b, respectively.

## Computational Chemistry

Molecular Modeling. All computational studies were performed using the molecular modeling program SYBYL 6.7,40 running on a Silicon Graphics Octane workstation. Structures were energy-minimized using a conjugate gradient minimization al gorithm with the Tripos force field ${ }^{41}$ until a gradient convergence of 0.001 $\mathrm{kcal} /(\mathrm{mol} \cdot \AA \AA)$ was reached, without solvent, using default parameters. Partial atomic charges of the molecules were calculated using the PM3 model Hamiltonian within MOPAC 6.0. ${ }^{42}$

Compound Selection and Alignment. Twenty-four compounds, incorporating various heteroaromatic groups as the "quinoline part" and the common benzyl moiety of $\mathbf{3 b}$, were sel ected from our non-peptide $B_{2}$ antagonist library. ${ }^{25,26}$ Their structures and binding affinities for human and guinea pig $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptors are summarized in Table 2. The alignment was performed using the heavy atoms of the identical substituent by least-squares fitting.

## Biology

All compounds were tested for inhibition of the specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ to the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor in guinea pig ileum membrane preparations as previously reported, ${ }^{24,25,28-30}$ and they were also evaluated for inhibition of the specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ to the cloned human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor expressed in Chinese hamster ovary ( CHO ) cells. ${ }^{29}$ Selected compounds were then tested for in vivo functional antagonistic activity in inhibiting BKinduced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs by intravenous administration. ${ }^{24,25,28,30}$ Pharmacokinetics by intravenous administration of the representative compound was also studied in rats.

## Results and Discussion

Wereported earlier the first selective and orally active non-peptide $B K \quad B_{2}$ receptor antagonists with subnanomolar affinities for both human and guinea pig $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptors, incorporating 8-[[2,6-dichloro-3-[N-substituted]-benzyl]oxy]-2-methylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine and 8-[[2,6-dichloro-3-[N-substituted]benzyl]oxy]-2-methylquinoline frameworks. ${ }^{24-27,43}$ Representative compounds significantly inhibited BK-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs by oral administration at $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ or less; however, it was difficult to administer them intravenously because of poor aqueous solubility. The saturated concentration of $\mathbf{3 b}$ was $0.55 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ even in a pH 2 buffer. Therefore, we started a new research program to improve the aqueous solubility of our nonpeptide $B_{2}$ antagonists, aiming at devel opment of novel therapeutic drugs that could be administered by intravenous infusion for the treatment of life-threatening inflammatory disorders such as acute pancreatitis, septic shock, and traumatic brain edema.

The amino acid sequence of the $B_{2}$ receptors is highly conserved between species. F or example, the guinea pig $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor is reported to share high sequence homol ogy with the human (81.5\%), rat (82.6\%), mouse (81.5\%), and rabbit ( $80.7 \%$ ) $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptors. ${ }^{44}$ H owever, the pharmacological profiles of the $B_{2}$ receptors to various ligands are markedly different. ${ }^{45}$ Therefore, it is essential to overcome this "binding paradox"45 in order to devel op a $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ligand as a therapeutic drug. As part of our efforts to address this issue, we have carefully investigated the SAR for both human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors.

Since a halogen atom at the 3-position of the imidazo-[1,2-a]pyridine ring in our former series enhanced binding affinities for the human and guinea pig $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptors, we presumed that both $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptors could accommodate a rather large substituent around the 4-position of the quinoline ring. As a probe, the 4-phenyl derivative 16 was synthesized and inhibition of the specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ to cloned human and guinea

## Table 1. Introduction of 4-Substituents



| compds | R | X | Y | n | in vitrol $\mathrm{C}_{50}(\mathrm{nM})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | guinea pig ileum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { cloned } \\ \text { human } B_{2} b \end{array} \end{gathered}$ |
| 3b | H | Cl | H | 0 | $0.51{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $1.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 3d | H | Me | H | 1 | 0.97c | $4.8{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 16 | Ph | Me | H | 1 | 3.1 | 1.0 |
| 17a | 1-imidazolyl | Cl | H | 2 | 3.7 | 0.28 |
| 17b | 1-pyrazolyl | Cl | H | 2 | 2.7 | 0.17 |
| 17c | 1-triazolyl | Cl | H | 2 | 4.4 | 0.23 |
| 3 c | H | Cl | Me | 1 | $1.3{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3.9 c |
| 23a | 1-imidazolyl | Cl | Me | 2 | 4.3 | 0.35 |
| 23b | 1-pyrazolyl | Cl | Me | 2 | 3.3 | 0.18 |
| 23c | 1-triazolyl | Cl | Me | 2 | 9.1 | 0.28 |
| 50a | $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | Cl | H | 2 | 1.8 | 3.2 |
| 50b | $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | Cl | Me | 2 | 2.9 | 2.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ ( 0.06 nM ) to the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor in guinea pig ileum membrane preparations by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual values of $<15 \%$. See the Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{BK}(1.0 \mathrm{nM})$ to the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor that was expressed in CHO cells by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual values of $<15 \%$. See the Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{\text {c Previously published }}$ (see ref 26).
pig $B_{2}$ receptors was evaluated (Table 1). As a result, 16 displayed nanomolar affinity for both human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors, indicating that both $B_{2}$ receptors have sufficient space to accommodate 4-substituents on the quinoline ring. Specifically, its $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values for the human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors are 5 times lower and 3 times higher, respectively, compared with those of the $4-\mathrm{H}$ counterpart 3d. The 4-phenyl group seems to be favorable for binding to the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor and unfavorable for binding to the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor.

As the next step, we investigated conversion of the phenyl group of $\mathbf{1 6}$ to heteroaromatic rings containing nitrogen atoms, aiming to improve aqueous solubility. Introduction of 1-imidazolyl, 1-pyrazolyl, and 1-triazolyl moieties to the 4-position of the quinoline ring of compounds 3b and 3c afforded highly potent ligands 17a-c and 23a-c, respectively. These compounds displayed excellent subnanomolar binding affinities for the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor compared with the low nanomolar affinities of parent compounds $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$. In contrast, affinities for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor were several times lower than those of $\mathbf{3 b}$ and $\mathbf{3 c}$. The quite similar in vitro profiles of imidazole, pyrazole, and triazole derivatives suggested that the $2-$ - $3-$, and 4-nitrogen atoms on the heterocydic rings do not make important contributions to binding. To elucidate the effect of the common 1-nitrogen atom, 4-dimethylamino derivatives 50a and 50b were investigated. They showed low nanomolar binding affinities for both human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors, indicating that the nitrogen

Table 2. Test Set of Benzamide Derivatives Used To Test the Predictive Ability of the CoMFA Model

compds
a Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{BK}(0.06 \mathrm{nM})$ to the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor in quinea pig ileum membrane preparations by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual values of $<15 \%$. See the Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{BK}(1.0 \mathrm{nM})$ to the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor that was expressed in CHO cells by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual

atom at the 4 -position of the quinoline ring does not contribute to the species difference. On the basis of these results, we speculated that the imidazolyl, pyrazolyl, and triazolyl moieties could increase binding affinity for the human $B_{2}$ receptor and decrease that for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor mainly in a steric manner.

To obtain more detailed information on SAR around the quinoline moiety, we carried out a CoMFA ${ }^{46}$ study
using SYBYL, version 6.7, using 24 compounds chosen from our $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ligand library on the basis of the structural features in the "quinoline part". Table 2 summarizes their structures and binding affinities. In the analysis of binding affinities for the human $B_{2}$ receptor, the CoMFA-derived QSAR models exhibited considerably correlative and predictive properties. A cross-validated $r^{2}=0.643$ with five components and a non-cross-


Figure 1. CoMFA predicted vs experimental $\mathrm{plC}_{50}$ values.
validated $r^{2}=0.979$ with $F=167.20(n 1=5, N 2=18)$ were observed with the model. The graph depicting the calculated vs observed activities of the molecules used in building the QSAR model is shown in Figure 1. In this analysis, steric fields were observed to be more contributive than electrostatic fields (steric:electrostatic $=0.62: 0.38$ ). A bootstrap analysis (100 samples) was performed to obtain the confidence limits for this analysis. An $r^{2}$ bootstrap of 0.986 with a standard deviation of 0.007 suggested that a similar relationship exists for all compounds.

The model with $2 \AA \AA$ grid spacing was further validated to assess its predictive power. The effect of the alignment relative to the grid position was investigated by consistently moving all compounds in increments of 0.5
$\AA$. The $r^{2}(c v)$ values for each orientation ranged from 0.52 to 0.62 , suggesting a slight dependence of the model on absolute orientation. The effect of the grid size was tested by the calculation at $2 \AA$ (default) and $1 \AA$ grid distances. N o significant differences were found between the models obtained at 2 or $1 \AA$ grid distances (for $2 \AA$, $r^{2}(c v)=0.643$; for $\left.1 \AA, r^{2}(c v)=0.608\right)$.
Figure 2 illustrates steric and electrostatic fields generated by CoMFA. The steric and electrostatic fields were analyzed for areas that might improve binding affinity with changes in substituent sizes or charges. The green (sterically favorable) and yellow (sterically unfavorable) contours represent 80\% and 20\% level contributions, respectively. Figure 2 also shows CoMFA electrostatic fields, with blue and red regions indicative of areas where affinity could be improved by more positively and negatively charged substituents, respectively. The blue and red contours represent 80\% and $20 \%$ level contributions, respectively. Examination of the CoMFA steric and electrostatic map revealed that near the 4-substituent of the quinoline ring, a favorable steric region was located and no electrostatic region was found, supporting the speculation discussed above. On the other hand, in the CoMFA study of binding affinities for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor, no correlative or predictive CoMFA-derived QSAR model was obtained. Trials to modify the methods of charge calculations, grid spacing, CoMFA regions, and filtering in the PLS calculation were performed, but the best cross-validated $r^{2}$ was 0.19 . This result shows that affinities for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor could not be explained by only steric and electrostatic interactions, clearly indicating the species difference between the human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors.

A preliminary solubility study of these 4-substituted derivatives was carried out to assess the possibility for intravenous administration. Among the tested 4-arylsubstituted derivatives, only the imidazole derivative


Figure 2. Contour map of steric and electrostatic fields from the $B_{2}$ receptor CoMFA models. Color coding is as follows: blue $=$ positive charge favors high affinity; red = negative charge favors high affinity; green = steric bulk favors high affinity; yellow = steric bulk does not favor high affinity.

Table 3. Representative Imidazolyl Derivatives with Improved Aqueous Solubility
48,


#### Abstract

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{BK}(0.06 \mathrm{nM})$ to the $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor in guinea pig ileum membrane preparations by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual values of $<15 \%$. See the Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{b}$ Concentration required to inhibit specific binding of $[3 \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{BK}$ ( 1.0 nM ) to the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor that was expressed in CHO cells by $50 \%$. Values are expressed as the average of at least three determinations, with variation in individual values of $<15 \%$. See the Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{\text {c BK ( }} 5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was administered intravenously to anesthetized guinea pigs, and bronchoconstriction induced by the BK administration was measured by the modified K onzett and Rösseler method ${ }^{48}$ as previously reported. After 5 min , compounds were intravenously administrated. After 25 min , BK was administered again and bronchoconstriction was measured. Percent inhibition was calculated from the values of percent responses of drug-treated and controlled groups ( $\mathrm{n}=3-4$ ). The results are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM: (*) P $<0.05,(* *) \mathrm{P}<0.01$, ( $* * *$ ) $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ vs control (Student's t-test). Seethe Experimental Section for further details. ${ }^{\text {d NT, not tested. }}$


23a could be dissolved up to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in a $5 \%$ aqueous solution of citric acid. Thus, we investigated further optimization of the 4-imidazolyl series. As shown in Table 3, introduction of representative side chains, identified in our previous studies, to the 3-position of the benzyl moiety afforded highly potent ligands 38, 40, 48a, and 48b for the human $B_{2}$ receptor with subnanomolar $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values. On the other hand, their binding affinities for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor were 3-17 times lower than that of the 4-H derivative 3b, indi cating the species difference more clearly. All of them could be dissolved in a 5\% aqueous solution of citric acid at up to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, indicating potential for iv administration.

Compounds 23a, 38, 40, 48a, and 48b did not affect by themselves the formation of the second messenger inositol phosphates in CHO cells expressing the cloned human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor up to $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, indicating that they do not have agonistic or inverse agonistic properties (data not shown). F urthermore, their antagonistic properties were proven in vivo. We used a BK-induced bronchoconstriction model in guinea pigs for quick and precise in vivo screening. Compounds 23a, 38, 40, 48a,
and 48b significantly inhibited BK-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs by intravenous administration at $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ or less. In particular, the pyrrole derivative 48a exhibited comparable inhibitory activity to that of $\mathbf{1}$, a representative second-generation peptide $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ antagonist, even at $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (iv), despite its more than 30 times lower binding affinity for the guinea pig $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor compared with that of 1. Since its binding affinity for the human $B_{2}$ receptor is superior to that of 1, we expect that compound 48a should be more effective than $\mathbf{1}$ in the clinic. In a preliminary pharmacokinetic study in rats, 48a showed a $t_{1 / 2}$ value of 22 min at $3.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (iv) (Figure 3).

Further investigations of the 4-substituent of the quinoline ring are revealing its critical role in determining agonist/antagonist profiles. These studies will be reported in due course.

## Conclusions

The 4-phenylquinoline derivative 16 revealed that human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors have a pocket that can accommodate rather large substituents at the


Figure 3. 48a plasma concentration-time curve.
4-position of the quinoline ring. Introduction of various heteroaromatic substituents at this position enabled discovery of a new class of highly potent non-peptide $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor antagonists. For the human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ receptor, the 4-heteroaromatic substituents functioned as a new pharmacophore and increased binding affinities, although they reduced those for the guinea pig receptor, indicating the species difference between human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors. CoMFA studies suggested that the 4-heteroaromatic substituents increased binding affinities for the human $B_{2}$ receptor mainly in a steric manner and that there is a clear species difference between the human and guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptors. The 4-(1-imidazolyl) derivatives 23a, 38, 40, 48a, and 48b could be dissolved in a 5\% citric acid aqueous solution up to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ and significantly inhibited BK-induced bronchoconstriction in guinea pigs by intravenous administration at $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. In particular, 48a afforded comparable potency in vivo to that of 1, despite its more than 30 times lower binding affinity for the guinea pig $B_{2}$ receptor compared to that of 1 . Since 48a exhibited superior affinity for the human $B_{2}$ receptor compared to 1, it is expected that this compound should be more potent than 1 in the clinic.

## Experimental Section

Chemistry. Melting points were determined on a Mel-Temp instrument (Mitamura Riken Kogyo, J apan) and are uncorrected. Proton NMR spectra were recorded at 200 or 300 MHz with a Bruker AM200 or a Varian Gemini 300 spectrometer, and chemical shifts are expressed in $\delta$ (ppm) with TMS as the internal standard. The peak patterns are shown as the fol lowing abbreviations: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad. The mass spectra (MS) were recorded with a VG (Fisons) ZAB-SE (FAB) or Micromass Platform (ESI) system. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN analyzer. Analytical results were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values unless otherwise noted. Silica gel thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated plates Kieselgel 60F 254 (E. Merck, AG, Darmstadt, Germany). Silica gel flash chromatography was performed with Kieselgel 60 (230-400 mesh) (E. Merck, AG, Darmstadt, Germany). Yields were not optimized.

Computational Chemistry. CoMFA Interaction Energies. The CoMFA grid spacing was $2.0 \AA$ in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, and the grid region was automatically generated by the CoMFA routine to encompass all molecules with an extension of $4.0 \AA$ in each direction. An sp ${ }^{3}$ carbon and a charge of +1.0 were used as probes to generate the interaction energies at each lattice point. The steric and electrostatic contributions were truncated to $\pm 30 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and the electrostatic contributions were ignored at lattice intersections with maximal steric interactions.

Partial Least Squares Analysis. The partial least-squares al gorithm was used in conjugation with the cross-validation (leave one out) option to obtain the optimum number of
components, which were used to generate the final CoMFA model without cross-validation. Equal weights were assigned to steric and electrostatic descriptors using the CoMFA scaling option. All cross-val idated PLS analyses were performed with a minimum value of $2.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which minimized the influence of column noise and reduced the computation time. To obtain the statistical confidence limits on the analysis, PLS analysis using 100 bootstrap groups was performed with randomly interchanged biological activity.
2-Methyl-4-phenyl-8-quinolinol (6). To a suspension of 2-ami nophenol (5) ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(8$ mL ) was added dropwise 1-phenyl-2-buten-1-one ( $8.03 \mathrm{~g}, 55.0$ mmol ) at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This mixture was refluxed for 24 h , cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, adjusted to pH 7 with $28 \%$ ammonium hydroxide solution, and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 97: 3\right)$ to afford $6(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 55.7 \%)$ as a brown oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H); MS (ESI) m/z $236(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}$ ) C, H, N.

Ethyl (2E)-3-(2-Methoxyanilino)-2-butenoate or Ethyl (2Z)-3-(2-Methoxyanilino)-2-butenoate (8). A solution of o-anisidine (7) ( $30.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.244 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), ethyl acetoacetate ( 31.7 g , 0.244 mol ), and AcOH ( 1 mL ) in benzene ( 90 mL ) was refluxed for 8 h , removing water with a Dean-Stark apparatus. This mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 20:1) to afford 8 (57.2 g, 99.8\%) as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

8-Methoxy-2-methyl-4-quinolinol (9). To a mixture of biphenyl ( 90 g ) and phenyl ether ( 90 mL ) was added dropwise $8(60.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.256 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $230-235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 min . After 3 h of being stirred at $235{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with hexane (200 mL ) and the precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration followed by crystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to afford 9 (23.6 g, $48.7 \%$ ) as pale-brown crystals: mp $230-232{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) 2.38 (s, 3H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 5.90 (s, 1H), 7.18$7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Chloro-8-methoxy-2-methylquinoline (10). To a suspension of 8-methoxy-2-methyl-4-quinolinol (9) (23.5 g, 0.124 mol ) in phosphorus oxychloride ( $92 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.987 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added dropwise $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylaniline ( $31.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.248 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in an ice/ water bath over 20 min under nitrogen. After 15 min , the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h and refluxed for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, adjusted to pH 8 with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ twice. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /\right.$ EtOAc, 5:1) followed by crystallization from hexane to afford $10(21.1 \mathrm{~g}, 81.9 \%)$ as pale-yellow crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 80-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.78$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.10 (d, J $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClNO}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-Chloro-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (11). To a solution of 4-chloro-8-methoxy-2-methylquinoline (10) ( $16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise boron tribromide ( $38.6 \mathrm{~g}, 154 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) below $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. After 15 min , the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h and refluxed for 2 h . The cooled mixture was adjusted to pH 8 with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and stirred in an ice/ water bath for 4 h . The organic layer was separated, and the water layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was combined, washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 100 mL ), and silica gel ( 5 g ) was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h , and silica gel was removed by filtration. The filtrate was concentrated
in vacuo followed by crystallization from hexane to afford 11 ( $10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 70.4 \%$ ) as pale-yellow crystals: $\mathrm{mp} 57-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),, 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClNO}$ ) C, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-(1H-Imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (12a). A soIution of 4-chloro-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (11) ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( $3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 51.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 1,4-di oxane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 6 h . After cooling, this mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was crystallized from $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford 12a ( $1.99 \mathrm{~g}, 85.5 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: mp $192-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.79$ (s, 3H), 7.207.37 (m, 5H), 7.45 (dd, J $=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.61 (d, $\mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 224(\mathrm{M}-1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ C, H, N.

Compounds 12b and 12c were prepared following the procedure described above for 12a.

4-(Dimethylamino)-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (12d). A solution of 4-chloro-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (11) ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was refluxed for 16 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and the resulting residue was washed with acetone and collected by vacuum filtration. The residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was crystallized from hexane to afford 12d ( $2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 69.2 \%$ ) as colorless crystals: mp $192-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); MS (ESI) m/z $203(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

N-Methyl-4-((1E )-3-oxo-3-\{ [2-oxo-2-(2,4-trimethyl-3-\{[(2-methyl-4-phenyl-8-quinolinyl)oxy]methyl\}anilino)-ethyl]amino\}-1-propenyl)benzamide (14). To a mixture of $\mathbf{1 3 b}^{26}$ ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.386 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methyl-4-phenyl-8-quinolinol (6) ( $99.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.424 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 1.5 mL ) were added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tetrabutylammonium iodide ( 10 mg ) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with EtOAc twice. The extracts were washed with brine. The water layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/MeOH, 9:1) to afford $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $181 \mathrm{mg}, 74.9 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.64 (dd, J $=17,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.88 (dd, J $=17,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.37 $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (br t, J $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $7.20-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 627(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-[(1E)-3-(\{2-[2,4-Dichloro-3-(\{[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinyl]oxy\}methyl)methylanilino]-2oxoethyl \}amino)-3-oxo-1-propenyl]-N-methylbenzamide (15a). To a mixture of $13 \mathrm{a}^{26}(52.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.102 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (12a) $(23.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.102 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(42.3 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.306 mmol ) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with EtOAc. The extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10: 1$ ) to afford 15 a ( 60 mg , 89.4\%) as a colorless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67$ (dd, J $=17,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.93 (dd, J $=17,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{brt}, \mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-$ $7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI) m/z $657(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compounds 15b,c and 49a were prepared following the procedure described above for 15a.
N-Methyl-4-((1E )-3-oxo-3-\{ [2-oxo-2-(2,4-trimethyl-3-\{[(2-methyl-4-phenyl-8-quinolinyl)oxy]methyl\}anilino)-ethyl]amino\}-1-propenyl)benzamide Hydrochloride (16). To a solution of $14(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min . The sol ution was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was washed with EtOAc to afford 16 ( $139 \mathrm{mg}, 82.1 \%$ ) as a yellow amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$ $\delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=17 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} i \mathrm{HCl}\right) \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compounds 17a-c, 23a-c, 38, 40, 48ab, 50a, and 50b were prepared following the procedure described above for 16.
4-[(1E)-3-(\{2-[3-(\{[tert-Butyl(diphenyl)silyl]oxy\}methyl)-2,4-dichloromethylanilino]-2-oxoethyl\}amino)-3-oxo-1-propenyl]-N,N-dimethylbenzamide (19). To a solution of $18^{26}(2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 4.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 20 mL ) were added (E)-4-(N,N-dimethylcarbamoyl)cinnamic acid (1.01 g, 4.61 mmol), 1-ethoxy-3-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride (WSCD•HCl; $963 \mathrm{mg}, 5.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt; $792 \mathrm{mg}, 5.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at ambient temperature. After 3 h , this mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 50: 1\right)$ to afford 19 ( $2.94 \mathrm{~g}, 99.9 \%$ ) as a col orless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.98$ (br s, 3H), 3.10 (br s, 3H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 3.56 (dd, J = 17, $4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.94 (dd, J = 17, 5 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.34-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z 702 (M $+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compounds 22a, 37, 45a,b, and 49b were prepared following the procedure described above for 19.

4-[(1E )-3-(\{ 2-[2,4-Dichloro-3-(hydroxymethyl)methyl-anilino]-2-oxoethyl\}amino)-3-oxo-1-propenyl]-N,N-dimethylbenzamide (20). To a solution of $\mathbf{1 9}$ ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) was added 1 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF ( 6.4 mL ) at ambient temperature. After 1 h , the mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and water. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 15: 1\right)$ to afford 20 ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 98.4 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.99(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17,5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $464(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Compounds 46a and 46b were prepared following the procedure described above for 20.

2,6-Dichloro-3-(\{[((2E)-3-\{4-[(dimethylamino)carbonyl]-phenyl\}-2-propenoyl)amino]acetyl\}(methyl)amino)benzyl Methanesulfonate (21). To a solution of $\mathbf{2 0}(300 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.646 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(78.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.775 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise methanesulfonyl chloride $(81.4 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.711 mmol ) in an ice/water bath under nitrogen. After 10 min , the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min . This mixture was partitioned between $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and water. The organic layer was washed with water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo to afford $21(330 \mathrm{mg}, 94.2 \%)$ as a pale-yellow amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.98$ (br s, 3H), $3.06-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.92 (dd, J $=17,5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; MS (ESI) m/z $542(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

4-[(1E )-3-(\{ 2-[2,4-Dichloro(methyl)-3-(\{[2-methyl-4-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-8-quinolinyl]oxy\}methyl)anilino]-2-oxoethyl\}amino)-3-oxo-1-propenyl]-N,N-dimethylbenzamide (22b). To a solution of 21 ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.186 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methyl-4-(1H-pyrazol-1-yl)-8-quinolinol (12b) (42 mg, $0.186 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(77.3 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.559 mmol ) at ambient temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 18 h . The mixture was poured into water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water three times, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10: 1$ ) to afford 22b ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 87.8 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11$ (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (s, 3H), 3.58 (dd, J $=17,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.92 (dd, J = 17, $5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $671(M+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

The compound 22c was prepared following the procedure described above for 22b.

Methyl (2E)-3-\{4-[(4-Pyridinylamino)carbonyl]phenyl\}-2-propenoate (25a). To a suspension of 4-[(1E)-3-methoxy-3-oxo-1-propenyl Jbenzoic acid (24)25 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in thionyl chloride ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added DMF (1drop) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was refluxed for 20 min . The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo and azeotropically removed with toluene. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution were added 4 -aminopyridine ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(588 \mathrm{mg}, 5.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ in an ice/water bath, and the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and MeOH (5:1). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was crystallized from EtOAc to afford 25a ( $555 \mathrm{mg}, 82.5 \%$ ) as crystals: mp $209-211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2}$ - ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, N.

Methyl (2E)-3-(4-\{[(2-Pyridinylmethyl)amino]carbonyl\}-phenyl)-2-propenoate (25b). To a solution of $\mathbf{2 4 ( 1 . 0 0 \mathrm { g } , 4 . 8 5}$ mmol), 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine ( $577 \mathrm{mg}, 5.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and HOBt ( $852 \mathrm{mg}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 20 mL ) was added WSCD $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 5.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ at ambient temperature under nitrogen. After 4 h of stirring, this mixture was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The organic layer was separated, washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ / $\mathrm{MeOH}, 30: 1$ ) to afford $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 79.7 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $9,6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ $(d, J=9 H z, 2 H), 8.58(d, J=6 H z, 1 H)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ C, H, N.

Ethyl (2E )-3-[4-(Isonicotinoylamino)phenyl]-2-propenoate (28). To a solution of ethyl (2E)-3-(4-aminophenyl)-2propenoate (27) ${ }^{25}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(5.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 41.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ and isonicotinoyl chloride hydrochloride ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an ice/water bath under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min and stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h . The reaction mixture was washed with water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was washed with EtOAc to afford 28 (2.00 $\mathrm{g}, 66.0 \%$ ) as a colorless amorphous solid: $\mathrm{mp} 179-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.31 (dd, J $=6,1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
(2E )-3-\{4-[(4-Pyridinylamino)carbonyl]phenyl\}-2-propenoic Acid (26a). A suspension of 25 a ( $535 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Upon cooling, the reaction mixture was adjusted to pH 5 with 1 N HCl and diluted with water. The solid that preci pitated was collected by vacuum filtration and washed with water to afford 26a ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 78.2 \%$ ) as crystals: $\mathrm{mp}>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz} \text {, DMSO-d })_{6} \delta 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compounds 26b and 29 were prepared following the procedure described above for 26a.

3-Nitro-N-(4-pyridinyl)benzamide (31). To a mixture of 4-aminopyridine ( $1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.45 \mathrm{~g}, 24.2$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 25 mL ) was added a solution of 3-nitrobenzoyl chloride ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ ) $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an ice/water bath under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . The preci pitate was col lected by vacuum filtration and washed with water and MeOH to afford 31 ( $3.42 \mathrm{~g}, 87.0 \%$ ) as a solid: $\mathrm{mp}>250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 8.38-8.58(m, 4H), $8.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

3-Amino-N-(4-pyridinyl)benzamide (32). A mixture of 31 $(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 109 mg ) in a mixture of $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) was hydrogenated at ambient temperature. After completion of the reaction, the catalyst in the reaction mixture was removed by filtration. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was crystallized from EtOAc to afford 32 (901 mg, $94.2 \%$ ) as pale-yellow crystals: mp $232-234^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 5.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{brd} \mathrm{d}$ J $=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.02-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Phenyl 3-[(4-Pyridinylamino)carbonyl]phenylcarbamate (33). To a solution of $32(295 \mathrm{mg}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 N NaOH ( 2.76 mL ) in 1,4-dioxane ( 3 mL ) was added phenyl chloroformate ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an ice/water bath. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature and poured into a mixture of water and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration to afford $\mathbf{3 3}$ (460 $\mathrm{mg}, 99.7 \%)$ as a solid: mp $186-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.75$ (d, J $=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (dd, J $=7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.20-7.30 (m, 2H), 7.35-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.55 (dd, J $=7,7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-[2,4-Dichloro-3-(\{[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinyl]oxy\}methyl)phenyl]-2-(1,3-dioxo-1,3-dihydro-2H-isoindol-2-yl)-N-methylacetamide (35a). Step 1. To a solution of 34 ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $753 \mathrm{mg}, 7.44$ mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise methane sulfonyl chloride ( $625 \mathrm{mg}, 5.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an ice/water bath under nitrogen. After 30 min , the reaction mixture was washed with water, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated in vacuo to afford the mesylate intermediate ( $2.35 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 100 \%$ ) as a paleyellow oil.

Step 2. To a solution of the crude mesylate intermediate $(2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetrabutylammonium iodide ( 123 mg , 0.333 mmol ), and $4 \AA$ À molecular sieves ( 340 mg ) in dry DMF ( 38 mL ) were added 4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinol (12a) ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in an ice/water bath, and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 18 h . The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The resulting residue was crystallized from EtOAc to afford 35a ( $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 96.0 \%$ ) as pale-yellow crystals: mp 211-213 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.78$ (m, 2H), 7.81-7.90 (m, 3H); MS (ESI) m/z $600(M+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Compounds 35b, 47a, and 47b were prepared following the procedure described above for 35a.

2-Amino-N-[2,4-dichloro-3-(\{[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-methyl-8-quinolinyl]oxy\} methyl)phenyl]-N-methylacetamide (36a). To a suspension of 35 a ( $1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 3.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 19 mL ) was added hydrazine monohydr ate ( 318 mg , 6.38 mmol ) at ambient temperature, and the mixture was refluxed for 1 h . After the reaction mixture was cooled, the precipitates were filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo, and to the residue was added $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitates were filtered off. The filtrate was evaporated in vacuo and the solid was washed with IPE to afford 36a (1.50 $\mathrm{g}, 100 \%$ ) as a pale-yellow amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 5.68 (br s, 2H), 7.18-7.55 (m, 8H), 7.85 (br s, 1H); MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 470(\mathrm{M}+1)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 36b was prepared following the procedure described above for 36a.

3-\{[(\{2-[2,4-Dichloro-3-(\{[4-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-2-meth-yl-8-quinolinyl]oxy\}methyl)methylanilino]-2-oxoethyl\}-amino)carbonyllamino\}-N-(4-pyridinyl)benzamide (39). A mixture of $36 \mathrm{a}(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.128 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenyl 3 -[(4pyridinylamino)carbonyl ]phenyl carbamate (33) ( $44.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.134$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(25.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 0.6 mL ) was stirred at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water three times, dried over $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 10: 1\right)$ to afford 39 ( $57.0 \mathrm{mg}, 63.0 \%$ ) as a pale-yellow amorphous solid: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$ (dd, J $=8,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 9.64 (br s, 1H); MS (ESI) m/z 709 (M + 1). Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(3-\{[tert-B utyl(diphenyl)silyl]oxy\}methyl)-2,4-di-chlorophenyl)-1H-pyrrole (42). A solution of 3-(\{[tert-butyl(diphenyl )silyl Joxy\}methyl)-2,4-dichloroaniline (41) ${ }^{26}$ ( 6.08 g , 14.1 mmol ) and 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{AcOH}\left(15 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 19: 1) to afford $42(5.82 \mathrm{~g}, 85.9 \%)$ as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{NOSi}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

1-[3-(\{[tert-Butyl(diphenyl)silyl]oxy\}methyl)-2,4-di-chlorophenyl]-1H-pyrrole-2-carbonitrile (43). To a solution of $42(1.201 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise a solution of chlorosulfonyl isocyanate (455 mg , 3.22 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a dry ice/ $/ \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ bath below $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred in a dry ice/ $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ bath for 30 min and then at ambient temperature for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with dry DMF ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 30 min and then at ambient temperature for 1 h . To the mixture was added $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ in an ice/water bath, and the mixture was stirred for 30 min at that temperature. The organic layer was separated, washed with brine and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 9:1) to afford 43 ( $930 \mathrm{mg}, 73.6 \%$ ) as a paleyellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.98$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-$ 7.74 (m, 4H). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ ) C, H, N.
\{1-[3-(\{[tert-Butyl(diphenyl)silyl]oxy\}methyl)-2,4-di-chlorophenyl]-1H-pyrrol-2-yl\}methylamine (44). To a solution of $43(820 \mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 16 mL ) was added portionwise lithium aluminum hydride ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at ambient temperature under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 1 h . To the
mixture was added water ( 2 mL ) dropwise in an ice/water bath. The precipitate was removed by vacuum filtration through Celite, which was then washed with EtOAc. The filtrate and washings were combined, and the organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /\right.$ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 9: 1)$ to afford $\mathbf{4 4}(414 \mathrm{mg}, 50.2 \%)$ as a pale-yellow oil: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30-6.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.48(\mathrm{~m}$, 7H ), 7.68-7.79 (m, 4H). Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ ) C, H, N.

Biological Methods. Receptor Binding: Guinea Pig lleum. The specific binding of $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ (a high-affinity $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ ligand) was assayed according to the method previously described ${ }^{47}$ with minor modifications. MaleHartley guinea pigs (from Charles River J apan, Inc.) were killed by exsanguination under anesthesia. The ilea were removed and homogenized in ice-cooled buffer ( 50 mM sodium (trimethylamino)ethanesulfonate (TES) and 1 mM 1,10-phenanthroline, pH 6.8 ) with a Polytron. The homogenate was centrifuged to remove cellular debris ( $1000 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and the supernatant was centrifuged ( $100000 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{~min}, 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The pellet was then resuspended in ice-cooled binding buffer ( 50 mM TES, 1 mM 1,10phenanthroline, $140 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ bacitracin, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ captopril, and $0.1 \%$ bovine serum al bumin (BSA), pH 6.8 ) and was stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

In the binding assay, the membranes ( 0.2 mg of protein/ mL ) were incubated with 0.06 nM of $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ and varying concentrations of test compounds or unlabeled BK at room temperaturefor 60 min . Receptor-bound [ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ]BK was harvested by filtration through Whatman GF/B glass fiber filters under reduced pressure, and the filter was washed five times with $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of ice-cooled buffer ( 50 mM Tris- HCl ). The radioactivity retained on the washed filter was measured with a liquid scintillation counter. Specific binding was calculated by subtracting the nonspecific binding (determined in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled BK ) from total binding.

Cloned Human $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ Receptors Expressed in CHO cells. CHO ( $\mathrm{dhfr}^{-}$) cells that were transferred with, and stably expressed the human $B_{2}$ receptor, have been described previously. ${ }^{29}$ Cells were maintained in a $\alpha$-minimum essential medium supplemented with penicillin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), streptomycin ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), and $10 \%$ fetal bovine serum. The cells were seeded in 48 -well tissue culture plates at a density of $3.0 \times$ $10^{4}$ cells/well and cultured for 1 day. The cells were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline containing $0.1 \%$ BSA and incubated with 1.0 nM of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{BK}$ and test compounds for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in 0.25 mL of binding buffer III ( 20 mM HEPES, 125 mM N-methyl-d-glucamine, $5.0 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl}$, $0.8 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SSO}_{4}, 0.05 \mathrm{mM}$ bacitracin, $5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ enalaprilat, and $0.1 \%$ BSA, pH 7.2). All experiments were carried out three times. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled BK . At the end of the incubation, the buffer was aspirated, and the cells were washed twice with ice-cooled phosphate-buffered saline containing $0.1 \%$ BSA. The specific binding was calculated by subtracting the nonspecific binding, determined in the presence of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ unlabeled BK , from the total binding. Bound radioactivity was determined by solubilizing with $1 \%$ sodium dodecyl sulfate containing 0.05 N NaOH and quantified in a liquid scintillation counter.

BK-Induced Bronchoconstriction in Guinea Pigs. Male Hartley guinea pigs weighing 470-750 g (from Charles River $J$ apan, Inc.) were fasted overnight and anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital ( $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Then the trachea and jugular vein were cannulated. The animals were ventilated at a tidal volume of $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{kg}$ with a frequency of 60 breaths/min through the tracheal cannula. To suppress spontaneous respiration, alcuronium chloride ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was administered intravenously through the jugular vein cannula. Then, propranolol ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) was also administered subcutaneously. After $10 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{BK}(5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$, dissolved in saline with $0.1 \%$ BSA) was administered intravenously through the jugular vein cannula. Bronchoconstriction was measured by the modified Konzett and Rossler method ${ }^{48}$ as the peak
increase of pulmonary insufflation pressure (PIP). ${ }^{49}$ Each dose of the compound dissolved in a $5 \%$ (w/v) citric acid sol ution or vehicle was administered through the same cannula 25 min after the first BK administration. BK was administered again 5 min after the drug injection, and bronchoconstriction was measured in the same manner. A 0\% response was determined as PIP before the administration of BK, and the $100 \%$ response was determined as the first BK-induced bronchoconstriction before drug administration. The percent response was calculated from the fol lowing formula: \% response $=\left(\Delta \mathrm{PI} \mathrm{P}_{\text {after drug }}\right)$ $\left.\Delta \mathrm{PI} \mathrm{P}_{\text {before drug }}\right) \times 100$. The efficacy of the drug was expressed as \% inhibition, which was calculated from the values of \% responses of drug-treated and vehicle groups as follows: \% inhibition $=[1-(\%$ responsedrug $) /(\%$ response vehicle $] \times 100$.

Quantitative Determination of 48a in Rat Plasma by LC/MS/MS. Male Lewis rats ( $n=5$ ) were used. Compound 48a was dissolved in $5 \%$ aqueous solution of citric acid and was injected into the femoral vein ( $3.2(\mathrm{mg} / 1 \mathrm{~mL}) / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Blood samples were taken from the femoral artery at 5, 10, 15, 30, 60 , and 120 min after dosing followed by centrifugation. Plasma samples were prepared on the basis of an MeOH extraction and were analyzed on an HPLC (Alliance 2690, Waters, Milford, MA). Detection was performed on a tandem MS (Quattro Ultima, Micromass, Manchester, U.K.) by multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode via positive electrospray ionization (ESI). The precursor ion was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 679$ and the product ion was $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 461$ in the MRM mode. The limit of quantitation was $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ for plasma.

Statistical Analysis. The results are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM, and statistical significance between groups was analyzed by Student's $t$ test. $1 C_{50}$ value was obtained by using nonlinear curve-fitting methods with a computer program developed in house.
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