Original Russian Text Copyright © 2005 by Grobe, Goryunov, Shteingarts.

SHORT COMMUNICATIONS

Dedicated to the memory of Doctor Duc Le Van

Synthesis of 2,6- and 2,3-Difluorophenyldimethyl(thio)phosphines

I. Grobe¹, L.I. Goryunov², V.D. Shteingarts²

¹Institut fur Anorganische und Analytische Chemie der Westfaelischen, Wilhelm-Universität,
Wilhelm-Klemm-Straβe 8, D-48149 Münster, Germany
e-mail: grobe@uni-muenster.de

²Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Siberian Division, Russian Academy of Sciences,
Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia
e-mail: shtein@nioch.nsc.ru

Received June 8, 2005

Fluorine substitution in polyfluoroarenes C_6F_5X (X = H, F, Cl, CF_3 etc.) and pentafluoropyridine by PMe_2 groups effected by Me_3SiPMe_2 is a convenient and efficient method of synthesis of polyfluoro-aryldimethylphosphines [1, 2]. These phosphines like their less fluorinated analogs are of interest as potential ligands of catalytically active complexes of transition metals and as initial substances for preparation of biologically active phosphorus-containing heterocyclic and other compounds [3]. By an example of formation of 2,6-difluorophenyl-dimethylphosphine (II) from 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene we showed the opportunity of applying this approach to less active partly fluorinated substrates.

The reaction was carried out practically without solvent (only a little C_6D_6 was present required for registering NMR spectra) and gave under the conditions indicated on the scheme a mixture of phosphines **I** and **II** in a ratio 1.0:1.1 with the degree of conversion about 80%. In the NMR spectra the following signals belong to isomer **I**, δ , ppm, 1H : 1.34 d.t ($^3J_{PF} \sim ^3D_{FH} + ^3J_{FH} + ^3J_{FH$

1.1 Hz); 19 F: -135.1 m (1F, 2 CF), -156.8 m (1F, 3 CF); 31 P{ 1 H}: -51.1 d (${}^{3}J_{PF}$ 30.4 Hz).

By treating with excess sulfur the isomer mixture of compounds **I** and **II** was converted into a mixture of 2,6-difluorophenyldimethyl(thio)-phosphine (**III**) and 2,3-difluorophenyldimethyl(thio)phosphine (**IV**), that were isolated in 35 and 24% respective yields calculated on Me₃SiPMe₂.

The observed regioselectivity of the fluorine replacement made it possible to prepare both isomeric substitution products. The mentioned ratio of phosphines I and II originating from the concurrent nucleophilic attack of Me₃SiPMe₂ on positions C²–F and C¹–F in the 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene respectively is essentially different from the ratio 1:4 in the corresponding products of the methoxydefluorination of 1,2,3-F₃C₆H₃ effected by MeONa in a mixture DMSO–MeOH, 9:1 by volume [4]. This result was understood as originating from stronger stabilization of the transition state in reaction of S_N Ar type by a fluorine from the *meta*-position than from the ortho-position, taking also in account the statistical factor [4, 5]. In this connection it is presumable that the stabilization of the transition state in the reaction under study is affected by some additional factor. This may be

F
$$\xrightarrow{\text{PMe}_2}$$
 F $\xrightarrow{\text{PMe}_2}$ F $\xrightarrow{\text{PMe}_2$

a coordination of the electrophilic SiMe₃ with the fluorine atom in the *ortho*-position with respect to the substitution site, as shown by structures $\bf A$ and $\bf B$ for nucleophilic attack on positions 2 and 1 respectively.

This interaction, analogous to the effect of the "built-in solvation" [6] was observed for a number of groups, including those like P(S)Me₂ [2], P(S)Ph₂, and P(O)Ph₂ [7]. The ability of fluorine to take part in coordination of this type was formerly assumed for understanding the kinetics of alkoxy- and piperidinodefluorination of the hexafluorobenzene and its derivatives [8]. We formerly [9] (cf. [5]) reported that 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene reacted with Me₃SiPMe₂ faster than 1.3,5-trifluorobenzene; this fact also was consistent with the assumption of Si←F coordination in the transition state.

Dimethylthiophosphinyl-2,6-difluorobenzene (III) and dimethylthiophosphinyl-2,3-difluorobenzene (IV). Into an ampule cooled with liquid nitrogen was condensed in a vacuum (0.05 mm Hg) 0.24 g (1.8 mmol) of 1,2,3-trifluorobenzene, 0.20 g (1.5 mmol) of Me₃SiPMe₂, and 0.1 ml of C₆D₆. The ampule was sealed, heated to 175–180°C for 16 h, cooled to 20°C, and the composition of the reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy (see above). The ampule was opened under argon atmosphere, and the reaction mixture was transferred into a flask charged preliminary with 0.06 g (2.0 mmol) of sulfur. The mixture obtained was heated at 100°C for 1 h. The volatile products were removed in a vacuum (0.05 mm Hg), and compounds III and IV were isolated by TLC on Silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates (Merck), eluent CHCl₃.

Compound III. Yield 0.108 g (35%), colorless crystals, mp 83–85°C. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 7.3–7.6 (1H, CH). 6.8–7.1 (2H, CH). 2.18 d.t (6H, CH₃, ${}^{2}J_{\text{PH}}$ 14.0, ${}^{5}J_{\text{FH}}$ 2.5 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: –102.3 m. ³¹P NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 28.0 m. Mass spectrum, m/z (I_{rel} , %): 206 [M]⁺ (100), 191 [M – CH₃]⁺ (61), 173 [M – S – H]⁺ (21). Found, m/z: [M⁺] 206.01305. C₈H₉F₂PS. Calculated: M 206.01306.

Compound IV. Yield 0.075 g (24%), colorless oily substance. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 7.9–8.1 (1H, CH), 7.3–7.4 (1H, CH), 7.2–7.3 (1H, CH), 2.05 d.d (6H, CH₃,

 $^2J_{\rm PH}$ 13.6, $^5J_{\rm FH}$ 1.6 Hz). $^{19}{\rm F}$ NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: -131.5 m (1F, C²F), -139.3 m (1F, C³F). $^{31}{\rm P}$ NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 31.7 m. Mass spectrum, m/z ($I_{\rm rel}$, %): 206 [M]+ (100), 191 [M- CH₃]+ (73), 173 [M- S - H]+ (17). Found, m/z: [M]+ 206.01314. $C_8H_9F_2PS$. Calculated: M 206.01306.

NMR spectra were registered on a spectrometer Bruker AC 200 at operating frequencies 200.13 (¹H), 188.31 (¹⁹F), and 81.02 (³¹P) MHz in CDCl₃ (δ 7.25 ppm), external references CCl₃F, 85% H₃PO₄. Mass spectra were measured on Varian MAT-212 instrument.

The study was carried out under financial support of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

REFERENCES

- 1. Goryunov, L.I., Grobe, J., Shteingarts, V.D., Krebs, B., Lindemann, A., Wurthwein, E.-U., and Muck-Lichtenfeld, C., *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2000, vol. 6, p. 4612.
- 2. Goryunov, L.I., Shteingarts, V.D., Grobe, J., Krebs, B., and Triller, M.U., *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2002, vol. 628, p. 1770.
- 3. Goryunov, L.I., Shteingarts, V.D., and Grobe, I., *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 2004, vol. 40, p. 791.
- 4. Bolton, R. and Sandall, J.P.B., *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1982, vol. 21, p. 459.
- 5. Brooke, G.M., *J. Fluorine, Chem.*, 1997, vol. 86, p. 1.
- 6. Bunnett, J.F. and Morath, R.J., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1955, vol. 77, p. 5051.
- 7. Burdon, J., Rozhkov, I.N., and Perry, G.M., *J. Chem. Soc. C*, 1969, p. 2615.
- 8. Rodionov, P.P. and Furin, G.G., *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1990, vol. 47, p. 361.
- 9. Goryunov, L.I., Grobe, J., Shteingarts, V.D., Krebs, B., Lindemann, A., Triller, M.U., Wurthwein, E.-U., and Muck-Lichtenfeld, C., *10 Deutscher Fluortag Programm*, Kurzfassung der Vortrage, 2002.