Features of Catalyzed Hydration of 2-(Dichloromethyl)-N-[(1R)-1-phenylethyl)]cyclopent-3-ene-1carboxamides

A. M. Gizametdinov and M. S. Miftakhov

Institute of Organic Chemistry, Ufa Scientific Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Ufa, 450054 Russia e-mail: bioreg@anrb.ru

Received June 2, 2008

Abstract—The hydration of *gem*-dichloromethyl group in 2-(dichloromethyl)-N-[(1R)-1-phenylethyl)]cyclopent-3-ene-1-carboxamides in aqueous acetonitrile catalyzed by AgNO₃, FeCl₃·6H₂O, PdCl₂, and BaO was investigated. The optimum results were obtained at the use of BaO. It was demonstrated, that Pd-catalyzed reactions initiated intermolecular ether formation from the primary hydration products, bicyclic amides.

DOI: 10.1134/S107042800905008X

The *gem*-dichlorocyclobutanone ring of bicycle I is known to readily undergo opening under the action of heteronucleophiles [1–3]. In continuation of the research on the synthesis of chiral cyclopentenes we carried out a reaction of α , α -dichlorobicyclobutanone (I) with (+)- α methylbenzylamine in benzene solution at room temperature and obtained in a high yield vicinally disubstituted *gem*-dichloromethyl derivative of cyclopentene II that by boiling in aqueous MeCN containing 2.1 equiv of AgNO₃ was converted into a diastereomeric mixture of bicyclic amides IIIa and IIIb separated by column chromatography on SiO₂. In contrast to amides III diastereomeric amides II failed to be separated on silica gel (Scheme 1). To replace the expensive silver nitrate we attempted a search for cheaper hydrolysis method of the *gem*dichloromethyl group in compound **II**. For conversion of the dihalo-substituted compounds **II** into aldehydes it was possible to apply an inexpensive reagent FeCl₃·6H₂O. In this case the hydrolysis of compound **II** also resulted in the expected compounds **III**, and the yield and the duration of the process were comparable to those in the experiments with AgNO₃. At the use in the hydrolysis of catalytic amounts of PdCl₂ alongside with the expected compounds **III** quickly formed a product of intermolecular self-condensation **IV**. The ether formation was also found at evaporation of solutions of individual compounds **IIIa** and **IIIb** under reduced pressure and heating to 60°C.



Scheme 1.

We further demonstrated that the most practical and efficient reagent for the hydrolysis of amide II was the barium oxide. The employment of the latter both led to the formation of exclusively compound III in a high yield and reduced the reaction time 2.5-fold. In the course of further experiments we established that the reverse conversion IV \rightarrow III occurred quantitatively at boiling ether IV in aqueous THF in the presence of catalytic amount of FeCl₃·6H₂O. Apparently the iron salt played here the role of a Lewis acid (Scheme 2).

The pair of salts Pd(II)–Fe(III) made it possible to perform effectively the conversions III–IV although the most well-known examples of the using FeCl₃·6H₂O and PdCl₂ [4–10] regarded the hydrolysis of the protective groups. The cause of the different final hydrolysis results in the presence on the one hand of PdCl₂ (formation of ether IV) and AgNO₃, FeCl₃, and BaO (formation of III), on the other hand is as follows. Evidently both the hydrolysis and etherification in the transitions II \rightarrow III \rightarrow IV are catalyzed by H⁺ and Lewis acids. Under the same conditions (MeCN–H₂O, boiling) only the PdCl₂-catalyzed reaction gave ether IV. We attribute this fact to the specific feature of the Pd-system where due to the possible Ncoordination of Pd(II) the intermolecular ether formation is facilitated, and the acidity of the medium is insufficient for the reverse hydration reaction $IV \rightarrow III$. At the use of AgNO₃ and FeCl₃ the acidity of the environment apparently is on the optimum level, and compound IVdoes not accumulate due to the preferable hydration $(IV) \rightarrow (III)$.

EXPERIMENTAL

IR spectra were recorded on a spectrophotometer UR-20 from thin films or mulls in mineral oil. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were registered on a spectrometer Bruker AM-300 (at 300 and 75.47 MHz respectively) from solutions in CDCl₃, internal reference TMS. Mass spectra were taken in ethanol on a Shimadzu LCMS-2010 instrument, ionizing electrons energy 70 eV. TLC was performed on Sorbfil plates. The optical rotation was measured on a polarimeter Perkin-Elmer 241 MC. The purity of the initial compounds was checked by GLC on a chromatograph Chrom 5.

(±)-7,7-Dichlorobicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-one (I). To a stirred solution of 53 g (0.8 mol) of a freshly distilled cyclopentadiene and 60 g (0.4 mol) of dichloroacetyl chloride in 400 ml of hexane was added dropwise within 1.5 h 59 ml of triethylamine in 300 ml of anhydrous hexane. The reaction mixture was stirred for



Scheme 2.

a: 0.1 equiv of PdCl₂, MeCN-H₂O, Δ, 2 h, 98% or 60°C, 20 mm Hg; b: 0.1 equiv FeCl₃·6H₂O, THF-H₂O, Δ, 5 h, >95%.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 45 No. 5 2009

15 h under argon, the mixture obtained was filtered, the precipitate was washed on the filter with hexane (300 ml). The solution was evaporated on a rotary evaporator, the residue was distilled in a vacuum collecting the fraction boiling at 49–50°C (0.3 mm Hg). Yield 59.48 g (84%). Yellow oily fluid. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 1805, 1028, 887, 814, 797, 754, 731, 631. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 2.48–2.88 m (2H, C⁴H), 4.04–4.22 m (1H, C⁵H), 4.32–4.42 m (1H, C¹H), 5.78–5.90 m (1H, C³H), 6.03–6.17 m (1H, C²H). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 35.21 (C⁴), 58.60 (C¹), 59.53 (C⁵), 88.18 (C⁷), 128.41, 136.88 (C², C³), 197.79 (C⁶). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel}, %): 177 (100) [MH, ³⁵Cl]⁺, 149 (13.3) [MH – CO]⁺. Found, %: C 47.23; H 3.09; Cl 39.96. C₇H₆OCl₂. Calculated, %: C 47.46; H 3.38; Cl 40.11.

2-(Dichloromethyl)-N-[(1R)-1-phenylethyl)]cyclopent-3-ene-1-carboxamide (II). To a solution of 1.5 g (8.5 mmol) of dichloroketone I in 40 ml of benzene was added a solution of 1.09 g (9 mmol) of (+)- α methylbenzylamine in 10 ml of benzene, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h (TLC monitoring). The solution was evaporated, the separated precipitate was washed with hexane to obtain 2.4 g (95%) of a mixture of diastereomers of compound II as yellow crystals. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3311, 2953, 2852, 1633, 1548, 1446, 1375, 1240, 709, 698. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.52 d (3H, CH₃, J 6.8 Hz), 2.53–2.73 m (2H, C⁵H), 3.17 q (1H, C¹H, J 7.8, 8.0 Hz), 3.62–3.74 m (1H, C²H), 5.13 quintet (1H, CH-Ph, J 6.7, 6.4 Hz), 5.81-5.93 m (2H, NH and C⁴H), 5.96–6.08 m (1H, C³H), 6.33 m (1H, CHCl₂), 7.35– 7.55 m (5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 21.29 (CH₃), 36.49 and 36.22 (C⁵), 46.64 and 46.78 (CH–Ph), 48.70 and 48.86 (C²), 58.95 and 58.49 (C¹), 74.34 (CHCl₂), 126.3 and 126.13, 127.40 and 127.51, 128.66 and 128.73 (Ph), 128.88 (C4), 133.40 (C3), 142.57 and 142.8 (Ph), 171.07 and 171.02 (C=O). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel} , %): 298 (100) [MH, ³⁵Cl]⁺, 149 (12.5). Found, %: C 60.05; H 5.42; Cl 23.15; N 4.64. C₁₅H₁₇Cl₂NO. Calculated, %: C 60.40; H 5.70; Cl 23.83; N 4.70.

3-Hydroxy-2-[(1*R*)-phenylethyl]-3,3a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[*c*]pyrrol-1(2*H*)-ones (IIIa) and (IIIb). (a) To a solution of 2.7 g (9 mmol) of amide II in 40 ml of MeCN was added a solution of 3.21 g (19 mmol) of AgNO₃ in 14 ml of H₂O, and the mixture obtained was stirred at boiling for 20 h (TLC monitoring). After evaporation of acetonitrile the water phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×40 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄, the solvent was evaporated under a reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂, eluent petroleum ether–ethyl acetate, 7:3. We obtained 1.04 g (47%) of yellowish crystals of compound **IIIa** and 1.06 g (48%) of colorless crystals of compound **IIIb**.

(b) To a solution of 2.3 g (7.7 mmol) of amide II in 35 ml of MeCN was added a solution of 2.1 g (7.7 mmol) of FeCl₃·6H₂0 in 11 ml of H₂O, and the mixture obtained was stirred at boiling for 20 h (TLC monitoring). After evaporation of acetonitrile the water phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×35 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄, the solvent was evaporated under a reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂, eluent petroleum ether–ethyl acetate, 7:3. We obtained 0.88 g (47%) of compound IIIa and 0.9 g (48%) of compound IIIb.

(c) To a solution of 1.0 g (3.33 mmol) of the equimolar mixture of amides II in 20 ml of MeCN was added a solution of 1.54 g (10 mmol) of BaO in 5 ml of H₂O, and the mixture obtained was stirred at boiling for 20 h (TLC monitoring). After evaporation of acetonitrile the water phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×15 ml). The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂, eluent petroleum ether–ethyl acetate, 7:3. We obtained 377 mg (46%) of compound IIIa and 385 mg (47%) of compound IIIb.).

(3aS,6aR)-3-Hydroxy-2-[(1R)-phenylethyl]-3,3a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[c]pyrrol-1(2H)-one (IIIa), mp 105–107°C, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +142.4° (C 0.65, MeOH). IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3232, 2922, 2852, 1647, 1456, 1327, 1290, 1215, 1058, 802, 702. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.68 d (3H, CH₃, J 6.8 Hz), 2.54–2.84 m (2H, C⁶H), 3.21-3.33 m (1H, C^{3a}H), 3.39 t (1H, C^{6a}H, J 9.08 Hz), 3.73–4.03 br.s (1H, OH), 4.63–4.73 br.s (1H, CH–OH), 5.34 q (1H, CH–Ph, J 7.05 Hz), 5.33–5.49 m (1H, C⁵H), 5.72–5.84 m (1H, C⁴H), 7.13–7.35 m (5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 18.66 (CH₂), 35.70 (C⁶), 42.83 (C^{6a}), 49.96 (CH-Ph), 54.17 (C^{3a}), 84.83 (C³), 127.32, 127.43, 128.40 (Ph), 128.61 (C⁵), 132.30 (C⁴), 139.79 (Ph), 177.27 (C=O). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel}, %): 244 (100) [MH]⁺, 226 (39), 198 (35.3), 177 (13.7), 161 (18.1), 121 (12.8), 93 (13.2), 65 (9.3). Found, %: C 73.28; H 6.36; N 5.25. C₁₅H₁₇NO₂. Calculated, %: C 74.07; H 6.70; N 5.76.

(3aR,6aS)-3-Hydroxy-2-[(1*R*)-phenylethyl]-3,3a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[*c*]pyrrol-1(2*H*)-one (IIIb), mp 120–121°C, [α]_D²⁰+37.8° (C 0.8, MeOH). IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3244 (O–H), 2922 (CH₃), 2852 (CH₃), 1651 (C=O), 1616, 1456 (CH₃), 1336 (CH₃), 1303, 1273, 1222, 1058, 805, 698. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 1.56 d (3H, CH₃, J 5.7 Hz), 2.15–2.35 br.s (1H, OH), 2.54–2.84 m (2H, C⁶H), 3.13–3.24 m (1H, C^{3a}H), 3.23 t (1H, C^{6a}H, J 7.08 Hz), 5.06–5.17 br.s (1H, CH–OH), 5.35 q (1H, CH–Ph, J 7.05 Hz), 5.59–5.67 m (1H, C⁵H), 5.75–5.85 m (1H, C⁴H), 7.20–7.54 m (5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 17.05 (CH₃), 35.64 (C⁶), 42.85 (C^{6a}), 49.94 (CH–Ph), 53.32 (C^{3a}), 84.38 (C³), 126.96, 127.23, 128.07 (Ph), 128.73 (C⁵), 132.65 (C⁴), 141.21 (Ph), 177.35 (C=O). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel}, %): 244 (100) [MH]⁺, 226 (43), 198 (33.3), 177 (16.7), 161 (15), 121 (11.7), 93 (16.7), 65 (8.3). Found, %: C 73.97; H 6.63; N 5.35. C₁₅H₁₇NO₂. Calculated, %: C 74.07; H 6.70; N 5.76.

(3aS,6aR,3a'S,6a'R)-3,3'-Oxybis{2-[(1R)-1phenylethyl]-3,3a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[c]pyrrol-1(2H)-one} (IVa). To a solution of 1.5 g (5 mmol) of amide IIIa in 20 ml of MeCN was added a solution of $89 \text{ mg} (0.5 \text{ mmol}) \text{ of PdCl}_2 \text{ in 7 ml of H}_2\text{O}$, and the mixture obtained was stirred at boiling for 2 h (TLC monitoring). The organic solvent was evaporated, the water phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×20 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄, the solvent was evaporated under a reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂, eluent petroleum ether-ethyl acetate, 1:1. Yield 1.42 g (98%). Orange oily fluid, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +98.4° (C 1.25, CHCl₃). IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 2933, 2922, 2852, 1685, 1418, 1375, 1344, 1288, 1261, 1078. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.60 d (3H, CH₃ and C'H₃, J 6.8 Hz), 2.54–2.84 m (3H, C⁶H and C^{3a}H, C⁶H and C^{3a}'H), 3.17 t (1H, C^{6a}H and C^{6a}'H, J 7.08 Hz), 4.23 s (1H, CH-O and C'H-O), 5.16-5.23 m (1H, C⁵H and C⁵'H), 5.32 q (1H, CH–Ph and C'H–Ph, J 7.05 Hz), 5.74– 5.81 m (1H, C4H and C4H), 7.13-7.35 m (5H, Ph and Ph'). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ, ppm: 17.90 (C'H₃), 18.66 (CH₃), 35.51 (C⁶), 35.73 (C⁶), 42.80 (C^{6a}), 42.98 (C^{6a}), 48.78 (CH-Ph), 49.82 (C'H-Ph), 54.18 (C^{3a'} and C^{3a}), 84.78 (C³), 86.79 (C³), 127.35, 127.64, 128.01 (Ph and Ph'), 128.38 (C⁵), 128.82 (C⁵'), 132.33 (C⁴), 133.12 (C⁴'), 139.28 (Ph'), 139.81 (Ph), 177.20 (C'=O), 177.27 (C=O). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel}, %): 469 (68) [MH]⁺, 246 (31.6), 244 (32), 226 (100), 198 (28). Found, %: C 76.48; H 4.36; N 5.55. C₃₀H₃₂N₂O₃. Calculated, %: C 76.92; H 4.71; N 5.98.

(3aR,6aS,3a'R,6a'S)-3,3'-Oxybis{2-[(1*R*)-1phenylethyl]-3,3a,6,6a-tetrahydrocyclopenta[*c*]pyrrol-1(2*H*)-one} (IVb) was similarly prepared from 1.0 g (3.3 mmol) of amide IIIb and 60 mg (0.3 mmol) of PdCl₂. Yield 0.95 g (98%). Orange oily fluid, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +69.7° (C 1.15, CHCl₃). IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 2935, 2922, 2852, 1688, 1418, 1375, 1348, 1292, 1267, 1078. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 1.55 d (3H, CH₃ and C'H₃, J 6.0 Hz), 2.45–2.9 m (4H, C⁶H, C^{3a}H, C⁶H, C^{3a}'H, C^{6a}H and C^{6a}'H), 4.66 C (1H, CH–O and C'H–O), 5.18 q (1H, CH–Ph and C'H–Ph, J 7.05 Hz), 5.37–5.43 m (1H, C⁵H and C⁵'H), 5.78–5.85 m (1H, C⁴H and C⁴'H), 7.22–7.50 m (5H, Ph and Ph'). ¹³C NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 17.01 (C'H₃), 17.55 (CH₃), 35.43 (C⁶), 35.66 (C⁶), 42.55 (C^{6a'}), 42.82 (C^{6a}), 50.06 (CH–Ph), 50.52 (C'H–Ph), 53.30 (C^{3a'} and C^{3a}), 84.33 (C³), 86.76 (C^{3'}), 127.00, 127.14, 127.23 (Ph and Ph'), 128.04 (C⁵), 128.73 (C^{5'}), 132.66 (C⁴), 133.60 (C^{4'}), 140.87 (Ph'), 141.24 (Ph), 177.26 (C'=O), 177.86 (C=O). Mass spectrum (APCI), m/z (I_{rel}, %): 469 (73) [MH]⁺, 246 (37.6), 244 (35.2), 226 (100), 198 (22.3). Found, %: C 76.55; H 4.43; N 5.64. C₃₀H₃₂N₂O₃. Calculated, %: C 76.92; H 4.71; N 5.98.

Hydrolysis of compound IVa. To a solution of 1.0 g (2.1 mmol) of compound IVa in 15 ml of THF was added a solution of 57 mg (0.21 mmol) of FeCl₃·6H₂O in 5 ml of H₂O, and the mixture obtained was stirred at boiling for 2 h (TLC monitoring). The organic solvent was evaporated, the water phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×15 ml). The combined organic extracts were dried with MgSO₄, the solvent was evaporated under a reduced pressure. The residue was subjected to chromatography on SiO₂, eluent petroleum ether–ethyl acetate, 1:1. We obtained 0.99 g (95%) of compound IIIa.

Hydrolysis of compound IVb. Likewise from 1.2 g (2.5 mmol) of ether **IVb** and 68 mg (0.25 mmol) of FeCl₃·6H₂O we obtained 1.18 g (95%) of compound **IIIb**.

REFERENCES

- Ghosez, L., Montaigne, R., Roussel, A., Vanlierde, H., and Mollet, P., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1966, vol. 7, p. 135.
- 2. Ghosez, L., Montaigne, R., and Mollet, P., *Tetrahedron*, 1971, vol. 27, p. 615.
- 3. Brady, W.T., Tetrahedron, 1981, vol. 37, p. 2949.
- 4. Kocienski, P.J., Protecting Groups, Enders, D., Noyori, R., and Trost, D.M., Stuttgart–N.-Y.: Thieme, 1994, 260 p.
- Greene, T.W. and Wuts, P.G.M., *Protective Groups in* Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed., New York: J. Willey and Sons, Inc., 1999, 759 p.
- 6. Alexakis, A. and Duffout, J.M., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1988, vol. 29, p. 6243.
- 7. Ganem, B. and Small, V.R. Jr., *J. Org. Chem.*, 1974, vol. 39, p. 3728.
- Kim, R.S., Song, V.H., Lee, B.H., and Hahn, C.S., J. Org. Chem., 1986, vol. 51, p. 404.
- 9. Zimpe, F.L. and Kazmaier, U., Synlett., 1998, p. 1199.
- 10. Hey, H. and Arpe, H.-Y., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1973, vol. 12, p. 928.

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 45 No. 5 2009