NMR STUDIES OF ⁷Li CHEMICAL EXCHANGE BETWEEN PHENYLLITHIUM AND *p*-TOLYLLITHIUM: THE DEGREE OF ASSOCIATION OF PHENYLLITHIUM IN DIETHYL ETHER SOLUTION

J. A. LADD AND J. PARKER

Department of Chemistry & Applied Chemistry, University of Salford, Salford M5 4WT (Great Britain) (Received November 9th, 1970)

SUMMARY

An investigation of the temperature dependence of the ⁷Li NMR spectra of equimolar mixtures of phenyl- and *p*-tolyllithium in ether solution reveals that only two species exist in rapid equilibrium, the kinetics of which are consistent with a bimolecular mechanism having an Arrhenius activation energy of 29.5 ± 8 kJ/mol. The results are consistent with monomeric aryllithium molecules rather than dimeric as has been suggested on the basis of ebullioscopic measurements.

INTRODUCTION

Examination of the nuclear magnetic resonance spectra of solutions of the pure organolithium compounds¹⁻³ and of their mixtures with other lithium⁴⁻⁷ or organometallic compounds⁸⁻¹⁰ has provided a considerable insight to their structures and to the chemical exchange processes which are prevalent in these systems. The utility of ⁷Li NMR spectra in this connection has been particularly well established and we report here the results of a study of ⁷Li chemical exchange in mixtures of phenyl- and *p*-tolyllithium in diethyl ether solution.

There is no information currently available which is specifically concerned with chemical exchange in aryllithium systems, but Seitz and Brown have studied⁹ the mixed systems phenyllithium/diphenylmagnesium and phenyllithium/diphenylzinc. The particular system studied in this work was chosen because the ⁷Li chemical shifts of the individual components have been shown¹¹ to differ most among a series of variously substituted phenyllithiums and this criterion leads to the determination of the most reliable activation energies for such exchange processes¹².

EXPERIMENTAL

Details of the preparation and handling of these reactive compounds have been described previously¹¹. Solutions of phenyllithium and *p*-tolyllithium in anhydrous ether were first prepared individually and their concentrations estimated by spectral integration of the solute and solvent proton resonances. Samples for analysis

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 1-4

were then made up by combining appropriate volumes of these solutions to provide equal concentrations of the two aryllithiums.

The ⁷Li NMR spectra of these samples were obtained over a range of temperatures at 38.9 MHz using a Varian HAl00 spectrometer. A capillary containing 70% methanolic lithium bromide solution provided the reference signal and chemical shifts were measured by the audio-sideband technique.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ebullioscopic measurements^{13,14} have suggested that phenyllithium is dimeric in ether solution and it is reasonable to conclude that a substituted phenyllithium such as *p*-tolyllithium would exhibit the same associative characteristics. Thus, in a solution containing an equimolar mixture of these two compounds, the existence of a mixed dimer is to be expected:



There are two schemes by which such a mixed species could be produced: SchemeA, by an associative method:



Scheme B, by a dissociative method:

Li₂Ph₂ ⇄ 2LiPh Li₂Tol₂ ⇄ 2LiTol LiPh+LiTol ⇄ Li₂PhTol

The latter scheme is the more likely since the strong Lewis base character of diethyl ether favours dissociation of these electron-deficient compounds (ethyllithium is predominantly hexameric in hydrocarbon solvents¹⁵ but is probably tetrameric in ether⁵). Nevertheless, if the predominant species in solution is a dimer, then rapid chemical exchange would lead to dimers of the type Li₂Ph₂, Li₂PhTol and Li₂Tol₂ in the appropriate statistical proportions, *i.e.* 1/2/1 when equimolar amounts of phenyland *p*-tolyllithium are mixed. Thus, at low temperatures where chemical exchange is effectively stopped, ⁷Li resonance signals corresponding to the three different species should be observed. Our results are completely inconsistent with this picture. At room temperature, the ⁷Li resonance spectrum of an equimolar mixture of phenyllithium and *p*-tolyllithium in ether consists of a single, relatively sharp signal whose chemical shift is intermediate between that of the individual components. Upon lowering the temperature, the line broadens and eventually splits into a doublet at ~212°K. A further slight splitting was observed on decreasing the temperature

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 1-4

further (Fig. 1) but no intermediate signal corresponding to a mixed species appeared.

An analysis of the exchange was therefore carried out on the basis of a twosite equilibrium. At each temperature, the observed ⁷Li spectrum was compared with a series of calculated line-shapes¹⁶ and the best fit provided a value of τ , the mean lifetime of a ⁷Li nucleus before exchange. Values of the transverse relaxation times (T_2) and of the chemical shift difference between the resonances in the limit of no exchange ($\delta\omega$) which were needed for the calculation were determined from the spectrum at the lowest temperatures.

Figure 2 shows an Arrhenius plot of $-\log \tau vs. 1/T$ for two equimolar solutions of phenyllithium and *p*-tolyllithium, the overall concentrations being 0.67 and 1.03 *M* respectively. From the slope of the graph, an Arrhenius activation energy of 29.5 ± 8 kJ/mol was determined which is not uncharacteristic of a bimolecular process. The observed concentration dependence also supports this conclusion. In comparison, Brown and co-workers report activation energies of ~49 kJ/mol for ⁷Li exchange between phenyllithium and phenyllithium complexes of diphenylmagnesium and diphenylzinc, the kinetics being consistent with a unimolecular process⁹.

The results obtained here are therefore most readily interpreted in terms of a bimolecular exchange process of the type:

which involves the monomeric aryllithiums. The ebulliometrically determined degree





Fig. 2. An Arrhenius plot of $-\log \tau vs. 1/T$ for the ⁷Li spectra. The overall concentrations were 0.67 M (O) and 1.03 M (\odot), respectively.

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 1-4

of association of phenyllithium in ether solution must then be in error and this is not surprising in view of the reactive nature of these electron-deficient compounds towards oxygen and water vapour as well as towards oxygenated solvents such as ether and tetrahydrofuran.

The existence of aryllithium compounds as monomers in ether solution is also more in keeping with our previous results concerning the ¹H and ⁷Li chemical shifts in these systems¹¹. There it was found that the introduction of a methyl or chloro substituent onto the aromatic ring of phenyllithium led to upfield shifts of the resonances of the protons ortho to the metal while the ⁷Li resonance moved downfield and this was explained in terms of the magnetic anisotropy of the carbon in the C-Li bond. The magnitude of this effect experienced by the lithium nucleus is governed by the factor $R^{-3}(1-3\cos^2\theta)$ where R and θ are the polar coordinates of the lithium with respect to the carbon as origin and to the magnetic field direction as axis (see Fig. 3 of ref. 11). Assuming phenyllithium to be dimeric with a LiCLi bridging angle¹⁷ of between 66–70°, θ takes on values of 55–57° which are very near to the unique value of $\theta = 54^{\circ}44'$ where the above mentioned factor is zero. Whereas the $(1 - 3\cos^2\theta)$ term may be very small when $\theta = 55-57^\circ$, the fact that the lithium atom is directly bound to the anisotropic carbon means that R is also relatively small, which tends to offset the angular factor. Nevertheless, the fairly large shifts observed in the ⁷Li resonance on substitution compared with those of the ¹H resonances are more consistent with a monomeric species where $\theta = 90^{\circ}$.

REFERENCES

- 1 T. L. BROWN AND J. A. LADD, J. Organometal. Chem., 2 (1964) 373.
- 2 L. D. MCKEEVER, R. WAACK, M. A. DORAN AND E. B. BAKER, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 1057.
- 3 L. D. MCKEEVER AND R. WAACK, Chem. Commun., (1969) 750.
- 4 T. L. BROWN, J. A. LADD AND G. N. NEWMAN, J. Organometal. Chem., 3 (1965) 1.
- 5 L. M. SEITZ AND T. L. BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 2174.
- 6 K. C. WILLIAMS AND T. L. BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 4134.
- 7 G. E. HARTWELL AND T. L' BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 4625.
- 8 L. M. SEITZ AND T. L. BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 88 (1966) 4140.
- 9 L. M. SEITZ AND T. L. BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 1602.
- 10 L. M. SEITZ AND T. L. BROWN, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89 (1967) 1607.
- 11 J. PARKER AND J. A. LADD, J. Organometal. Chem., 19 (1969) 1.
- 12 G. BINSCH, in E. L. ELIEL AND N. L. ALLINGER (Eds.), Topics in Stereochemistry, Vol. 3, Interscience, New York, 1968, p. 97.
- 13 G. WITTIG, F. J. MEYER AND G. LANG, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem., 57 (1951) 167.
- 14 T. V. TALALAEVA, A. N. RADINOV AND K. A. KOCHESHKOV, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 154 (1964) 174.
- 15 T. L. BROWN, D. W. DICKERHOOF AND D. A. BAFUS, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 84 (1962) 1371.
- 16 V. I. P. JONES AND J. A. LADD, Trans. Faraday Soc., 66 (1970) 2948.
- 17 H. DIETRICH, Acta Crystallogr., 16 (1963) 681.

J. Organometal. Chem., 28 (1971) 1-4

4