

## Preliminary communication

---

### Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl/solvent interaction studied through heteronuclear double magnetic <sup>1</sup>H—{<sup>119</sup>Sn} resonance

V.N. TOROCHESNIKOV, A.P. TUPČIAUSKAS, N.M. SERGEYEV and Yu.A. USTYNYUK  
*NMR Laboratory, Chemistry Department, M.V. Lomonosov State University, Moscow (USSR)*  
(Received December 9th, 1971)

The first paper<sup>1</sup> on the <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts in organotin compounds mentioned that the shifts were strongly dependent on the solvent used. Later, a dependence of the shifts on concentration was found<sup>2</sup> for compounds of the type Alk<sub>3</sub>SnX. However, direct recording of the <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR spectra as used in both the papers cited above did not permit the <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts to be studied at low concentrations.

The <sup>1</sup>H—{<sup>119</sup>Sn} heteronuclear double magnetic resonance (HDMR) technique enabled us to find the <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts for Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl at low concentrations<sup>3</sup>. The solvent effect was determined for 5% *M* concentrations of organotin compounds. The shifts varied from -179.3 (in Me<sub>2</sub>CO) to -44.5 ppm (in MeOH). This may be explained by assuming that the organotin compounds can form complexes with donor solvents.

The present paper deals with a study of the <sup>119</sup>Sn shifts as a function of concentration and temperature in various solvents. Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl was chosen as the object of the study.

The <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shift observed, δ<sub>obs.</sub>, is an averaged quantity:

$$\delta_{\text{obs}} = (1 - \alpha) \delta_o + \alpha \delta_c \quad (1)$$

where δ<sub>o</sub> is the <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shift for the uncomplexed Alk<sub>3</sub>SnX, δ<sub>c</sub> is the same for the complexed Alk<sub>3</sub>SnX, and α is the extent of complex formation.

Formation of an equimolar complex may be written as follows:



where D is a donor solvent.

In this case, the equilibrium constant, *K*, may be calculated<sup>2</sup> from the concentration dependences found for the chemical shifts,

$$K = \frac{k_1}{k_2} = \frac{\delta_o(\delta_c - C \delta_o)}{\delta_o^2(1 - C) + C \delta_o^2 - \delta_o \delta_c} \quad (3)$$

where C is the initial molar fraction of  $\text{Alk}_3\text{SnX}$ .

The  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  chemical shifts were measured with the aid of the  $^1\text{H}-\{^{119}\text{Sn}\}$  HDMR technique on a JEOL C-60HL instrument which recorded the proton spectra. Frequency sweep and internal lock were used throughout. The  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  spectrum was irradiated at 22.37 MHz with a Ch1-9 synthesizer. The frequency was set accurately to within  $\pm 1$  Hz. Other details of the experiment may be found elsewhere<sup>3</sup>.

We have studied solutions of  $\text{Me}_3\text{SnCl}$  in acetone, dioxane, methanol, ethanol, carbon tetrachloride and methylene chloride, as well as solutions of  $\text{Et}_3\text{SnCl}$  in acetone or acetonitrile. Tables 1-7 summarise the  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  chemical shifts obtained at various

TABLE 1

$^{119}\text{Sn}$  CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), FOR  $\text{Me}_3\text{SnCl}$  DISSOLVED IN ACETONE<sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)					
	-55	-34	-2	+20	+37	+50
20	-84.8	-92.7	-104.3	-113.2	-121.3	-125.5
15	-82.5	-91.3	-102.7	-111.8	-119.8	-124.1
10	-80.8	-90.4	-101.5	-110.3	-118.5	-122.9
5	-79.0	-89.0	-100.4	-109.3	-117.4	-121.7
2	-77.8	-87.5	-98.4	-108.5	-116.4	-121.0
$\delta_c^b$	$-74 \pm 6$	$-77 \pm 5$	$-76 \pm 4$	$-78 \pm 4$	$-79 \pm 3$	$-78 \pm 3$
$K^c$	$12.6 \pm 3$	$7.1 \pm 2$	$2.5 \pm 0.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.15$

<sup>a</sup>  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  chemical shifts are given in ppm relative to tetramethyltin, <sup>b</sup>  $\delta_c$  is the  $^{119}\text{Sn}$  chemical shift for the complexed  $\text{Alk}_3\text{SnX}$ , <sup>c</sup>  $K$  is the calculated value of the equilibrium constant.

TABLE 2

$^{119}\text{Sn}$  CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), FOR  $\text{Me}_3\text{SnCl}$  DISSOLVED IN ACETONITRILE<sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)					
	-20	-5	+20	+40	+60	+70
40	-107.3	-114.7	-118.9	-129.3	-135.6	-137.5
20	-101.7	-108.7	-116.2	-126.1	-130.9	-133.5
10	-98.2	-105.0	-113.2	-123.6	-128.7	-131.1
5	-95.2	-103.4	-111.5	-120.4	-127.1	-129.6
3	-92.7	-101.6	-110.8	-119.5	-126.4	-129.0
$\delta_c$	$-84 \pm 6$	$-86 \pm 5$	$-87 \pm 5$	$-87 \pm 5$	$-88 \pm 4$	$-85 \pm 5$
$K$	$6.2 \pm 2$	$3.5 \pm 0.8$	$2.1 \pm 0.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1.

TABLE 3

<sup>119</sup>Sn CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), FOR Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl DISSOLVED IN DIOXANE<sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)				
	+20	+37	+50	+68	+82
20	-125.3	-129.1	-135.2	-139.6	-
15	-124.3	-128.0	-134.1	-138.3	-141.9
10	-123.8	-127.6	-133.7	-137.9	-141.4
5	-121.5	-126.3	-132.5	-136.9	-140.7
2	-120.4	-125.5	-131.6	-136.4	-140.1
$\delta_c$	-104±4	-103±4	-105±4	-104±3	-106±4
<i>K</i>	2.1±0.4	1.5±0.3	1.0±0.2	0.7±0.1	0.5±0.1

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1.

TABLE 4

<sup>119</sup>Sn CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), FOR Et<sub>3</sub>SnCl DISSOLVED IN ACETONITRILE<sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)				
	-9	+20	+35	+48	+63
90	-146.8	-148.6	-151.8	-153.1	-154.7
75	-139.7	-141.8	-145.4	-147.9	-149.0
50	-127.2	-134.8	-137.2	-139.7	-141.9
25	-115.5	-124.0	-127.6	-130.7	-133.2
10	-111.6	-120.2	-124.8	-127.4	-130.1
$\delta_c$	-84±5	-86±4	-87±4	-86±5	-88±6
<i>K</i>	1.9±0.4	1.2±0.3	0.9±0.2	0.8±0.1	0.6±0.1

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1.

TABLE 5

<sup>119</sup>Sn CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), FOR Et<sub>3</sub>SnCl DISSOLVED IN ACETONE<sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)				
	-20	-10	+20	+35	+48
90	-146.2	-147.5	-149.0	-150.9	-152.7
75	-136.8	-139.7	-147.5	-147.8	-149.4
50	-125.0	-128.3	-134.8	-140.0	-142.3
25	-112.2	-115.9	-125.5	-131.6	-133.7
10	-108.0	-111.7	-121.3	-127.7	-129.2
$\delta_c$	-83±5	-81±6	-84±4	-82±5	-85±4
<i>K</i>	2.1±0.4	1.6±0.3	1.0±0.3	0.7±0.1	0.5±0.1

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1.

TABLE 6

<sup>119</sup>Sn CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$ (PPM), FOR Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl DISSOLVED IN METHANOL <sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)				
	-13	+1	+20	+33	+56
20	-36.6	-42.3	-51.1	-54.4	-64.4
12	-34.1	-39.9	-48.2	-52.1	-61.2
7	-30.3	-35.9	-43.0	-45.5	-54.1
4	-29.3	-34.6	-41.7	-44.4	-52.8
2	-28.6	-34.0	-40.9	-43.9	-51.9

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1

TABLE 7

<sup>119</sup>Sn CHEMICAL SHIFTS,  $\delta$  (PPM), Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl DISSOLVED IN ETHANOL <sup>a</sup>

Concentration (molar percent)	Temperature (°C)				
	-35	0	+20	+30	+50
20	-27.7	-42.2	-51.8	-60.2	-69.4
15	-26.7	-40.1	-50.7	-57.5	-68.5
10	-24.9	-37.1	-47.9	-54.0	-65.2
5	-22.7	-35.8	-44.6	-52.6	-63.5
2	-21.4	-35.7	-43.7	-51.7	-62.3

<sup>a</sup> See remarks to Table 1.

concentrations and temperatures. Values of  $\delta_c$  and  $K$  are also shown. These are calculated using eqn. (3).

The  $K$  vs. temperature plot helps to estimate the molar enthalpy of complex formation using eqn. (4):

$$\frac{d(\ln K)}{dT} = \frac{\Delta H}{RT^2} \quad (4)$$

TABLE 8

 $\Delta H$  VALUES FOR COMPLEXES OF TRIMETHYL- OR TRIETHYLSTANNYL CHLORIDES WITH ACETONE, ACETONITRILE AND DIOXANE

Complex	$\Delta H$ (kcal/mole)
Me <sub>3</sub> SnCl with acetone	4.2 ± 1.3
Me <sub>3</sub> SnCl with acetonitrile	4.1 ± 1.15
Me <sub>3</sub> SnCl with dioxane	5.1 ± 1.5
Et <sub>3</sub> SnCl with acetone	3.3 ± 1.4
Et <sub>3</sub> SnCl with acetonitrile	2.8 ± 1.25

The results, which are listed in Table 8 are close to those calculated by thermochemical methods<sup>4</sup>.

The solvents studied may be subdivided into three groups:

(i) carbon tetrachloride and methylene chloride, which do not significantly affect the <sup>119</sup>Sn chemical shifts associated with Me<sub>3</sub>SnCl; these solvents may be considered neutral;

(ii) protic polar solvents (acetone; acetonitrile, dioxane), for which the enthalpy of complex formation is 4 to 5 kcal/mole; the enthalpy falls off with an increase in the chain length, (it is equal to 3 kcal/mole in Et<sub>3</sub>SnCl);

(iii) alcohols (methanol, ethanol) which probably cause ionisation of the solute.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 J.J. Burke and P.C. Lauterbur, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 83 (1961) 326.
- 2 B.K. Hunter and L.W. Reeves, *Canad. J. Chem.*, 46 (1968) 1399.
- 3 A.P. Tupčiauskas, N.M. Sergeyev and Yu.A. Ustynyuk, *Liet. Fiz. Rinkinys.*, 11 (1971) 93.
- 4 T.F. Bolles and R.S. Drago, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 88 (1966) 5730.

*J. Organometal. Chem.*, 35 (1972)