

A SIMPLE PREPARATION OF BIS-ARENE-RUTHENIUM CATIONIC COMPLEXES, INCLUDING THOSE CONTAINING DIFFERENT ARENES

M.A. BENNETT * and T.W. MATHESON

Research School of Chemistry, The Australian National University, P.O. Box 4, Canberra, A.C.T. 2600 (Australia)

(Received March 9th, 1979)

Summary

Bis- η^6 -arene-ruthenium(II) salts of general formula $[\text{Ru}(\text{arene}^1)(\text{arene}^2)]\text{Y}_2$ ($\text{arene}^1 = \text{benzene, mesitylene or hexamethylbenzene, arene}^2 = \text{a wide range of aromatic compounds and } \text{Y} = \text{BF}_4 \text{ or PF}_6$) can be prepared in moderate to high yields by treatment of $[\text{RuCl}_2(\eta^6\text{-arene}^1)]_2$ in acetone successively with AgBF_4 or AgPF_6 , acid ($\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, HBF_4 or HPF_6) and arene^2 .

Introduction

Although η^6 -benzene- η^6 -biphenylchromium complexes $[\text{Cr}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5)]^{n+}$ ($n = 0, 1$) are formed in the reaction between anhydrous chromium(III) chloride and phenylmagnesium bromide originally studied by Hein [1,2], there are few systematic methods for the synthesis of bis-arene-metal complexes containing different η^6 -arenes. The Fischer–Hafner method is not suitable for this purpose and is in any case restricted to those aromatic molecules containing alkyl or aryl substituents which do not rearrange under Friedel–Crafts conditions. The cation $[\text{Cr}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CO}_2\text{H})(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]^+$ can be isolated in poor yield by carbonation of $\text{CrCl}_3/\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{MgBr}$ and subsequent hydrolysis and acidification [3]. Other mixed η^6 -arene-chromium(0) complexes, such as $\text{Cr}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COMe})$, have been made by metalation of dibenzenechromium and subsequent treatment with appropriate organic carbonyl compounds [4]. Recently, the metal atom technique has been used to make hitherto unobtainable bis-arene-metal complexes, including unsymmetrical species such as $\text{Cr}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{F}_6)$ [5,6].

We report here a simple route to cationic, mixed arene complexes of ruthenium(II) of general formula $[\text{Ru}(\eta^6\text{-arene}^1)(\eta^6\text{-arene}^2)]^{2+}$.

Results and discussion

The species formed in situ by treatment of $[\text{RuCl}_2(\eta^6\text{-arene}^1)]_2$ ($\text{arene}^1 = \text{C}_6\text{H}_6, 1,3,5\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3, \text{C}_6\text{Me}_6$) [7,8] with AgBF_4 or AgPF_6 in acetone react on

warming with a wide range of arenes (arene²) in the presence of acid (CF₃CO₂H, HPF₆ or HBF₄) to form colourless or pale yellow, air-stable salts [Ru(η⁶-arene¹)-(η⁶-arene²)]Y₂ (Y = BF₄, PF₆; arene² = benzene, mesitylene, hexamethylbenzene, naphthalene, anthracene, biphenyl, anisole, chlorobenzene, acetophenone, *N,N*-dimethylaniline, methyl benzoate, benzoic acid, phenol, and trifluoromethylbenzene). Yields range from 20% to almost quantitative, usually being dependent on the arene in [RuCl₂(η⁶-arene)]₂ in the order C₆Me₆ > 1,3,5-C₆H₃Me₃ > C₆H₆. The presence of acid is not absolutely essential to the success of many of the preparations, but in its absence longer reaction times are required and yields are lower. Complexes of benzoic acid and of anthracene could only be obtained analytically pure if acid (preferably trifluoroacetic acid) was used in the preparation. We have shown [9] that the triacetone cations [Ru(η⁶-arene)-(OCMe₂)₃]²⁺ initially formed from [RuCl₂(η⁶-arene)]₂ and AgBF₄ or AgPF₆ in acetone rapidly undergo further reactions which depend on the counter-anion. In the case of BF₄⁻, aldol condensation of coordinated acetone affords complexes containing bidentate diacetone alcohol, [Ru(η⁶-arene){Me₂C(OH)-CH₂COCH₃}(OCMe₂)](BF₄)₂, whereas in the case of PF₆⁻ the anion is partially solvolysed to give tri-μ-difluorophosphato complexes [Ru₂(μ-O₂PF₂)₃(η⁶-arene)₂]-PF₆ analogous to those isolated by solvolysis of the isoelectronic species [M(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(OCMe₂)₃](PF₆)₂ (M = Rh, Ir) [10,11]. Since both the diacetone alcohol and the tri-μ-difluorophosphato complexes react with arenes in the presence of acid to give bis-arene-ruthenium salts, it is likely that the acid in the in situ preparation serves to remove these two ligands by protonation.

Bis-arene complexes could not be isolated for arene² = hexafluorobenzene, nitrobenzene, *s*-collidine, aniline or thiophene, although the *p*-cymene tetramethylthiophene salt [Ru(η⁶-*p*-MeC₆H₄CHMe₂)(η⁵-C₄Me₄S)](PF₆)₂ has recently been made [12] using essentially the method described here. For the complexes containing identical arenes, [Ru(arene)₂]²⁺ (arene = C₆H₆, 1,3,5-C₆H₃Me₄, C₆Me₆), the yields and convenience of the present method are superior to those of the previously employed Fischer-Hafner synthesis [13-15].

The bis-arene-ruthenium(II) salts behave as 2/1 electrolytes in nitromethane, and their ¹H NMR spectra show a small upfield shift of the aromatic proton resonances relative to those in the free arene. In contrast with the isoelectronic cations [M(η⁵-C₅Me₅)(arene)]²⁺ (M = Rh, Ir) [16,17], the coordinated arenes are not readily replaced by other ligands. The only solvent in which all the complexes are reasonably soluble is dimethyl sulphoxide, the solutions being stable for long periods. The anthracene complexes are exceptional since they rapidly change from red to yellow in dimethyl sulphoxide, giving [Ru(η⁶-arene)-(DMSO)₃]²⁺ and free anthracene. The η⁶-chlorobenzene complexes are quantitatively converted into the corresponding anisole complexes on addition of methanol. A similar but much slower reaction occurs when (η⁶-C₆H₅Cl)Cr(CO)₃ is heated with methanolic sodium methoxide [18,19]. Undoubtedly the positive charge on the ruthenium complexes assists nucleophilic substitution.

The η⁶-phenol salt [Ru(η⁶-C₆H₃Me₃)(η⁶-C₆H₅OH)](BF₄)₂ is readily deprotonated in the presence of base to give a pale yellow, crystalline η⁶-phenoxo salt [Ru(η⁶-C₆H₃Me₃)(η⁶-C₆H₅O)]BF₄. Some deprotonation also takes place if acid (HBF₄ or CF₃CO₂H) is not added during the preparation of the η⁶-phenol complex. The η⁶-phenoxo (or η⁵-oxocyclohexadienyl) salt is a 1/1 electrolyte

in nitromethane and shows an intense $\nu(\text{C—O})$ band at 1625 cm^{-1} in its IR spectrum. Bands in the region $1580\text{—}1630\text{ cm}^{-1}$ have been reported for other η^6 -phenoxo-complexes of ruthenium(II), rhodium(III) and iridium(III) [20,21].

Preliminary work indicates that the bis-arene-ruthenium(II) salts described herein can be reduced by alkali metals to give zerovalent bis-arene-ruthenium(0) complexes similar to the known complex $\text{Ru}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{Me}_6)(\eta^4\text{-C}_6\text{Me}_6)$ [15] but containing different arenes. Recently, complexes of this type have been isolated from photochemically promoted cyclotrimerization of acetylenic compounds in the presence of the cyclohexa-1,3-diene complex $\text{Ru}(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)(\eta^4\text{-C}_6\text{H}_8)$ [22].

Experimental

Elemental analyses were carried out by the Microanalytical Department of this University (Miss Brenda Stevenson and Dr. Joyce Fildes and their associates). ^1H NMR spectra were recorded at 34°C on a Jeolco MH-100 spectrometer. Conductivities were measured at ca. 25°C using a Philips GM4144 bridge and PW9510 cell. The complexes $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6)]_2$ and $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)]_2$ were prepared by literature procedures [8]; the complexes $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)]_2$, $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)\{\text{Me}_2\text{C}(\text{OH})\text{CH}_2\text{COMe}\}(\text{OCMe}_2)](\text{BF}_4)_2$ and $[\text{Ru}_2(\mu\text{-PO}_2\text{F}_2)_3(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)_2]\text{PF}_6$ will be described elsewhere [9,23]. Yields, analyses and ^1H NMR data for the complexes $[\text{Ru}(\text{arene}^1)(\text{arene}^2)](\text{BF}_4)_2$ are in Table 1.

All the complexes in Table 1 were prepared essentially by the typical procedures outlined in (i)—(iii) below, yields being generally higher if acid ($\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H}$, HBF_4 or HPF_6) was present. The chlorobenzene complexes were recrystallized from DMSO/ether rather than from DMSO/methanol/ether owing to their rapid conversion into anisole complexes in the latter medium. The anthracene complexes could not be recrystallized from DMSO owing to their rapid decomposition in this solvent. They were isolated by cautious addition of ether to the acid solution in which they had been generated.

(i) *Bis*(η^6 -hexamethylbenzene)ruthenium(II) bis(tetrafluoroborate)

To $[\text{RuCl}_2(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)]_2$ (0.200 g, 0.03 mmol) in acetone (5 ml) was added silver tetrafluoroborate (0.233 g, 1.2 mmol) and the mixture was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 15 min. Precipitated silver chloride was filtered off and the yellow solution was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was treated with an excess of hexamethylbenzene (0.2—0.3 g) and trifluoroacetic acid (5 ml) and the solution was heated at 90°C for 5 min. Solvent was removed in vacuo and the oily residue was triturated with a few ml of diethyl ether. The resulting off-white solid, which represented an almost quantitative yield of product, was washed with ether and recrystallised from DMSO/methanol/ether to give white crystals of $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{Me}_6)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2$ (0.272 g, 76%).

(ii) (η^6 -Benzene)(η^6 -mesitylene)ruthenium(II) salts

(a) *Using HBF₄*. An acetone solution prepared as under (i) from $[\text{RuCl}_2(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)]_2$ (0.085 g, 0.15 mmol) and silver tetrafluoroborate (0.113 g, 0.6 mmol) was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, treated with benzene (2 ml) and 40% aqueous HBF_4 /propionic anhydride (2 ml), and heated at

TABLE I

YIELDS, ANALYSES AND ^1H NMR SPECTROSCOPIC DATA FOR COMPLEXES $[\text{Ru}(\text{arene}^1)(\text{arene}^2)](\text{BF}_4)_2^a$

Arene ¹	Arene ²	Yield (%)	Analysis (found (calcd.) (%))			^1H NMR (δ) ^b
			C	H	N	
C_6H_6	C_6H_6	58	33.6 (33.3)	3.0 (2.8)		6.87 (s, C_6H_6) ^c
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$ ^d	1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	72	42.1 (41.9)	4.8 (4.7)		2.27 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.78 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
C_6Me_6	C_6Me_6	76	48.0 (48.0)	6.2 (6.0)		2.09 (s, Me) ^e
C_6H_6 ^f	C_6Me_6	36	42.0 (41.9)	4.9 (4.7)		2.45 (s, 18 H, Me), 6.70 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6)
C_6Me_6	C_6H_6	75	41.3 (41.9)	4.7 (4.7)		2.45 (s, 18 H, Me), 6.70 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6)
C_6H_6	1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	46	37.7 (38.0)	4.1 (3.8)		2.36 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.84 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6) 6.91 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	C_6H_6	68	38.4 (38.0)	4.2 (3.8)		2.36 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.84 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6) ^f 6.91 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	C_6Me_6	54	45.3 (45.2)	5.3 (5.4)		2.18 (s, 9 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$) 2.34 (s, 18 H, C_6Me_6), 6.61 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
C_6Me_6	1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	54	45.1 (45.2)	5.3 (5.4)		2.18 (s, 9 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$) 2.34 (s, 18 H, C_6Me_6), 6.61 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	C_{10}H_8	60	43.9 (43.5)	4.1 (3.8)		2.05 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.47 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$), 7.01, 7.75, 7.95, 8.15, (each m, 2 H, C_{10}H_8)
C_6Me_6 ^g	C_{10}H_8	64	46.7 (46.6)	4.7 (4.6)		2.07 (s, 18 H, C_6Me_6), 6.93, 7.53, 7.87, 8.19 (each m, 2 H, C_{10}H_8) h
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	$\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}$	50	48.1 (48.2)	3.7 (3.8)		
C_6H_6	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	21	42.7 (42.6)	3.2 (3.2)		6.88 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6), 7.09 (3 H), 7.60 (5 H), 7.96 (2 H) (each m, $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}$)
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}_6\text{H}_5$	66	46.2 (45.9)	4.2 (4.0)		2.10 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.86 (s, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$), 7.00 (3 H), 7.66 (5 H), 8.04 (2 H) (each m, $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{10}$)
C_6H_6	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$	35	34.4 (33.8)	3.6 (3.0)		3.97 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.80 (m, 5 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$), 6.88 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6)
1,3,5- $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$	65	38.7 (38.1)	4.4 (4.0)		2.33 (s, 9 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$), 3.99 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.90 (m, 5 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$), 6.95 (m, 3 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3$)
C_6Me_6	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$	63	42.3 (41.8)	5.2 (4.8)		2.38 (s, 18 H, C_6Me_6), 3.89 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.74 (m, 5 H, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OMe}$)
C_6H_6	$\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$	65	30.0 (30.9)	2.3 (2.4)		7.02 (s, 6 H, C_6H_6), 7.01 (3 H), 7.54 (2 H) (each m, $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{Cl}$)

TABLE 1 (continued)

Arene ¹	Arene ²	Yield (%)	Analysis (found (caled.) (%))			¹ H NMR (δ) ^b
			C	H	N	
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ Cl	72	35.6 (35.4)	3.5 (3.3)		2.35 (s, 9 H, Me), 7.01 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 7.01 (3 H), 7.42 (2 H), each m, C ₆ H ₅ Cl
C ₆ Me ₆	C ₆ H ₅ Cl	97	39.5 (39.2)	4.2 (4.2)		2.42 (s, 18 H, Me), 6.95 (3 H), 7.32 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ Cl)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ NMe ₂	42	39.8 (39.5)	4.7 (4.5)	2.5 (2.7)	2.33 (s, 9 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 3.13 (s, 6 H, NMe ₂), 6.24 (2 H), 6.49 (3 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ NMe ₂), 6.82 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃)
C ₆ Me ₆	C ₆ H ₅ NMe ₂	34	42.9 (42.9)	5.1 (5.2)	2.2 (2.5)	2.41 (s, 18 H, C ₆ Me ₆), 3.14 (s, 6 H, NMe ₂), 6.12 (2 H), 6.40 (3 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ NMe ₂)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ OH	81	37.0 (36.8)	4.3 (3.7)		2.26 (s, 9 H, Me), 5.66 (2 H), 6.31 (3 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ OH), 6.66 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ O ⁱ	77	44.7 (44.9)	4.3 (4.2)		2.26 (s, 9 H, Me), 5.36 (2 H), 6.16 (3 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ O), 6.55 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃)
C ₆ H ₆	C ₆ H ₅ COMe	28	35.1 (35.4)	3.2 (3.0)		2.66 (s, 3 H, Me), 6.96 (s, 6 H, C ₆ H ₆), 7.09 (3 H), 7.34 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ COMe)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ COMe ^j	24	40.2 (39.5)	4.2 (3.9)		2.30 (s, 9 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 2.69 (s, 3 H, COMe), 7.02 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 7.06 (3 H), 7.40 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ COMe)
C ₆ Me ₆	C ₆ H ₅ COMe	31	43.4 (43.0)	5.1 (4.7)		2.39 (s, 18 H, C ₆ Me ₆), 2.65 (s, 3 H, COMe), 7.02 (3 H), 7.24 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ COMe)
C ₆ H ₆	C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me	28	34.1 (34.3)	3.4 (2.9)		3.87 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ Me), 6.82 (s, 6 H, C ₆ H ₆), 6.90 (3 H), 7.22 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me ^k	44	38.7 (38.4)	3.7 (3.8)		2.24 (s, 9 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 3.91 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ Me) 6.87 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 6.93 (3 H), 7.23 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me)
C ₆ Me ₆	C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me	51	42.0 (41.9)	4.9 (4.5)		2.35 (s, 18 H, C ₆ Me ₆), 4.02 (s, 3 H, CO ₂ Me), 6.97 (3 H), 7.20 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ Me)
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ H ^l	75	37.5 (37.1)	3.8 (3.5)		3.31 (s, 9 H, Me), 6.93 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 6.93 (3 H), 7.28 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ CO ₂ H)

TABLE I (continued)

Arene ¹	Arene ²	Yield (%)	Analysis (found (calcd.) (%))			¹ H NMR (δ) ^b
			C	H	N	
1,3,5-C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃	C ₆ H ₅ CF ₃	17	35.9 (35.5)	3.6 (3.1)	2.39 (s, 9 H, Me), 7.14 (s, 3 H, C ₆ H ₃ Me ₃), 7.17 (3 H), 7.62 (2 H) (each m, C ₆ H ₅ CF ₃)	

^a Prepared from [RuCl₂(η^6 -arene¹)₂], AgBF₄ and arene². ^b In DMSO-*d*₆, using Me₄Si as internal reference. ^c Literature value [15]; δ 6.9 (in DMSO-*d*₆). ^d Λ_M (MeNO₂, 1.09 × 10⁻³ M): 191 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ cf. quoted range for 2/1 electrolytes in MeNO₂: 150–180 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ [24]. ^e Literature value [15]: δ 2.35 (in acetone-*d*₆). ^f Λ_M (MeNO₂, 1.01 × 10⁻³ M): 176 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹. ^g Λ_M (MeNO₂, 1.12 × 10⁻³ M): 174 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹. ^h Could not be recorded owing to displacement of anthracene by DMSO-*d*₆. ⁱ Formula [Ru(1,3,5-C₆H₃Me₃)(C₆H₅O)]BF₄. Λ_M (MeNO₂, 1.24 × 10⁻³ M) 89 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ cf. quoted range for 1/1 electrolytes in MeNO₂: 75–95 ohm⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹ [24]. ^j IR (cm⁻¹, Nujol) 1715s [ν (C=O)]. Independent of arene¹. ^k IR (cm⁻¹, Nujol) 1748s [ν (C=O)]. Independent of arene¹. ^l IR (cm⁻¹, Nujol) 1750s, 1720s [ν (C=O)].

80°C for 10 min. The resulting colourless solution was worked up as under (i) to give white crystals of [Ru(C₆H₆)(C₆H₃Me₃)](BF₄)₂ (0.106 g, 68%).

(b) *Without acid.* An acetone solution prepared as under (i) from [RuCl₂(C₆H₃Me₃)₂] (0.200 g, 0.34 mmol) and silver tetrafluoroborate (0.266 g, 1.4 mmol) was filtered and the filtrate was treated with an excess of benzene (2 ml). After heating under reflux for 2.5 h, the colourless crystals of [Ru(C₆H₆)(C₆H₃Me₃)](BF₄)₂ were filtered off and dried in vacuo to give 0.211 g (66%) of product.

(c) *Using HPF₆.* An acetone solution prepared as under (i) from [RuCl₂(C₆H₃Me₃)₂] (0.100 g, 0.17 mmol) and silver hexafluorophosphate (0.173 g, 0.7 mmol) was evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure, treated with benzene (2 ml) and 60% aqueous HPF₆ (2 ml) and heated at 80°C for 10 min. Work up as under (i) gave white crystals of [Ru(C₆H₆)(C₆H₃Me₃)](PF₆)₂ (0.127 g, 78%). Anal. Found: C, 31.4; H, 3.4. C₁₅H₁₈F₁₂P₂Ru calcd.: C, 30.6; H, 3.1%.

(d) *From the diacetone alcohol acetone salt [Ru(C₆H₃Me₃){Me₂C(OH)CH₂COMe}(OCMe₂)](BF₄)₂.* A mixture of this salt (0.080 g, 0.14 mmol), trifluoroacetic acid (5 ml) and benzene (1 ml) was heated at 90°C for 5 min. The clear yellow solution became colourless and on work-up as above gave white crystalline [Ru(C₆H₆)(C₆H₃Me₃)](BF₄)₂ (0.050 g, 75%). Anal. Found: C, 38.1; H, 4.0; C₁₅H₁₈B₂F₈Ru calcd.: C, 38.0; H, 3.8%.

(e) *From the tris(μ -difluorophosphato)complex [Ru₂(μ -PO₂F₂)₃(C₆H₃Me₃)₂]-PF₆.* A mixture of this salt (0.100 g, 0.11 mmol), benzene (2 ml) and 40% fluoroboric acid in acetic anhydride (2 ml) was heated at 80°C for 10 min. Work-up as above gave [Ru(C₆H₆)(C₆H₃Me₃)](BF₄)₂ (0.075 g, 71%). The corresponding PF₆ salt was prepared similarly using HPF₆.

(iii) (η^6 -Mesitylene)(η^6 -phenol)ruthenium(II)bis(tetrafluoroborate)

An acetone solution prepared as under (i) from [RuCl₂(C₆H₃Me₃)₂] (0.200 g, 0.34 mmol) and silver tetrafluoroborate (0.266 g, 1.4 mmol) was treated with an excess of phenol (0.3 g) and 40% aqueous HBF₄/acetic anhydride (2 ml).

The solution was heated at 90°C for 1 h and allowed to cool to room temperature. Careful addition of ether gave white microcrystals of $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})](\text{BF}_4)_2$ (0.272 g, (81%). IR (cm^{-1} , Nujol) 1550s [$\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$].

(iv) Preparation of (η^6 -mesitylene)(η^6 -phenoxo)ruthenium(II) tetrafluoroborate

The phenol complex $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{OH})](\text{BF}_4)_2$ (0.080 g, 0.16 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (10 ml), ethyldiisopropylamine (1 ml) was added, and the solution was allowed to stand at room temperature for 1.5 h. After removal of the solvent in vacuo, the residue was recrystallized from acetone/ether to give pale yellow crystalline $[\text{Ru}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_3\text{Me}_3)(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{O})]\text{BF}_4$ (0.061 g, 77%). IR (cm^{-1} , Nujol) 1625s [$\nu(\text{C}=\text{O})$], 1585s [$\nu(\text{C}=\text{C})$].

References

- 1 W.E. Silverthorn, *Advan. Organometal. Chem.*, **13** (1975) 47, and ref. cited therein.
- 2 M.A. Bennett, in S. Coffey (Ed.), *Rodd's Chemistry of Carbon Compounds*, 2nd Edition, Vol. IIIb, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1974, p. 357, and ref. cited therein.
- 3 T.F. Burger and H.H. Zeiss, *Chem. Ind.*, (1962) 183.
- 4 E.O. Fischer and H. Brunner, *Chem. Ber.*, **95** (1962) 1999; **98** (1965) 175.
- 5 R. Middleton, J.R. Hull, S.R. Simpson, C.H. Tomlinson and P.L. Timms, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1973) 120.
- 6 M.J. McGlinchey and T.S. Tan, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **98** (1976) 2271.
- 7 R.A. Zelonka and M.C. Baird, *Canad. J. Chem.*, **50** (1972) 3063.
- 8 M.A. Bennett and A.K. Smith, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1974) 233.
- 9 M.A. Bennett, T.W. Matheson, G.B. Robertson, W.L. Steffen and T.W. Turney, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, (1979) 32.
- 10 S.J. Thompson, P.M. Bailey, C. White and P.M. Maitlis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **15** (1976) 490.
- 11 C. White, S.J. Thompson and P.M. Maitlis, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, **134** (1977) 319.
- 12 M.J.H. Russell, C. White, A. Yates and P.M. Maitlis, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1978) 857.
- 13 E.O. Fischer and R. Böttcher, *Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem.*, **291** (1957) 305.
- 14 D. Jones, L. Pratt and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1962) 4458.
- 15 E.O. Fischer and C. Elschenbroich, *Chem. Ber.*, **103** (1970) 162.
- 16 C. White and P.M. Maitlis, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1971) 3322.
- 17 C. White, S.J. Thompson and P.M. Maitlis, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1977) 1654.
- 18 B. Nicholls and M.C. Whiting, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1959) 551.
- 19 D.A. Brown and J.R. Raju, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, (1966) 40.
- 20 D.J. Cole-Hamilton, R.J. Young and G. Wilkinson, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1976) 1995.
- 21 C. White, S.J. Thompson and P.M. Maitlis, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, **127** (1977) 415.
- 22 A. Lucherini and L. Porri, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, **155** (1978) C45.
- 23 M.A. Bennett, T.W. Matheson and A.K. Smith, unpublished work.
- 24 W.J. Geary, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **7** (1971) 81.