Preliminary communication

TRANSITION-METAL COMPLEXES OF TWO VALENCE TAUTOMERS OF A BULKY PHENOXIDE, 2,6-Bu-t₂-4-MeC₆ H₂ O⁻ (ArO⁻); PREPARATION AND CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF A PHENOXYTITANIUM(III) AND A CYCLOHEXADIENONYLRHODIUM(I) COMPLEX, [Ti(η -C₅ H₅)₂ OAr] AND [Rh(ArO- η^{5})(PPh₃)₂]*

B. CETINKAYA, P.B. HITCHCOCK**, M.F. LAPPERT**, S. TORRONI, School of Molecular Sciences, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QJ, (Great Britain) J.L. ATWOOD**, W.E. HUNTER and M.J. ZAWOROTKO Department of Chemistry, The University of Alabama, University, Alabama 35486 (U.S.A.) (Received January 14th, 1979)

Summary

The 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylphenoxo ligand (ArO^{-}) is ambidentate, giving rise to the O-bonded 15-electron d^1 [Ti(η -C₅ H₅)₂ OAr] and the η^5 -[C(2)–C(6)]bonded 18-electron d^8 complex [Rh(ArO- η^5)(PPh₃)₂], obtained from [{Ti(η -C₅ H₅)₂ Cl}₂]-LiOAr and [Rh{N(SiMe_3)_2}(PPh_3)_2]-ArOH, respectively; the average Ti–C(η) distance is 2.362(10) Å, Ti–O 1.892(2) Å, and O–C(of Ar) 1.352(3) Å, and TiOC 142.3(2)°; in the Rh^I complex, C(2)–C(6) are coplanar (with C–C(av.) 1.38(2) Å), C(1)–O 1.28 Å, and Rh to C(2)–C(6) bond lengths are in the range 2.19–2.65 Å.

We report the preparation (see Scheme 1; for the amide (I), see reference [1]) and single crystal X-ray characterisation of two transition-metal complexes derived from the anion of the phenol (II), which is thus shown to be capable of behaving as an ambidentate nucleophile. The Ti^{III} complex (III) has the 2-electron-O-centred aryloxide structure, whereas the Rh^I complex (IV) contains the 6-electron- η^{5} -C-centred cyclohexadienonyl ligand. Some data are in Table 1.

The preference for O-bonding in the 15-electron complex III but η^5 -Cbonding for the 18-electron IV is attributed to the greater stability associated with the chosen central metal electron configuration (cf., the alternative 19-electron Ti^{III} or 14-electron Rh^I tautomers), the superior matching of the

*No reprints available.

^{**}Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.



SCHEME 1. Preparation of a Ti^{III} and a Rh^{I} complex derived from the anion of 2,6-Bu-t₂-4-MeC₆H₂OH (ArOH)

hard ligand ArO⁻ with Ti³⁺ and the softer (ArO- η^{s})⁻ with Rh⁺, and steric constraints.

Alkoxides or aryloxides of the 4d and 5d metals of Group VII, VIII, or I are exceedingly rare [2]. However, analogues of III are well-known, although generally dimeric, as in $[{\rm Ti}(\eta-C_5H_5)_2 OR]_2]$ (R = Me, Et, or Ph) [3]. π -Phenoxo complexes, "RuH(η^6 -PhO) (PPh₃)₂" and some solvated analogues, as well as "Rh(η^6 -PhO) (PPh₃)₂. PhOH", have been described [4]. The possibility was recognised of a η^5 -cyclohexadienonyl bonding mode, and preliminary X-ray data on "RuH(η^6 -PhO) (PPh₃)₂. MeOH" were cited [5]. η^5 -Cyclohexadienyl analogues are authenticated, as in [Mn(CO)₃(η^5 -C₆H₇)] [6] (V) and a cyclohexa-2,4-dienone (L)-complex [Fe(CO)₃L] has been described [7] (and L may be regarded as the keto-tautomer of phenol).

Crystal data for compound III: M = 397.4, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a 8.010(6), b 15.919(8), c 17.640(8) Å, β 98.15(5)°, U 2226.5 Å³, D_c 1.19 g cm⁻³ for Z = 4, μ (Mo- K_{α}) 4.1 cm⁻¹. Data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer in a manner similar to that previously described [8], and were refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The final R values were R = 0.032 and R' = 0.032 for 1901 independent reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$.

TABLE 1

DATA FOR [[Ti(η-C ₅ H ₅) ₂ ((OC ₆ H ₂ Me-4-Bu-t ₂	·2,6)] (III) AND	[Rh(2,6-Bu-t2	-4-MeC ₆ H ₂ O-η	⁵)(PPh ₃) ₂] (IV)
------------	--	--	------------------	---------------	--	---

		(%)	(θ _c /°C)	(1500—1600 cm ⁻¹)
m ^c	Deep-purple	50	167—169	1592m, 1583(sh), 1550vw, 1505vw
IV ^d	Red-brown	72	123—124	1585w, 1570m, 1548s, 1540(sh), 1530vw

^aSatisfactory microanalytical data were obtained. ^bNujol' mulls; s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, vw = very weak, (sh) = shoulder. ^cMonomer by cryoscopy in C_6H_6 ; $g_{av} = 1.9795$ at 20°C in PhMe (singlet). ^dMonomer by cryoscopy in cyclohexane; ¹H NMR in C_6H_6 at 30°C shows Bu-t protons at τ 3.4 and Me protons at τ 7.93.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecular structure of $[Ti(\eta - C_5H_5)_2(OAr)]$ (III) showing selected bond lengths and the numbering system used. The Ti-C(η) distance ranges from 2.352(4) to 2.380(4) Å and average 2.362(10) Å. The angle Cp (centroid)—Ti-Cp (centroid) is 135.5°.

The molecule is illustrated in Fig. 1. Evidence of steric effects associated with the bulky aryloxide are seen in parameters associated with the ligand itself. The Ti—O—C angle, 142.3(2)°, is much larger than the 125° values found in $M(OAr)_2$ (M = Ge or Sn) [9], and the central carbon atoms of the t-butyl ligands lie 0.34 and 0.40 Å out of the plane of the phenyl group. The Ti—O bond length, 1.892(2) Å, is slightly outside the range of 1.78 to 1.86 Å found in compounds with the Ti—O—Ti linkage [10], but the parameters of the $(\eta$ -C₅H₅)₂Ti unit appear normal for Ti in the +3 oxidations state [11].

Crystal data for compound IV: $C_{51}H_{53}OP_2Rh\cdot 2C_6H_6$, M = 1003.2, triclinic, space group $P\overline{1}$, a 11.570(3), b 19.650(4), c 13.298(5) Å, α 74.39(5)°, β 96.23(4)°, γ 113.34(3)°, U 2673.5 Å³, Z = 2, $\mu(Cu-K_{\alpha})$ 35.1 cm⁻¹. Data were collected on a Hilger and Watts Y290 diffractometer and refined by large block least squares, R = 0.088, R' = 0.115 for the 1920 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$. The CO bond length (Fig. 2) is indicative of a double bond and the CC bond lengths are similar to those in the cyclohexadienyl complex V [6]. All the substituents on the ring are bent slightly away from the metal. The slight asymmetry in the metal—ring bonding, as evident from the longer Rh—C(6) bond, is probably the result of minimisation of non-bonded contacts of the large t-Bu groups with the Ph rings on P(1).



Fig. 2. A perspective view of the molecular structure of $[Rh(OAr \eta^5)(PPh_3)_2]$ (IV) showing selected bond lengths and the numbering system used. E.s.d.'s are Rh-P 0.005, Rh-C 0.02, C-C 0.02 Å.

Acknowledgement

We thank the University of Izmir for granting leave of absence to B.C., C.N.R. for providing S.T. with study leave, and the U.S. National Science Foundation for partial support to J.L.A.

References

- 1 B. Cetinkaya, M.F. Lappert, and S. Torroni, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., (1979) 843.
- 2 D.C. Bradley, R.C. Mehrotra, and D.P. Gaur, Metal Alkoxides, Academic Press, New York, 1978; earlier publications on metal complexes derived from the anion of 2,6-di-t-butylphenols are cited in B. Çetinkaya, I. Gümrükçü, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, and R. Shakir, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) in press.
- 3 M.F. Lappert and A.R. Sanger, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1971) 1314.
- 4 D.J. Cole-Hamilton, R.J. Young, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1976) 1995.
- 5 J.C. McConway and A.C. Skapski, cited in ref. 4.
- 6 M.R. Churchill and F.R. Scholer, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 1950.

- 7 A.J. Birch, P.E. Cross, J. Lewis, D.A. White and S.B. Wild, J. Chem. Soc. A. (1968) 332. 8 J. Holton, M.F. Lappert, D.G.H. Ballard, R. Pearce, J.L. Atwood, and W.E. Hunter, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, (1979) 45.
- 9 B. Cetinkaya, I. Gümrükçü, M.F. Lappert, J.L. Atwood, R.D. Rogers, and M.J. Zaworotko, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 102 (1980) in press.
- 10 M.D. Rausch, D.J. Sikora, D.C. Hrncir, W.E. Hunter, and J.L. Atwood, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., in press and references therein.
- 11 J.L. Atwood, K.E. Stone, H.G. Alt, D.C. Hrncir, and M.D. Rausch, J. Organometal. Chem., 132 (1977) 367.