

*Journal of Organometallic Chemistry*, 205 (1981) 177–183  
Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne — Printed in The Netherlands

## SYNTHESIS OF THE PENTACARBONYL(CHALCOCARBONYL)- CHROMIUM(0) COMPLEXES, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$ ( $\text{X} = \text{S}, \text{Se}$ )

ANN M. ENGLISH, KEITH R. PLOWMAN, IONE M. BAIBICH, JAMES P. HICKEY, IAN S. BUTLER \*

*Department of Chemistry, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec H3A 2K6 (Canada)*

GÉRARD JAOUEN \* and PAUL LEMAUX

*Laboratoire de Chimie des Organométalliques, Université de Rennes, 35042 Rennes Cédex (France)*

(Received June 4th, 1980)

### Summary

The arene complexes,  $(\eta^6\text{-C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_2(\text{CX})$  ( $\text{X} = \text{S}, \text{Se}$ ), react with excess CO gas under pressure in tetrahydrofuran at about  $60^\circ\text{C}$  to produce the  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  complexes in high yield. The IR and NMR ( $^{13}\text{C}$  and  $^{17}\text{O}$ ) spectra of these complexes are in complete accord with the expected  $C_{4v}$  molecular symmetry. Like the analogous  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  complex, both compounds react with cyclohexylamine to give  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CNC}_6\text{H}_{11})$ . However, while  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  undergoes stereospecific CO substitution with halide ions ( $\text{Y}^-$ ) to form *trans*- $[\text{W}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{Y}]^-$ , the two chromium chalcocarbonyl complexes apparently undergo both CO and CX substitution to afford mixtures of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Y}]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CX})\text{Y}]^-$ .

### Introduction

Sodium amalgam reduction of the Group VIB metal hexacarbonyls in tetrahydrofuran leads to mixture of metal carbonyl anions, chiefly  $[\text{M}_2(\text{CO})_{10}]^{2-}$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ) [1], and addition of excess thiophosgene ( $\text{Cl}_2\text{CS}$ ) to these solutions affords the  $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  complexes in very poor yields (usually  $<5\%$ ) [2]. Furthermore, these thiocarbonyl products are heavily contaminated with large amounts of  $\text{M}(\text{CO})_6$ , which is regenerated during the reactions, thus necessitating tedious physical or chemical separations. Moreover, this route cannot be extended to the synthesis of the analogous selenocarbonyl complexes,  $\text{M}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CSe})$ , owing to the apparent non-existence of  $\text{Cl}_2\text{CSe}$ .

Earlier, we communicated [3] an alternative route to  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  (eq. 1) in



yield by Rausch et al.'s  $C_6H_6/2$ -picolene route [7].  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$  (0.35 g, 1.64 mmol) and excess *cis*-cyclooctene (20 ml) were added to 300 ml of  $C_6H_6$  in the irradiation vessel. The mixture was continually agitated with a stream of nitrogen gas and, after wrapping the vessel with aluminium foil and placing it in an ice-water bath, the UV lamp was turned on. The solution gradually became dark wine-red owing to the formation of  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(C_8H_{14})$ . The progress of the reaction was conveniently monitored by diluting a small sample of the reaction mixture with an equal volume of hexane and following changes in the IR spectrum of the reaction mixture in the CO stretching region. The  $\nu(CO)$  bands were:  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$ , 1970 ( $a_1$ ) and 1900 ( $e$ )  $cm^{-1}$ ;  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(C_8H_{14})$ , 1900 ( $a'$ ) and 1850 ( $a''$ )  $cm^{-1}$ . After ca. 50 min, the  $a_1$  mode of the tricarbonyl had disappeared and the irradiation process was terminated. The mixture was then allowed to stand for 30 min with a steady stream of nitrogen gas bubbling through it in order to flush out any remaining CO. Carbon disulfide (25 ml) or  $CSe_2$  (1 g, ca. 6 mmol) was added to the reaction mixture and the ice-water bath was removed. The solution slowly turned dark-yellow and some brownish-black decomposition product was evident. After standing for 2–3 h, the mixture was filtered through a medium-porosity, sintered-glass filter to remove the decomposition product. The benzene solvent and excess *cis*-cyclooctene were removed from the clear orange filtrate on a rotary evaporator using a mechanical vacuum pump protected by a liquid nitrogen trap. The residue contained  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(CX)$  mixed with about 10%  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$ ; the desired chalcocarbonyl product was isolated by preparative TLC. The crude product was dissolved in a minimum quantity of  $Et_2O$  and then spotted onto three TLC plates and eluted with a hexanes/ $Et_2O$  mixture (3/1 for the thiocarbonyl and 2/1 for the selenocarbonyl derivative). The trailing, dark-yellow product band was quickly scraped off with a spatula and the scrapings were placed in a medium-porosity, sintered-glass filter and washed repeatedly with  $Et_2O$ . The resulting filtrate was reduced to dryness at room temperature on the rotary evaporator when orange crystals formed. The thio- and selenocarbonyl products were further purified by recrystallization from hexanes/ $Et_2O$  mixtures.  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(CS)$ : 0.20 g, 53% yield; m.p. 123° C (dec.). Mass spectrum:  $m/e$  230 ( $P^+$ ). IR ( $CS_2$ ):  $\nu(CO)$  1962s ( $a'$ ), 1932s ( $a''$ );  $\nu(CS)$  1220s ( $a'$ )  $cm^{-1}$ . NMR ( $CH_2Cl_2$ )  $\delta$ : 99.3 ( $^{13}C_6H_6$ ), 231.5 ( $^{13}CO$ ), 346.3 ( $^{13}CS$ ), 374.1 ppm ( $C^{17}O$ ).  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(CSe)$ : 0.15 g, 33% yield; m.p. 99° C (dec.). Mass spectrum:  $m/e$  278 ( $P^+$ ). IR ( $CS_2$ ):  $\nu(CO)$  1975s ( $a'$ ), 1932s ( $a''$ );  $\nu(CSe)$  1061s ( $a'$ )  $cm^{-1}$ . NMR ( $CH_2Cl_2$ )  $\delta$ : 98.5 ( $^{13}C_6H_6$ ), 229.0 ( $^{13}CO$ ), 363.7 ( $^{13}CSe$ ), 375.7 ppm ( $C^{17}O$ ). Anal. Found: C, 39.2; H, 2.26; Se, 28.1. Calcd for  $C_9H_6O_2SeCr$ : C, 39.0; H, 2.18; Se, 28.5%.

#### Preparation of $Cr(CO)_5(CX)$

Before describing the syntheses of these complexes, it should be emphasized that the crude  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(CX)$  products from the previous reactions can be used directly. Removal of traces of  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$  is not necessary because  $Cr(CO)_6$  is not formed under the mild conditions employed and the relatively low volatility of the tricarbonyl prevents it co-subliming with the volatile pentacarbonyl complexes.

A solution of  $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(CX)$  (0.50 g, 2.2 mmol, X = S; 1.8 mmol,

X = Se) in THF (50 ml) was syringed into a 75-ml stainless-steel bomb (Matheson, Ltd.) fitted with a valve. This valve was securely tightened to the bomb and, after degassing the solution by repeated freeze-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen, CO gas (22 mmole) was admitted to the bomb at liquid nitrogen temperature from a calibrated vacuum manifold. The valve was closed and the bomb was placed in an oil bath at 70°C for 3 days for the thiocarbonyl complex. In the case of the selenocarbonyl complex, a 50°C oil bath was used and the reaction was complete in 4 h. Under these conditions and assuming that no CO gas dissolves, the pressure in the bomb is approximately 20 atm. At the end of the reaction, the bomb was cooled to liquid nitrogen temperature before opening the valve. The remaining CO gas was slowly pumped off under vacuum in a well-ventilated area. The contents of the bomb were then allowed to warm up to room temperature following which they were syringed out into a 250-ml round-bottomed flask. The flask was shielded from light as much as possible because the products (especially the selenocarbonyl) are photosensitive. The THF solvent was removed at -25 to -15°C (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Cl/dry-ice slush bath) on a rotary evaporator using a mechanical pump protected by a liquid nitrogen trap (the temperature was kept close to the lower limit during solvent removal from Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CSe) while the upper limit was maintained for the much less volatile Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CS) complex). Sublimation of the residue under vacuum (0.1 Torr/25°C) onto an ice-water cooled finger inserted into the flask gave analytically pure samples of the deep-yellow complexes. Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CS): 0.45 g, 87% yield; m.p. 87–88°C. Mass spectrum: *m/e* 236 (*P*<sup>+</sup>). IR (gas phase):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2097.5ms (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>eq</sup>), 2032.7s (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>ax</sup>), 2070.6vs (*e*);  $\nu(\text{CS})$  1279.7s (*a*<sub>1</sub>); IR (CS<sub>2</sub>):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2088.4m (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>eq</sup>), 2017.3s (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>ax</sup>), 1989.0vs (*e*);  $\nu(\text{CS})$  1261.0s (*a*<sub>1</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$ : 212.4 (*cis*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 209.4 (*trans*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 332.2 (<sup>13</sup>CS), 373.0 (*cis*-C<sup>17</sup>O), (*trans*-C<sup>17</sup>O) not observed; NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, ref. 2)  $\delta$ : 211.4 (*cis*- and *trans*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 331.1 ppm (<sup>13</sup>CS). Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CSe): 0.23 g, 45% yield. Mass spectrum: *m/e* 284 (*P*<sup>+</sup>). IR (gas phase):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2097.8ms (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>eq</sup>), 2037.8s (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>ax</sup>), 2010.5vs (*e*);  $\nu(\text{CSe})$  1095.4s (*a*<sub>1</sub>); IR (CS<sub>2</sub>):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2087.6m (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>eq</sup>), 2023.4s (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>ax</sup>), 1991.8vs (*e*);  $\nu(\text{CSe})$  1077.4s (*a*<sub>1</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. NMR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>)  $\delta$ : 211.7 (*cis*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 208.1 (*trans*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 360.7 (<sup>13</sup>CSe), 373.4 (*cis*-C<sup>17</sup>O), 385.3 ppm (*trans*-C<sup>17</sup>O). Anal. Found: C, 25.6; Se, 27.8. Calcd for C<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>SeCr: C, 25.5; Se, 27.9%.

#### *Reactions of Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CX) with cyclohexylamine*

In a typical experiment, a mixture of Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CX) (ca. 0.5 mmol) and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>NH<sub>2</sub> (0.07 ml, 0.7 mmol) in hexane (25 ml) was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The color of the solution gradually changed from golden- to lemon-yellow. Following filtration and solvent removal from the filtrate on a rotary evaporator, a yellow crystalline solid was obtained. Spectroscopic data established this product to be Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CNC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>) (ca. 0.1 g, 85% yield; m.p. 48.5°C). Mass spectrum; *m/e* 301 (*P*<sup>+</sup>). IR (hexane):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2063w (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>eq</sup>), 1955vs (*e*), 1945s(sh) (*a*<sub>1</sub><sup>ax</sup>);  $\nu(\text{CN})$  2152w (*a*<sub>1</sub>) cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -60°C)  $\delta$ : 215.0 (*cis*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 217.3 (*trans*-<sup>13</sup>CO), 159.6 ppm (<sup>13</sup>CN, <sup>1</sup>J(<sup>14</sup>N—<sup>13</sup>C) = 14.5 Hz).

Similar reactions of Cr(CO)<sub>5</sub>(CX) with (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH and MeCN only resulted in gradual decomposition of the starting material, as evidenced by changes in the IR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures.

### Reactions of $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$ with halide ions

A THF solution (25 ml) containing  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  (0.144 g, 6.1 mmol) and  $\text{Bu}_4\text{NI}$  (0.150 g, 7.6 mmol) was heated gently at  $50^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h. At this time, the  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands in the IR spectrum of the reaction mixture had reached their maximum intensities. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the solid residue was taken up in a minimum quantity of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Addition of  $\text{Et}_2\text{O}$  caused the immediate formation of yellow crystals (0.21 g) which  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and subtractive FT-IR showed to be a 2/3 mixture of the tetra-*n*-butylammonium salts of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{I}]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{I}]^-$ .  $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{I}]$ : IR ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2053.9w ( $a_1^{e_a}$ ), 1969.2w(sh) ( $b_1$ ), 1923.0vs ( $e$ ), 1864.9w ( $a_1^{\text{ax}}$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ )  $\delta$ : 227.9 (*cis*- $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), 217.6 ppm (*trans*- $^{13}\text{CO}$ ). *trans*- $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{I}]$ : IR ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ):  $\nu(\text{CO})$  2054.8vw ( $a_1$ ), 1955.2vs ( $e$ )  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ )  $\delta$ : 215.4 ( $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), 330.0 ppm ( $^{13}\text{CS}$ ).

Similar reactions of both  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  and  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CSe})$  with other halide ions ( $\text{Y}^- = \text{Cl}^-, \text{I}^-$ ) in THF solution at room temperature also gave mixtures of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Y}]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CX})\text{Y}]^-$ : X = Se,  $\text{Y}^- = \text{I}^-$ , 3/1; X = S,  $\text{Y}^- = \text{Cl}^-$ , 2/3; X = Se,  $\text{Y}^- = \text{Cl}^-$ , 5/4.  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR  $\delta$ : *trans*- $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CSe})\text{I}]$ : 214.0 ( $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), ( $^{13}\text{CSe}$ ) not observed;  $[\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}=\text{PPh}_3][\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Cl}]$ : (*cis*- $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), 224.9 (*trans*- $^{13}\text{CO}$ ); *trans*- $[\text{PPh}_3=\text{N}=\text{PPh}_3][\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{Cl}]$ : 217.0 ( $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), 330.4 ( $^{13}\text{CS}$ ); *trans*- $[\text{PPh}_3=\text{N}=\text{PPh}_3][\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CSe})\text{Cl}]$ : 215.7 ( $^{13}\text{CO}$ ), 359.4 ppm ( $^{13}\text{CSe}$ ).

### Results and discussion

Although only the reactions of the benzene complexes were described in the Experimental section, various complexes of the type  $(\eta^6\text{-Arene})\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_2(\text{CX})$  react smoothly with CO under pressure to give high yields of the  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  derivatives (eq. 1). The reaction rates depend critically on the nature of the substituents on the arene ring (e.g.,  $\text{PhCO}_2\text{Me} > o\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{Me}_2 > \text{C}_6\text{H}_6$ ) and the selenocarbonyl compounds react significantly faster than the corresponding thiocarbonyls. A detailed kinetic investigation of the analogous arene replacement reactions with tertiary phosphines and phosphites is currently in progress [8]. The arene replacement reactions reported here are directly analogous to those of  $(\eta^6\text{-Arene})\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$  ( $\text{M} = \text{Cr}, \text{Mo}, \text{W}$ ) with various monodentate ligands (L) to form *fac*- $\text{M}(\text{CO})_3\text{L}_3$  [9,10], and most probably proceed by similar mechanisms involving  $\eta^4$ - and  $\eta^2$ -Arene intermediates.

The new route to  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  is much easier and gives a far better yield than the thiophosgene route described earlier. The spectroscopic properties of the two  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  complexes leave no doubt as to their formulation. The IR spectra are closely similar and are in complete accord with the expected  $C_{4v}$  molecular symmetry, as are the  $^{13}\text{C}$  and  $^{17}\text{O}$  NMR data — the intensities due to the *cis* and *trans* carbonyls are in the predicted 4/1 ratio. The new selenocarbonyl complex,  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CSe})$ , is the first example of a transition metal complex containing only CO and CSe ligands. Selenocarbonyl complexes are extremely rare [11–13] and provide a unique opportunity to study the properties of CSe which appears to be unstable as a diatomic species even at very low temperatures unlike its congeners CO and CS.  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CSe})$  is a highly-volatile, air-stable, deep-yellow crystalline solid that sublimates before melting and has a

characteristic odor reminiscent of  $\text{CSe}_2$ ; it is readily soluble in all common organic solvents. The major fragments in its mass spectrum are  $P^+$ ,  $(P - 2 \text{CO})^+$ ,  $\text{Cr}(\text{CSe})^+$ , and  $\text{Cr}^+$  providing tentative evidence for strong Cr—CSe bonding.

Dombek and Angelici have made extensive studies of the chemical properties of  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  [14], and consequently we felt that it would be of interest to examine a few reactions of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  and  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CSe})$  with nucleophiles for the purposes of comparison. While the reactions of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  with cyclohexylamine closely parallel those for  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  [14] with the quantitative formation of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CNC}_6\text{H}_{11})$ , the reactions with halide ions do not. Previously, the tungsten derivative had been shown to give exclusively *trans*- $[\text{W}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{I}]^-$  on reaction with  $\text{I}^-$  [2]. However, the chromium chalcocarbonyl complexes give mixtures of  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Y}]^-$  and *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CX})\text{Y}]^-$  on reaction with  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$ . In an attempt to see if the  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{Y}]^-$  ions were produced by thermal decomposition of the *trans*- $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CX})\text{Y}]^-$  ions, we monitored the thermal decomposition of a  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{I}]^-/\textit{trans}$ - $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_4(\text{CS})\text{I}]^-$  mixture in THF at  $50^\circ\text{C}$  by FT-IR spectroscopy. At no time was there any evidence for an increase in the intensities of the  $\nu(\text{CO})$  bands due to the  $[\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5\text{I}]^-$  ion. Clearly, there must be both CO and CX replacement occurring during the reactions of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  with halide ions in direct contrast with the normal chemical behaviour of transition metal chalcocarbonyl complexes [13]. However, it should be mentioned that Dombek and Angelici noticed that on extended reaction with  $\text{PPh}_3$ ,  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  also undergoes some loss of CS [2]. The difference in the behaviour of  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  and  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  with halide ions in the line with the metal—CS force constants for these systems, viz.,  $f(\text{Cr—CS}) = 2.45 \text{ mdyne } \text{\AA}^{-1}$  and  $f(\text{W—CS}) = 3.31 \text{ mdyne } \text{\AA}^{-1}$ ; the metal—CO force constants in both cases are appreciably lower perhaps reflecting the facility of CO substitution [15].

Following the successful preparation of the  $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CX})$  derivatives, we hoped to be able to extend reaction 1 to the synthesis of the analogous complexes of molybdenum and tungsten. However, although the  $(\eta^6\text{-Arene})\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$  precursors can readily be prepared, we were unable to form any suitable intermediate complex of the type  $(\eta^6\text{-Arene})\text{M}(\text{CO})_2\text{L}$  ( $\text{L} = \text{C}_8\text{H}_{14}$ , THF, etc.) for subsequent reaction with  $\text{CX}_2/\text{PPh}_3$ . It appears that the quantum yields of the photochemically-induced CO substitution reactions of  $(\eta^6\text{-Arene})\text{M}(\text{CO})_3$  are extremely low [16]. Consequently, while our route to the chromium compounds is the easiest, it is still necessary to resort to the thiophosgene route for  $\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$  and  $\text{W}(\text{CO})_5(\text{CS})$ , despite the poor yields obtained.

## Acknowledgements

This research was generously supported by grants from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Quebec Government Department of Education, and C.N.R.S. (France).

## References

- 1 J.E. Ellis, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 7825.
- 2 B.D. Dombek and R.J. Angelici, Inorg. Chem., 15 (1976) 1089.

- 3 A.M. English, K.R. Plowman, I.S. Butler, G. Jaouen, P. LeMaux and J.Y. Thépot, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 132 (1977) C1.
- 4 W. Strohmeier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 3 (1964) 730.
- 5 I.S. Butler, D. Cozak and S.R. Stobart, *Inorg. Synth.*, 19 (1979) 193.
- 6 D. Cozak, I.S. Butler, J.P. Hickey and L.J. Todd, *J. Magn. Reson.*, 33 (1979) 149.
- 7 M.D. Rausch, G.A. Moser, E.J. Zaiko and A.L. Lipman, Jr., *J. Organometal. Chem.*, 23 (1970) 185; see also C.A. Mahaffy and P.L. Pauson, *Inorg. Synth.*, 19 (1979) 154.
- 8 A. Ismail and I.S. Butler, unpublished results.
- 9 A. Pidecock, J.D. Smith and B.W. Taylor, *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, (1966) 1604.
- 10 G.R. Dobson, I.W. Stolz and R.K. Sheline, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, 8 (1966) 1.
- 11 G.C. Clark, K. Marsden, W.R. Roper and L.J. Wright, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 102 (1980) 1206.
- 12 J.-P. Battioni, D. Mansuy and J.-C. Chottard, *Inorg. Chem.*, 19 (1980) 791.
- 13 (a) I.S. Butler, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 10 (1977) 359. (b) P. Yanoff, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 23 (1977) 183.
- 14 B.D. Dombek and R.J. Angelici, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15 (1976) 2403, and references cited therein.
- 15 A.M. English, K.R. Plowman and I.S. Butler, *Inorg. Chem.*, submitted for publication.
- 16 M. Wrighton, *Chem. Rev.*, 74 (1974) 401.