Preliminary communication

The reaction of CpWMe(CO)₃ with LiEt₃BH. Formation of the formyl complex *trans*-[CpWMe(CHO)(CO)₂]⁻ and the (hydrido)(acyl) complex *trans*-[CpWH(COMe)(CO)₂]⁻

J. Trevor Gauntlett, Brian E. Mann, Mark J. Winter*, and Simon Woodward

Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield S3 7HF (Great Britain) (Received November 2nd, 1987)

Abstract

Addition of LiEt₃BH to CpWMe(CO)₃ results in consecutive formation of *trans*-[CpWMe(CHO)(CO)₂]⁻ (some of which exists in solution as two rotamers of a BEt₃ adduct) and *trans*-[CpWH(COMe)(CO)₂]⁻. Reaction of the latter with CHI₃ and subsequent treatment of the product with either (i) Me₃SiCl, followed by filtration through SiO₂ or (ii) Me₃OBF₄ gives hydroxy- or methoxy-carbenes CpWI[=C(OR)Me](CO)₂ (R = H or Me), respectively.

The reactions of formylmetal species [1] are of considerable current interest. We are interested in the interactions of formyl ligands, once formed, with other functions within the molecule, such as methyl [2]. We report here that CpWMe(CO)₃ (1) reacts with LiEt₃BH [3] to form the synthetically useful anionic hydridoacyl complex *trans*-[CpWH(COMe)(CO)₂]⁻ (2) in a reaction proceeding via the formyl complex *trans*-[CpWMe(CHO)(CO)₂]⁻ (3).

Addition of LiEt₃BH to a tetrahydrofuran (THF) solution of 1 at -70° C results in a solution containing the spectroscopically identified formyl 3 *. The carbonyl bands in the IR spectrum indicate the presence of a single *trans* [4] dicarbonyl species. However, the ¹H NMR spectrum in THF shows three formyl signals (Fig. 1. Warming of the reaction mixture to -30° C results in a collapse of the formyl resonances, and this is reversible on recooling. Spin saturation transfer experiments at -50° C show that all three formyls undergo chemical exchange. Addition of BEt₃ to the reaction mixture at -60° C causes the central resonance to disappear, while the relative intensities of the outer two signals remain approximately constant.

 ^{* [}CpWMe(CHO)(CO)₂]⁻ (3): IR: ν(CO) (THF, -70 °C) 1917m and 1826s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR: formyl signals displayed in Fig. 1, other signals, δ (THF, -50 °C) 5.05 ppm (s, 5H, Cp), methyl signal obscured by BEt₃ resonances; ¹³C NMR: δ (THF-d₈, -50 °C) 276.9 (formyl), 268.5 (formyl), 227.3 (CO), 225.1 (CO), 221.4 (CO), 95.0 (Cp), 93.2 (Cp), 92.8 (Cp), -29.8 (Me), -30.0 (shoulder, Me), and -31.4 (Me) ppm.



Fig. 1. ¹H NMR spectra in the formyl region of the reaction between 1 and LiEt₃BH. (a) immediately after mixing at -70° C, (b) warming to -50° C, (c) warming to -30° C, (d) recooling to -50° C, and (e) after addition of BEt₃ at -60° C, spectrum recorded at -60° C.

These results are consistent with some of the formyl species 3 being present as a complex with BEt_3 , probably coordinated through the formyl oxygen.

Since there is no sign [4] of a *cis*-dicarbonyl in the IR or ¹³C NMR spectra of the reaction mixture at -70 °C, the two formyl signals remaining after addition of BEt₃ are accounted for by the presence of two rotamers of the **3**-BEt₃ complex that are (Scheme 1 undergoing slow interconversion. It is possible that the uncomplexed formyl species **3** also exists as a pair of rotamers, which, however, undergo more rapid interconvertion, resulting in just a single formyl resonance (the central one) in the ¹H NMR spectrum. These conclusions are reinforced by ¹³C NMR spectra,



Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.

which show three signals for each of the carbonyl, cyclopentadienyl, and methyl groups at -50 °C in similar proportions to the three proton formyl resonances in Fig. 1. There are only two formyl signals, probably due to an overlap of two of the expected three signals. Direct observation of formyl-boron adducts in this way is surprisingly rare, but there is a report which mentions BH₃ adducts of two rotamers of the formyl Fe(CHO)(CO)(PMe₃)(η -C₅Me₅) [5].

Warming the reaction solution containing 3 to -25° C results in rearrangement to the hydridoacyl *trans*-2, can be isolated as a stable boron-free 12-crown-4 adduct [Li(12-crown-4)₂]-(2) *, which, rather unusually, contains two complexed crown

^{*} $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})_2][\text{CpWH}(\text{COMe})(\text{CO})_2]$, $[\text{Li}(12\text{-crown-4})_2]$ (2): IR ν (CO) (CH₂Cl₂) 1902m and 1803s cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR: δ (CD₂Cl₂) 5.10 (s, 5 H, Cp), 3.75 (s, 32 H, crown OCH₂), 2.31 (s, 3 H, Me), and -6.22 ppm (s, 1H, ¹J(WH) 46 Hz); ¹³C NMR: δ (CD₃OD, -50 ° C) 295.2 (COMe), 230.0 (CO), 90.9 (Cp), 70.2 (12\text{-crown-4}), and 54.6 ppm (Me).

ethers for the one lithium cation [6*]. The negative ion FAB mass spectrum of this material shows a good tungsten ion pattern centered at m/e 349 associated with [CpWH(COMe)(CO)₂]⁻. The isomerisation of 3 to 2 is probably driven by the strength of the W-H bond in 3 relative to that of the W-Me bond in 2 [7]. A similar isomerization is observed in the analogous molybdenum reaction, although in that case [CpMoH(COMe)(CO)₂]⁻ is a transient intermediate on the way to [CpMo(CO)₂(MeCHO)]⁻ and is never seen pure [2]. Complex 2 shows no sign of rearranging to an analogous [CpW(CO)₂(MeCHO)]⁻ anion.

The hydridoacyl **2** is extremely moisture sensitive. Traces of water cause formation of Li[CpW(CO)₃] (confirmed by IR. ¹H NMR, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic comparisons with an authentic sample synthesised as described in reference 3a), and (presumably) methane.

Complex 2 is a useful synthetic precursor for carbene complexes, and allows synthesis of useful carbenes not directly available by more conventional methods. Treatment of $[\text{Li}(12\text{-}crown-4)_2]$ (2) with CHI_3 at -78° C gives solutions of an unisolated, thermally unstable species whose IR and NMR spectra suggest the structure *trans*-[CpWI(COMe)(CO)_2]⁻ (4). Many hydrides are known to exchange with CCl₄, CBr₄, or CHI₃ [8]. The assignment of structure 4 is further based on the elimination of halide on warming, so regenerating 1, and the addition of Me₃OBF₄ to a THF solution of 4 which gives a moderate (22%) yield of the new spectroscopically characterised iodocarbene 5. This carbene cannot be synthesized more directly via treatment of CpWI(CO)₃ with MeLi followed by Me₃OBF₄, since the first step gives 1 rather than 4.

Addition of Me_3SiCl to **4** followed by low temperature filtration through silica gives the spectroscopically characterised hydroxycarbene **6**, apparently by desilylation during filtration. This is a much better approach to **6** than direct protonation of **4** with HBF₄, which gives a number of other unidentified products and only low yields of **6**.

Acknowledgements. We are most grateful to the S.E.R.C. for support, S.W. and J.T.G. are pleased to be recipients of S.E.R.C. Research Studentships. M.J.W. is the Royal Society of Chemistry Sir Edward Frankland Fellow for 1986 and 1987.

References

- (a) J.A. Gladysz, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 20 (1982) 1 and ref. therein; (b) J.P. Collman, L.S. Hegedus, J.R. Norton, and R.G. Finke, in Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry. University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, 2nd Edition, 1987, and references therein; (c) W. Tam, G.-Y. Lin, and J.A. Gladysz. Organometalics, 1 (1982) 525; (d) T. Beringhelli, G. D'Alfonso, G. Ciani, and H. Molinari, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 194; (e) S.L. Van Voorhees and B.B. Wayland, Organometallics, 6 (1987) 204.
- 2 (a) J.T. Gauntlett, B.F. Taylor, and M.J. Winter, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1984) 420; (b) J.T. Gauntlett, B.F. Taylor, and M.J. Winter, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1985) 1815; (c) J.T. Gauntlett and M.J. Winter, Polyhedron, 5 (1986) 451.
- 3 (a) J.A. Gladysz, G.M. Williams, W. Tam, D.L. Johnson, D.W. Parker, and J.C. Selover, Inorg. Chem., 18 (1979) 553; (b) J.A. Gladysz, Aldrichimica Acta, 12 (1979) 13.

⁴ A.R. Manning, J. Chem. Soc. A. (1967) 1984.

^{*} This and other references marked with asterisks indicate notes occurring in the list of references.

- 5 D. Catheline, C. Lapinte, and D. Astruc, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Series II, 301 (1985) 479.
- 6 For examples characterized crystallographically, see (a) H. Hope, M.M. Olmstead, P.P. Power, and X. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 819; (b) M.M. Olmstead and P.P. Power J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 2174.
- 7 See, for instance, reference 1b and (a) M.J. Calhorda, A.R. Dias, A.M. Galvao, and J.A.M. Simoes, J. Organomet. Chem., 307 (1986) 167; (b) G. Yoneda and D.M. Blake, Inorg. Chem., 20 (1981) 67; (c) J. Halpern, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 100 (1985) 41.
- 8 T.S. Piper and G. Wilkinson, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 3 (1956) 104.