

Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 384 (1990) 115–119
Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands
JOM 20430

The reaction of 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)-tellurium(II) with mercury(II) chloride; a case of reverse *trans*-metallation

Shabaan A. Mbogo *, William R. McWhinnie, and Tarlok S. Lobana **

Department of Chemical Engineering and Applied Chemistry, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham B4 7ET (U.K.)

(Received August 11th, 1989)

Abstract

2-(2-Pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium(II) (RR'Te) reacts with HgCl₂ at room temperature to give white HgCl₂ · (RR')Te. On setting aside, or on warming the reaction mixture a yellow material, [R'HgCl · (RTeCl)₂] is formed. Multinuclear NMR (¹²⁵Te, ¹⁹⁹Hg, ¹H) and mass spectroscopy confirm the formulation, and confirm the ease of transfer of the *p*-ethoxyphenyl group between the metal centres.

Introduction

There is a growing interest in the ligand chemistry of organotellurium(II) compounds [1–8]. Recently the synthesis and crystal structure of 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium(II) were reported [9]; this is a potential ligand having two centres of Lewis basicity (Te and N). Since a related ligand is known to react with mercury(II) chloride to give a complex in which only tellurium was coordinated to mercury [10], we decided to examine the reaction of the new ligand with HgCl₂. Different behaviour was observed, involving the transfer of organic groups from tellurium and the details are presented below.

Experimental

2-(2-Pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium(II) was prepared by a published procedure [9].

Preparation of the complexes. A solution of 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium(II) (RR'Te) (0.404 g, 1 mmol) in acetonitrile or ethanol (10 cm³)

* On study leave from the University of Dar-es-Salaam (Tanzania).

** Royal Society-INSA visiting scientist from Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143005 (India).

Table 1

Analytical data for mercury complexes

Compound	Analysis (Found (calcd.) (%))			M.p. (°C)	Colour
	C	H	N		
HgCl ₂ (RR'Te) ^a	33.7 (33.8)	2.42 (2.52)	1.99 (2.08)	145–147	white
R'HgCl(RTeCl) ₂	36.5 (36.3)	2.40 (2.52)	2.78 (2.82)	150–152	yellow

^a R = 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl; R' = *p*-ethoxyphenyl.

Table 2

¹²⁵Te and ¹⁹⁹Hg NMR data^a

Compound	Solvent	δ(Te)	δ(Hg)
[HgCl ₂ ·RR'Te]	dmsO	641.5	–
[R'HgCl(RTeCl)]	CDCl ₃	1323.9	469.7
RR'Te	CDCl ₃	649.1	–

^a Te chemical shifts relative to Me₂Te; Hg relative to aqueous HgCl₂.

was added to a solution of mercury(II) chloride (0.272 g, 1 mmol) in acetonitrile or ethanol (10 cm³). The solution was very slightly warmed, and the white precipitate was filtered off, washed with fresh solvent, dried in vacuo and shown to be [HgCl₂·(RR'Te)].

When the above solution was allowed to evaporate slowly, or refluxed for 45 min, a yellow product, [R'HgCl·(RTeCl)₂], was obtained. The yield in both cases was 60%.

Table 3

¹H NMR Data (ppm vs. TMS)

Compound (solvent)	δ (ppm vs. TMS) ^{a,b}
RR'Te (CDCl ₃)	1.45(t,C17), 4.08(q,C16), 6.85(d,C14), 7.05(t,C3), 7.25(m,C4,C5,C8), 7.78(d,C2), 7.85(d,C13), 7.95(2 t,C9,C10), 8.74(d,C11).
HgCl RR'Te (dmsO- <i>d</i> ₆)	set 1 1.40(t,C17), 4.10(q,C16), 6.95(d,C14), 7.10(d,C5), 7.25(t,C3), 7.45(m,C4,C8), 7.85(d,C13), 8.30(m,C9,C10), 8.70(d,C2). set 2 1.30(t,C17), 3.95(q,C16), 6.85(d,C14), 7.35(d,C13), 7.65(m,C3,C4,C10), 8.05(t,C9), 8.35(d,C5), 8.55(d,C8), 8.75(d,C2), 9.25(d,C11).
R'HgCl·(RTeCl) ₂ (dmsO- <i>d</i> ₆)	1.30(t,C17), 3.95(q,C16), 6.85(d,C14), 7.35(d,C13), 7.65(m,C3,C4,C10), 8.25(t,C9), 8.40(d,C5), 8.55(d,C8), 8.70(d,C2), 9.25(d,C11).
(CDCl ₃)	1.40(t,C17), 4.04(q,C16), 6.95(d,C14), 7.18(d,C13), 7.35(t,C3) 7.50(t,C4), 7.60(t,C10), 8.00(t,C9), 8.20(d,C5), 8.25(d,C8), 8.60(d,C2), 8.8(d,C11).

^a d = doublet; t = triplet; q = quartet; m = multiplet. ^b carbon numbers in parentheses refer to the protons attached to the numbered carbon atoms in ref. 9.

Analytical and physical data. Elemental analyses were by Elemental Microanalysis Ltd., Exeter Road, Oakhampton, Devon EX20 1QA (Table 1). Melting points (uncorrected) were determined with a Gallenkamp electrically heated apparatus. Infrared spectra, for KBr discs and mulls within CsI plates, were recorded on a Perkin Elmer FTIR model 1710 instrument, NMR data (Tables 2 and 3) (^{125}Te , ^{199}Hg , ^1H , and ^{13}C) were recorded with a Bruker AC300 spectrometer at 94.7, 53.7, 300, and 75.4 MHz respectively. References were external bis(*p*-ethoxyphenyl) ditelluride in CDCl_3 for ^{125}Te (chemical shifts are relative to Me_2Te), external HgCl_2 in water for ^{199}Hg and internal Me_4Si for ^1H and ^{13}C .

Results and discussion

The reaction of 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl(*p*-ethoxyphenyl)tellurium(II), $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$, with mercury(II) chloride under mild conditions gives a white complex $[\text{HgCl}_2 \cdot (\text{RR}'\text{Te})]$. The presence of $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$ is confirmed by the IR spectrum. The complex is sparingly soluble in solvents of low polarity, and so NMR data were obtained with $\text{dmsO-}d_6$ solutions. The ^{125}Te NMR spectrum shows a single resonance at 641.5 ppm (vs. Me_2Te), close to that of the free ligand at 649.1 in CDCl_3 . The small difference in chemical shift almost certainly arises from a solvent effect and not a coordination shift, since coordination shifts are generally much larger (around 50 ppm) and in the opposite direction [5,6], and so the strongly coordinating dmsO must have displaced $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$ from the coordination sphere of mercury. The ^1H NMR spectrum of a fresh solution of the complex in $\text{dmsO-}d_6$ was similar to that of the free ligand in CDCl_3 solution (Table 3), but on standing a second set of resonances developed.

When the reaction between $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$ and HgCl_2 was carried out under more forcing conditions (see Experimental section), a yellow material, more soluble in less polar solvents, was obtained. Its ^1H NMR spectrum in $\text{dmsO-}d_6$ is identical to the second set of resonances which develop in an aged solution of the white complex in the same solvent. The C/N ratio for the yellow compound is 15/1 (cf. 19/1 for $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$), but it is clear from the IR and ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra that both the 2-(2-pyridyl)phenyl and *p*-ethoxyphenyl groups are present in the molecule. The ^{125}Te NMR spectrum of the yellow complex shows a single resonance at 1323.9 ppm (vs. Me_2Te), a figure well outside the range normally associated with tellurides [11], but well within the range associated with the related phenylazophenyl-*C,N'* tellurium(II) halides e.g. $(\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_9\text{N}_2)\text{TeCl}$, δ 1486.5 ppm (vs. Me_2Te in CDCl_3) [12]. The formulation $\text{R}'\text{HgCl} \cdot (\text{RTeCl})_2$ provides an excellent fit to the elemental analysis, and the ratio of the integrals of the R and R' protons is 1.87, in good agreement with the value of 1.77 calculated for the above formula. Multinuclear NMR and mass spectroscopy provided further confirmation of the correctness of the formulation, $[\text{R}'\text{HgCl}(\text{RTeCl})_2]$; thus the ^{199}Hg NMR spectrum of the material (CDCl_3 solution), accumulated over three days, consisted of a 1/2/1 triplet of 1/2/1 triplets with a central line at δ 469.96 ppm. The relative intensities of the spectral components are inconsistent with coupling to ^{125}Te (7% abundance), but consistent with a major coupling to the equivalent *ortho*-protons of the *p*-ethoxyphenyl group ($J(\text{HgH})$ 370 Hz) and a minor coupling to the equivalent *meta*-protons ($J(\text{HgH})$ 50 Hz); thus there is clear evidence for the transfer of the *p*-ethoxyphenyl group from tellurium to mercury. A solution of $\text{R}'\text{HgCl}$ (prepared by reaction of mercury(II) acetate and phenetole, followed by treatment with lithium

chloride) and RTeBr [9] in CDCl_3 gave a ^{125}Te resonance at 1306 ppm; as with $[\text{R}'\text{HgCl} \cdot (\text{RTeCl})_2]$ no Hg–Te coupling was observed, implying that if there is any interaction between the components in solution it must be very weak.

The electron impact mass spectrum of $[\text{R}'\text{HgCl}(\text{RTeCl})_2]$ was particularly interesting. It is well known that organotellurium compounds and their complexes often undergo thermolysis in the mass spectrometer and true parent ions are rarely seen [13], but useful information may be obtained since in the case of complexes the ligand ions are often dominant. The most abundant ions were RTe^+ (m/e 100%, 282) and RTeCl^+ (m/e 100%, 319), but weak features were noted for $\text{R}'_2\text{Te}^+$ (m/e 100%, 372), $\text{RR}'\text{Te}^+$ (m/e 100%, 405), $[\text{R}_2\text{Te}_2\text{Cl}]^+$ (m/e 100%, 601) and $[\text{R}_2\text{Te}_2\text{Cl}_2]^+$ (m/e 100%, 636). The identities of all fragments were confirmed by analysis of the isotopic patterns. There is thus strong evidence for the presence of RTeCl , and it is of interest that the dimer of the species is detectable in the gas phase, and we note that phenylazophenyl-*C,N'* tellurium(II) chloride forms a weak dimer in the solid state [14]. Thermolysis of the yellow complex evidently results in further migrations of the organic groups as evidenced by the observation of ions derived from $\text{R}'_2\text{Te}$ and $\text{RR}'\text{Te}$. The analytical data, multinuclear NMR, and mass spectroscopic data together provide compelling support for the formulation $[\text{R}'\text{HgCl}(\text{RTeCl})_2]$.

Many years ago organomercury reagents were shown to be effective *trans*-metalation reagents in the presence of inorganic tellurium compounds [15]. We have observed the opposite process which we term “reverse *trans*-metallation”. We have encountered many examples of this process, and believe that the phenomenon may have significance in the context of the mechanistic aspects of the organometallic vapour phase epitaxy (MOVPE) of telluride semi-conductors [16]. It is known that triorganotelluronium salts are often labile with respect to reductive elimination of an organic halide [17]. Interestingly, the ^{125}Te Mossbauer quadrupole splittings of telluronium salts and of mercury(II) complexes of simple diorganotellurides are very similar [18], implying some similarity in electronic environment of tellurium in the two cases. Whilst this does not account for the lability of the organic groups, it does suggest that if the salts are labile, the complexes may also be so.

Acknowledgements

SAM thanks the University of Dar-es-Salaam for study leave and NORAD for financial support. TSL thanks Guru Nanak Dev University for study leave and the Royal Society and the Indian National Science Academy for support. We thank Dr. Michael Perry (Aston) for help with the NMR measurements and Dr. Sean L.W. McWhinnie (Birmingham University) for the mass spectrum.

References

- 1 H.J. Gysling, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 42 (1982) 133.
- 2 H.J. Gysling in F.J. Berry and W.R. McWhinnie (Eds.), *Proc. 4th Intern. Conf. Organic Chemistry of Selenium and Tellurium*, University of Aston in Birmingham, 1983, p. 32.
- 3 W.R. McWhinnie in S. Patai and Z. Rappoport (Eds.), *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*, Vol. 2, J. Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1988, p. 495.
- 4 I. Davies and W.R. McWhinnie, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 12 (1976) 763.
- 5 H.M.K.K. Pathirana, A.W. Downs, W.R. McWhinnie, and P. Granger, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 143 (1988) 161.

- 6 T. Kemmitt, W. Levason, and M. Webster, *Inorg. Chem.*, 28 (1989) 692.
- 7 H.J. Gysling in S. Patai and Z. Rappoport (Eds.), *The Chemistry of Organic Selenium and Tellurium Compounds*, Vol. 1, J. Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1986, p. 679.
- 8 N.I. Al-Salim and W.R. McWhinnie, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 155 (1989) 213.
- 9 N.I. Al-Salim, A.A. West, W.R. McWhinnie, and T.A. Hamor, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1988) 2363.
- 10 T.A. Hamor, N.I. Al-Salim, and W.R. McWhinnie, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, (1986) 453.
- 11 D.H. O'Brien, K.J. Irgolic, and C-K. Huang in F.J. Berry and W.R. McWhinnie (Eds.), *Proc. 4th Intern. Conf. Organic Chemistry of Selenium and Tellurium*, The University of Aston in Birmingham, 1983, p. 468.
- 12 M.A.K. Ahmed, A.E. McCarthy, W.R. McWhinnie, and F.J. Berry, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1986) 771.
- 13 W.R. McWhinnie and P. Thavornnyutikarn, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, (1972) 551.
- 14 R.E. Cobblestick, F.W.B. Einstein, W.R. McWhinnie, and F.H. Musa, *J. Chem. Res (S)*, (1979) 145.
- 15 W.V. Farrer, *Research*, 4 (1951) 177.
- 16 J.B. Mullin, S.J.C. Irvine, J. Geiss, and A. Royle, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 72 (1985) 1.
- 17 N.S. Dance, W.R. McWhinnie, J. Mallaki, and Z. Monsef-Mirzai, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 198 (1980) 131.
- 18 I. Davies, N.S. Dance, W.R. McWhinnie, and C.H.W. Jones, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 29 (1978) L203.