

sensitive” and “sensitive to oxidation” (oxydationsempfindlich). The two English translations of “lichtempfindlich” would be widely used by native English speakers but at present these would render “oxydationsempfindlich” “sensitive to(wards) oxidation” rather than “oxidation sensitive”. There is of course no logic in this. Are the editors, by including both “oxidation sensitive” and “sensitive to oxidation” anticipating developments in usage? Will the international scientific community give us a more consistent English than that used by native speakers? We do not know. We do however know that many new scientific terms are introduced each year so there will be need for further editions of this useful book.

*School of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences,
University of Sussex, Brighton, BN1 9QJ (UK)*

J. David Smith

Erratum

Re: Syntheses and reactivity of *p*-substituted benzonitrile complexes derived from dicyclopentadienyl-molybdenum and -tungsten. Oxidative electrochemistry. The crystal structure of $[\text{Mo}(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{H}_5)_2(\text{SC}_6\text{H}_5)(p\text{-(CH}_3)_2\text{NC}_6\text{H}_4\text{CN})][\text{PF}_6]$; by M.A.A.F. de C.T. Carrondo, A.R. Dias, M. Helena Garcia, Pedro M. Matias, M. Paula Robalo, M.L.H. Green, J. Higgins and Y.Y. Yang (*J. Organomet. Chem.*, 395 (1990) 279–292)

In Table 5, reference numbers in the last column should be amended as follows: 13 replaced by 9, 14 by 10, 15 by 11, 16 by 12, 17 by 13, 18 by 14, 19 by 15, 20 by 16, and 21 by 17. In the footnote to Table 5, [12] should be replaced by [8].