

JOM 23098

**A tetranuclear β -diketonato complex of organotin(IV):
 synthesis and crystal structure of (benzoyltrifluoroacetato)-
 (trifluoroacetato)tetramethyldistannoxane dimer**

$$\{[\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}(\text{O})\text{CHCO}(\text{CF}_3)\text{Sn}(\text{CH}_3)_2]\text{O}\{\text{Sn}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{O}_2\text{CCF}_3\}\}_2$$

B.K. Agarwal, Y.P. Singh, R. Bohra, G. Srivastava and A.K. Rai

Department of Chemistry, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur-302 004 (India)

(Received June 15, 1992)

Abstract

Condensation of dimethyltin oxide with $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COCH}_2\text{COCF}_3$ and CF_3COOH in a 2:1:1 molar ratio in refluxing benzene yields the title compound which has been characterized by elemental analysis, multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, and an X-ray diffraction study. The structure contains chelating β -diketonate and monodentate trifluoroacetate moieties. The four-membered Sn–O–Sn–O ring formed as a result of dimerization is almost coplanar with the chelate ring. The coordination around both the tin atoms is distorted trigonal–bipyramidal, with the two carbons occupying equatorial positions with C–Sn–C bond angles of 143.1° and 135.9° respectively.

1. Introduction

Since the full characterization [1] of dimeric 1,3-dihalotetra-butylstannoxanes in 1961, the synthesis and structural chemistry of functionally substituted tetra-organodistannoxanes, both symmetrical as well as unsymmetrical, $\text{XR}_2\text{SnOSnR}_2\text{X}$ and $\text{XR}_2\text{SnOSnR}_2\text{Y}$, have received much attention and interest in the field continues [2,3]. These compounds, which invariably form dimers, find useful applications e.g. as catalysts in urethane formation [4]. In addition to detailed spectroscopic studies, both of the solid state and solutions (e.g. Mossbauer, IR, ^1H and ^{119}Sn NMR, etc.), the crystal structures of a number of these distannoxanes have been determined [2,3,5–13] and these show interesting structural variations depending upon the nature of the functional groups.

In view of this, the study of the distannoxanes containing bidentate chelating functional groups assumes significance. The chelation may influence the structure of the dimeric distannoxane strongly, and with suitable substituents on tin may give rise to a monomeric

derivative. Reports so far on distannoxanes containing bidentate substituents have been concerned with carboxylato and substituted carboxylato derivatives only, and in all these cases the carboxylate moiety prefers to behave as a bridging group; functionally-substituted tetra-organodistannoxanes containing chelating moieties have not yet been characterized. The reaction of 1,3-diketones with 1,3-dichlorotetra-butylstannoxane has been reported to cleave the Sn–O–Sn bond to yield the dibutyltin bis(1,3-diketone) derivative [14].

We report here the synthesis, spectroscopic characterization, and crystal structure of the first example of an unsymmetrical β -diketonato substituted tetraalkyl-distannoxane containing a monodentate carboxylato group.

2. Results and discussion

Treatment of Me_2SnO with $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{COCH}_2\text{COCF}_3$ and CF_3COOH in a 2:1:1 molar ratio in refluxing benzene solution gave the title compound. Surprisingly, the attempted synthesis of $\text{Me}_3\text{SnOC}(\text{CF}_3)\text{CHCOC}_6\text{H}_5$, by the reaction of Me_3SnCl and $\text{Na}^+[\text{COC}(\text{CF}_3)\text{CHCOC}_6\text{H}_5]^-$ in 1:1 molar ratio in benzene also yielded the title compound after the removal of NaCl

Correspondence to: Dr. A.K. Rai.

TABLE 1. Positional parameters and their estimated standard deviations

| Atom | x | y | z | $B(\text{\AA}^2)$ |
|-------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| Sn(1) | -0.24762(4) | 0.06176(2) | 0.64187(4) | 4.724(8) |
| Sn(2) | 0.02840(4) | 0.08349(2) | 0.47151(3) | 4.205(7) |
| F(1) | -0.3561(7) | -0.1845(2) | 0.6336(4) | 12.0(2) |
| F(2) | -0.2268(6) | -0.1791(2) | 0.7734(6) | 11.9(2) |
| F(3) | -0.4193(6) | -0.1946(2) | 0.7934(5) | 13.2(1) |
| F(4) | -0.1869(7) | 0.3411(2) | 0.5605(5) | 12.2(2) |
| F(5) | -0.3216(6) | 0.2730(4) | 0.4765(7) | 15.0(2) |
| F(6) | -0.2704(6) | 0.2551(3) | 0.6380(5) | 13.8(2) |
| O(1) | -0.0974(4) | 0.0215(2) | 0.5536(3) | 4.64(8) |
| O(2) | -0.2788(4) | -0.0475(2) | 0.6781(4) | 6.10(9) |
| O(3) | -0.4263(5) | 0.0681(2) | 0.7577(5) | 9.3(1) |
| O(4) | -0.1176(4) | 0.1625(2) | 0.5386(3) | 5.20(9) |
| O(5) | -0.0157(6) | 0.2548(3) | 0.4708(6) | 10.4(2) |
| C(1) | -0.3977(7) | 0.0876(4) | 0.5159(8) | 7.8(2) |
| C(2) | -0.1322(9) | 0.1037(4) | 0.7787(6) | 7.4(2) |
| C(3) | -0.3617(6) | -0.0790(3) | 0.7340(5) | 4.7(1) |
| C(4) | -0.4623(7) | -0.0522(3) | 0.7924(5) | 5.4(1) |
| C(5) | -0.4919(6) | 0.0218(3) | 0.8017(5) | 5.1(1) |
| C(6) | -0.6073(6) | 0.0460(3) | 0.8650(4) | 4.4(1) |
| C(7) | -0.6297(6) | 0.1191(3) | 0.8757(6) | 6.3(1) |
| C(8) | -0.7374(7) | 0.1429(4) | 0.9273(6) | 7.2(2) |
| C(9) | -0.8292(7) | 0.0957(4) | 0.9695(6) | 6.3(2) |
| C(10) | -0.8060(7) | 0.0244(4) | 0.9606(6) | 6.7(2) |
| C(11) | -0.6942(6) | -0.0005(3) | 0.9082(5) | 5.2(1) |
| C(12) | -0.3425(7) | -0.1594(3) | 0.7332(7) | 6.9(2) |
| C(13) | -0.0514(8) | 0.1050(5) | 0.3090(6) | 7.5(2) |
| C(14) | 0.1936(7) | 0.1212(4) | 0.5773(6) | 6.5(2) |
| C(15) | -0.1056(6) | 0.2283(3) | 0.5163(6) | 5.8(1) |
| C(16) | -0.2179(8) | 0.2746(4) | 0.5510(7) | 7.4(2) |

Anisotropically refined atoms are given in the form of the isotropic equivalent displacement parameter defined as: $\frac{4}{3}[a^2B_{1,1} + b^2B_{2,2} + c^2B_{3,3} + ab(\cos \gamma)B_{1,2} + ac(\cos \beta)B_{1,3} + bc(\cos \alpha)B_{2,3}]$.

Sn(2) and Sn(1) respectively and slightly longer bond with Sn(2)*, 2.115(4) Å. These bond distances are in close agreement with those reported [5] for the centrosymmetric dimeric stannoxane derivative, $[(n-C_4H_9)_2SnOOCCL_3]_2O$. The angles O(1)–Sn(2)–O(1) subtended at Sn(2) in the Sn₂O₂ four membered ring, are quite acute (75.8(2)°), with more open angles of 104.2(2)° at oxygen (Sn(2)–O(1)–Sn(2)*). These values are comparable with those of 75° and 105° found [5] in $[(n-C_4H_9)_2SnOOCCL_3]_2O$.

The environment around each tin atom appears to be best described as a distorted trigonal bipyramidal (SnC₂O₃) arrangement. Apical positions, as expected, are occupied by oxygen atoms. Two carbon atoms (of methyl groups) and one oxygen atom are in the equatorial plane. The angular distortion in the planes seems to be due to the differences in electronegativity of the attached groups. In fact, the methyl groups subtend angles of 143.1(3)° and 135.9(4)° at Sn(1) and Sn(2) respectively while the mean methyl–tin–oxygen angles are 105.8° and 111.7(7)°. The sums of the angles in the

equatorial plane at Sn(1) and Sn(2) is 354.7° and 329.2°. The bond angles between two axial oxygen atoms at Sn(1), O(1)–Sn(1)–O(3), 161.1(9)° and at Sn(2), O(1)*–Sn(2)–O(4), 152.0(1)° are less than usual (180°).

The trifluoroacetate group is attached to Sn(2) and is inclined at an angle of 8.67° to the central Sn₂O₂ skeleton. The trifluoroacetate group functions essentially as a unidentate ligand. The two C–O bond distances O(5)–C(15) of 1.194(9) Å and O(4)–C(15) of 1.273(7) Å are non-equivalent. The former reflects the

TABLE 2. Intramolecular distances (Å) and angles (°) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Bonds | | | |
|-------------------|----------|------------------|----------|
| Sn(1)–O(1) | 2.049(4) | O(2)–C(3) | 1.256(7) |
| Sn(1)–O(2) | 2.125(4) | O(3)–C(5) | 1.234(8) |
| Sn(1)–O(3) | 2.354(5) | O(4)–C(15) | 1.273(7) |
| Sn(1)–C(1) | 2.103(9) | O(5)–C(15) | 1.194(9) |
| Sn(1)–C(2) | 2.096(7) | C(3)–C(4) | 1.365(9) |
| Sn(2)–O(1) | 2.028(4) | C(3)–C(12) | 1.522(8) |
| Sn(2)–O(4) | 2.268(4) | C(4)–C(5) | 1.428(8) |
| Sn(2)–C(13) | 2.113(7) | C(5)–C(6) | 1.498(8) |
| Sn(2)–C(14) | 2.117(7) | C(6)–C(7) | 1.397(8) |
| F(1)–C(12) | 1.302(9) | C(6)–C(11) | 1.361(8) |
| F(2)–C(12) | 1.260(9) | C(7)–C(8) | 1.36(1) |
| F(3)–C(12) | 1.282(9) | C(8)–C(9) | 1.40(2) |
| F(4)–C(16) | 1.289(9) | C(9)–C(10) | 1.36(2) |
| F(5)–C(16) | 1.31(2) | C(10)–C(11) | 1.401(9) |
| F(6)–C(16) | 1.27(2) | C(15)–C(16) | 1.50(2) |
| | | Sn(2)*–O(1) | 2.115(4) |
| Angles | | | |
| O(1)–Sn(1)–O(2) | 82.9(2) | O(3)–C(5)–C(6) | 117.5(5) |
| O(1)–Sn(1)–O(3) | 161.1(1) | C(4)–C(5)–C(6) | 120.4(5) |
| O(1)–Sn(1)–C(1) | 101.4(3) | C(5)–C(6)–C(7) | 118.6(5) |
| O(1)–Sn(1)–C(2) | 100.9(3) | C(5)–C(6)–C(11) | 122.4(5) |
| O(2)–Sn(1)–O(3) | 78.2(2) | C(7)–C(6)–C(11) | 118.9(5) |
| O(2)–Sn(1)–C(1) | 105.7(2) | C(6)–C(7)–C(8) | 120.2(6) |
| O(2)–Sn(1)–C(2) | 105.9(2) | C(7)–C(8)–C(9) | 121.4(6) |
| O(3)–Sn(1)–C(1) | 84.9(3) | C(8)–C(9)–C(10) | 118.3(6) |
| O(3)–Sn(1)–C(2) | 83.5(3) | C(9)–C(10)–C(11) | 120.6(6) |
| C(1)–Sn(1)–C(2) | 143.1(3) | C(6)–C(11)–C(10) | 120.7(5) |
| O(1)–Sn(2)–O(4) | 76.3(1) | F(1)–C(12)–F(2) | 106.2(7) |
| O(1)–Sn(2)–C(13) | 112.2(2) | F(1)–C(12)–F(3) | 109.3(6) |
| O(1)–Sn(2)–C(14) | 111.3(2) | F(11)–C(12)–C(3) | 111.2(6) |
| O(4)–Sn(2)–C(13) | 90.9(2) | F(2)–C(12)–F(3) | 100.7(6) |
| O(4)–Sn(2)–C(14) | 92.4(2) | F(2)–C(12)–C(3) | 113.4(5) |
| C(13)–Sn(2)–C(14) | 135.9(4) | F(3)–C(12)–C(3) | 115.4(6) |
| Sn(1)–O(1)–Sn(2) | 123.2(2) | O(4)–C(15)–O(5) | 126.2(6) |
| Sn(1)–O(2)–C(3) | 132.8(4) | O(4)–C(15)–C(16) | 114.7(6) |
| Sn(1)–O(3)–C(5) | 132.3(5) | O(5)–C(15)–C(16) | 119.2(6) |
| Sn(2)–O(4)–C(15) | 118.9(4) | F(4)–C(16)–F(5) | 104.5(7) |
| O(2)–C(3)–C(4) | 130.2(6) | F(4)–C(16)–F(6) | 108.2(7) |
| O(2)–C(3)–C(12) | 112.1(5) | F(4)–C(16)–C(15) | 114.3(7) |
| C(4)–C(3)–C(12) | 117.7(5) | F(5)–C(16)–F(6) | 103.0(7) |
| C(3)–C(4)–C(5) | 124.2(6) | F(5)–C(16)–C(15) | 110.1(7) |
| O(3)–C(5)–C(4) | 122.3(6) | F(6)–C(16)–C(15) | 115.6(6) |
| Sn(2)–O(1)–Sn(2)* | 104.2(2) | O(1)–Sn(2)–O(1)* | 75.8(2) |
| Sn(1)–O(1)–Sn(2)* | 132.5(2) | | |

* Symmetry element is $-x, -y, 1-z$.

presence of the free C=O group in the trifluoroacetate moiety. The Sn(2)–O(4) bond distance is similar to that reported [8] for $\{[(\text{CH}_2=\text{CH})_4\text{Sn}_2(\text{OCCF}_3)_2]\text{O}\}_2$. The two tin–carbon bonds, [Sn(2)–C(13), 2.113(7) Å and Sn(2)–C(14), 2.117(7) Å], are equivalent and normal.

It is noteworthy that although Sn(2) is chelated by the benzoyltrifluoroacetate moiety and Sn(1) is bonded to the trifluoroacetate moiety, the geometries around both tin atoms are similar. The Sn(1)–O(2) equatorial and Sn(1)–O(3) axial distances are 2.125(4) Å and 2.354(5) Å respectively. The difference of 0.23 Å is quite significant, but the values are comparable to the reported [9] Sn–O bond distances in $(\text{Ph}_3\text{SnONPh-COPh})$, which contains both covalent (2.091 Å) and coordinate (2.308 Å) Sn–O interactions.

The weakening of the Sn(1)–O(3) bond may be related either to the *trans* effect of a very strong Sn(1)–O(1) axial 2.049(4) Å bond or to the steric requirements of the ligands. The contribution of the enolate form to the overall structure is manifested in the C–O and C–C interatomic distances within the benzoyltrifluoroacetone ligand. The C(5)–O(3) distance of 1.234(8) Å is slightly shorter than that of C(3)–O(2) (1.256(7) Å), but the C(3)–C(4) bond of length 1.365(9) Å, is appreciably shorter, and hence has more double bond character, than the corresponding C(4)–C(5) bond, of length 1.428(8) Å. However, both C–C distances are shorter than the two exocyclic C–C distances (1.508(8) and 1.497(8) Å). All these distances are similar to those reported [10] for $\text{Sn}(\text{bzac})_2$. The two methyl groups attached to Sn(1), (Sn(1)–C(1), 2.103(9) Å and Sn(1)–C(2), 2.096(7) Å), are equivalent.

The chelate ring of the ligand is effectively coplanar with the Sn_2O_2 plane, making an angle of 2.12° with the latter. The phenyl ring attached to the ligand is almost coplanar with the plane of the ligand and the Sn_2O_2 skeleton, being inclined to these planes at angles of 7.72° and 6.24°, respectively.

3. Experimental details

All solvents were of analytical grade and moisture-free and were dried by standard methods. Dimethyltin oxide (Merck) was used as supplied. Trifluorobenzoylacetone and trifluoroacetic acid were distilled before use.

3.1. Reaction of dimethyltin oxide with benzoyltrifluoroacetone and trifluoroacetic acid

A benzene solution of benzoyltrifluoroacetone (0.83 g, 3.83 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (0.43 g, 3.78 mmol) was mixed with a benzene suspension of dimethyltin oxide (1.25 g, 7.57 mmol). The mixture was

TABLE 3. Crystallographic data for $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_{12}\text{Sn}_4$

| Formula | $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_{12}\text{Sn}_4$ |
|---|---|
| Mol. wt. | 1283.38 |
| <i>a</i> (Å) | 9.870(4) |
| <i>b</i> (Å) | 18.770(7) |
| <i>c</i> (Å) | 12.214(3) |
| β (°) | 94.75(2) |
| <i>V</i> (Å ³) | 2255.3 Å ³ |
| <i>Z</i> | 2 |
| Space group | $P2_1/c$ (No. 14) |
| <i>d</i> _{calc.} (g cm ⁻³) | 1.89 |
| Mo.K α (cm ⁻¹) | 9.1 |
| <i>F</i> (000) | 1232 |
| Temp. (°C) | 22 |
| No. of parameters refined | 262 |
| <i>R</i> (<i>F</i> _o) | 0.036 |
| <i>R</i> _w (<i>F</i> _o) | 0.050 |

refluxed under a fractionating column. A small amount of water formed during the course of the reaction was removed azeotropically with benzene. After 2 h refluxing, a clear solution was obtained. The excess of solvent was distilled off and the residue was kept under reduced pressure to yield a lemon-yellow sticky solid, which was recrystallized from a benzene/*n*-hexane mixture.

Anal. Found: Sn, 36.90; C, 29.95; H, 2.76. $\text{C}_{32}\text{H}_{36}\text{O}_{10}\text{F}_{12}\text{Sn}_4$ calcd.: Sn, 36.99; C, 29.92; H, 2.80%.

4. Crystal data

A light yellow crystal *ca.* 25 × 0.35 × 0.17 mm was mounted on a glass fibre using an epoxy resin on an Enraf–Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. Relevant crystallographic details are given in Table 3. Accurate unit cell parameters were obtained by means of least-squares analyses of 25 reflections. Intensity data on the compound were then collected in the ω -2 θ scan mode with scan width of 0.7 + 0.35 tan θ . A variable scan speed with a maximum scan time of one minute was used. Two standard reflections monitored (126 and 226) every 1800 seconds showed no significant variations over the data collection. There was no crystal decay. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors but not for absorption. All computations were performed on a Digital PDP11/73 computer.

The structure was solved by a routine heavy-atom method with SDP software [16] by a combination of Patterson, difference Fourier, and full-matrix-least-squares refinement techniques, using anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. Hydrogen atoms were omitted. Out of 4098 unique reflections measured for 2° < θ < 25° and *h*(0-11), *k*(0-22),

$\pm l(-14-14)$, 3221 with $|F^2| > \sigma(F^2)$ were used in the refinement where $\sigma(F^2) = \{\sigma^2(I) + (0.04I)^2\}^{1/2}/L_p$.

The final residuals were $R = 0.036$ and $R_w = 0.050$ where

$$R = \sum \|F_o\| - |F_c| / \sum |F_o|,$$

$$R_w = \left[\sum w \|F_o\| - |F_d|^2 / \sum w |F_o|^2 \right]^{1/2} \text{ and}$$

$$w = 1/\sigma^2(F_o)$$

The atomic scattering factors were taken from ref. 17.

List of thermal parameters and studied factors are available from the authors.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the U.G.C., New Delhi, for providing the X-ray diffractometer and for financial assistance in the form of an S.R.F. to B.K.A.

References

- 1 R. Okawara, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, (1961) 383; D. L. Alleston, A. G. Davies and B. N. Figgis, *Proc. Chem. Soc.*, (1961) 457.
- 2 C. S. Parulekar, V. K. Jain, T. Kesavadas and E. R. T. Tiekink, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 387 (1990) 163.
- 3 C. Vatsa, V. K. Jain, T. K. Das and E. R. T. Tiekink, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 396 (1990) 9.
- 4 M. Yakoo, J. Ogura and T. Kanzawa, *Polym. Sci., Polym. Lett. Ed.*, 5 (1967) 57.
- 5 R. Okawara and M. Wado, *Adv. Organomet. Chem.*, 5 (1967) 161.
- 6 Y. M. Chow, *Inorg. Chem.*, 10 (1971) 673.
- 7 C. D. Gramer and B. Hughes, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, 92 (1976) 859.
- 8 R. Graziani, G. Bombieri, E. Foresellini, P. Furlan, V. Peruzzo and G. Tagliavini, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 125 (1977) 43.
- 9 P. G. Harrison, M. J. Begleyad and K. C. Molloy, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 186 (1980) 213.
- 10 H. Puff, I. Bung, E. Friedrichs and A. Jansen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 254 (1983) 23.
- 11 R. Hamalainen and U. Turpeinen, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 333 (1987) 323.
- 12 S. P. Narula, S. K. Bhardwaj, H. K. Sharma, G. Mairesse, P. Barbeir and G. Nowogrocki, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1988) 1719.
- 13 G. Perulekar, V. K. Jain, T. K. Das, A. R. Gupta, B. F. Hoskins and E. R. T. Tiekink, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 372 (1989) 193.
- 14 A. K. Rai, R. Bohra and S. Saxena, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 173 (1990) 191.
- 15 J. March, *Advanced Organic Chemistry*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968, p. 481.
- 16 B. A. Frenz, in H. Schenk, R. Olthof Hazekamp, H. Van Koningsveld and G. C. Bassi (eds.), *Computing in Crystallography*, Delft University Press, Delft, 1978, p. 44.
- 17 *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Kynoch, Birmingham, 1968.