

Table 1
Bond lengths (Å) with standard deviations

Ru(1)–Ru(2)	2.6894 (4)	O(1)–C(2)	1.368 (5)
Ru(1)–Ru(3)	2.9330 (4)	C(2)–C(1p)	1.501 (5)
Ru(2)–Ru(3)	2.7525 (4)		
Ru(1)–O(1)	2.096 (3)	Ru–C(mean)	1.926 (5)
Ru(2)–O(1)	2.177 (3)	C–O(mean)	1.126 (6)
Ru(2)–C(2)	2.297 (4)		
Ru(3)–C(2)	2.059 (4)		

The solid-state structure of **1** is shown in Fig. 1 and selected bond lengths are listed in Table 1. The three ruthenium atoms define a triangle; the edge [Ru(1)–Ru(3)] that is parallel with the PhC–O bond is significantly longer than the other two [2.9330(4) vs 2.6894(4) and 2.7525(4) Å]. Each ruthenium atom bears a tricarbonyl unit made up of two equatorial and one axial CO ligand, all of which are essentially linear. The hydride ligand could not be located directly, but presumably bridges the edge connecting Ru(1)–Ru(3) since this is significantly longer than the other two edges. The most noteworthy feature of the structure is the face-bridging coordination mode adopted by the COPh ligand over the ruthenium triangle. The CO fragment formally donates five electrons to the metal triangle, bonding to Ru(1) and Ru(3) via two σ -interactions [C(2)–Ru(3), and O(1)–Ru(1) via the lone pair on the oxygen] and to Ru(2) through the C–O π -electrons. The C–O bond of 1.368(5) Å is considerably elongated indicating a large decrease in bond order.

We may assume that the COPh fragment in complex **1** is derived from the electron transfer reagent benzophenone despite its high thermodynamic stability. The mechanism by which it is produced remains uncertain, and since **1** is produced in only low yield, we can only speculate about the route by which it is formed. It has been shown that the radical, $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]^-$, readily undergoes CO substitution by two electron donors, presumably by Ru–Ru bond cleavage to generate a seventeen electron Ru-centre [4]. In the present reaction, no suitable ligand is present, and reaction of the seventeen electron Ru-centre with the ketyl radical may take place in such a way that the metal atom is inserted between the carbonyl C-atom and one of the phenyl rings. Additional oxidative addition and reductive elimination must take place, with formation of **1** and presumably biphenyl. Clearly, the re-formation of $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]$ is more likely than such a reaction, and this is reflected in the large amount of $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]$ recovered from the reaction and the low yield of **1**.

Acknowledgements

We thank ICI (Wilton), the EPSRC, and the University of Edinburgh for financial support.

References and notes

- [1] (a) K.A. Azam, A.J. Deeming and I.P. Rothwell, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1981) 91; (b) B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, T.I. Odiaka and P.R. Raithby, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 216 (1981) C56; (c) B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis and T.I. Odiaka, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 207 (1986) 61.
- [2] For some recent examples see: (a) C.J. Adams, M.I. Bruce, O. Kuhl, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 445 (1993) C6; (b) J.T. Park, J.R. Shapley, M.R. Churchill and C. Bueno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 22 (1983) 1579; (c) W.-K. Wong, K.W. Chiu, G. Wilkinson, A.M. Gales and M. Thornton-Pett, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1983) 1557; (d) W.-K. Wong, G. Wilkinson, A.M. Gales, M.B. Hursthouse and M. Thornton-Pett, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, (1981) 2496.
- [3] J.T. Park, M.-K. Chung, K.M. Chun, S.S. Yun and S. Kim, *Organometallics*, 11 (1992) 3313.
- [4] M.I. Bruce, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 76 (1987) 1.
- [5] The method employed is similar to that previously described for the preparation of $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]^{2-}$ [6] Tetrahydrofuran (6 ml) was added to an evacuated Schlenk tube containing benzophenone (105 mg) and metallic potassium (24 mg). The potassium-benzophenone solution was stirred for several hours until all the potassium metal had dissolved; $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]$ (166 mg) was then added (in 10–20 mg portions every 15 min) to the stirred mixture at room temperature. After approximately 3 h the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the products separated by TLC. Two bands were observed and characterised as the starting material $[\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{12}]$ and $[\text{Ru}_3(\mu_2\text{-H})(\text{CO})_9(\mu_3\text{-}\sigma:\sigma:\eta^2\text{-COPh})]$, **1** (approximately 4%). Crystals suitable for an X-ray analysis were grown from a toluene solution at -25°C over a period of several days.
- [6] A.A. Bhattacharyya, C.C. Nagel, S.G. Shore, *Organometallics*, 2 (1983) 1187.
- [7] Spectroscopic data for **1**: IR ν_{CO} (CH_2Cl_2) 2092(m), 2066(s), 2037(vs), 2018(m), 2003(sh) and 1974(w) cm^{-1} ; mass spectrum $m/z = 661$ (Calc. = 661) amu, together with peaks representing the sequential loss of nine carbonyl groups; $^1\text{H NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 7.3 (m, 5H), -18.11 (s, 1H) ppm.
- [8] Crystal data. (**1**), $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_6\text{O}_{10}\text{Ru}_3$, $M = 661.4$, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$, $a = 9.809(4)$, $b = 10.233(8)$, $c = 11.286(7)$ Å, $\alpha = 90.01(3)$, $\beta = 92.68(4)$, $\gamma = 99.76(5)^\circ$, $U = 1115$ Å³ [from 2 θ values of 24 reflections measured at $\pm \omega$ ($2\theta = 30\text{--}32^\circ$, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å)], $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.969$ g cm^{-3} , $T = 150.0(1)$ K, yellow rhombic crystal $0.82 \times 0.82 \times 0.43$ mm, $\mu = 2.013$ mm^{-1} , $F(000) = 628$. Data collection and processing. Diffraction data were collected on a Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer equipped with an Oxford Cryosystems low-temperature device [9] and graphite-monochromated Mo $K\alpha$ X-radiation, $T = 150\text{K}$, ω -2 θ scans, 2704 unique data collected ($2\theta_{\text{max}} 45^\circ$, $h - 10$ to 10 , $k - 10$ to 11 , $l 0$ to 12), semi-empirical absorption correction [10] applied (minimum and maximum transmission factors 0.461 and 0.831 respectively), giving 2649 reflections with $F \geq 4\sigma(F)$ for use in all calculations. Structure solution and refinement. The ruthenium atoms were located by automatic direct methods [11] and subsequent iterative cycles of least-squares refinement and Fourier difference synthesis located all non-H atoms [12]. The Ru, C and O atoms were then refined (by least-squares on F) with anisotropic thermal parameters. The phenyl carbon atoms were refined as a rigid hexagon with the phenyl H atoms included at fixed, calculated positions. The hydride was not located. At final convergence R , $R' = 0.0283$, 0.0457 respectively, $S = 1.256$ for 282 refined parameters and the final ΔF synthesis showed no $\Delta\rho$ above 0.66 or below -0.70 $\text{e}\text{\AA}^{-3}$, the major features lying near the Ru atoms. A secondary extinction parameter refined to 2.97×10^{-7} , the weighting scheme $w^{-1} =$

$\sigma^2(F) + 0.000072 F^2$ gave satisfactory agreement analyses and in the final cycle $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max}$ was 0.05. Additional material available from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre comprises of H-atom coordinates, thermal parameters and the remaining bond lengths and angles.

9] J. Cosier and A.M. Glazer, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 19 (1986) 105.

[10] A.C.T. North, D.C. Phillips and F.S. Mathews, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 24 (1968) 351.

[11] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX-86, *Program for crystal structure solution*, *Acta Crystallogr.*, A46 (1990) 467.

[12] G.M. Sheldrick, SHELX 76 *Program for crystal structure refinement*, University of Cambridge, 1976.