



## Corrigendum

Corrigendum to: “ $\beta$ -Ferrocenyl- $\beta$ -amino alcohols: a new class of central chiral ferrocene derivatives” [JOM 642 (2002) 212–226]<sup>☆</sup>

Mònica Catasús<sup>a</sup>, Agustí Bueno<sup>a</sup>, Albert Moyano<sup>a,\*</sup>, Miguel A. Maestro<sup>b</sup>,  
José Mahía<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Unitat de Recerca en Síntesi Asimètrica (URSA), Departament de Química Orgànica, Facultat de Química, Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès 1-11, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicios Xerais de Apoio á Investigación, Campus da Zapateira, s/n, Universidade da Coruña, 15071 A Coruña, Spain

We have realized that specific rotations for compounds **20–22** were inadvertently given the opposite value (corresponding to the (*S*)-enantiomers) in the article. The correct values are as follows. (*R*)-*N*-(1-ferrocenyl-2-hydroxyethyl)-(2-fluorophenyl)carboxamide ((+)-**20**):  $[\alpha]_D = +3.6^\circ$  (*c* = 1.00, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); (*R*)-4-ferrocenyl-2-(2-fluorophenyl)-1,3-oxazoline ((+)-**21**):  $[\alpha]_D = +118^\circ$  (*c* = 1.02, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>); (*R*)-diphenyl[2-(4-ferrocenyl-1,3-oxazolin-2-yl)phenyl]phosphine ((+)-**22**):  $[\alpha]_D = +72.4^\circ$  (*c* = 0.76, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Throughout the text (−)-**20**, (−)-**21** and (−)-**22** should, therefore, be replaced by (+)-**20**, (+)-**21** and (+)-**22**, respectively.

<sup>☆</sup> PII of original article: S0022-328X(01)01255-4

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [amoyano@qo.ub.es](mailto:amoyano@qo.ub.es) (A. Moyano).