# Use of the Resonance Raman Intensities To Check the Density Functional Theory Derived Force Field of the Free Base Porphine

## Mouhsine Tazi, Philippe Lagant, and Gérard Vergoten\*,†

CRESIMM, Université des Sciences et Technologies de Lille, UFR de Chimie, Bât C8, 59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France

Received: July 20, 1999; In Final Form: October 18, 1999

The vibrational normal modes of the free base porphine (FBP) have been investigated within the framework of the density functional theory (DFT). The scaling of the internal force constants has been performed using a least-squares method, and a general valence force field was deduced for the free base porphine with  $D_{2h}$  symmetry. To check the vibrational assignments for the normal modes having the A<sub>g</sub> symmetry, the resonance Raman intensities have been predicted. It is shown that the *A* term part of the scattering tensor is able to explain most of the observed resonance Raman enhancements for the electronic transitions lying in the Q band.

### Introduction

The free base porphine (FBP) is the starting point for vibrational studies on metalloporphyrins which constitute a template for very important biological functions such as photosynthesis, oxygen transport, and oxidation/reduction mechanisms. A resonance structure with  $D_{2h}$  symmetry has been shown to stand for the free base porphyrin leading to an electronic delocalized structure for the ground state.

The resonance Raman, visible absorption, fluorescence, and phosphorescence spectra have been largely investigated,<sup>1,3</sup> and more recently polarized infrared spectra<sup>4</sup> and Raman<sup>5</sup> investigations have been performed on the basis of a force field derived from scaled quantum mechanical studies (SQM).

In the present calculations, we used as in previous studies<sup>6,8</sup> the density functional theory (DFT) approach which takes into account the exchange-correlation corrections needed to correctly reproduce the delocalization effects.

The potential energy distribution (PED) may strongly vary from one specific force field determinated from scaled quantum mechanical studies to another one. To overcome this problem, vibrational studies using isotopomers have been used with success to correctly approach the nature of the normal modes associated with the scaled quantum mechanical force field.

In the present study, we used an additional approach which consists of the prediction of the resonance Raman intensities (RRI) of the vibrational modes belonging to the totally symmetric species. This method permits verification of the potential energy distributions of the  $A_g$  normal modes and was applied previously in our group to study nucleic acids<sup>9,12</sup> and aromatic amino acids. It will be shown below that such a method is quite easy to use when regarding the difficulty to predict correct infrared or Raman intensities and, consequently, appears as a complementary tool to obtain a correct force field. Unfortunately the infrared vibrational intensities are not available with the DFT procedure in the Jaguar software.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The DFT calculations were performed using the Jaguar software (version 3.0).<sup>13</sup> The B3-LYP exchange functional

(Becke-3/parameter/HF/Slater/Becke88/VWN and LYP) was used in addition to the 6-31G(df,p)(5d,7f) basis set.

A calculation at the same level of theory was performed previously by Kozlowski et al.<sup>4,5</sup> The geometry optimization was first done using the Merck force field included in the Spartan program (version 5.0.2)<sup>14</sup> under a constrained  $D_{2h}$ geometry. This structure was thereafter treated by the DFT method using a total number of 429 basis functions. No constraints were imposed, and the final geometry remains of the  $D_{2h}$  type.

The matrix of second derivatives of the potential energy with respect to the Cartesian coordinates (Hessian matrix) resulting from the DFT optimization was treated using the Redong program of Allouche and Pourcin.<sup>15</sup> The method under consideration consists of the determination (by least-squares methods) of the scaling factors corresponding to the internal or symmetry coordinates leading to the best fit of the theoretical to the experimental vibrational wavenumbers. The force constants can then be expressed in terms of internal or symmetry coordinates if removal of local and/or cyclic redundancies is required.

The free base porphine has 38 atoms and 108 (3N - 6) internal degrees of freedom. Under a  $D_{2h}$  symmetry, with the *x* and *y* axes lying in the molecular plane the irreducible representation of the fundamental vibrational modes is given by  $19A_g + 18B_{1g} + 9B_{2g} + 8B_{3g} + 10B_{1u} + 8A_u + 10B_{1u} + 18B_{2u} + 18B_{3u}$  species, where the  $A_g$ ,  $B_{1g}$ ,  $B_{3u}$ , and  $B_{2u}$  species are related to the in-plane modes.

Figure 1 displays the structure under  $D_{2h}$  symmetry, and Table 1 gives the Cartesian coordinates of the optimized geometry. The molecular electrostatic potential (ESP) was fitted to a set of point charges located at the atomic centers. The fit was constrained to reproduce the dipole moment exactly. A total of 160 internal coordinates were used to define the molecule. No symmetry coordinates were defined to remove the redundancies occurring in the pyrrole rings or in the superring for the following reasons: (i) For each pyrrole ring, it is possible to use local symmetry coordinates, but the external substituents ( $C_{\beta}H$  and NH) have to be included for redundancy relations. (ii) The total symmetry coordinates including the external  $C_mH$  atoms must be linear combinations of these local symmetry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> E-mail: gerard.vergoten@univ-lille1.fr.



**Figure 1.** Free base porphine structure under  $D_{2h}$  symmetry (taken in the *xy* plane).

TABLE 1: Optimized DFT Cartesian Coordinates for FBP
Atoms As Calculated under the $D_{2h}$ Symmetry (xy plane)
and Their Corresponding Charges (Atomic Units)

	atomic				atomic
atom	no.	x	У	Ζ	charge
1	7	0.000 00	-2.036 836	0.000 00	-0.450 23
2	1	1.352 02	5.112 69	0.000 00	0.160 50
3	7	$0.000\ 00$	2.036 83	$0.000\ 00$	-0.45023
4	1	-1.098517	0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	0.180 41
5	1	1.098 51	0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	0.180 41
6	7	-2.113 52	0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	-0.18947
7	7	2.113 52	0.000 00	$0.000\ 00$	-0.18947
8	6	2.893 23	1.131 02	$0.000\ 00$	0.282 78
9	6	2.893 23	-1.131 022	$0.000\ 00$	0.282 78
10	6	1.085 96	-2.861 657	$0.000\ 00$	0.386 24
11	6	-1.085 967	-2.861 657	$0.000\ 00$	0.386 24
12	6	$-2.893\ 237$	-1.131 022	$0.000\ 00$	0.282 78
13	6	-2.893237	1.131 022	$0.000\ 00$	0.282 78
14	6	-1.085 965	2.861 657	$0.000\ 00$	0.386 24
15	6	1.085 96	2.861 657	$0.000\ 00$	0.386 24
16	1	3.182 83	3.219 54	$0.000\ 00$	0.163 88
17	6	0.678 63	4.265 08	$0.000\ 00$	-0.269 12
18	6	2.422 87	2.443 95	$0.000\ 00$	-0.416 53
19	6	4.258 33	0.686 41	$0.000\ 00$	-0.25023
20	6	2.422 87	-2.443 952	$0.000\ 00$	-0.416 53
21	6	-0.678632	4.265 08	$0.000\ 00$	-0.269 12
22	6	-2.422877	2.443 95	$0.000\ 00$	-0.416 53
23	6	-4.258 333	0.686 410 73	$0.000\ 00$	-0.25023
24	6	0.678 63	$-4.265\ 086$	$0.000\ 00$	-0.269 12
25	1	-3.182 839	3.219 541	$0.000\ 00$	0.163 88
26	6	-2.422877	-2.443 951	$0.000\ 00$	-0.416 53
27	6	-4.258 333	$-0.686\ 410$	$0.000\ 00$	-0.25023
28	6	4.258 33	$-0.686\ 410$	$0.000\ 00$	-0.25023
29	6	$-0.678\ 632$	$-4.265\ 086$	$0.000\ 00$	-0.269 12
30	1	3.182 84	-3.219 541	$0.000\ 00$	0.163 88
31	1	-3.182 839	-3.219 541	$0.000\ 00$	0.163 88
32	1	1.352 02	-5.112 691	$0.000\ 00$	0.160 50
33	1	5.113 85	-1.347 760	$0.000\ 00$	0.172 11
34	1	-5.113 856	1.347 760	$0.000\ 00$	0.172 11
35	1	5.113 85	1.347 760	$0.000\ 00$	0.172 11
36	1	$-1.352\ 022$	-5.112 691	$0.000\ 00$	0.160 50
37	1	$-1.352\ 022$	5.112 691	$0.000\ 00$	0.160 50
38	1	-5.113 856	-1.347 760	0.000 00	0.172 11
dipole	moment	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
(Del	bve)				

coordinates to respect the  $D_{2h}$  molecular symmetry. (iii) The derived symmetry force constants are typical of the  $D_{2h}$  symmetry and cannot be used for the molecule with a different symmetry. (iv) A transformation from the space of nonredundant

 TABLE 2: Comparison between Optimized Geometrical

 Parameters (Å, deg) As Obtained from Different ab Initio

 Calculations

	DFT/B3LYP				
	(this work)	LDFT <sup>16</sup>	SCF/TZP17	MP2/DZP218	exptl <sup>1</sup>
N-H	1.015	1.038	0.99	0.99	
$N-C_{\alpha}$	1.37	1.365	1.35	1.36	1.367
$(N-C_{\alpha})^{a}$	1.363	1.363	1.34	1.35	1.364
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}$	1.436	1.423	1.43	1.43	1.438
$(\dot{C}_{\beta}-C_{\alpha})^{a}$	1.462	1.445	1.45	1.46	1.463
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	1.372	1.371	1.35	1.35	1.373
$(\dot{C}_{\beta} - \dot{C}_{\beta})^a$	1.356	1.358	1.34	1.34	1.354
$C_m - C_\alpha$	1.394	1.384	1.38	1.37	1.392
$(C_m - C_\alpha)^a$	1.4	1.390	1.39	1.38	1.393
$C_{\beta}-H$	1.080	1.094	1.07	1.06	
$(C_{\beta}-H)^{a}$	1.082	1.095	1.07	1.06	
C <sub>m</sub> -H	1.086	1.098	1.07	1.07	
$H-N-C_{\alpha}$	124.6	125.0	124.4	124.4	
$C_{\alpha}$ -N- $C_{\alpha}$	110.8	110.1	111.1	110.8	109.6
$(C_{\alpha}-N-C_{\alpha})^{a}$	105.5	104.9	106.4	105.1	105.7
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	106.5	107.2	106.5	106.9	107.8
$(N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta})^{a}$	111.0	111.4	110.7	111.5	110.9
$C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - C_{\beta}$	108.0	107.7	107.9	107.7	107.4
$(C_{\alpha} - \dot{C}_{\beta} - \dot{C}_{\beta})^{a}$	106.2	106.9	108.1	105.9	106.3
$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	125.6	125.6	126.2	125.5	124.8
$(N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m})^{a}$	125.4	125.6	125.9	125.6	125.1
$C_{\alpha}-C_{m}-C_{\alpha}$	127.1	126.3	126.6	126.8	127.4
$C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}-H$	124.2	123.9	124.5	124.5	
$(C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - H)^{a}$	125.3	125.2	125.5	125.6	
$C_{\alpha}-C_{m}-H$	117.0	116.8	116.1	116.0	
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}-H$	127.7				
$(C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}-H)^{a}$	128.5				

<sup>a</sup> For bonds belonging to the unprotonated pyrrole rings.

symmetry coordinates (108) to the space of internal coordinates (160) would reintroduce ring redundancies. As the aim of this work was to select a possible set of internal force constants and transferable scaling factors, no further attempt was done to treat the problem in this way. Comparable assignments in the potential energy distribution can be made between the present work and those obtained from Koslowski et al.<sup>4,5</sup>

#### **Results and Discussion**

The atomic definitions for FBP were taken according to Zgierski's notations<sup>16</sup> with  $C_{\alpha}$ ,  $C_{\beta}$ , and  $C_m$  being the carbon atoms in the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and meso positions. The optimized structure obtained on the isolated molecule using the DFT method is in good agreement with the results of X-ray studies,<sup>17</sup> and this fact shows that only weak distortions would appear in the crystal state (Table 2).

The optimized parameters are compared in Table 2 to other theoretical values obtained from studies using the LDFT, SCF/TZDP, and MP2/DZP2 methods.<sup>18,20</sup> It can be easily seen that the calculated values are in quite agreement with the experimental ones, the mean deviations being 0.005 Å for bonds and 1.3° for angles.

Table 3 displays a set of scaling factors referring to different groups of internal coordinates. Ten different values were retained after the fitting of the theoretical wavenumbers to the experimental ones. Their values lie in the 0.92–0.98 range except for those corresponding to the NH stretching value which displays a lower value (0.87). The derived diagonal force constants expressed in the internal coordinate space are displayed in Table 4.

These scaling factors have to be compared to the corresponding values given in ref 5. The N–C and C–C stretchings coordinates have a calculated value of 0.93, which is to be compared to the value of 0.927 obtained in this work. The values

 TABLE 3: Calculated Scaling Factors for Force Constants

 According to the Different Groups of Internal Coordinates

 (IC)

IC	in plane	scaling factor	IC	out of plane	scaling factor
R1,R2	NH	0.8695	R111,R112	NH opb	0.95
R3,R6	C <sub>m</sub> H	0.9165	R113,R116	C <sub>m</sub> H opb	0.92
R7,R14	$C_{\beta}H$	0.9165	R117,R124	$C_{\beta}H$ opb	0.92
R15,R22	ŃĊ	0.927	R125,R132	$C_{\alpha}C_{m}$ opb	0.99
R23,R30	$C_m C_\alpha$	0.927	R133,R140	NCtor	0.95
R31,R38	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$	0.927	R141,R148	C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>a</sub> tor	0.935
R39,R42	$C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$	0.927	R149, R156	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ tor	0.935
R43,R46	ĆŃĤ	0.952	R157,R160	$C_{\beta}C_{\beta}tor$	0.935
R47,R54	$C_{\alpha}C_{m}H$	0.952		, ,	
R55,R62	$C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}H$	0.952			
R63,R70	$C_{\beta}C_{\beta}H$	0.952			
R71,R74	ĆŃĆ	0.98			
R75,R82	$NC_{\alpha}C_{m}$	0.98			
R83,R90	$NC_{\alpha}C_{\beta}$	0.98			
R91,R98	$C_m C_\alpha C_\beta$	0.98			
R99,R102	$C_{\alpha}C_{m}C_{\alpha}$	0.98			
R103,R110	$C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$	0.98			
	1 1				

TABLE 4: Scaled General Valence Diagonal ForceConstants Obtained for FBP

$\mathrm{IC}^{a}$	bond stretching (mdyn/Å)	IC <sup>a</sup>	angle bending (mdyn Å)
N-H	6.21	$H-N-C_{\alpha}$	0.38
$N-C_{\alpha}$	4.12	$C_{\alpha}-N-C_{\alpha}$	0.74
$(N-C_{\alpha})^{b}$	3.95	$(C_{\alpha} - N - C_{\alpha})^{b}$	1.10
$C_{\beta}-C_{\alpha}$	3.66	$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$	0.72
$(\dot{C}_{\beta}-C_{\alpha})^{b}$	3.25	$(N-C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta})^{b}$	0.75
$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}$	4.90	$C_{\alpha} - C_{\beta} - C_{\beta}$	0.7
$(\dot{C}_{\beta} - \dot{C}_{\beta})^{b}$	5.52	$(C_{\alpha} - \dot{C}_{\beta} - \dot{C}_{\beta})^{b}$	0.68
$C_m - C_\alpha$	6.28	$N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m}$	0.527
$(C_m - C_\alpha)$	6.06	$(N-C_{\alpha}-C_{m})^{b}$	0.59
$C_{\beta}-H$	5.27	$C_{\alpha}-C_{m}-C_{\alpha}$	0.526
$(\dot{C}_{\beta}-H)^{b}$	5.27	$C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}-H$	0.396
C <sub>m</sub> -H	5.13	$(C_{\alpha} - \dot{C}_{\beta} - H)^b$	0.38
		$C_{\alpha}-C_{m}-H$	0.4
		$C_{\beta}-C_{\beta}-H$	0.38
		$(\dot{C}_{\beta} - \dot{C}_{\beta} - H)^{b}$	0.38

<sup>*a*</sup> IC: internal coordinate. <sup>*b*</sup> For bonds belonging to the unprotonated pyrrole rings.

for the C–H stretching given our work and in the reference<sup>5</sup> are in good agreement (0.916 and 0.912, respectively). The values for the N–H rocking in-plane coordinate are comparable (0.95 and 0.94), and likewise values corresponding to the out of plane wagging (0.95 and 9486). A discrepancy can be noted for the in-plane CH deformation (0.952 and 0.934). The scaling factors applying to the ring stretching coordinates have quite comparable values: 0.98 (this work) and 0.988.<sup>5</sup>

No great differences between the scaling factors can be noted when one uses a set of redundant or nonredundant internal coordinates.

**Vibrational Analysis.** The calculated frequencies and normal mode symmetries ( $D_{2h}$  molecular geometry) for FBP are given in Table 5. Only the highest contributions to the potential energy distribution (PED) among the internal coordinates were reported.

The theoretical wavenumbers for the  $d_0$ ,  $d_2$ (NH),  $d_4$ (C<sub>m</sub>H),  $d_8$ (C<sub>β</sub>H), and  $d_{12}$ (C<sub>m</sub>H,C<sub>β</sub>H) isotopomers are compared to their corresponding experimental values<sup>4,5</sup> in Table 6. It is noteworthy that the same set of scaling factors was kept for the five isotopomers.

In refs 4 and 5 a particular detailed analysis of the vibrational (infrared and nonresonant Raman) activities was performed and a correlation was established between some of them and their appearance in the fluorescence and phosphorescence emissions according to their symmetry properties. The present work was done using the same basis and correlation functions as in ref 4.

It can be easily deduced from Tables 5 and 6 that the calculated wavenumbers and PED for all the isotopomers are quite in correspondence with the experimental bands. The assignments for the  $d_2$ ,  $d_4$ ,  $d_8$ , and  $d_{12}$  analogues were done according to their potential energy distribution. Only a few calculated frequencies are in disagreement with the previous assignments. In particular, the calculated wavenumber at 776 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the B<sub>1u</sub> mode ( $D_{2h}$ ) in the  $d_2$  isotopomer corresponds to the observed band at 785 cm<sup>-1</sup> in  $d_0$ . For this band, Kozlowski et al.<sup>4,5</sup> discussed the validity of the calculated dipole moments and preferred to assign the  $d_2$  wavenumber at 535 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This explanation is somewhat difficult to understand since the potential energy distribution for the  $d_0$  and  $d_2$  isotopomers does not show any participation of the C<sub>a</sub>NH group to this mode (no shift) and any dependence on the type of basis sets.

**Resonance Raman Intensities.** Simplified Theory for the Resonance Raman Intensities. For molecules containing  $\pi$ -electron conjugated systems, the first allowed electronic transitions are generally of the  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  type. Along these electronic transitions, some normal modes can be perturbed by the changes occurring in the molecular geometry and electronic bonding. Such changes principally affect the atomic equilibrium displacements in the excited electronic state with respect to the ground state. To follow such geometrical perturbations, the vibrational analysis appears to be a powerful method to investigate the changes taking place in the atomic distances and valence angles. Wilson's GF method<sup>21</sup> was until now extensively used to deal with the normal modes determination as its relates the internal coordinates R (stretching, in-plane and out-of-plane bending, torsion) to the 3N - 6 normal coordinates  $Q_i$  of a molecule containing N atoms according to the matrix relation  $Q = L^{-1}R$ . In this last formulation, L stands for the eigenvector matrix that can be derived from the resolution of the well-known relationship  $GFL = L\Lambda$ , where G and F represent the inverse kinetic energy and potential energy matrices, respectively. The matrix of internal coordinates R can be obtained from the 3N Cartesian coordinates via the *B* matrix involving the Wilson's vectors and the relationship R = BX. Along an electronic transition, the variations  $\Delta R$  occurring in the internal coordinates are related to the shifts in the normal coordinates  $\Delta Q$  according to the following expression:  $\Delta Q = L^{-1}(\Delta R) = L^{-1}B(\Delta X)$ .

Using quantum mechanical methods (semiempirical or ab initio) it is relatively easy to calculate the bond lengths and bond angles of a molecule at its potential energy minimum for the ground and excited electronic states. From this, it is possible to deduce the displacements of the potential energy minimum along some particular normal modes from those occurring in the internal coordinates. It has been suggested that the changes in bond lengths  $\Delta r_i$  along a particular electronic transition are proportional to the corresponding changes ( $\Delta bo$ ) of the bond orders; i.e.,  $\Delta R_i = C(\Delta bo)_i$ , where the subscript *i* corresponds to the *i*th bond and C is a constant. For molecules having totally symmetric modes, the main origin of the resonance Raman enhancements arises from the A term of the scattering tensor and the associated intensity  $I_i$  of the *j*th normal mode can be shown to be approximatively proportional to the square of the shift  $\Delta Q_i$  appearing along this normal mode between the ground and the excited electronic state; i.e.,  $I_i = K(\Delta Q_i)^2 v_i^2$ , where  $v_i$ refers to the associated linear frequency of the normal mode.9,22,23 Then, considering the linear relationship between the *k*th change in bond length and its associated change in bond order, the resonance Raman intensity of the *j*th normal mode is given by

$$I_j = CK\nu_j^2 \sum_k (L_k^{-1}) (\Delta bo_k)^2$$

TABLE 5: Wavenumbers and Potential Energy Distribution for the  $d_0$  Isotopomer of FBP  $(D_{2h})$  Obtained after Scaling of the Force Constant<sup>a</sup>

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	wCN C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HNC wCN, 17 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 5wCN, $\delta$ HNC 39 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 32 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 32 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 37 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 10 $\nu$ C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 19 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 9 $\nu$ C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 26 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 7 <sub>α</sub>
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HNC )νCN, 17 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 5νCN, $\delta$ HNC 39 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 32 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 32 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 37 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 10 $\nu$ C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 19 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 26 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 7 <sub>α</sub>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$μ$ νCN, 17 $\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ $5ν$ CN, $\delta$ HNC $39\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ $32\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ $32\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ $37\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ CmC $α$ , $10ν$ C $β$ C $α$ , $19\delta$ HCmC $α$ C $β$ C $α$ , $9ν$ CmC $α$ C $β$ C $α$ , $26\delta$ HCmC $α$ , $14\delta$ HC $β$ C $α$ , $3r$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{l} 39\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha} \\ 32\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha} \\ 38\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha} \\ 37\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 10\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 19\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 9\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 26\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}, 14\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, \\ T_{\alpha} \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	32 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 38 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> 37 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 10 $\nu$ C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 19 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 9 $\nu$ C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> C <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 26 $\delta$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 14 $\delta$ HC <sub>β</sub> C <sub>α</sub> , 7 <sub>α</sub>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$38\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ $37\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 10vC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 19\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 9vC_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 26\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}, 14\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, \frac{1}{2}\delta$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{l} 37\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 10vC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 19\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 9vC_{m}C_{\alpha} \\ C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 26\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}, 14\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, \\ C_{\alpha}\end{array}$
9 $B_{3g}$ 212.6 $50\tau C_m C_a$ , $22\pi N H$ , $14\pi C_m C_a$ 64 $R_g$ 1000.7 $40\pi E_{p>p}$ , 9 $B_{3g}$ 212.6 $50\tau C_m C_a$ , $22\pi N H$ , $14\pi C_m C_a$ 65 $B_{1g}$ 1132.6 $27\nu C N$ , $13\nu$	$C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 10\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 19\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 9\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 26\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}, 14\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, -2\delta$
$J = D_{3g} = 212.6 = 56 \text{ Gm}_{G}, 220 \text{ m}, 140 \text{ Gm}_{G} = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = $	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $10\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $150 H C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $9\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $26\delta H C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , $14\delta H C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $C_{\beta}$
-111 - 51 - (15.5) - 3071 - 1 - (17.5) - 1071 - 1 - 1071 - 1 - 50 - 80 - 11.561 - 57.00 - 17.01 - 17.01 - 10	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $\beta\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $26\delta HC_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , $14\delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $C_{\beta}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , 2001 $C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , 1401 $C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $C_{\beta}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	B
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$5^{-p}$
13 $A_g = 501.5 + 10C_mC_d, 110C_gC_mC_d = 06 A_g = 1177.7 + 200C_gC_d, 1.0 + 200C_gC_d, 1$	$1_{\rm wC}$ , $C_{\rm mC}$ $1_{\rm wC}$ $1_{\rm MC}$ $C_{\rm mC}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	100000, 10000, 21010000, 200000, 200000, 200000, 2000000, 2000000, 20000000, 200000000
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\tau_{\alpha}$ , 100 HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub>
10 $B_{3u} = 544.0 = 500 c_{m}c_{\alpha}, 1200 c_{\alpha}c_{\beta} = 600 c_{\alpha}c_{\beta} = 700 B_{1g} = 1225.9 = 550 c_{m}c_{\alpha}, 4$	AUCN 120C-C
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$4\nu CN, 12\nu C\beta C\alpha$
18 $B_{1g}$ 389.9 450NC <sub>a</sub> C <sub>m</sub> , 450C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>a</sub> C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> 72 $B_{2u}$ 1226.9 122C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>a</sub> C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> 72 $B_{2u}$ 1226.9 120C <sub>m</sub> C <sub>a</sub> C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub>	IOHNC
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , 130HC $_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , 120HC $_{m}C_{\alpha}$ ,
20 $B_{2g}$ 419.3 $25\tau C_m C_a$ , $18\tau C_{\beta} C_a$ , $14\pi T_m C_a$ , $10\pi NH$ , $10\pi C_m H$ 110HC <sub>β</sub> U	
21 $B_{3g}$ 430.0 26 $\tau c_m C_a$ , 18 $\tau C_\beta C_a$ , 16 $\tau NC$ , 16 $\pi C_m C_a$ , 12 $\pi C_m H$ /4 $B_{3u}$ 1289.6 20 $\tau C_m C_a$ , 1	$2\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 260HC_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 120HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$
22 $A_u$ 4/0.0 $25\tau C_{\mu}C_{\alpha}$ , $21\tau C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $10\tau NC$ , $11\pi C_{\beta}H$ , $20\pi C_mH$ 75 $B_{1g}$ 1322.2 $31\nu CN$ , $14\nu$	$C_m C_\alpha$ , 14 $\partial H C_\beta C_\beta$
23 $B_{2g}$ 605.0 51 $\pi$ NH, 36 $\tau$ NC 76 $A_g$ 1351.5 34 $\nu C_\beta C_\alpha$ , 10	5vCN, 110CNC
24 $B_{1u}$ 642.3 43 $\pi$ C <sub>m</sub> C <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> , 27 $\pi$ NH, 12 $\pi$ C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> H 77 $B_{1g}$ 1356.7 27 $\theta$ HC <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> ,	$20\partial HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 20\partial HC_{m}C_{\alpha}$
25 $B_{2g}$ 665.8 $63\pi C_m C_a, 17\pi C_\beta H$ 78 $B_{2u}$ 1358.8 $21\nu CN, 20\nu$	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 19\delta H C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$
$26  B_{3g}  667.4  68\pi C_m C_{\alpha}, 13\tau NC \qquad 79  B_{1g}  1381.0  28\nu CN, 25\nu$	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 13\delta H C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$
27 $A_u$ 675.7 $72\pi C_m C_{\alpha}, 11\tau C_{\beta} C_{\beta}$ 80 $A_g$ 1398.9 $28\nu CN, 15\nu$	$C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}, 16\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 24\delta HC_m C_{\alpha}$
$28  B_{2g}  695.5  66\pi C_m C_\alpha, 19\tau C_m C_\alpha \qquad \qquad 81  B_{3u}  1399.7  27\nu C_\beta C_\beta, 22\nu C_\beta, 22\nu$	$2\nu CN$ , $10\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $20\delta HC_m C_{\alpha}$
$29  A_{u}  695.8  62\pi C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 18\tau C_{m}C_{\alpha} \qquad 82  B_{2u}  1406.3  30\nu CN, 12\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha} \qquad 82  B_{2u}  1406.3  B_{2u}  1406.3  B_{2u}  1406.3  B_{2u}  1406.3  B_{2u}  1406.3  B_{2$	$C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 24\delta H C_m C_{\alpha}$
$30  B_{1u}  697.3  47\pi C_m C_a, 24\pi C_\beta H \qquad 83  B_{3u}  1413.0  35\nu C_\beta C_a, 19$	$\partial \nu C_m C_\alpha$
$31  B_{3g}  700.0  51\pi C_m C_a, 20\pi C_\beta H \qquad \qquad 84  A_g  1424.3  30\nu C_m C_a, 30\nu C_m C_a,$	$9\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 15\nu CN$
$32  A_g \qquad 719.2  22\nu CN, \\ 11\nu C_m C_{\alpha}, \\ 14\delta N C_{\alpha} C_m \qquad \qquad 85  B_{1g} \qquad 1495.1  38\nu C_m C_{\alpha}, \\ 11000  110000  110000  110000  110000  110000  110000  110000  110000  1100000  1100000  1100000  1100000  11000000  11000000  110000000  110000000  110000000  1100000000$	$3$ CN, $10$ HC <sub>m</sub> C <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub>
$33  A_{g}  722.7  21\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 21\delta NC_{\alpha}C_{\beta}, 18\delta CNC, 14\delta C_{m}C_{\alpha}C_{\beta} \qquad 86  B_{2u}  1497.1  21\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 12\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}, 12\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}, 12\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}, 12\delta C_{\alpha}$	$\nu C_m C_\alpha$ , 12 $\nu CN$ , 12 $\delta HNC$
34 $B_{3u}$ 731.8 $30\pi C_{\beta}H$ , $11\pi C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ 87 $A_{g}$ 1508.5 $48\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$ , 15	$\delta \delta HC_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$
$35  B_{3u}  734.0  20\nu C_m C_a, 11\nu CN, 12\delta N C_a C_\beta, 12\delta C_m C_a C_\beta \qquad 88  B_{3u}  1516.8  53\nu C_m C_a$	
$36  B_{2u}  744.0  14\nu C_m C_{\alpha}, 9\nu CN, 14\delta N C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}, 13\delta C_m C_{\alpha} C_{\beta}, 9\delta CNC  89  B_{3u}  1528.4  29\nu C_m C_{\alpha}, 22\delta C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}, 2\delta C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}, 2\delta C_{\alpha} C_{\alpha}$	$7\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$ , 16 $\delta HC_mC_{\alpha}$
37 $B_{3g}$ 764.3 $56\pi C_{\beta}H$ , $21\pi C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ 90 $B_{2u}$ 1548.5 $28\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , 2	$8\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, 10\delta H C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$
38 $B_{2g}$ 771.5 $51\pi C_{\beta}H$ , $20\pi C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ 91 $A_{g}$ 1559.7 $35\nu C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$ , 18	$3\nu C_m C_{\alpha}, 12\delta H C_{\beta} C_{\alpha}$
39 $B_{1u}$ 772.1 $61\pi C_{\beta}H, 8\pi C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ 92 $B_{1g}$ 1592.0 $57\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}, 9$	$\delta HC_mC_\alpha$
$40 \qquad B_{1g} \qquad 780.9 \qquad 25\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}C_{\beta}, \\ 13\delta C_{\alpha}C_{m}C_{\alpha}, \\ 12\delta N C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}, \\ 11\delta N C_{\alpha}C_{m} \qquad 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1596.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u} \qquad 1500.4 \qquad 57\nu C_{m}C_{m}, \\ 93 \qquad B_{2u$	$\delta HC_mC_\alpha$
41 $B_{2u}$ 784.2 $10\nu C_{\beta}C_{\alpha}$ , $25\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$ , $18\delta NC_{\alpha}C_{\beta}$ 94 $A_{g}$ 1600.8 $74\nu C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , $1600.8$ $74\nu C$	$2\delta HC_mC_\alpha$
42 $B_{1u}$ 785.4 $42\pi C_{\beta}H$ , $24\pi NH$ 95 $B_{1g}$ 3056.3 $98\nu C_mH$	
43 $B_{3u}$ 787.9 $16\nu C_m C_a$ , $26\delta C_a C_\beta C_\beta$ , $12\delta C_a C_m C_a$ , $10\delta N C_a C_\beta$ 96 $A_z$ 3057.7 $99\nu C_m H$	
44 $B_{12}$ 806.2 $28\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}C_{\beta}$ , $12\delta C_{\alpha}C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ , $10\delta NC_{\alpha}C_{\beta}$ , $12\delta NC_{\alpha}C_{m}$ 97 $B_{3u}$ 3057.8 $98\nu C_{m}H$	
45 $B_{3g}$ 839.0 $63\pi C_m H$ , $18\pi C_m C_q$ 98 $B_{2u}$ 3058.7 $99\nu C_m H$	
46 $A_{\mu}$ 840.0 $64\pi C_{m}H$ , $17\tau C_{m}C_{\alpha}$ 99 $B_{1\sigma}$ 3090.5 $98\nu C_{m}H$	
47 $B_{2\sigma}$ 845.0 $62\pi C_m H$ , $17\tau C_m C_q$ 100 $B_{3\mu}$ 3090.7 $98\nu C_{\beta} H$	
48 $B_{10}$ 845.6 $61\pi C_m H$ , $167 C_m C_q$ 101 $B_{20}$ 3106.8 $98\nu C_{cH}$	
49 A <sub>1</sub> 882.4 54 $\pi$ C <sub>6</sub> H. 27 $\pi$ C <sub>6</sub> C <sub>6</sub> 102 B <sub>1</sub> 3107.2 98 $\nu$ C <sub>6</sub> H	
50 $B_{2n}^{2}$ 883.7 $60\pi C_{\theta}^{2}H$ 26 $\pi C_{e}^{2}C_{\theta}$ 103 $B_{2n}^{2}$ 3107.4 98 $\nu C_{e}^{2}H$	
51 $B_{3a}^{2a}$ 890.1 $44\pi C_{e}H$ 26 $\pi C_{e}C_{e}$ , 18 $\pi C_{m}H$ 104 A <sub>a</sub> 3109.2 98 $\nu C_{e}H$	
52 A <sub>11</sub> 891.1 $45\pi$ C <sub>c</sub> H $24\pi$ C <sub>c</sub> C <sub>c</sub> $18\pi$ C <sub>c</sub> H 105 B <sub>2</sub> 31167 98vC <sub>c</sub> H	
$53  A_a = 947.7  52\nu C_a C_a, 16\nu C_a H$	
$54 B_{20} - 9487 - 45vCeC_{\alpha} + 15vCN = 107 B_{20} - 33287 - 100vNH$	
55 Bl <sub>0</sub> 969.5 32vCN 11vCaC <sub>2</sub> 220HNC 130HCaC <sub>2</sub> 108 A <sub>2</sub> 3367.3 100vNH	
56 $B_{3\mu}$ 971.2 46 $\nu$ C <sub><math>\beta</math></sub> C <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> , 18 $\nu$ CN	

<sup>*a*</sup> Abreviations used:  $\nu$ , stretching;  $\delta$ , in-plane bending;  $\pi$ , out-of-plane bending;  $\tau$ , torsion.

If one gives a value of 10 to the most intense band, one can calculate the other relative resonance Raman intensities for a particular electronic transition. It can be shown from the above simplified equations that the most intense enhancements will be given by the normal modes that contain bonds which are specifically affected by the electronic transition under consideration. A force field leading to erroneous enhancements would have to be rejected because the potential energy distribution would contain wrong combinations of the internal coordinates.

*Results*. Several resonance Raman spectra on the free base porphine (FBP) and of some of its substitued analogues have been reported<sup>1,2</sup> for the first electronic transitions. The understanding of the composition of the excited states of the free base porphine would be essential to study the electronic changes

occurring under photoexcitation or interactions with external electrons particularly in the case of biological reactions. Among recent studies on the electronic properties of the free base porphine, Nakatsuji et al.<sup>24</sup> reported a SAC-CI study on the ground, excited, and ionized states of free base porphine The infrared, Raman, resonance Raman, fluorescence, and phosphorescence properties have been also investigated in an extensive way and compared to theoretical vibrational analyses via a scaled quantum mechanical study.<sup>4,5</sup>

FBP has a  $D_{2h}$  symmetry which can was correlated to the  $D_{4h}$  of the metalloporphyrins. Nakatsuji et al.<sup>24</sup> using SAC-CI treatments have shown that Gouterman's four orbital model<sup>25</sup> perfectly explains the ground-state electronic state of the FBP and that these orbitals are all of the  $\pi$  type, i.e., Homo – 1,

no. sym  $B_{1u}$ 1 2  $B_{1g}$ 3  $A_{\mu}$ 4 B<sub>1u</sub>  $B_{2g} \\$ 5 6  $B_{3g}$ 7 Ag 8 B<sub>2g</sub>  $B_{3g}$ 9 10 B<sub>1u</sub> 11 B<sub>2u</sub> Au 12 13 Ag 14 B<sub>3u</sub> 15 B<sub>1u</sub> 16  $B_{3u}$ 17  $B_{2u}$ 18 B<sub>1g</sub>  $B_{1g}$ 19 20 B<sub>2g</sub> 21 B<sub>3g</sub> 22  $A_u$ 23 B<sub>2g</sub> 24 B<sub>1u</sub> 25 B<sub>2g</sub>  $B_{3g}$ 26 27 A 28 B<sub>2g</sub> 29 Au 30 B<sub>1u</sub> 31 B3g 32 Ag 33  $A_g$ 34 B<sub>1u</sub> 35 B<sub>3u</sub> 36 B<sub>2u</sub> 37 B<sub>3g</sub> 38 B<sub>2g</sub> 39  $B_{1u}$  $40 B_{1g}$ 41  $B_{2u}$ 42  $B_{1u}$ 43 B<sub>3u</sub> 44 B<sub>1g</sub>

TABLE 6: Experimental and Calculated Wavenumbers (in cm<sup>-1</sup>) for the  $d_0$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $d_4$ ,  $d_8$ , and  $d_{12}$  Isotopomers

): 1	xperi	men	tai an	a Ca	Iculat	ea v	avent	impe	ers (in	(in cm <sup>-1</sup> ) for the $a_0$ , $a_2$ , $a_4$ , $a_8$ , and $a_{12}$ isotopomers											
	$d_0$		$d_2$		$d_4$		$d_8$	C	d <sub>12</sub>				$d_0$	a	l <sub>2</sub>		$d_4$		$d_8$		d <sub>12</sub>
exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc	no.	sym	exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc	exp	calc
	51.7		51.7		52		50		50	55	$B_{1g}$	976	969.5		861	972	976	846	843	840	837
109	72.3		71.2		72		72		71	56	$B_{3u}$	971	971.2	957	954	981	978	773	780	775	758
	92.0		92.1		89		90		87	57	$B_{2u}$	977	981.7		865	961	954	784	781		767
	117.8		116.1		117		111		111	58	$A_{g}$	988	984.5	978	968		956.2	794	792	770	771
	135.1		131.1		135		128		128	59	$B_{3u}$	994	1002.4		1002		924	884	885		872
	138.1		138.1		137		131		130	60	$B_{1g}$	1005	1004.4	1004	1004	998	1001	908	910	896	897
155	160.9	155	160.3	155	160.8	153	158.3	152	158.3	61	$B_{2u}$	1054	1052.1	1053	1051	996	992	881	879	870	868
	192.4		191.4		186		188		182	62	$B_{3u}$	1043	1053.5	1043	1053	1044	1055	959	958	920	926
	212.6		211.7		212		207		207	63	Ag	1063	1054.9	1056	1055	1015	1007	944	937	923	921
219	213.5		213.5		208		208		203	64	Ag	1064	1063.7	1062	1063	1072	1061	978	967.6	957	947
	290.2		282.2		290		282		279	65	$B_{1g}$	1138	1132.6	1182	1186	918	924	1095	1094	928	922
	296.0		296		296		277		277	66	B <sub>3u</sub>	1134	1136.0	1134	1135	990	1002	1092	1087	969	967
309	301.3	308	300	308	300.8	303	295.3	302	294.8	67	$B_{2u}$	1156	1155.1	1098	1095	1062	1058		1063	952	950
310	310.5		309.5		306		303		299	68	Ag	1172	1177.7	1175	1175	1072	1071.4	1171	1173	1019	1008.
335	331.8		332		304		328		301	69	$B_{1g}$	1182	1183.9		1157	1170	1159	1045	1042	1043	1040
357	344.0		343.3		343		324		323	70	Big	1226	1225.9		1094	1218	1212	1218	1217	1149	1151
357	347.8		347.3	357	346		330		329	71	B <sub>3u</sub>	1177	1226.6	1172	1166	1161	1199	1162	1209	1114	1132
389	389.9	389	383	384	383	372	371	363	365	72	$B_{2u}$	1228	1226.9		1223	1203	1208	1204	1204		1111
418	407.8	418	407.7	419	408	389	376	385	376	73	$B_{2u}$	1255	1254.6	1255	1252	1241	1239	1225	1229	1211	1212
	419.3		418.7		402		395		379	74	$B_{3u}$	1287	1289.6		1289	1264	1275	1210	1218	1173	1228
	430.0		430		410		408		388	75	B <sub>1g</sub>	1313	1322.2	1316	1322	1290	1294	1238	1240	1282	1290
	470.0		470		454		434		422	76	Aø	1352	1351.5		1349	1318	1316.0	1349	1341	1316	1319
	605.0		454.4		618		543		542	77	Big	1350	1356.7	1362	1355	1331	1334	1315	1321	1230	1213
539	642.3		651		626		541		541	78	$B_{2u}$	1357	1358.8	1352	1356	1339	1341	1324	1320	1310	1313
	665.8		665.8		677		549		549	79	B <sub>1g</sub>	1400	1381.0	1385	1379	1388	1377	1364	1363	1355	1341
	667.4		538		669		643		632	80	A,	1384	1398.9		1393	1364	1368.2	1401	1399	1359	1356
	675.7		675.7		678		610		611	81	B <sub>3u</sub>	1396	1399.7	1394	1394	1352	1377	1359	1354	1350	1347
	695.5		695.6		646		559		559	82	$B_{2u}$	1406	1406.3		1398	1347	1359	1402	1400	1352	1360
	695.8		695.7		594		656		587	83	$B_{3u}$	1412	1413.0	1406	1412	1409	1412	1406	1395	1369	1374
591	697.3	693	697.3	647	731	566	622	563	594	84	Ag	1424	1424.3	1428	1424	1428	1417.1	1405	1404	1398	1396.
	700.0		700		714		622		600	85	$B_{1g}$	1493	1495.1	1493	1484	1480	1480	1478	1484	1466	1468
723	719.2	721	719	663	662	701	697.2	665	653	86	$B_{2u}$	1490	1497.1	1479	1480	1479	1487	1447	1463	1447	1452
736	722.7	726	721.1	702	697	722	715	699	695	87	$A_{g}$	1492	1508.5	1496	1508	1485	1497	1450	1463	1451	1455
731	731.8		657	699	701		703	635	629	88	$B_{3u}$	1507	1516.8		1517	1498	1503		1493	1471	1477
723	734.0	723	733	680	687	700	704	667	672	89	$B_{3u}$	1522	1528.4		1527	1505	1517	1515	1518	1500	1497
745	744.0	744	731	694	693		761	677	676	90	$B_{2u}$	1540	1548.5		1548	1542	1545	1521	1525	1522	1519
	764.3		758		776		731		733	91	Ag	1544	1559.7	1555	1559	1554	1555.7	1524	1528.3	1518	1522.
	771.5		764		788		707		652	92	$\tilde{B_{1g}}$		1592.0	1590	1582	1587	1581	1594	1587	1579	1575
773	772.1	762	769	745	752	722	721	709	706	93	$B_{2u}$		1596.4		1586		1587		1590		1580
786	780.9		746	763	761	758	755	747	743	94	Ag	1609	1600.8	1614	1601	1601	1587.2	1615	1598.6	1598	1585
	784.2		740		768	710	709	752	751	95	$B_{1g}$		3056.3	3041	3056	2263	2256.9		3057	2258	2256.
785	785.4		776	779	774	753	759	745	742	96	Ag		3057.7	3059	3058		2257.6		3058		2257.
780	787.9		787	770	776	760	760	741	749	97	B <sub>3u</sub>	3042	3057.8	3042	3058	2266	2257.7	3040	3058	2260	2257.

98 B<sub>2u</sub>

99 B<sub>1g</sub>

100 B<sub>3u</sub>

101 B<sub>2u</sub>

 $102 \ B_{1g}$ 

 $103 \ B_{2u}$ 

 $105 \ B_{3u}$ 

107 B<sub>3u</sub>

108 Ag

104

106 Ag

 $A_g$ 

3090.5

3090.7

3107.2

3109.2

3116.7

3123.6

3367.3

3106.8 3112

3107.4 3124

3328.7 2475

3118

3128

3088

3112

3124

3128

3158

3324

Homo, Lumo, and Lumo + 1. They have shown that these molecular orbitals (MO) display  $\pi$  conjugation over the whole molecule and noted that an another MO of the  $\pi$  type could strongly perturbe this model.

920

923 923

805 806.2 805

839.0

840.0

845.0

882.4

883.7

890.1

891.1

952 947.7

845.6 853

951 948.7 950 948

852

45 B<sub>3g</sub>

47 B<sub>2g</sub>

48 B<sub>1u</sub>

50 B<sub>2g</sub>

51 B<sub>3g</sub>

53 Ag

54 B<sub>2u</sub>

46 Au

49 A<sub>u</sub>

52 A<sub>u</sub>

800

839

840

845

846

882

884

890

891

950 946

796 793

799 794

744

619

598

882

882

888

888

776 773

847 839

914.0 776 767

849

846

842

742

732

738

729

938 935

762 760

764 759

773 762

918 920

679

653

723

747

761

760

767

The lowest energetic electronic transitions are given by two pairs of degenerate states the Q bands  $(Q_x, Q_y)$  and the  $B_x$  (B Soret band) and N states lying respectively at 480 and 380 nm in the electronic spectrum of the FBP. The components of each pair are polarized perpendicularly to the components of the other pair.

In the resonance Raman spectra, the totally symmetric  $A_g$  modes (for FBP with  $D_{2h}$  symmetry) will be enhanced via two kinds of mechanisms: (i) the Frank–Condon (FC) mechanism (which originates in the difference between the equilibrium geometries of the ground and excited electronic states; (ii) the Herzberg–Teller (HT) mechanism (vibronic coupling between

electronic states). The relative enhancements observed for the electronic transition centered on the Q band have been given in ref 2.

3090.2

3090.4

3107.0

3107.2

3109.2

3116.9

3123.6

3328.7

3367.3

2338

2336

3324

3045 3058.7 3045 3059 2258 2257.7 3046 3059

3110

3108 3122 3107.4

3117

3090

3091

3107

3107

3109

3117

3124

2474

2449 3324

The FC mechanism will lead to polarized Raman bands with a polarization ratio lying in the 0.125 and 0.75 range. The Raman bands originating from the HT coupling generally give depolarized bands ( $\rho = 0.75$ ), but in the case of two excited states belonging to the same representation their direct product containing the totally symmetric representation can lead to the appearance of a nonzero (resonance) Raman activity.

In the present work, we tried to use a DFT description of the frontier orbitals to give a crude description of the resonance activity for the lowest electronic transitions.

For the free base porphine with  $D_{2h}$  symmetry, Table 7 gives the eigenvalues and symmetries of the molecular orbitals together with values obtained from other studies.<sup>24,26,27</sup> In the

2258

2263

2324

2334

2280.2

2296.5

2296.6

2321.4

2322.6

2323.5

2328.8

3328.7

3367.3

2280.4 2269

2257.6

2280.6

2280.8

2296.6

2296.7

2321.4

2322.6

2323.5

2328.5

3328.7

3367.3

 TABLE 7: Eigenvalues (in eV) and Symmetries of the Frontier Orbitals As Obtained from Different Quantum Mechanical Studies

molecular	DFT/B3-LY	YP (this work)	LD	A <sup>26</sup>	CAS-	SCF <sup>27</sup>	SAC-CI <sup>24</sup>	
orbital	energy	sym	energy	sym	energy	sym	energy	sym
$\begin{array}{c} Lumo+2\\ Lumo+1\\ Lumo\\ Homo\\ Homo-1\\ Homo-2\\ Homo-3\\ Homo-4\\ Homo-5 \end{array}$	-2.23 -2.24 -5.16 -5.30	$egin{array}{l} { m B}_{2g} \left( \pi  ight) \ { m B}_{3g} \left( \pi  ight) \ { m B}_{1u} \left( \pi  ight) \ { m A}_{u} \left( \pi  ight) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -0.58 \\ -1.92 \\ -1.94 \\ -3.90 \\ -4.15 \\ -4.71 \\ -4.84 \\ -5.17 \\ -5.19 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_{u}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{3g}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{2g}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{1u}\left(\pi\right) \\ A_{u}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{2g}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{1u}\left(\pi\right) \\ A_{1u}\left(\pi\right) \\ B_{3u}\left(\sigma\right) \end{array}$	3.06 2.88 -4.18 -4.24 -7.10	$egin{array}{l} { m B}_{2{ m g}}\left( \pi  ight) \ { m B}_{3{ m g}}\left( \pi  ight) \ { m A}_{{ m u}}\left( \pi  ight) \ { m B}_{1{ m u}}\left( \pi  ight) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.141 \\ -0.069 \\ -6.52 \\ -6.68 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4b_{3g}\\ 4b_{2g}\\ 2au\\ 5b_{1u} \end{array}$
Homo – 5			-5.19	$B_{3u}(\sigma)$				

TABLE 8: Molecular Orbital Coefficients Occurring in the Frontier (	Orbitals of FBP As Estimated from the Ground State <sup>a</sup>
---	---

		Homo - 1	Homo	Lumo	Lumo + 1
atom	basis	$(-0.194\ 819\ E_{\rm h},$	$(-0.189\ 607\ E_{\rm h})$	$(-0.082\ 385\ E_{\rm h})$	$(-0.081\ 991\ E_{\rm h})$
numbering	function	OCC 1, sym A <sub>u</sub> )	OCC 1, sym B <sub>1u</sub> )	OCC 0, sym $B_{3g}$ )	OCC 0, sym B <sub>2g</sub> )
N1	38		0.212	-0.175	
111	2n.		0.176	-0.170	
N3	$\frac{2p_x}{3s}$		0.212	0.175	
145	2n		0.176	0.170	
N6	$\frac{2p_x}{3s}$	0.146	0.170	0.170	0.157
110	2n	0.138			0.172
N7	$\frac{2p_x}{3s}$	0.136			-0.157
1117	38 2n	0.140			-0.172
C8	$\frac{2p_x}{2q}$	0.158	-0.065	0.138	0.172
Co	38 2n	0.171	-0.003	0.138	0.113
CO	$2p_x$	-0.101	-0.041	-0.127	0.110
09	58 2m	-0.191	-0.003	-0.138	0.115
C10	$2p_x$	-0.142	-0.041	-0.127	0.110
C10	38	0.199		0.065	-0.16/
C11	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	0.144		0.050	-0.146
CII	38	-0.199		0.065	-0.16/
C10	$2p_x$	-0.144	0.065	0.050	-0.146
C12	38	0.191	-0.065	-0.138	-0.113
G10	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	0.142	-0.041	-0.127	-0.110
C13	38	-0.191	-0.065	0.138	-0.113
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	-0.142	-0.041	0.127	-0.110
C14	3s	0.199		-0.065	0.167
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	0.144		-0.050	0.146
C15	3s	-0.199		-0.065	-0.167
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	-0.144		-0.050	-0.146
C17	38			0.045	-0.153
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$			0.038	-0.158
C18	38		-0.229	-0.193	0.159
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$		-0.199	-0.190	0.145
C19	3s	0.108	0.073	0.165	0.070
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	0.096	0.057	0.167	0.069
C20	3s		-0.229	0.193	0.159
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$		-0.199	0.190	0.145
C21	38	0.095		0.045	0.53
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	0.088		0.038	0.158
C22	38		-0.229	-0.165	-0.159
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$		-0.199	-0.190	-0.145
C23	38	-0.108	0.073	0.165	0.070
	$2\mathbf{p}_x$	-0.096	0.057	0.167	0.069
C24	38	0.095		-0.045	-0.153
	$2p_x$	0.088		-0.038	-0.158
C26	38		-0.229	0.193	-0.159
	$2p_x$		-0.199	0.190	-0.145
C27	3s	0.108	0.073	-0.165	0.070
	$2p_r$	0.096	0.057	-0.167	0.069
C28	38	-0.108	0.073	-0.165	-0.070
	$2p_r$	-0.096	0.057	-0.167	0.069
C29	38	-0.095		-0.045	0.153
	$2p_x$	-0.088		-0.038	0.158
	T				

<sup>*a*</sup> Molecular orbital energies are expressed in hartrees ( $E_h$ ). OCC and sym are the occupancy number and symmetry type, respectively.

present work, the excitation energies for the Homo to Lumo  $(H \rightarrow L)$ , Homo to Lumo + 1  $(H \rightarrow L + 1)$ , Homo - 1 to Lumo  $(H - 1 \rightarrow L)$ , and  $H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1$  transitions have been calculated to correspond to the 425-405 nm range.

error for the excitation energy, the electronic transition obtained from the present DFT study would be centered at about 450 nm and related to the Q electronic band.

Nakatsuji et al.<sup>24</sup> have shown that the Q band is composed of two bands ( $Q_x$  and  $Q_y$ ) assigned to the  $1B_{3u}$  and  $1B_{2u}$  states, respectively, and noted an overestimation of 0.2 eV in the corresponding excitation energies. If one takes the same relative Table 8 displays the molecular orbital coefficients (MOC) calculated for the ground state of FBP ( $D_{2h}$  symmetry). The most important contributions to the MOC are given by the Rydberg (3s) orbitals that are very poorly occupied and by the  $2p_z$  coefficients (with *z* being perpendicular to the molecular

 TABLE 9: Changes in the Bond Orders Occurring in the

 Electronic Transitions Represented by the Four-Orbital

 Model

internal coord	$\Delta bo-(H \rightarrow L)$	$\Delta bo-$ (H $\rightarrow$ L + 1)	$\Delta bo-$ (H - 1 $\rightarrow$ L)	$(H - 1 \rightarrow L + 1)$
N-H	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
$C_m - C_\alpha$	-1.1791	2.5329	-0.4849	3.1883
$(C_m - C_\alpha)^a$	1.0231	-1.9433	1.4258	-1.5034
C-N	-0.4593	-1.9237	-0.9042	-2.3503
$(C-N)^{a}$	-0.5519	0.1806	-0.3568	0.3665
$C_{\beta} - C_{\alpha}$	1.6846	-0.8661	2.7476	0.2287
$(\tilde{C}_{\beta}-\tilde{C}_{\alpha})^{a}$	-0.1734	2.0333	1.0091	3.1883
$C_{\beta} - C_{\beta}$	-2.0930	0.8391	-2.5419	0.3535
$(\dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\beta} - \dot{\mathbf{C}}_{\beta})^{a}$	0.1661	-2.2313	-0.5435	-2.9111
C <sub>m</sub> -H	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
$C_{\beta}^{m}$ -H	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

<sup>a</sup> For bonds belonging to the unprotonated pyrrole rings.

plane). The four orbitals are all of the  $\pi$  type and correspond to the description of Gouterman.<sup>25</sup>

Table 9 gives the calculated bond order changes for the monoelectronic  $H \rightarrow L$  transition and for the other possible transitions. As the bond order changes are opposed in sign to the changes in bond lengths, the  $\pi$  bond lengths decrease while the  $\sigma$  bond lengths increase and the relative changes in bond orders follow the totally symmetric representation. The most intense changes in bond orders are given for the four molecular orbitals by the  $C_{\beta}=C_{\beta}$  and  $C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}$  bonds in the protonated pyrrole rings.

Table 10 gives the predicted resonance Raman intensities (RRI) for the normal modes belonging to the  $A_g$  species. The RRI given for the  $H \rightarrow L$  electronic transition are in a general good accordance with the enhanced bands observed in the resonance Raman spectrum recorded at the 488 nm excitation wavelength and corresponding to maximum absorption of the Q band. As a matter of comparison, the predicted RRI obtained from an equal participation of the four monoelectronic transitions (25% each) are also given in the table.

The general agreement between the predicted and experimental RRI gives some confidence to the force field obtained from the DFT method as an incorrect potential energy distribution would lead to erroneous predicted resonance Raman bands. Moreover, the predicted resonance Raman intensities corresponding to the unscaled DFT-derived force field (all scaling factors being equal to 1) are equally reported in this table. No marked changes between predicted RRI obtained with or without a set of scaling factors could be detected. The meaning of this fact stands in the high values of the scaling factors, and consequently, the potential energy distribution gives comparable participations of the internal coordinates to the normal modes.

The predicted relative resonance Raman intensities for the normal modes with  $A_g$  symmetry appearing at 1607, 1490, 1349, and 1174 cm<sup>-1</sup> correlate well with the experimental enhancements. The experimental RRI were estimated from the peak heights observed in the spectrum recorded at 488 nm<sup>2</sup> with the most intense band being normalized to 10. The corresponding wavenumbers were predicted at 1601, 1508, 1351, and 1178 cm<sup>-1</sup> and involve principally C<sub>m</sub>C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>, C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>, and C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>C<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> stretching and bending  $\delta$ CNC in-plane motions as it can be observed from the potential energy distribution.

The very intense RRI (7.4) observed at 1314 cm<sup>-1</sup> at the 488 nm excitation wavelength<sup>2</sup> corresponds here to a  $B_{1g}$  mode calculated at 1322 cm<sup>-1</sup>. No resonance intensity could be calculated for this mode as the method proposed here to calculate the RRI applies only to totally symmetric modes. This is an indirect way to confirm the non-totally symmetric nature of this normal mode.

In the Q-band region, the  $A_g$  and  $B_{3g}$  modes give rise to resonance Raman enhancements while, in the Soret band near 380-400 nm, only the Ag modes should undergo such enhancements.<sup>5</sup>

The small RRI (1.0) observed at 1136 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to the predicted  $B_{1g}$  mode at 1133 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For the same reasons as previously, no RRI could be predicted for this mode.

Two normal modes with  $A_g$  symmetry are predicted at 1064 and 1055 cm<sup>-1</sup> with calculated RRI of 8.4 and 0.7 for the H  $\rightarrow$  L transition and RRI of 5.47 and 2.50 in the case of an equal

TABLE 10: Calculated Relative Resonance Raman Intensities (RRI) As Obtained from the Estimation of the Changes in Bond Orders along the Electronic Transition Corresponding to the Q Band Observed at 488 nm for the Free Base Porphine with  $D_{2h}$  Symmetry<sup>*a*</sup>

exp (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	RRI <sup>exp</sup>	calc (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	sym	$RRI^{calc}(H \rightarrow L)$	$calc^b$	$RRI^{calc}(H \rightarrow L)^{b}$	RRI <sup>calc</sup> (all MO)
1666	1.2						
1643	1.2						
1607	10.0	1601	Ag	10.0	1656	10.0	10.0
1580	1.6	1592	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$				
1558	0.8	1548	Ag	0.7	1603	0.7	0.98
1541	0.8		0				
1490	5.4	1508	$A_{g}$	5.4	1552	5.1	4.9
1446	1.0		0				
1425	1.0	1424	$A_{g}$	4.2	1476	5.3	4.64
1402	0.0	1399	Ag	4.0	1442	3.4	4.80
1384	0.0	1381	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$	0.0			
1349	2.1	1351	Ag	3.0	1386	3.5	2.5
1314	7.4	1322	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$				
1191 <sup>sh</sup>	0.9	1184	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$	0.0			
1174	2.9	1178	Ag	2.5	1208	2.7	1.60
1136	1.0	1133	$\mathbf{B}_{1g}$	0.0			
1086	4.6		0				
1062	3.7	1064	$A_{g}$	8.4	1092	8.4	5.47
1049	1.6	1055	Ag	0.7	1083	0.5	2.5
985	3.3	985	Ag	0.8	1014	0.6	0.82
950	1.6	948	Ag	0.	976	0	0.75
736	4.9	723	Ag	2.0	737	2.3	1.44
721	2.0	719	Ag	1.0	734	0.7	0.82
558	3.0		8				

<sup>*a*</sup> sh: shoulder. RRI are calculated for an equal participation of the four monoelectronic transitions (all MO). <sup>*b*</sup> Values given for a scaling factor of 1.

participation of the four orbitals. The corresponding observed values are 3.7 and 1.6. These last calculated RRI are in better agreement with the experimental ones. The associated potential energy distribution implies  $C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}H$  and  $C_{\beta}C_{\beta}H$  in-plane bending vibrations and the frequency shifts observed in the experimental spectrum for the  $d_8$  isotopomer (944 and 959 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are correctly predicted at 937 and 958 cm<sup>-1</sup> leading to some confidence with the normal mode description. From this it follows that a particular linear combination of monoelectronic transitions should have better to be used than a particular one. Nakatsuji et al.,<sup>24</sup> using SAC-CI calculations, pointed out the fact that a lone pair orbital  $(4b_{1u})$  could also pertub the four-orbital model; this could explain the differences between the values of the calculated and experimental RRI.

As a matter of discrepancy, a normal mode with A<sub>g</sub> symmetry observed at 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the normal Raman spectrum<sup>5</sup> is calculated here at 1399  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  with a surprising strong RRI (4.0). No counterpart could be observed in the resonance Raman spectra recorded for the 488 nm excitation (Q band). As was pointed out by Kozlowski et al.,<sup>5</sup> no corresponding resonance enhancement could be observed in the Soret region for FBP. We obtained the same feature as described by these authors. They could observe a Raman band at 1400 cm<sup>-1</sup> (calculated at 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and found a corresponding phosphorescence line at 1405 cm<sup>-1</sup>. They calculated a much larger intensity for this particular band than for the observed one and, finally, assigned this band to an A<sub>1g</sub> mode and the neighboring one observed at 1384 cm<sup>-1</sup> to a mode with B<sub>1g</sub> symmetry. We can confirm this assignment for the 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup> Raman band, but the reason for which no experimental RRI could be observed is not clear and may be due to interferences between the FC and HT mechanisms.5

The  $A_g$  mode observed at 985 cm<sup>-1</sup> is calculated at the same wavelength with a lower resonance intensity (0.80) than observed (3.3), while no RRI intensity could be calculated for the  $A_g$  mode observed at 950 cm<sup>-1</sup> (predicted at 948 cm<sup>-1</sup>) if one takes only the  $H \rightarrow L$  transition into account. However, a small RRI could be predicted (0.75, observed 1.6) if the four monoelectronic transitions are taken into account. These two modes are mainly  $\nu C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}$  and  $\nu CN$  in character with a larger participation of the  $\delta CNH$  and  $\delta C_{\alpha}C_{\beta}H$  in-plane motions for the highest frequency. The corresponding wavenumbers observed in the  $d_8$  isotopomer at 794 and 776 cm<sup>-1</sup> are correctly reproduced for these two modes.

The doublet at 736 and 721 cm<sup>-1</sup> observed in the resonance Raman<sup>2</sup> spectrum at 488 nm is well reproduced in the present work by the two predicted bands at 723 and 719  $cm^{-1}$ . The corresponding predicted RRI (2.0 and 1.0) are somewhat less intense than in the experimental spectrum (4.9 and 2.0), but their mutual relative intensities are in agreement with the experiment. These two bands involve  $C_{\alpha}C_{m}$  and pyrrole ring in-plane bending modes. No dependence on the hydrogen substituents was predicted, this being in agreement with the observation of only a very weak shift in the isotopomer.

#### Conclusion

The accordance between the present assignments for the  $d_0$ ,  $d_2$ ,  $d_4$ ,  $d_8$ , and  $d_{12}$  isotopomers of the free base porphyrin and previous normal-mode analysis using a quantum scaled force field leads to the conclusion of two important points: (i) The use of symmetry or internal coordinates to treat the redundancy problem does not change in a large way the assignents of the normal modes. (ii) The determination of the resonance Raman intensities appears to be a good way to identify the totally

symmetric modes for a complex molecule such as the free base porphyrin. The normal Raman band with Ag symmetry observed at 1402 cm<sup>-1</sup> would have to be studied in more detail to explain its lack of experimental resonance Raman intensity and its too strong calculated Raman intensity.

The determination of the resonance Raman intensities appears as a complementary tool to the vibrational analysis and determination of Raman or infrared intensities. The knowledge of the ground electronic state is enough to explain the principal features observed along the resonance Raman enhancements. When dealing with quantum studies, this method is easy to use.

In the case of metalloporphyrins, vibrational wavenumbers will be perturbated for a certain number of bands that are involved in the interactions with the metal. The resonance Raman enhancements would also depend on such interactions, and then the determination of these changes could be related to the electronic transition of the porphine base when linked to the metal ligand.

We have shown that the determination of the RRI is a very restrictive method when dealing with various force fields and that only a force field was possible to retrieve the RRI.

The next application of the determination of the resonance Raman intensities will be done for the metalloporphyrins, and the normal modes having totally symmetric motions will be particularly studied.

#### **References and Notes**

- (1) Solovyov, K. Y.; Gladkov, L. L.; Gradyushko, A. T.; Ksenofontova, N. M.; Shulga, A. M.; Starukhin, A. S. J. Mol. Struct. 1978, 45, 267.
- (2) Verma, A. L.; Bernstein, H. J. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1974, 57-1, 255.
- (3) Radziszewski, J. G.; Nepras, M.; Balaji, V.; Waluk, J.; Vogel, E.; Michl, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 14254.
- (4) Kozlowski, P. M.; Jarzecki, A. A.; Pulay, P. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 7007.
- (5) Kozlowski, P. M.; Jarzeçki, A. A.; Pulay, P. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 13985.
- (6) P. Lagant, P.; Gallouj, H.; Vergoten, G. J. Mol. Struct. 1995, 53, 372.
- (7) Gallouj, H.; Lagant, P.; Vergoten, G. J. Raman Spectrosc. 1997, 28, 909.
- (8) Gallouj, H.; Lagant, P.; Vergoten, G. J. Raman Spectrosc. 1998, 29, 343.
- (9) Lagant, P.; Derreumaux, P.; Vergoten, G.; Peticolas, W. L. J. Comput. Chem. 1991, 12, 731.
- (10) Lagant, P.; Elass, A.; Dauchez, M.; Vergoten, G. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 1992, 48, 1323
- (11) Lagant, P.; Vergoten, G.; Efremov, R.; Peticolas, W. L. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 1994, 50, 961.
- (12) Lagant, P.; Vergoten, G.; Peticolas, W. L. Biospectroscopy 1998, 4, 379.
  - (13) Jaguar v3.0, Schrödinger, Inc., Portland, OR, 1997.
  - (14) Spartan v5.0, Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine, CA, 1997.
  - (15) Allouche, A.; Pourcin, J. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 1970, 49, 2086.
  - (16) Li, X. Y.; Zgierski, M. Z. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 4268.
- (17) Chen, B. M. L.; Tulinski, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 4144. (18) Matsuzawa, M.; Ata, M.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99,
- 7698 (19) Ghosh, A.; Almlöf, J. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1993, 213, 519.
- (20) Almöf, J.; Fischer, T. H.; Gassman, P. G.; Ghosh, A.; Häser, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 10964.
- (21) Wilson, E. B.; Decius, J. C.; Cross, P. C. Molecular Vibrations; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1955.
- (22) Blazej, D. C.; Peticolas, W. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1977, 74, 2639.
- (23) Peticolas, W. L.; Strommen, D. P.; Lakshminarayanan, V. J. Chem. Phys. 1980, 73, 4185.
- (24) Nakatsuji, H.; Hasegawa, J. Y.; Hada, M. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 104, 2321.
- (25) Gouterman, M. The Porphyrins; Dolphin, Ed.; Academic: New York, 1977; Vol. 3.
- (26) Lamoen, D.; Parrinello, M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 248, 309.

(27) Merchan, M.; Orti, E.; Roos, B. O. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1994, 221, 136.