Reaction of Organic Peroxyl Radicals with 'NO₂ and 'NO in Aqueous Solution: Intermediacy of Organic Peroxynitrate and Peroxynitrite Species

Sara Goldstein,*,[†] Johan Lind,[‡] and Gabor Merenyi[‡]

Department of Physical Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel, and Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, The Royal Institute of Technology, S-10044 Stockholm 70, Sweden

Received: November 11, 2003; In Final Form: January 4, 2004

In this work, we studied the reactions of alkyl peroxyl radicals with NO_2 and NO using the pulse radiolysis technique. The rate constants for the reaction of NO_2 with $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$, CH_3OO^{\bullet} , and $c-C_5H_9OO^{\bullet}$ vary between 7×10^8 and $1.5 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$. The reaction produces relatively long-lived alkyl peroxynitrates, which are in equilibrium with the parent radicals and have no appreciable absorption above 270 nm. It is also shown that NO adds rapidly to $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ and CH_3OO^{\bullet} to form alkyl peroxynitrites. The rate constants for these reactions were determined to be 2.8×10^9 and $3.5 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, respectively. However, in contrast to alkyl peroxynitrates, alkyl peroxynitrites do not accumulate. Rather, they decompose rapidly via homolysis along the relatively weak O-O bond, initially forming a geminate pair. Most of this pair collapses in the cage to form an alkyl nitrate, RONO₂, and about 14% diffuses out as free alkoxyl and NO_2 radicals. A thermokinetic analysis predicts the half-life of CH_3OONO in water to be less than 1 μ s, an estimate that agrees well with previous experimental findings of ours for other alkyl peroxynitrites. A comparison of aqueous and gaseous thermochemistry of alkyl peroxynitrates reveals that alkyl peroxyl radicals and the corresponding alkyl peroxynitrates are similarly solvated by water.

Introduction

Organic peroxyl radicals, ROO, are important reactive intermediates in biological and environmental systems; therefore, their reactions with 'NO and 'NO2 are potentially of great importance. Indeed, the reaction of 'NO with lipid peroxyl radicals has been proposed to account for the inhibitory effect of •NO during lipid peroxidation,¹⁻⁶ but the mechanism of these reactions has not yet been fully explained. At present, the inhibition is believed to be contingent on the chain-breaking activity of 'NO (i.e., the scavenging of peroxyl and alkoxyl radicals by 'NO¹⁻⁶). Nevertheless, not even the mechanism of •NO reacting with simple alcohol-derived peroxyl radicals is fully understood in aqueous solutions.⁷ The rate constants for the reaction of 'NO with these alkyl peroxyl radicals were determined to be $(1-3) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ by laser-flash photolysis, and it has been proposed that this reaction forms relatively longlived alkyl peroxynitrites, ROONO, which have maximum absorption around 300 nm.7 However, such a suggestion does not agree with (i) the tendency of ROONO to homolyze fast along the weak O-O bond forming RO• and •NO2 radicals;8 (ii) the fact that HOONO has no appreciable absorption above 280 nm,9 and it is unlikely that ROONO has a maximum absorption at 300 nm, or even absorbs at all at this region; and (iii) the fact that RO[•] is known to be unstable toward monomolecular rearrangements, undergoing β scissions¹⁰⁻¹² or a 1,2-H shift when the alkoxyl radical bears α -hydrogen atoms.^{13,14} The latter process converts an alkoxyl radical into a α -hydroxy alkyl radical, which reacts rapidly with O₂ to form $O_2^{\bullet^-, 15-17}$ The superoxide radical reacts quickly with •NO and •NO₂ to produce ONOO⁻ and O₂NOO⁻, respectively, and these ions have maximum absorption around 300 nm.^{9,18–21}

If ROONO homolyzes along the O–O bond forming RO[•] and $^{\circ}NO_2$ radicals, then the reactions of $^{\circ}NO_2$ with ROO[•] and RO[•] may also take place. However, these reactions have not yet been studied directly in aqueous solution. There is a clear need for a better understanding of the reactions of organic peroxyl radicals with $^{\circ}NO$ and $^{\circ}NO_2$ under physiological conditions. In this paper, we report the results of a pulse radiolysis study of the reactions $^{\circ}NO_2$ and $^{\circ}NO$ with relatively simple alkyl peroxyl radicals such as CH₃OO[•], (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂-OO[•], and c-C₅H₉OO[•]. Our results show that these peroxyl radicals readily react with $^{\circ}NO_2$ and $^{\circ}NO$ to form alkyl peroxynitrates and peroxynitrates are relatively stable species, whereas alkyl peroxynitrites rapidly decompose via homolysis along the weak O–O bond.

Experimental Section

Chemicals. All chemicals were of analytical grade and were used as received. Solutions were prepared with distilled water, which was further purified using a Milli-Q water purification system. Tetranitromethane (TNM) is stable in neutral and acidic solutions but hydrolyzes in basic solutions to form about 62% $C(NO_2)_3^-$ ($\epsilon_{350} = 14\ 400\ M^{-1}\ s^{-1}$).^{22,23} Therefore, the concentration of TNM was determined by measuring its conversion to $C(NO_2)_3^-$ at pH 13. Aqueous solutions saturated with cyclopentane (2.5 mM) were prepared by adding cyclopentane in excess and were vigorously stirred for at least 30 min.

Apparatus. Pulse radiolysis experiments were carried out in Jerusalem with a Varian 7715 linear accelerator with 5-MeV electron pulses of $0.2-1.5 \ \mu$ s and 200 mA. All measurements

^{*} To whom all correspondence should be directed. E-mail: sarag@ vms.huji.ac.il.

[†] The Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

[‡] The Royal Institute of Technology.

were made at ambient temperature in a 4-cm Spectrosil cell using three light passes (optical path length 12.1 cm). Each measurement was repeated at least five times. Appropriate cutoff filters were used to eliminate photolysis. Dosimetry was performed with oxygenated solution containing 5 mM ferrocyanide using $G(Fe(CN)_6^{3-}) = 2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M Gy}^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_{420}(Fe(CN)_6^{3-}) = 1000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The experimental results were modeled by means of INTKIN, a noncommercial program developed at Brookhaven National Laboratories by Dr. H. A. Schwarz.

Determination of O₂^{•-}. The yield of O₂^{•-} was determined using TNM, which is readily reduced by O₂^{•-} to form $^{\circ}NO_2$ and the highly absorbing C(NO₂)₃⁻.

$$C(NO_2)_4 + O_2^{\bullet^-} \rightarrow C(NO_2)_3^- + {}^{\bullet}NO_2 + O_2$$

 $k_1 = 2 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1.24}$ (1)

The formation of the nitroform ion was followed at 350 nm ($\epsilon_{350} = 14\,400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) or at 380 nm ($\epsilon_{380} = 4800 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Results

Formation of ROO'. The alkyl peroxyl radical species were generated upon irradiation of aqueous solutions saturated with a 4:1 (v/v) mixture of N₂O and O₂, which contained the organic compound RH, 5 μ M diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA), and 8 mM phosphate buffer (PB). DTPA was added to avoid catalysis by traces of metal impurities of O₂^{•-} decomposition, given that some O₂^{•-} does form in the present system (see below).

$$H_2O \xrightarrow{\gamma} e_{aq}^-(2.6)$$
, [•]OH (2.7), H[•] (0.6), $H_2(0.45)$,
 $H_2O_2(0.7)$, $H_3O^+(2.6)$ (2)

The numbers in parentheses are G values, which represent the concentrations of the species (in 10^{-7} M Gy⁻¹) and are about 7% higher in the presence of high solute concentrations.

$$e_{aq}^{-} + N_2O + H_2O \rightarrow OH + N_2 + OH^{-}$$

 $k_3 = 9.1 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} {}^{24}$ (3)

$$^{\bullet}OH + RH \rightarrow R^{\bullet} + H_2O \tag{4}$$

$$H^{\bullet} + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet}$$
 $k_5 = 1.2 \times 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1} 2^{24}$ (5)

$$HO_2^{\bullet} \rightleftharpoons H^+ + O_2^{\bullet^-} \qquad pK_a = 4.8^{25}$$
 (6)

$$\mathbf{R}^{\bullet} + \mathbf{O}_2 \to \mathbf{ROO}^{\bullet} \tag{7}$$

$$\operatorname{ROO}^{\bullet} + \operatorname{ROO}^{\bullet} \to x O_2^{\bullet^-} + \operatorname{products}$$
 (8)

Formation of ROO[•] and ***NO**₂. ROO[•] and ***NO**₂ are generated in approximately equal amounts upon irradiation of aerated aqueous solutions containing excess of RH over NO₃⁻, 5 μ M DTPA, and a buffer. Under these conditions, **•**OH is converted to ROO[•] (reactions 4 and 7), and e_{aq}^- is converted to *****NO₂ radicals (reactions 9–11). The latter reacts readily with ROO[•] (reaction 12) and O₂^{•–} (reaction 13). (12)

(13)

(17)

$$e_{aq}^{-} + NO_3^{-} \rightarrow NO_3^{2-}$$
 $k_9 = 9.7 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1.24}$ (9)
 $NO_3^{2-} + H_2 PO_4^{-} \rightarrow NO_2 + OH^{-} + HPO_4^{2-}$
 $k_{10} = 5.0 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1.24}$ (10)

$$NO_3^{2-} + H^+ \rightarrow NO_2 + H_2O$$

 $k_{11} = 2 \times 10^{10} M^{-1} s^{-1/24} (11)$

$$\text{ROO}^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}\text{NO}_2 \cong \text{ROONO}_2$$

 $k_{12}/k_{-12} = K_{12}$

$$^{\bullet}NO_2 + O_2^{\bullet-} \rightleftharpoons O_2NO_2^{-}$$

 $k_{13} = 4.5 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ 18}$
 $k_{-13} = 1.3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ 21}$

$$O_2 NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_2^- + O_2 \qquad k_{14} = 1.1 \text{ s}^{-1 21}$$
(14)

Under such conditions, ROO[•] is consumed via reactions 8 and 12, and the rate equation (eq 15) is given for its decay:

$$-\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = 2k_8[\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}]^2 + k_{12}[\mathrm{ROO}^{\bullet}][^{\bullet}\mathrm{NO}_2] \quad (15)$$

Formation of ROO' and 'NO. Approximately equal amounts of ROO' and 'NO are generated when NO_3^- is replaced by NO_2^- and e_{aq}^- and H[•] are converted to 'NO (reactions 16–19).

$$e_{aq}^{-} + NO_2^{-} \rightarrow NO_2^{2-}$$
 $k_{16} = 3.8 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,^{24}$ (16)

$$NO_2^{2^-} + H_2O \rightarrow NO + 2OH^-$$

 $k_{17} = 1.6 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1-26}$

$$H^{\bullet} + NO_2^{-} \rightarrow HNO_2^{\bullet -} \qquad k_{18} = 1.5 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1} s^{-1} (18)$$

HNO₂^{•-} + H₂PO₄⁻ → [•]NO +HPO₄²⁻ + H₂O
$$k_{19} = 3.1 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ }^{26}$$
 (19)

Under such conditions, 'NO readily reacts with ROO' and $O_2^{\bullet -}$:

$$\text{ROO}^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}\text{NO} \rightarrow \text{products}$$
 (20)

$$^{\bullet}NO + O_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow ONOO^- \quad k_{21} = 4.3 \times 10^9 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \,\mathrm{s}^{-1} \,^{27}$$
(21)

Hence, ROO[•] decays via reactions 8 and 20 and the rate equation (eq 15a) is obtained for its decay:

$$-\frac{d[ROO^{•}]}{dt} = 2k_8[ROO^{•}]^2 + k_{20}[ROO^{•}][^{\bullet}NO]$$
(15a)

In cases where the rate of the self-decay of ROO[•] cannot be ignored, we corrected for the contribution of this process by computer modeling, as will be described below. Consequently, an accurate value of k_8 is required for the determination of both k_{12} and k_{20} .

Self-Decomposition of ROO'. The rate constant for the selfdecomposition of CH₃OO' has recently been redetermined to be $2k_8 = (7.3 \pm 1.9) \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$,⁸ and the yield of O₂^{•-} formed in this process was found to be 29 ± 3% (i.e., x =0.58).⁸ The literature values of $2k_8$ for the self-decomposition of c-C₅H₉OO' vary between 1.5 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s^{-1 28} and 2.4 × 10⁷ M⁻¹ s⁻¹,²⁹ and c-C₅H₉OO' was shown not to produce O₂^{•-} during its decomposition.²⁹ The rate constant for the selfdecomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ varies in the literature between $2k_8 = 1.8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ 30}$ and $8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ .}^{31}$ These values are significantly higher than those reported for c-C₅H₉OO[•]; therefore, it was essential to redetermine $2k_8$ for (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO[•].

(CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO• was generated upon irradiation (14 Gy/ pulse) of aqueous solutions saturated with N_2O/O_2 (4:1), which contained 0.1-0.5 M tert-butanol, 5 µM DTPA, and 4-10 mM PB at pH 5.0–9.8. The absorption formed immediately after the pulse is the sum of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ (G = 5.7) and $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (G = 0.6), and the extinction coefficients of (CH₃)₂C-(OH)CH₂OO• were calculated using the well-established spectrum of $O_2^{\bullet-25}$ (e.g., $\epsilon_{260} = 1050 \pm 100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\epsilon_{280} = 890$ \pm 60 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The absorption decayed via two sequential second-order reactions. The first second-order decay, which was hardly affected at all by [tert-butanol] or the pH, was attributed to reaction 8, where $2k_8 = (8 \pm 2) \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. This value is similar to that previously determined at pH 9.4 using conductivity detection.³¹ The rate of the second decay decreased with increasing pH and is attributed to the dismutation of $O_2^{\bullet-}$, which is formed by the pulse and during the decomposition of the peroxyl radical.³¹ The yield of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ thus formed was determined after the addition of 100 μ M TNM (reaction 1), which is sufficient to compete efficiently with the dismutation of $O_2^{\bullet -}$ and with its reaction with $\bullet NO_2$. The fast formation of $C(NO_2)_3^-$, which was complete within less than 100 ms, was followed by a slower formation reaction. We attribute the first process to reduction by the O_2^{\bullet} formed by the pulse, $[O_2^{\bullet}]_0$, and during the self-decomposition of (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO[•]. We also measured the total yield of the nitroform ion, $\Delta [C(NO_2)_3]_F$, produced in this process. The yield of $O_2^{\bullet -}$ formed during the self-decomposition of (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO• was calculated according to eq 22 to be $27 \pm 3\%$. The latter value is somewhat higher than the one determined previously using conductivity detection (i.e., 19%³¹).

yield(
$$O_2^{\bullet^-})_F = \frac{\Delta[C(NO_2)_3^-]_F - [O_2^{\bullet^-}]_o}{[ROO']_o - \Delta[C(NO_2)_3^-]_F}$$
 (22)

The reduction of TNM by $O_2^{\bullet-}$ yields $C(NO_2)_3^-$ and ${}^{\bullet}NO_2$, and ${}^{\bullet}NO_2$ is expected to react rapidly with $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$. We therefore suggest the second and slow generation of $C(NO_2)_3^-$, whose yield is $\Delta[C(NO_2)_3^-]_S$, to be due to $O_2^{\bullet-}$ formed during the decomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OONO_2$. For this reason, $[(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OONO_2] = \Delta[C(NO_2)_3^-]_F$, and the yield of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ formed during the decomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OONO_2$ was calculated according to eq 23 to be $24 \pm 5\%$.

yield(O₂^{•-})_S =
$$\frac{\Delta[C(NO_2)_3]_S}{\Delta[C(NO_2)_3]_F}$$
 (23)

Our results show that the yield of O₂•⁻ formed during the self-

SCHEME 1

$$\operatorname{ROONO_2} \xrightarrow{k_{12}} \operatorname{ROO'} + \operatorname{NO_2}$$
(-12)

$$ROO' + ROO' \xrightarrow{k_8} xO_2' + products$$
(8)

$$2 \text{ NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \xrightarrow{k_{24}} \text{NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_2^- + 2\text{H}^+ \qquad 2k_{24} = 1.3 \text{ x } 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{ }^{24} \qquad (24)$$



Time

Figure 1. Formation and decay of the absorption at 280 nm observed after pulse irradiation (35 Gy/pulse) of an aerated solution containing 0.1 M *tert*-butanol, 5 mM nitrate, 5 μ M DTPA, and 8 mM PB at pH 7.1. The optical path length was 12.1 cm.

decomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ is, within experimental error, the same as that produced during the decomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OONO_2$. We therefore suggest that the decomposition of $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OONO_2$ is similar to that of $HOONO_2^{18,20,21}$ (i.e., ROONO_2 decomposes via reactions -12, 12, 8, and 24; Scheme 1).

Reaction of ROO[•] with [•]NO₂. Approximately equal amounts of ROO• and •NO2 were generated upon irradiation (14-38 Gy/ pulse) of aerated solutions containing RH (0.02-0.3 M DMSO, 0.1-1 M tert-butanol, or 2.5 mM cyclopentane), 5 mM NO₃⁻, 5 μ M DTPA, and 8 mM PB. The reaction could be studied at a concentration level of 2.5 mM cyclopentane because 'OH reacts with cyclopentane ca. 100 times faster than with NO3^{-.24} In all three cases, the absorption at 250-300 nm decayed via a fast second-order reaction, which was followed by a first-order process. Typical kinetic traces at pH 7.1 are shown in Figure 1 for tert-butanol. The rate of the fast second-order process was independent of the pH (3.6-7.7), pulse intensity, and [RH]; therefore, it was attributed to the decay of ROO[•] via reactions 8 and 12. In the case of c-C₅H₉OO[•], the experimental secondorder rate constant for the decay of the absorption at 270 nm was $k/\epsilon l = (1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ compared to $450 \pm 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the absence of 'NO2. Therefore, the measured value was converted to $k_{12} = (1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ using ϵ_{270} (c- $C_5H_9OO^{\bullet}$) = 1100 ± 100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ and l = 12.1 cm. In the DMSO and tert-butanol systems, the experimental observed second-order rate constants for the decay of the absorption at 280 nm were $k/\epsilon l = (2.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^5$ and $(1.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^5$ s^{-1} , respectively. In these two systems, the contribution of reaction 8 cannot be ignored; therefore, we have resorted to computer modeling using reactions 8, 12, 13, and 24 (Figure 2). The derived k_{12} values are 7 \times 10⁸ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and 1 \times 10⁹



Figure 2. Simulated and observed curves for the fast decay of the absorbance at 280 nm obtained after pulse irradiation of an aerated solution containing 5 mM nitrate, 5 μ M DTPA, 8 mM PB (pH 7.1), and 0.1 M *tert*-butanol (35 Gy/pulse) or 20 mM DMSO (31.5 Gy/pulse). The time course was simulated using reactions 8, 12, 13, and 24, $\epsilon((CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}) = 890 M^{-1} cm^{-1}$, $\epsilon(CH_3OO^{\bullet}) = 850 M^{-1} cm^{-1}$, $k_{13} = 4.5 \times 10^9 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $2k_{24} = 1.3 \times 10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, $an \epsilon(O_2^{\bullet-}) = 900 M^{-1} cm^{-1}$. The best fit was obtained using $2k_8 = 8 \times 10^8 M^{-1} s^{-1}$, s^{-1} , for *tert*-butanol or 1 × 10⁹ M^{-1} s^{-1} for DMSO.

 M^{-1} s⁻¹ in the *tert*-butanol and DMSO systems, respectively. All of the determined rate constants are summarized in Table 1.

The observed first-order rate constant for the second process was determined to be $1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 7.1 and 7.7 and 0.42 $\pm 0.03 \text{ s}^{-1}$ at pH 6.0. Also, the contribution of the second decay decreased upon decreasing the wavelength and/or the pH. Therefore, the second process is attributed to the decomposition of O₂NOO⁻ because it has a maximum absorption at 285 nm



Figure 3. Decay of the absorption at 260 nm after eight repetitive pulsings (38 Gy/pulse) of an aerated solution containing 0.02 M DMSO, 3 mM nitrate, 5 μ M DTPA, and 8 mM PB at pH 7.1. The optical path length was 12.1 cm.

 $(\epsilon_{285} = 1500 \pm 100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ and a half-life of about 0.5 s at 25 °C, whereas O₂NOOH (p $K_a = 5.9$) is a relatively long-lived species with no appreciable absorption at $\lambda > 260 \text{ nm}.^{18,20,21}$

A residual absorption was observed in all cases, which increased with decreasing wavelength and decayed very slowly to zero. In the case of c-C₅H₉OO[•], this third decay obeyed firstorder kinetics, and $k_{\rm obs}$ was measured to be 0.026 \pm 0.006 s⁻¹. The residual absorptions in the cases of CH₃OO• and (CH₃)₂C-(OH)CH₂OO[•] were relatively small; therefore, we used repetitive pulsing to achieve higher concentrations of the absorbing species. As seen in Figure 3, the delay between the pulses was sufficient for the complete decomposition of ROO[•] but not of O₂NOO⁻, which is also accumulated in this system. The third decay process, which is well separated from the decay of O_2NOO^- , obeyed first-order kinetics and for both radicals k_{obs} was measured to be 0.038 \pm 0.012 s⁻¹. This slow first-order reaction is attributed to the decomposition of ROONO2, which absorbs very little in this region, as is also the case with HOONO2.18 The decomposition of ROONO2 is proposed to take place by way of reactions -12, 12, 8, and 24, where $k_{12} > k_8$ > k_{24} (Scheme 1). Thus, upon assuming the steady-state approximation for [ROO[•]] and [[•]NO₂], the rate equation will be given by

$$-\frac{d[\text{ROONO}_2]}{dt} = \frac{2k_8k_{-12}}{2k_8 + k_{12}(k_8/k_{24})^{1/2}} [\text{ROONO}_2]$$
$$\approx K_{-12}(2k_82k_{24})^{1/2} [\text{ROONO}_2]$$
(25)

Thus, $k_{\rm obs} \approx K_{-12} (2k_8 2k_{24})^{1/2}$, and one calculates $K_{-12} \approx 1 \times$

TABLE 1: Summary of the Rate Constants Used and Determined in the Present Study

			U	
	CH ₃	$(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2$	c-C ₅ H ₉	Н
$2ROO^{\bullet} \rightarrow xO_2^{\bullet-} + \text{products}$				
$2k_8$, M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	$(7.3 \pm 1.6) \times 10^{8}$	$(8 \pm 2) \times 10^{8}$	$(1.5-2.4) \times 10^{7}$	1.7×10^{6} ²⁵
x	0.58	0.54	ca. 0	
$ROO^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}NO_2 \rightleftharpoons ROONO_2$				
$k_{12}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	1×10^{9}	7×10^{8}	$(1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^9$	$(1.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{9}$ ¹⁸
k_{-12}, s^{-1}	~ 0.1	~ 0.07	~ 0.75	0.026 ± 0.003^{21}
K_{-12}, M	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}$	$\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}$	\sim 5 $ imes$ 10 ⁻¹⁰	1.4×10^{-11}
ROO• + •NO ⇐ ROONO				
$k_{20},{ m M}^{-1}{ m s}^{-1}$	3.5×10^{9}	2.8×10^{9}		$(3.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{9}$ ¹⁹
$RO^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}NO_2 \rightleftharpoons ROONO$				
$k_{33}, \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$\sim 1 \times 10^9$			$(4.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{9}$ ⁴⁵
k_{-33}, s^{-1}	$\sim 5 \times 10^5$			$\sim 1^{45}$



Figure 4. Formation of the absorbance at 350 nm after pulse irradiation (32 Gy/pulse) of an aerated solution containing 0.28 M DMSO, 30 mM nitrate, 5 μ M DTPA, 32 μ M TNM, and 30 mM PB at pH 7.1. In this system, CH₃OO[•] decomposes via reactions 8 and 20; therefore, O₂^{•–} is formed relatively quickly by the pulse (*a*), through reaction 8 (*b*) and during the slow decomposition of CH₃OONO₂ (*c*). The optical path length was 12.1 cm.

 10^{-10} M for CH₃OO• and (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO• and $K_{-12} \approx 5 \times 10^{-10}$ M for c-C₅H₉OO•. The equilibrium constant for CH₃-OO• is in fair agreement with our earlier indirect determination of $K_{-12} \approx 5 \times 10^{-11}$ M, where methyl peroxynitrate arose after the reaction of ONOO⁻ with acetone in the presence of TNM.⁸

Our proposed mechanism for the decomposition of ROONO₂ involves the formation of O₂^{•-}; therefore, one should also be able to determine the rate constant for this process using TNM. This method was tested in the case of CH₃OO[•], where we studied the reaction of CH₃OO[•] with [•]NO₂ at pH 7.1 in the presence relatively low concentrations of TNM (i.e., $32-65 \mu$ M) to minimize photolysis. In this system, CH₃OO[•] decomposes via reactions 8 and 20; therefore, O₂^{•-} is formed relatively quickly by the pulse, through reaction 8 and during the slow decomposition of CH₃OONO₂. This is seen clearly in Figure 4a–c, respectively. The slow formation of C(NO₂)₃⁻ obeyed first-order kinetics, and k_{obs} was measured to be 0.027 ± 0.006 s⁻¹, which agrees with the value obtained directly (see above).

The yield of $O_2^{\bullet-}$, formed via the decomposition of CH₃-OONO₂, was determined in the absence of NO₃⁻ in solutions saturated with mixtures of 90% N₂O and 10% O₂. The latter system is less complicated than the former one because CH₃-OO[•] decomposes only via reaction 8 and •NO₂ is formed solely through the reduction of TNM by O₂^{•-}. Therefore, in this case [CH₃OONO₂] = Δ [C(NO₂)₃⁻]_F. Using eqs 22 and 23, we found that the yield of O₂^{•-} formed via reaction 8 is identical to the one formed during the decomposition of CH₃OONO₂ (i.e., 29 \pm 3%). This last result demonstrates again that CH₃OONO₂ decomposes via reactions -12, 12, 8, and 24 (Scheme 1).

Reaction of ROO[•] with 'NO. 'NO and CH₃OO[•] or (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO[•] were generated upon irradiation (34 Gy/ pulse) of aerated solutions containing 1 M *tert*-butanol or 0.3 M DMSO, 5 mM NO₂⁻, 5 μ M DTPA, and 10 mM PB at pH 4.9. The reaction was studied at pH 4.9 to minimize the contributions of peroxynitrite and peroxynitrate to the absorption at 290 nm (i.e., ONOOH (p $K_a = 6.6$) and O₂NOOH (p $K_a = 5.9$) have no appreciable absorption at this wavelength^{9,18}). The



Figure 5. Kinetic traces obtained upon pulse irradiation of (A) an aerated solution containing 0.5 M *tert*-butanol, 5 mM nitrite, 5 μ M DTPA, and 10 mM PB at pH 4.9 with 34 Gy/pulse and (B) the same solution saturated with 80% N₂O and 20% O₂ but without nitrite and with 14 Gy/pulse. The optical path length was 12.1 cm.

reaction could not be studied with 2.5 mM cyclopentane because •OH reacts faster with NO₂⁻ than with cyclopentane.²⁴ Typical kinetic plots for the decay of (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO• in the absence and presence of 'NO at pH 4.9 are shown in Figure 5. The experimental observed second-order rate constants for the decay of the absorption at 290 nm were $k/\epsilon l = (7.2 \pm 0.5) \times$ 10^5 and $(4.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^5$ s⁻¹ in the DMSO and *tert*-butanol systems, respectively. These rate constants are significantly higher than those measured for the self-decomposition of CH₃-OO• and $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ (i.e., $(5.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^4$ and (3.2) \pm 0.3) \times 10⁴ s⁻¹, respectively). Therefore, we assume that k_{obs} $\approx 2k_8 + k_{20}$ (see rate eq 15a), and the k_{20} values were calculated to be $(3.7 \pm 0.6) \times 10^9$ and $(3.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^9$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ using $\epsilon_{290}(CH_3OO^{\bullet}) = 500 \pm 50 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ and } \epsilon_{290}((CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2)$ OO^{\bullet}) = 780 ± 80 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively. We corrected the contribution of reaction 8 by computer modeling using reactions 8, 20, and 21 and obtained our best fit for $k_{20} = 3.5 \times 10^9$ and $2.8 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the DMSO and *tert*-butanol systems, respectively. The latter values demonstrate that at least in the initial stage of these reactions $k_{\rm obs} \approx 2k_8 + k_{20}$.

The reactions of •NO with $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2OO^{\bullet}$ and CH_3 -OO[•] were investigated in the presence of 55 or 80 μ M TNM at pH 6.1–6.9 (34 Gy/pulse). The formation of $C(NO_2)_3^-$, completed within less than 1 ms, was followed at 380 nm. In these systems, $C(NO_2)_3^-$ is formed as follows: (i) The solvated electrons react with TNM ca. 10 times faster than with $NO_2^{-,24}$ therefore, in the presence of 55–80 μ M TNM, ca. 10–14%, respectively, of the solvated electrons produce $C(NO_2)_3^-$ and •NO₂; (ii) ROO[•] decomposes mainly via reactions 8 and 20, where the former reaction forms $O_2^{\bullet-}$; (iii) In aerated solutions containing 5 mM NO₂⁻, ca. 28% of H[•] forms $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and the rest forms •NO; and (iv) •NO₂ and •NO add rapidly to ROO[•] and $O_2^{\bullet-}$. Altogether, the measured yield of $C(NO_2)_3^-$ was calculated to be $G(C(NO_2)_3^-) \approx 0.46$ or 0.58 in the presence of 55 or 80

SCHEME 2



 μ M TNM, respectively. However, the measured yield was $G(C(NO_2)_3^-) = 0.70 \pm 0.04$ or 0.82 ± 0.06 in the presence of 55 or 80 μ M TNM, respectively. These results suggest that $O_2^{\bullet-}$ is also formed via the decomposition of the alkyl peroxynitrites. Our proposed mechanism, which is given in Scheme 2, is similar to the one previously suggested for other alkyl peroxyl radicals.⁸

Alkoxyl radicals CH_3O^{\bullet} and $(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2O^{\bullet}$ decompose rapidly to give 100% $O_2^{\bullet-}$ via reactions 26–28 or 29–31, respectively.

$$CH_3O^{\bullet} \rightarrow {}^{\bullet}CH_2OH$$
 (26)

 $^{\bullet}\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\mathrm{OO}^{\bullet}$ (27)

$$CH_2(OH)OO^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_2O + O_2^{\bullet -} + H^+$$
(28)

$$(CH_3)_2C(OH)CH_2O^{\bullet} \rightarrow CH_2O + (CH_3)_2(OH)C^{\bullet}$$
(29)

$$(CH_3)_2(OH)C^{\bullet} + O_2 \rightarrow (CH_3)_2(OH)COO^{\bullet}$$
(30)

$$(CH_3)_2(OH)COO^{\bullet} \rightarrow (CH_3)_2CO + O_2^{\bullet -} + H^+$$
(31)

We simulated the yield of $C(NO_2)_3^-$ assuming that ROONO decomposes rapidly according to Scheme 2 and that RO[•] yields 100% O₂^{•-}. We found for both systems that ROONO decomposes rapidly to form 14 ± 2% free alkoxyl and •NO₂ radicals.

Discussion

In the present study, we have shown that ROO[•] readily reacts with $^{\circ}NO_2$ to form relatively long-lived peroxynitrate species, which have no appreciable absorption above 270 nm and are in equilibrium with the parent radicals (Scheme 1). Similar findings were previously described for peroxynitric acid, which decomposes slowly in acidic solutions via equilibrium 12 (where R = H), hydrolysis of $^{\circ}NO_2$ (reaction 24), and dismutation of HO₂[•] (Table 1).^{20,21,32} We have also shown that CH₃OO[•] and (CH₃)₂C(OH)CH₂OO[•] rapidly react with $^{\circ}NO$ to form alkyl peroxynitrites, which do not accumulate but rapidly decompose via homolysis along the O–O bond, initially forming a geminate pair. Most of this pair collapses in the cage to form alkyl nitrates, RONO₂, but about 14% diffuses out as free alkoxyl and $^{\circ}NO_2$ radicals. The latter value is similar to those recently reported for other alkylperoxynitrites.⁸

In the gas phase, there exists a substantial body of data related to the kinetics of decomposition of alkylperoxynitrates.^{33–37} It has been well established that, just as in aqueous solutions, the rate-determining step in the decomposition of a particular ROONO₂ is the dissociation to •NO₂ and ROO•. In a few cases, the rates of the reverse reactions are also known,³⁷ which allows the equilibrium constants to be calculated. A number of important points can be deduced from these data. First, the gaseous rate constants of dissociation, k_{-12} , and their activation energies correlate well with the inductive effect of the substituent and show that the substituent affects the strength of the corresponding O-N bond in the peroxynitrate. The rate constants for the reaction of ROO• with •NO2 have values on the order of 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and are insensitive to the substituent. For C₂H₅OONO₂, CH₃OONO₂, HOONO₂, and CF₃OONO₂, the gaseous equilibrium constants of dissociation, $K_{-12}(g)$, are 1.1 \times 10⁻⁹, 6.6 \times 10⁻¹⁰, 1.1 \times 10⁻¹⁰, and 1.3 \times 10⁻¹¹ M, respectively. The increasing stability of the peroxynitrate in the above series reflects the increase in the N-O bond strength, which also parallels the O-H bond strength of the corresponding alkyl hydroperoxide ROOH.38

Upon comparing the gaseous and aqueous K_{-12} values of CH₃OONO₂ and HOONO₂, we find that their ratio, $K_{-12}(g)/K_{-12}(aq)$, is about the same for both compounds and amounts to a factor of ca. 7. A factor of ca. 4 follows from Henry's constant for NO_2 .³⁹ This implies that ROONO₂ and ROO• are similarly solvated by water, their Henry's constants differing by less than a factor of 2. Given that the main effect of water is to increase the Gibbs energy of NO_2 , it is not surprising to find that the rate constant of the endergonic reaction (eq -12) is smaller in water than in the gas phase by about an order of magnitude whereas k_{12} is about the same.

To obtain the aqueous Gibbs energies for CH₃OONO₂ and CH₃OONO, we utilize literature data⁴⁰ to estimate $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}$ (CH₃-OO[•]) \approx 11.3 kcal/mol and $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}$ (CH₃O[•]) \approx 8 kcal/mol. Then, by taking $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}$ (*NO₂) = 15.1 kcal/mol⁴¹ and $K_{-12} = 10^{-10}$ M (Table 1) we obtain $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}$ (CH₃OONO₂) \approx 12.8 kcal/mol. It is to be expected that the variation in the N–O bond strength with the substituent is similar in peroxynitrates and peroxynitrites. This assumption implies that

$$\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{HOONO}) - \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{HOONO}_{2}) \approx \\\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{OONO}) - \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{CH}_{3}\text{OONO}_{2}) (32)$$

Because $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}({\rm HOONO}) = 7.1 \text{ kcal/mol}^{42}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}({\rm HOONO}_2) = 1.3 \text{ kcal/mol},^{32}$ it follows that $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}({\rm CH}_3{\rm OONO}) \approx 18.6 \text{ kcal/mol},^{41}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}({\rm CH}_3{\rm O}) = 15.1 \text{ kcal/mol},^{41}$ and $\Delta_{\rm f}G^{\circ}({\rm CH}_3{\rm O}) = 8 \text{ kcal/mol},$ we calculate $K_{33} \approx 2 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1}$.

$$CH_3O^{\bullet} + {}^{\bullet}NO_2 \rightleftharpoons CH_3OONO$$
 (33)

Because k_{33} is almost certainly close to $10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1},^{43} k_{-33}$ should be ~5 × 10^5 s^{-1} , and the overall rate of CH₃OONO disappearance is about 7 times higher (ca. 14% of the radicals escape to the bulk of the solution, i.e., ca. $3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1}$). Indeed, similar values were found experimentally in aqueous solutions for CH₃C(OH)OONO and (CH₃)₂C(OH)OONO.⁸ The dramatic decrease in the bond strength of O–O upon replacing H by an alkyl group has been noted before for both hydroperoxides⁴⁴ and peroxynitrites.⁸ This effect has been attributed to the hyperconjugative stabilization of the alkoxyl radical as opposed to that of the unstabilized **'**OH radical.

In conclusion, the reaction of ROO[•] with [•]NO₂ forms relatively long-lived alkyl peroxynitrates, which are in equilibrium with the parent molecules and show no tendency to homolyze along the O–O bond. In contrast, the reaction of ROO[•] with [•]NO forms relatively short-lived alkyl peroxynitrites, which homolyze quickly along the O–O bond.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported (S.G.) by a grant from the Israel Science Foundation of the Israel Academy of Sciences.

References and Notes

(1) Rubbo, H.; Radi, R.; Trujillo, M.; Telleri, R.; Kalyanaraman, B.; Barnes, S.; Kirk, M.; Freeman, B. A. J. Biol. Chem. **1994**, 269, 26066–26075.

(2) O'Donnell, V. B.; Chumley, P. H.; Hogg, N.; Bloodsworth, A.;
DarleyUsmar, V. M.; Freeman, B. A. *Biochemistry* 1997, *36*, 15216–15223.
(3) Hogg, N.; Kalyanaraman, B. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1999, *1411*,

378–384.
(4) Rubbo, H.; Radi, R.; Anselmi, D.; Kirk, M.; Barnes, S.; Butler, J.;

Eiserich, J. P.; Freeman, B. A. J. Biol. Chem. 2000, 275, 10812–10818.
 (5) Kotamraju, S.; Hogg, N.; Joseph, J.; Keefer, L. K.; Kalyanaraman,

B. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 276, 17316–17323.
 (6) O'Donnell, V. B.; Freeman, B. A. Circ. Res. 2001, 88, 12–21.

(7) Padmaja, S.; Huie, R. E. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 1993, 195, 539-544.

- (8) Merenyi, G.; Lind, J.; Goldstein, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 40-48.
- (9) Logager, T.; Sehested, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 6664–6669.
 (10) Neta, P.; Dizdaroglu, M.; Simic, M. G. Isr. J. Chem. 1984, 24, 25–28
- (11) Erben-Russ, M.; Michel, C.; Bors, W.; Saran, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1987, 91, 2362–2365.
- (12) Avila, D. V.; Brown, C. E.; Ingold, K. U.; Lusztyk, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 466–470.
- (13) Gilbert, B. C.; Holmes, R. G. G.; Laue, H. A. H.; Norman, R. O. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 1047–1052.
- (14) Konya, K. G.; Paul, T.; Lin, S. Q.; Lusztyk, J.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7518-7527.
- (15) Ilan, Y.; Rabani, J.; Henglein, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1976, 80, 1558-1562.
- (16) Bothe, E.; Schultefrohlinde, D.; Sonntag, C. V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1978, 416-420.
- (17) Bothe, E.; Schuchmann, M. N.; Schultefrohlinde, D.; Vonsonntag, C. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **1978**, 28, 639–644.
- (18) Logager, T.; Sehested, K. J. Phys. Chem. 1993, 97, 10047–10052.
 (19) Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1995, 19, 505–510.
- (20) Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1997, *36*, 4156–4162.
 (21) Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G.; Lind, J.; Merenyi, G. *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, *37*, 3943–3947.
 - (22) Schmidt, E. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1919, 52, 400–413.
 - (22) Schinder, E. *Der. Disch. Chem.* Ges. **1919**, 52, 400–445. (23) Flyunt, R.; Leitzke, A.; Mark, G.; Mvula, E.; Reisz, E.; Schick,
- (25) Tryunt, K., Lenzke, A., Mark, O., Myuna, E., Keisz, E., Schick R.; von Sonntag, C. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003, 107, 7242–7253.
- (24) Mallard, W. G.; Ross, A. B.; Helman, W. P. NIST Standard References Database 40, version 3.0; 1998.
 (25) Bielski, B. H. J.; Cabelli, D. E.; Arudi, R. L.; Ross, A. B. J. Phys.
- (25) Bleiski, B. H. J., Cabelli, D. E., Aldul, K. E., Ross, A. B. J. Thys Chem. Ref. Data **1985**, *14*, 1041–1100.
- (26) Lymar, S. V.; Schwarz, H. A.; Czapski, G. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 7245–7250.
- (27) Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G. Free Radical Biol. Med. 1995, 19, 505-510.
- (28) Zegota, H.; Schuchmann, M. N.; Vonsonntag, C. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 5589–5593.
- (29) Rabani, J.; Pick, M.; Simic, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1974, 78, 1049-1051.
- (30) Bors, W.; Michel, C.; Saran, M. FEBS Lett. 1979, 107, 403–406.
 (31) Schuchmann, M. N.; Sonntag, C. V. J. Phys. Chem. 1979, 83, 780–784.
- (32) Regimbal, J. M.; Mozurkewich, M. J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101, 8822-8829.

(33) Kirchner, F.; Mayer-Figge, A.; Zabel, F.; Becker, K. H. Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 1999, 31, 127–144.

- (34) Zabel, F.; Reimer, A.; Becker, K. H.; Fink, E. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 5500-5507.
- (35) Bahta, A.; Simonaitis, R.; Heicklen, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 1849–1853.

(36) DeMore, W. B.; Sander, S. P.; Golden, D. M.; Hampson, R. F.; Kurylo, M. J.; Howard, C. J.; Ravishankara, A. R.; Molina, M. J. *Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Stratospheric Modeling*; JPL: Pasadena, CA, 1992.

(37) Mayer-Figge, A.; Zabel, F.; Becker, K. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 6587-6593.

(38) Jonsson, M. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 6814-6818.

(39) Schwartz, S. E.; White, W. H. Kinetics of Reactive Dissolution of Nitrogen Oxides into Aqueous Solution. In *Trace Atmospheric Species: Properties, Transformations and Fates*; Schwartz, S. E., Ed.; Wiley & Sons: New York, 1983; pp 1–116.

(40) $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}(\rm CH_3O^{\bullet}, g) = 9.2$ kcal/mol can be calculated from Berkowitz, J.; Ellison, G. B.; Gutman, F. J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 2744-2765. According to Schwarz, H. A.; Dodson, R. W. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 3643–3647, the Gibbs energy of hydration, ΔG°_{g-aq} , of an alcohol or a hydroperoxide is more negative by about 2 kcal/mol than that of the corresponding oxyl or peroxyl radical derived from the parent by the abstraction of a hydrogen. Because $\Delta G^{\circ}_{g-aq}(CH_3OH) = -3.2$ kcal/mol (Selected Values of Thermodynamic Properties; U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards: Washington. DC, 1968; NBS *Tech. Note* (U.S.) No. 270–273) and ΔG°_{g-aq} (CH₃OOH) are about the same (O'Sullivan, D. W.; Lee, M.; Noone, B. C.; Heikes, B. G. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, 100, 3241–3247), we set $\Delta G^{\circ}_{g-aq}(CH_3O^{\bullet}) \approx \Delta G^{\circ}_{g-aq}(CH_3OO^{\bullet}) = -1.2$ kcal/mol. Then $\Delta G_{f}^{\circ}(CH_3O^{\bullet}, aq) \approx 9.2-1.2 = 8.0$ kcal/mol. $\Delta G_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm CH_3OO^{\bullet}}, {\rm g}) \approx 12.5 \text{ kcal/mol was calculated using } \Delta H_{\rm f}^{\circ}({\rm CH_3OO^{\bullet}}, {\rm g})$ ≈ 2.8 kcal/mol (Benson, S. W.; Cohen, N. In Peroxyl Radicals; Alfassi, Z., Ed.; Wiley & Sons: Chichester, England, 1997; pp 49-68) and S°-(CH₃OO[•], g) = 64.7 e.u (Kondo, O., Benson, W. S. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 6675–6680). Utilizing $\Delta G^{\circ}_{g-aq}(CH_3OO^{\bullet}) = -1.2$ kcal/mol (see above), we obtained $\Delta G^{\circ}_{f}(CH_{3}OO^{\bullet}, aq) \approx 11.3 \text{ kcal/mol.}$

(41) Stanbury, D. M. Adv. Inorg. Chem. 1989, 33, 69-138.

- (42) Merenyi, G.; Lind, J.; Czapski, G.; Goldstein, S. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 3796–3800.
- (43) Merenyi, G.; Lind, J.; Goldstein, S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 11127-11129.
- (44) Cremer, D. General and Theoretical Aspects of the Peroxide Group. In *The Chemistry of Peroxides*; Patai, S., Ed.; John Wiley: Chichester, England, 1983; pp 1–84.
- (45) Merenyi, G.; Lind, J.; Goldstein, S.; Czapski, G. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 5685-5691.