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#### Abstract

A detailed theoretical investigation for the ion - molecule reaction of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and CCSD $(T) / 6-311++G(3 d f, 2 p d)$ (single-point) levels. Possible energetically allowed reaction pathways leading to various low-lying dissociation products are probed. It is shown that eight dissociation products $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}++\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ are both thermodynamically and kinetically accessible. Among the eight dissociation products, $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ is the most abundant product. $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ are the second and third feasible products but much less competitive than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$, followed by the almost negligible product $\mathbf{P}_{2}$. Other products, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ may become feasible at high temperatures. Because the intermediates and transition states involved in the reaction $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are all lower than the reactant in energy, the title reaction is expected to be rapid, as is consistent with the measured large rate constant at room temperature. The present calculation results may provide a useful guide for understanding the mechanism of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$toward other $\pi$-bonded molecules.


## 1. Introduction

Titan, the largest satellite of Saturn, is of considerable interest since its atmosphere is so various and it is one of the places where the most complex atmosphere organic chemistry takes place in the solar system. A number of investigations have been carried out to provide an understanding of the structure and composition of this atmosphere. ${ }^{1-4}$ It has been established that Titan's atmosphere consists mainly of nitrogen gas and with traces of numerous hydrocarbons. ${ }^{5-7}$ Species such as hydrogen cyanide (HCN), methyl cyanide $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$, cyanoacetylene $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, and cyanogen $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)$ are also present in much lower abundance. ${ }^{8,9}$ Neutral species are ionized by a combination of photoionization by solar radiations and electron impact ionization by Saturn's magnetospheric electrons. ${ }^{10,11}$ Then the primary ions $\mathrm{N}^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$react with methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$ to produce $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$. Subsequently, these ions react further with the hydrocarbons that are present in Titan's atmosphere such as methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$, acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, ethylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, ethane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, and so on. In this way, a complex matrix of reactions is quickly established. Such reactions are generally very fast and may be very effective in depleting old molecules or ions and synthesizing new molecules or ions.

Acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is an archetype of $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ triple bonding for hydrocarbons, and it plays a crucial role in various fields, such as combustion chemistry, photochemistry, organic chemistry, catalytic reactions, and so forth. Up to now, a large number of experimental and theoretical investigations have been performed on the neutral- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reactions, such as $\mathrm{NCO},{ }^{12} \mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{Cl},{ }^{13} \mathrm{CP},{ }^{14} \mathrm{HCO} / \mathrm{HOC},{ }^{15} \mathrm{HCCCO},{ }^{16} \mathrm{NCX}(\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}),{ }^{17}$ and $\mathrm{CN} .{ }^{18}$ Also, there have been numerous studies on the ion $-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reactions such as $\mathrm{HCN}^{+},{ }^{19} \mathrm{HCNH}^{+},{ }^{20} \mathrm{CH}^{+},{ }^{21}$ $\mathrm{O}^{-},{ }^{22} \mathrm{~S}^{+},{ }^{23} \mathrm{HCO}^{+},{ }^{24} \mathrm{HCCCH}_{2}{ }^{+},{ }^{25} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{+},{ }^{26} \mathrm{O}^{+},{ }^{27} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+},{ }^{28}$ and

[^0]$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}^{+} .{ }^{29}$ Among the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reactions, the reaction with $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$attracts our greatest interest. According to the experimental studies that were performed by Anicich et al. at room temperature using the following after low-selected ion flow tube (FA-SIFT), the products and distributions are as follows
\[

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+ & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{HCN} \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{CN} & 0.01 \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H} & 0.06 \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} & 0.09 \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H} & 0.66
\end{array}
$$
\]

But to the best of our knowledge, there is no theoretical study on this reaction up to now. In the present paper, we investigate a detailed theoretical study on the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$ with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$.

## 2. Computational Methods

All calculations are performed with the Gaussian 98 program package. ${ }^{30}$ The geometries of reactant, products, intermediates, and transition states are initially optimized at the density functional theory (DFT) B3LYP ${ }^{31}$ with $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Frequency calculations are performed at the same level to check the obtained species is an isomer (with all real frequencies) or a transition state (with one and only one imaginary frequency). Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) ${ }^{32}$ calculations are performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level to confirm that the transition state connects the designated intermediates. To obtain more reliable energetic data, singlepoint energy calculations are carried out at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-$ $311++G(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd})^{33}$ level using the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) optimized geometries.
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Figure 1. The optimized structures of the reactant, products. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The optimized structures of reactant and products are shown in Figure 1, and the optimized structures of intermediates and transition states are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The symbol $\mathbf{T S m} / \mathbf{n}$ is used to denote the transition state connecting isomers $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$. The total and relative energies are listed in Table 1 for reactant and products, while in Table 2 for intermediates and transition states. By means of reactant, intermediates, transition states and products, a schematic potential energy surface (PES) for the reaction of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is plotted in Figure 4. Unless otherwise specified, the relative energies at $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6$ $311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd}) / / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})+$ ZPVE (zero-point vibrational energy) level are used in the following discussion. Furthermore, for understanding the reaction mechanism easily, we shown a simple frame graph in Scheme 1. It should be pointed out that the value behind every species is its relative energy with respect to reactant, and the value on every arrowhead is the activation energy.
3.1. Entrance Channels. The attack of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$on the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule may have two kinds of entrance pathways: (i) C addition to form $1\left(p-\mathrm{HCCHCHN}^{+}\right)$and $2\left(v-\mathrm{HCCHCHN}^{+}\right)$without any encounter barriers (as shown in Figure 4a), ( $\downarrow$ ) N addition to form $3\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}^{+}\right)$(as shown in Figure 4b). Although the low-lying intermediate $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}^{+}\right)$has two isomeric forms, $\mathbf{3 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 b}$, we are unable to find the transition state connecting them despite numerous attempts. As can be seen in Figure 2, the calculated CC bond length in 3a and 3b is 1.314 and $1.312 \AA$, respectively, and they are typical $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. Even if there is a transition state, a considerable rotary energy barrier should be overcome. In addition, we obtain a threememberd ring isomer 12 ( $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{HCCHN}-\mathrm{CH}^{+}$) which can be considered to form via the direct attack of N at the CC double bond of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$. But the only reaction channel from $\mathbf{1 2}$ is an evolution which isomerizes to 3b as shown in Figure 4b.

The feasible N -addition and C -addition attacks can find support from spin distribution analysis. The spin densities on C and N are 0.419427 e and 0.594288 e , respectively, at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)
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Figure 2. The optimized structures of the intermediates. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees.
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Figure 3. The optimized structures of the transition states. Distances are given in angstroms and angles in degrees.
level. So both C atom and N atom can be viewed as reactive sites. For convenient discussion, we show the reaction pathways of N -addition attacks and C -addition attacks in Figure 4, panels a and b, respectively.
3.2. Reaction Pathways. In the first place, let us discuss the formation pathways of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ which are proceeded via the C -addition intermediate $\mathbf{1}$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{C}_{3} \mathbf{N}^{+}+\mathbf{H}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ is $32.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than reactant $\left(\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. From Figure 4a, we find that three pathways are possible.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } P_{1}(1): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow P_{1} \\
\text { Path } P_{1}(2): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7 \rightarrow P_{1} \\
\text { Path } P_{1}(3): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow P_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCCHCHN}^{+}\right)$can isomerizes to $\mathbf{4}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}^{+}\right)$via the 1,3-H-shift. Then 4 either undergoes a H -elimination process to lead to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ or continuously isomerizes to form $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{NCCCH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$followed by H -elimination process to
generate the weakly bond complex $7\left(\mathrm{NCCCH}_{2} . \mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$before the final product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{2})$. It should be noted that the energy of the transition state $\mathbf{T S} 4 / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ involved in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ (1) lies lower in energy than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ even at the higher QCISD/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ calculated level. This may indicate that transition state TS4/ $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ is kinetically unstable toward dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$. Its effort in the process of $\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ can be omitted. Therefore, this process can be viewed as a direct H elimination path. In path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{3}), \mathbf{1}$ can isomerize to $\mathbf{5}\left(\mathrm{HCCHCNH}^{+}\right)$via $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-shift from C - to N -atom. Then 5 can transform to $\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCCNH}^{+}\right)$followed by its dissociation to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

In path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$, only one barrier $19.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ is needed to overcome to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$. Yet in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{2})$, three barriers

TABLE 1: Zero-Point (kcal/mol), Total (au), and Relative Energies in Parentheses (kcal/mol) As Well As Those Including Zero-Point Vibration Energies of the Reactant, Products for the $\mathbf{H C N}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{2}$ Reaction

| species | ZPVE |  | B3LYP | CCSD (T) |  | CCSD (T)+ZPVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reactant | 26.15167 | (0.0) | -170.3099918 | -169.966423 | (0.0) | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | 22.07629 | (-4.1) | -170.3646991 | -170.012339 | (-28.8) | -32.9 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$ | 24.77587 | $(-1.4)$ | -170.3446514 | -170.000244 | $(-21.2)$ | -22.6 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | 22.23738 | (-3.9) | -170.3837146 | -170.025678 | (-37.2) | -41.1 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | 23.157 | (-3.0) | -170.4224455 | -170.074333 | (-67.7) | -70.7 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | 23.45596 | (-2.7) | -170.3225859 | -169.971139 | (-3.0) | -5.7 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | 23.49013 | (-2.7) | -170.3925775 | -170.044882 | (-49.2) | -51.9 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$ | 25.60872 | (-0.5) | -170.3936551 | -170.047668 | (-51.0) | -51.5 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ | 26.19383 | (0.0) | -170.3700264 | -170.024555 | (-36.5) | -36.4 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{9}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | 14.31022 | $(-11.8)$ | -170.1158316 | -169.772224 | (121.9) | 110.0 |

TABLE 2: Zero-Point (kcal/mol), Total (au), and Relative Energies in Parentheses (kcal/mol) As Well As Those Including Zero-Point Vibration Energies of the Intermediates and Transition States for the $\mathbf{H C N}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Reaction

| species | ZPVE |  | B3LYP | CCSD(T) |  | CCSD (T)+ZPVE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reactant | 26.15167 | (0.0) | -170.3099918 | -169.966423 | (0.0) | 0.0 |
| 1 | 28.02003 | $(-1.9)$ | -170.4157299 | -170.059968 | (-58.7) | -56.8 |
| 2 | 27.90614 | (1.8) | -170.4191187 | -170.064771 | (-61.7) | -60.0 |
| 3 a | 29.76287 | $(-3.6)$ | -170.4785473 | -170.130007 | (-102.6) | -99.0 |
| 3 b | 29.71924 | $(-3.6)$ | -170.4766139 | -170.127932 | (-101.3) | -97.8 |
| 4 | 30.66257 | $(-4.5)$ | -170.491201 | -170.134313 | (-105.4) | -100.8 |
| 5 | 29.75185 | (-3.6) | -170.4986978 | -170.148659 | (-114.4) | $-110.8$ |
| 6 | 28.23864 | (-2.1) | -170.4523107 | -170.09358 | (-79.8) | -77.7 |
| 7 | 22.63822 | (-3.5) | -170.3658455 | -170.012151 | (-28.7) | -32.2 |
| 8 | 28.69308 | $(-2.5)$ | -170.5155973 | -170.155289 | $(-118.5)$ | -116.0 |
| 9 | 28.94772 | $(-2.8)$ | -170.4657513 | -170.111458 | (-91.0) | -88.2 |
| 10 | 32.73318 | $(-6.6)$ | -170.4469692 | -170.099062 | $(-83.2)$ | -76.7 |
| 11 | 30.7087 | (4.6) | -170.4586887 | -170.114235 | (-92.8) | -88.2 |
| 12 | 29.52633 | $(-3.4)$ | -170.4224042 | -170.073583 | (-67.2) | -63.9 |
| 13 | 27.31186 | $(-1.2)$ | -170.4274237 | -170.079621 | (-71.0) | -69.9 |
| 14 | 28.70136 | $(-2.5)$ | -170.4902135 | -170.128264 | $(-101.6)$ | -99.0 |
| TS1/4 | 26.91255 | (-0.8) | -170.3781088 | -170.027509 | (-38.3) | -37.6 |
| TS1/5 | 25.46005 | $(-0.7)$ | -170.3906109 | -170.035593 | (-43.4) | -44.1 |
| TS2/11 | 28.41908 | (2.3) | -170.4126244 | -170.064994 | (-61.9) | -59.6 |
| TS3a/14 | 25.04992 | $(-1.1)$ | -170.4034566 | -170.044403 | (-48.9) | -50.0 |
| TS3a/P ${ }_{6}$ | 24.34345 | $(-1.8)$ | -170.3860825 | -170.03418 | (-42.5) | -44.3 |
| TS3b/13 | 27.07638 | $(-0.9)$ | -170.424425 | -170.075158 | (-68.2) | -67.3 |
| TS3b/P8 | 23.58486 | $(-2.6)$ | -170.3430972 | -170.000755 | (-21.5) | -24.1 |
| TS4/6 | 27.39139 | $(-1.2)$ | -170.4334237 | -170.079799 | (-71.1) | -69.9 |
| TS4/P ${ }_{1}$ | 22.27127 | (-3.9) | -170.3657519 | -170.012845 | (-29.1) | -33.0 |
| TS5/8 | 25.78959 | $(-0.4)$ | -170.431954 | -170.075289 | (-68.3) | -68.7 |
| TS5/9 | 25.66764 | $(-0.5)$ | -170.4213436 | -170.057568 | (-57.2) | -57.7 |
| TS5/P4 | 24.30353 | $(-1.8)$ | -170.4168192 | -170.064333 | (-61.4) | -63.3 |
| TS6/7 | 22.6393 | (-3.5) | -170.3658349 | -170.011062 | (-28.0) | -31.5 |
| TS6/P ${ }_{3}$ | 24.02217 | $(-2.1)$ | -170.3855246 | -170.023681 | (-35.9) | -38.1 |
| TS8/P ${ }_{1}$ | 23.31065 | $(-2.8)$ | -170.3634235 | -170.004723 | (-24.0) | -26.9 |
| TS8/P4 | 24.17206 | $(-2.0)$ | -170.4223087 | -170.070381 | (-65.2) | -67.2 |
| TS9/10 | 27.40547 | $(-1.3)$ | -170.3353488 | -169.992358 | (-16.3) | -15.0 |
| TS9/P ${ }_{4}$ | 24.34611 | $(-1.8)$ | -170.4157543 | -170.062585 | $(-60.3)$ | -62.1 |
| TS10/P ${ }_{5}$ | 24.21636 | $(-1.9)$ | -170.322566 | -169.968304 | (-1.2) | -3.1 |
| TS11/3a | 28.34201 | (-2.2) | -170.4038485 | -170.05665 | $(-56.6)$ | -54.4 |
| TS12/3b | 28.29195 | (-2.1) | -170.4179891 | -170.066923 | $(-63.1)$ | -60.9 |
| TS14/P ${ }_{6}$ | 24.0201 | $(-2.1)$ | -170.3919949 | -170.041539 | $(-47.1)$ | -49.3 |
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Figure 4. The sketch map of the potential energy surface (PES).
are needed, i.e., $19.2,30.9$, and $46.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{6}$, and $6 \rightarrow 7$ conversions, respectively. In path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{3})$, also three barriers have to be climbed, which are $12.7(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5}), 42.1(\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{8})$, and $89.1\left(\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\right) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Then we expect that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1})$ may be the optimal channel to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathbf{C N}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{3}^{+}\right)
$$

We find a direct dissociation product $\mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$from $4\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, and the formation pathway of 4 has been discussed in $(\diamond)$. Despite numerous attempts, we cannot locate the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond rupture transition state. Therefore, we expect that this may be a single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond rupture process. The formation pathway of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } \mathrm{P}_{2}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{2} \\
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathbf{N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For product $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, only one feasible pathway is located, which can be depicted as

$$
\text { Path } P_{3}: R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow P_{3}
$$

The formation of $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{NCCCH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$is the same as path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{2})$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{6}$ can take $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-elimination leading to $\mathbf{P}_{3}$. The barrier for $\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{3}$ conversion is $39.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathbf{H C C C N H}^{+}+\mathbf{H}\right)
$$

Because $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathrm{HCCCNH}++\mathrm{H})$ is the lowest-lying product, we find three pathways are possible

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } P_{4}(1): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow P_{4} \\
\text { Path } P_{4}(2): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow P_{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Path } P_{4}(3): R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow P_{4}
$$

The formation of $\mathbf{5}\left(\mathrm{HCCHCNH}^{+}\right)$is the same as that in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{3}) .5$ can either take a H-elimination process to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ or continuously isomerizes to $\mathbf{9}\left(\mathrm{HCCCHNH}^{+}\right)$ followed by H-elimination process lead to $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{3})$. Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})$ is very similar to path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{( 3 )}$. The difference lies in the last dissociation step, i.e., in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{3}), \mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CCCNH}^{+}\right)$ leads to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ via the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond rupture, while in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2}), \mathbf{8}$ gives rise to $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ via the cleavage of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond.

For path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{3})$, two barriers are needed to overcome from $\mathbf{5}$ to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$, that is, 42.1 and $48.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$, respectively, as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})$, and 53.1 and 26.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$, respectively, as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{3})$. In Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1})$, only one barrier $47.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ conversion is needed. So we expect that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ is more competitive than path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{2})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{3})$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{N C C C}^{+}+\mathbf{H}\right)
$$

For product $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, only one pathway is feasible. This path can be written as Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$.

The formation of $\mathbf{9}\left(\mathrm{HCCCHNH}^{+}\right)$is the same as that in path $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathbf{3})$. Isomer 9 requires a 1,4-H-shift to form $\mathbf{1 0}\left(\mathrm{CCCHNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, then via a H-elimination process $\mathbf{1 0}$ will produce $\mathbf{P}_{5}$. The high barrier for the steps $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$ are 73.2 and $73.6 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol, respectively.

Starting from the other N -addition intermediate $\mathbf{2}(v-\mathrm{HC}$ $\mathrm{CHCHN}^{+}$), only one pathway is identified: ring closure to form the four-membered ring isomer $\mathbf{1 1}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NCHCHCH}^{+}\right)$. Subsequently, $\mathbf{1 1}$ can isomerize to the chainlike isomer $\mathbf{3 a}(\mathrm{HC}-$ $\mathrm{NCHCH}^{+}$) via a ring-opening process; the evolution of $\mathbf{3 a}$ will be discussed later.

SCHEME 1: Simple Frame Diagram for the Reaction $\mathbf{H C N}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}$


Now, we turn our attention to the formation pathways of $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$. These pathways proceed via the C -addition intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ (3a and 3b).

## $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathbf{H C N C C H}^{+}+\mathbf{H}\right)$

There are two feasible pathways to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. They can be written as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } P_{6}(1): R \rightarrow 3 a \rightarrow P_{6} \\
\text { Path } P_{6}(2): R \rightarrow 3 a \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow P_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathbf{3 a}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}^{+}\right)$can either undergo a H -elimination process that leads to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$ or isomerizes to $\mathbf{1 4}(\mathrm{HC}-$ $\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}{ }^{+}$) via the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-shift. Then $\mathbf{1 4}$ will produce $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ via H elimination. For the $\mathbf{3 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ conversion, only one barrier, 54.7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\mathbf{3 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right)$, is needed to overcome as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$. Yet in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$, two barriers for $\mathbf{3 a} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 4}(49.0)$ and $\mathbf{1 4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(49.7)$ are needed. Moreover, path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$ is relatively simple, so we expect that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$ is more competitive than path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{2}^{+}+\mathbf{H C N}\right)
$$

Only one pathway is feasible to form the charge-transfer product $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$.

$$
\text { Path } P_{7}: R \rightarrow 3 b \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow P_{7}
$$

$\mathbf{3} \mathbf{b}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}^{+}\right)$can isomerizes to a weakly bond complex $\mathbf{1 3}\left(\mathrm{HCN} \cdots \mathrm{HCCH}^{+}\right)$with the barrier of $30.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Then 13 will directly dissociate to $\mathbf{P}_{7}$.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}}^{+}+\mathbf{H N C}\right)
$$

$\mathbf{3 b}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}{ }^{+}\right)$can directly dissociate to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ with a high barrier of $73.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The formation pathway of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ can be written as

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{8}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~b} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{8}
$$

## 4. Reaction Mechanism

In the preceding sections, we have obtained eight products, i.e., $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathrm{HCC}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$ $+\mathrm{HCN})$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$. For easier discussion, the optimal channels for these eight products are listed again:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Path } P_{1}(1) & R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow P_{1} \\
\text { Path } P_{2} & R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow P_{2} \\
\text { Path } P_{3}(1) & R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow P_{3} \\
\text { Path } P_{4}(1) & R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow P_{4} \\
\text { Path } P_{5} & R \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow P_{5} \\
\text { Path } P_{6}(1) & R \rightarrow 3 a \rightarrow P_{6} \\
\text { Path } P_{7} & R \rightarrow 3 b \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow P_{7} \\
\text { Path } P_{8} & R \rightarrow 3 b \rightarrow P_{8}
\end{array}
$$

Comparing every optimal channel of forming possible products, we found that the order of energy barriers of the rate controlling step increase as follows: Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(1)(19.2)=$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(19.2) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{7}(30.5) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}(1)(39.6) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(1)$ $(47.5) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(1)(54.7) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(73.6) \rightarrow$ Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(73.7)$. The much higher barriers involved in the most feasible formation pathway of $\mathbf{P}_{4}, \mathbf{P}_{5}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ make these four products unlikely to be observed in experiments. As for the remaining four
products, formation of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ are kinetically the most favorable pathways. Then $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ may be kinetically the second and third feasible product. However, from Figure 4a, we can see that $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ lies $10.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$; this thermodynamically makes $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ the dominant product from $\mathbf{4}$, and therefore $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ may have a negligible contribution to the final product. Of course, for such a complex chemical system, it is difficult to predict accurate branching ratios of various products, which need detailed dynamic or rrkm calculations. So we draw the calculation results qualitatively as a total of eight kinds of products $\mathbf{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\right), \mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ are kinetically feasible, the four products $\mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{P}_{3}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ may be observable, while $\mathbf{P}_{4}, \mathbf{P}_{5}, \mathbf{P}_{6}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ may have undetected yields. Among the four observable products, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the most feasible product, $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ are the second and third products, while $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ may have only a small part.

## 5. Experimental Implication

In 2004, Anicich et al. performed experimental studies on the reaction $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ using the flowing afterglow-selected ion flow tube (FA-SIFT) at room temperature. The experimental results show the products and distributions are the following: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}(0.66)>\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}(0.19)>\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ $(0.09)>\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}(0.06)>\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}(0.01)$. Among these products, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ corresponds to $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ in our result and the distribution is the highest in all the products. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+$ HCN corresponds to $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ which also has an upper distribution. $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}$ correspond to $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{2}$, respectively. This result is in good agreement with our theoretical results. On the other hand, the experimental observed product $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ may be the secondary product of $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ or $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$.

However, based on our theoretical results, it is inaccessible due to the much higher relative energies $110.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to reactant $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. The energies of reactant, isomers, transition states, and products may be different at different temperatures, so further experimental investigation for the title reaction at higher temperatures is still desirable.

## 6. Conclusion

A detailed theoretical study was performed on the reaction of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and the main calculated results can be summarized as follows: via the attack of the C - or N -atom of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$on the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule, three minimum isomers $\mathbf{1}(p-$ $\left.\mathrm{HCCHCHN}^{+}\right), \mathbf{2}\left(v-\mathrm{HCCHCHN}^{+}\right)$, and $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}^{+}\right)$can be formed, followed by a variety of transformations that leads to eight products. Among the eight products, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ is the most favorable product, $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ are the second and third competitive products, followed by the least feasible product $\mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$; other products, namely, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}\left(\mathrm{HCCCNH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCC}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ $\left(\mathrm{HCNCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HNC}\right)$ may become feasible at higher temperatures. Because the intermediates and transition states involved in the reaction of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are lower in energy than the reactants, the total reaction is expected to be rapid, as is confirmed by experiment. Some conclusions are in good agreement with the experimental investigations, and we hope the results may provide useful information for understanding the ion-molecule reaction in Titan's atmosphere.
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