

ADDITIONS AND CORRECTIONS

2007, Volume 111A

Gabriel da Silva and Joseph W. Bozzelli*: Thermochemistry, Bond Energies, and Internal Rotor Potentials of Dimethyl Tetraoxide

Page 12026. Entropy values calculated using the ROTATOR program in Table 3 were not corrected for loss of symmetry in methyl rotors. Table 3 in the original manuscript should read as given below.

From the above table we find that there is now good agreement between the ROTATOR and Ayala and Schlegel

entropies for the CH₃OO radical (all ca. 64–65 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹). For CH₃OOOOCH₃, the Ayala and Schlegel entropies (ca. 86 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) are between the ROTATOR values obtained when treating all internal rotors and only the two methyl internal rotors. We now recommend use of the Ayala and Schlegel “best-fit” entropy (86.61 kcal mol⁻¹). The CH₃OOOOCH₃ entropy in Table 4 should therefore be 86.61 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and the C/H₃/O group entropy in Table 11 should be 29.64 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

We thank Prof. David Golden for alerting us to this oversight.

TABLE 3: Entropies (cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) for CH₃OOOOCH₃ and Its Radicals, Calculated Using Different Internal Rotor Treatments, at the B3LYP/cc-pVTZ+d Level of Theory^a

	CH ₃ OOOOCH ₃	CH ₃ OOOO	CH ₃ OOO	CH ₃ OO	CH ₃ O
RRHO ^b	83.53	95.66	71.37	64.30	56.64
Methyl Rotor(s) ^c	83.76	94.40	71.77	64.68	
All Rotors ^d	89.40	94.58	73.71	64.68	
Ayala and Schlegel (T) ^e	85.69		-11.60	64.09	
Ayala and Schlegel (PG) ^e	86.70		-11.60	64.52	
Ayala and Schlegel (BF) ^e	86.61			64.51	

^a Recommended values are listed in bold. ROTATOR entropies for CH₃OOOOCH₃ corrected by $-R \ln 9$ and CH₃OOOO, CH₃OOO, and CH₃OO corrected by $-R \ln 3$ for loss of methyl rotor symmetry. ^b All vibrational modes treated as frequencies using the rigid-rotor-harmonic-oscillator (RRHO) approximation. ^c Methyl internal rotors treated using the ROTATOR program. ^d All internal rotors treated using the ROTATOR program. ^e RRHO entropies, corrected according to the formalism of Ayala and Schlegel as implemented in Gaussian 03, using the corrections of Truhlar (T), Pitzer and Gwinn (PG), and the “best fit” (BF) values.²⁶

10.1021/jp801491d

Published on Web 04/03/2008