Near-Ultraviolet Photodissociation of Thiophenol[†]

Adam L. Devine, Michael G. D. Nix, Richard N. Dixon, and Michael N. R. Ashfold*

School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, U.K. Received: March 7, 2008; Revised Manuscript Received: April 28, 2008

H(D) Rydberg atom photofragment translational spectroscopy has been used to investigate the dynamics of H(D) atom loss C₆H₅SH(C₆H₅SD) following excitation at many wavelengths λ_{phot} in the range of 225–290 nm. The C_6H_5S cofragments are formed in both their ground (X²B₁) and first excited (²B₂) electronic states, in a distribution of vibrational levels that spreads and shifts to higher internal energies as λ_{phot} is reduced. Excitation at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} > 275$ nm populates levels of the first ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ state, which decay by tunnelling to the dissociative $\pi\sigma^*$ state potential energy surface (PES). S-H torsional motion is identified as a coupling mode facilitating population transfer at the conical intersection (CI) between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PESs. At shorter λ_{phot} , the $\pi\sigma^*$ state is deduced to be populated either directly or by efficient vibronic coupling from higher $\pi\pi^*$ states. Flux evolving on the $1\pi\sigma^*$ PES samples a second CI, at longer R_{S-H} , between the diabatic $1\pi\sigma^*$ and ground $(^{1}\pi\pi)$ PESs, where the electronic branching between ground and excited state C₆H₅S fragments is determined. The $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ and $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products are deduced to be formed in levels with, respectively, a' and a" vibrational symmetry-behavior that reflects both Franck-Condon effects (both in the initial photoexcitation step and in the subsequent in-plane forces acting during dissociation) and the effects of the out-of-plane coupling mode(s), ν_{11} and ν_{16a} , at the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma'/{}^{1}\pi\pi$ CI. The vibrational state assignments enabled by the high-energy resolution of the present data allow new and improved estimations of the bond dissociation energies, $D_0(C_6H_5S-H) \le 28030 \pm 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_0(C_6H_5S-D) \le 28610 \pm 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and of the energy separation between the X²B₁ and ²B₂ states of the C₆H₅S radical, $T_{00} = 2800 \pm 40$ cm⁻¹. Similarities, and differences, between the measured energy disposals accompanying UV photoinduced X-H (X = S, O) bond fission in thiophenol and phenol are discussed.

1. Introduction

Predictions by Sobolewski and Domcke¹ regarding dissociative excited electronic states of ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ character in heteroaromatic molecules have fueled much recent interest in the photofragmentation behavior of these compounds.² Ab initio studies reveal the potential energy surfaces (PESs) of these ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ states to be repulsive in the X-H coordinate (where X is the heteroatom, for example, N, O, or, as here, S), leading to speculations regarding the possible importance of X-H fission in the nonradiative decay of these molecules following ultraviolet (UV) photoexcitation. Calculations³ on first-row heteroaromatic molecules (e.g., phenol, pyrrole, indole) indicate that, in each case, the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ states have 3s Rydberg character in the vertical Franck–Condon region and σ^*_{X-H} antibonding valence character at extended R_{X-H} . These ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ electronic states also exhibit low oscillator strengths from the ground state and large dipole moments. In phenol, the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES was found to intersect both the bound, photobright, diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ state and the ground state in the O-H stretch coordinate. [For clarity, we use the terms ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$, ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$, ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$, etc to label the *diabatic* states (and PESs) of interest, and reserve the descriptors S_0, S_1 , etc for the corresponding adiabatic states and PESs.] These crossing points develop into conical intersections (CIs) with outof-plane distortion of the nuclear framework.⁴

We have presented a detailed study of the H atom loss dynamics following UV photolysis of phenol at many wavelengths in the range of $200 \le \lambda_{phot} \le 280 \text{ nm}^5$ using the H atom photofragment translational spectroscopy (PTS) technique. The measured spectra provide information about the vibrationalstate population distributions in the phenoxyl radical fragments formed upon O–H bond fission, which, in turn, provide insight into the nature of the coupling modes at the CIs between the $\pi\sigma^*/\pi\pi^*$ and $\pi\sigma^*/\pi\pi$ PESs in the O–H dissociation coordinate. To investigate the effect of the heteroatom identity in such heteroaromatics and to explore the generality of X–H fission via $\pi\sigma^*$ states in second-row heteroaromatics, this article focuses on the H/D atom loss pathway following UV photodissociation of thiophenol- h_1 and thiophenol- d_1 (C₆H₅SH and C₆H₅SD), the sulfur analogue of phenol.

Experimental⁶ and theoretical⁷ investigations indicate that the ground-state equilibrium structure of thiophenol is planar, and microwave studies⁸ are consistent with a torsional barrier for rotation about the C-S bond of 270 cm⁻¹. An early PTS study⁹ investigated C-S bond fission in C₆H₅SH (following excitation at 193 nm) and derived a value for the relevant bond dissociation energy, $D_0(C_6H_5-SH) = 365 \pm 8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$. Ion imaging studies of C_6H_5SH (and C_6H_5SD) photolysis at 243.1 nm revealed that the H/D fragments formed upon S-H(D) bond fission have bimodal translational energy distributions, attributable to thiophenoxyl radical formation in both the ground (X^2B_1) and first excited (²B₂) electronic states.^{10,11} Both dissociation channels exhibited anisotropic photofragment recoil velocity (v) distributions, with \mathbf{v} preferentially aligned perpendicular to the laser polarization axis, indicating prompt dissociation, relative to the time scale of molecular rotation. These authors also noted that since these two states of C₆H₅S differ only in the relative orientation of the singly occupied $3p\pi$ orbital localized on the sulfur (parallel or perpendicular to the molecular plane), UV

[†] Part of the "Stephen R. Leone Festschrift".

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: (117)-9288312/ 3. Fax:(117)-9250612. E-mail: mike.ashfold@bris.ac.uk.

photolysis of C₆H₅SH represents a route to achieving intramolecular orbital alignment within this radical. In this article, we present a detailed study of the H/D atom loss pathway from C₆H₅SH/C₆H₅SD following photolysis at many wavelengths within the range of $225 \le \lambda_{phot} \le 290$ nm. We also present complementary "action spectra" for forming H/D atoms, (monitored as H⁺/D⁺ ions) as a function of λ_{phot} . The longwavelength onset for H/D atom formation coincides with the onset of parent absorption at ~290 nm, which we assign to the S₁ – S₀($\pi^* \leftarrow \pi$) origin. A weak, structured, resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) spectrum of the parent ion was also recorded. The long-wavelength onset of this spectrum was found to lie at slightly higher energy than the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ origin; this we interpret in terms of absorption to, and subsequent ionization from, a longer lived triplet state.

The present H atom TOF spectra confirm the previous findings^{10,11} regarding thiophenoxyl radical formation in both the ground and first excited electronic states, even at the longwavelength absorption limit (~290 nm). Structure present in the TOF spectra indicates that these radicals are formed in a select subset of the available vibrational levels, the assignments of which provide detailed insights into the fragmentation mechanisms. Polarization dependence studies reveal that photolysis at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} > 275$ nm results in an isotropic distribution of radical recoil velocities, whereas at shorter wavelengths, the fastest fragments are formed with perpendicular recoil anisotropy. Comparison between H and D atom TOF spectra obtained following photolysis of C₆H₅SH and C₆H₅SD reveals contributions from rival C-H bond fission processes to the measured photofragment yield at a given λ_{phot} , while comparisons between the present data and that reported recently for the related heteroaromatic system, phenol,^{4,5} and the 4-halophenols¹² serve to highlight similarities and differences in the relative activities of different coupling modes at the CIs sampled en route to dissociation that are revealed in the eventual product state distributions.

2. Experimental Section

The experimental setup for the H/D (Rydberg) atom PTS studies has been described previously.¹³ Thiophenol (C₆H₅SH) was obtained commercially (Acros Organics, \geq 99% purity). and its vapor pressure (~2 Torr) seeded in argon backing gas (~700 Torr) was expanded into vacuum through a pulsed valve (General Valve Series 9). Thiophenol- d_1 (C₆H₅SD) was prepared by mixing C₆H₅SH (5 ml) with excess D₂O and shaking vigorously. The resulting C₆H₅SD was vacuum distilled into a glass bulb, which was subsequently filled with argon to a total pressure of 700 Torr, and the gas mixture was admitted to the vacuum system as described above.

Photolysis radiation was provided by the second-harmonic output of a Nd-YAG pumped dye laser (Spectra Physics GCR-270 plus PDL-2), which yielded UV pulse energies in the range of 0.5–3 mJ. This radiation was focused into the interaction region with a 75 cm focal length lens. The wavelength of the dye laser radiation was established by directing a fraction of the fundamental into a wavemeter (Coherent, Wavemaster). The polarization vector (ε_{phot}) could be aligned at any angle θ relative to the TOF axis using a polarization rotator (Newport RFU double Fresnel rhomb). H/D atom TOF spectra were recorded with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 0$, 54.7, and 90° to the detection axis. The photolysis laser pulse was followed, after ~10 ns, by the two pulses used for double-resonant excitation of the H/D atom photoproducts via their n = 2 state to a Rydberg state with high principal quantum number ($n \sim 80$). The requisite photons at



Figure 1. (a) UV absorption spectrum of C_6H_5SH recorded at 77 K, adapted from ref 17.

the Lyman- α wavelength ($\lambda \sim 121.6$ nm, v = 82259 cm⁻¹ (H_{α}) [v = 82280 cm⁻¹ (D_{α})]) and at $\lambda \sim 366$ nm were provided by two dye lasers pumped by a single Nd-YAG laser (Continuum Powerlite 9010). The Lyman- α radiation was generated by mixing the appropriate dye laser output with residual 1064 nm Nd-YAG laser fundamental output and then focussing the resulting linearly polarized 364.7 nm radiation into a phasematched Kr/Ar mixture. The Lyman- α radiation so derived was then refocused (MgF₂ lens) into the interaction volume situated 57 cm beyond the end of the tripling gas cell. The $\lambda = 366$ nm photons required for the second step of the double-resonant excitation scheme were obtained by frequency doubling (in a DCDA crystal) 732 nm dye laser radiation.

The composition of the molecular beam was investigated by resonance-enhanced multiphoton ionization (REMPI) in the source region of a (removable) TOF mass spectrometer within the apparatus. REMPI spectra were obtained by scanning the photolysis laser in the range of $225 \le \lambda_{phot} \le 295$ nm, monitoring the yield of parent (m/z 110) ions. Introducing Lyman- α radiation and the 364.7 nm UV fundamental from which it is derived allowed measurement of action spectra for forming H/D atoms (detected as H⁺/D⁺ ions following (1+1') resonance-enhanced threshold ionization).

The length of the TOF axis used in these experiments (d = 370-371 mm) was frequently recalibrated by recording TOF spectra of H/D atoms resulting from H₂S/D₂S photolysis at 225 $\leq \lambda_{\text{phot}} \leq 260$ nm and least-squares fitting to well-characterized peaks associated with the various H/D + SH/SD (X, v, N) product channels^{14,15} using literature values for D_0 (H–SH), D_0 (D–SD), and the respective diatomic term values.¹⁶

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mass Spectroscopy and REMPI Excitation Studies. Ion TOF mass spectra (MS) of C_6H_5SH were recorded at many wavelengths in the range of $225 \le \lambda_{phot} \le 290$ nm using various laser pulse combinations and relative timings in order to gain insight into the various photofragmentation pathways. The 77 K electronic absorption spectrum of thiophenol (reproduced in Figure 1) shows the onset of UV absorption at ~34000 cm⁻¹ (294 nm).¹⁷ The ionization potential of thiophenol (8.30 eV¹⁸) lies below the two-photon energy at this wavelength, yet minimal parent (*m*/*z* 110) ion signal was observed when exciting at any $\lambda_{phot} > 284$ nm. As Figure 2a shows, a weak, structured parent ion spectrum with origin at $\lambda_{phot} = 282.975$ nm ($\hat{\nu}_{00} = 35339 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) was observed, however. The parent ion yield declined as λ_{phot} was reduced further, and by $\lambda_{phot} = 265$ nm, little photolysis-laser-dependent *m*/*z* 110 ion yield was observed.



Figure 2. Excitation (or action) spectra for forming ions with (a) m/z 110 (the parent ion mass) following one color multiphoton ionization of excitation of a jet-cooled sample of C₆H₅SH, (b) m/z 1 (H⁺) following ionization of neutral H atom products arising in the one UV photon dissociation of C₆H₅SH, and (c) m/z 2 (D⁺) from the corresponding UV photolysis of C₆H₅SD. The inset in (a) shows the calculated pattern of ground- and excited-state torsional levels and the $\Delta \tau_{S-H} = 0$ transitions to which we attribute the observed fine structure.

able. The separations between the sharp peaks near this origin are $\sim 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Such small peak spacings are most plausibly associated with torsional transitions of the S-H rotor. Calculations employing model V_2 torsional potentials with respective barrier heights of 300 cm⁻¹ for the S₀ state (cf. an experimental estimate of $\sim 267 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (ref 8)) and 590 cm⁻¹ for the excited state (illustrated in the inset to Figure 2a) reproduce the observed structure satisfactorily and show that these features are associated with $\Delta \tau_{\rm S-H} = 0$ transitions from ground-state levels, with $\tau_{\rm S-H} = 0, 1, 2, 3,...$ The calculations succeed in reproducing the evident splitting of the fourth peak (attributable to tunneling splitting of the 3a₁ and 3a₂ torsional components of the τ_{S-H} = 3 level in the S₀ state) but require the assumption of a torsional temperature of ~ 300 K within the S₀ state in order to replicate the observed intensity distribution. It is currently unclear why this torsional mode should cool so inefficiently in our molecular beam expansion. [The first (τ_1^1) member of the corresponding torsional progression was observed, weakly, in the action spectra for forming both parent ions and H atom products in previous studies of phenol in this same apparatus,⁵ but the torsional level spacing in that case is much larger ($\tau_{\rm O-H} = 309 \text{ cm}^{-1}$)]. A roomtemperature Boltzmann distribution over the deduced torsional levels of C₆H₅SH(S₀) yields an average internal energy of $\langle E_{\text{int}}(C_6H_5SH)\rangle \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The structure evident in the range of 281 $\leq \lambda_{phot} \leq$ 282.975 nm repeats at higher wavenumbers, most notably at $\tilde{\nu}_{00} + 372 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\tilde{\nu}_{00} + 682 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $\tilde{\nu}_{00} +$ 946 cm⁻¹. This we attribute to analogous torsional progressions, built off of different vibrational levels within the excited electronic state. This parent ion yield is presumed to arise as a result of 1 + 1 REMPI via a relatively long-lived state with

TABLE 1: Vertical Excitation Energies of (and Oscillator Strengths to) the first few Singlet and Triplet Excited States of C_6H_5SH , Calculated at the Ground-State Equilibrium Geometry at the TD-DFT HCTH/6-311G++(d,p) Level

	•				
state	excitation energy / eV	wavelength / nm	state symmetry	nature of excitation	oscillator strength
T ₁	3.59	345	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	N/A
T_2	3.82	324	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	N/A
T_3	4.35	285	A‴	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*$	N/A
S_1	4.36	285	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	0.009
T_4	4.37	283	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	N/A
S_2	4.52	274	A‴	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*$	0.003
S_3	4.89	254	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	0.250
T_5	4.90	253	A'	$\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$	N/A
T_6	5.10	243	A‴	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*$	N/A
S_4	5.17	240	Α‴	$\pi \rightarrow \sigma^*$	0.002

small oscillator strength, possibly a triplet state. Similar studies of the C_6H_5SD isotopologue failed to identify any corresponding wavelength-dependent parent ion yield.

The Lyman- α radiation (together with the 364.7 nm precursor radiation from which it is derived) alone also yielded a weak parent $(m/z \ 110)$ ion signal but negligible H⁺ or other parent ion fragmentation products. However, TOF-MS recorded with both the photolysis and Lyman- α pulses present, with the latter delayed by ~ 10 ns, showed a dominant H⁺ ion signal. Twocolor excitation spectra for forming H⁺/D⁺ ions from C₆H₅SH/ C_6H_5SD were recorded across the range of $240 \le \lambda_{phot} \le 295$ nm. As shown in Figure 2b and c, both spectra exhibit a number of broad features and very similar long-wavelength onsets for H/D atom formation. The action spectrum for forming D atom products is more structured, but in both cases, the structure (though not the yield) disappears once $\lambda_{\text{phot}} < 265$ nm. These action spectra match well with the previously reported onset of UV absorption and are assigned in terms of excitation to (various vibrational levels of) the S₁ state that dissociates to yield H/D atoms amongst the dissociation products. Torsional structure analogous to that observed in the parent C₆H₅SH⁺ two-photon ionization spectrum (Figure 2a) can be expected to accompany each vibrational band in the S_1-S_0 spectrum, which will contribute to the breadths of the individual features in the action spectra for forming H/D atom photoproducts. Given a similar pattern of torsional fine structure to that in Figure 2a, the breadth of the S₁-S₀ origin in C₆H₅SH (centred at $\lambda_{phot} = 285.8$ nm) can be reproduced by ascribing Lorentzian widths to the individual features, consistent with an excited-state lifetime of \sim 130 fs. This short excited-state lifetime presumably accounts for the non-observation of these broad S1-S0 features in the one-color spectrum for forming parent ions.

3.2. Ab Initio Calculations. Ab initio calculations using time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) with the HCTH(407) exchange correlation functional¹⁹ were undertaken to ascertain the excited electronic states of C₆H₅SH that might be important in the wavelength range of interest. The groundstate geometry was optimized at the DFT HCTH/6-311G++(d,p)level. Vertical excitation energies calculated with TD-DFT at this geometry, using the same functional and basis set, are listed in Table 1. These studies confirm that the highest occupied molecular orbital in the ground state is dominated by a nonbonding $3p\pi$ orbital localized on sulfur and predict that the $S_1(1\pi\pi^*)$ state lies at an energy of 4.36 eV, in good agreement with the onsets of both UV absorption (Figure 1) and H atom loss (as revealed by the H⁺ action spectrum (Figure 2b)). Two triplet $\pi^* \leftarrow \pi$ states are predicted at lower excitation energies. The lack of UV absorption at $\lambda > 294 \text{ nm}^{17}$ indicates that these



Figure 3. Sections, along R_{S-H} , through the diabatic PESs for the ground, ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ states of C₆H₅SH, adapted from Lim et al.¹¹ to match the energetics derived in the present experiments.

 $T_1({}^3\pi\pi^*) \leftarrow S_0$ and $T_2({}^3\pi\pi^*) \leftarrow S_0$ excitations have negligible transition moments. However, $T_n \leftarrow S_0$ absorption near the S_1-S_0 origin could be enhanced by spin-orbit interaction with the $S_1({}^1\pi\pi^*)$ state, and the TD-DFT calculations suggest the $T_3({}^3\pi\sigma^*)$ or, more probably, the $T_4({}^3\pi\pi^*)$ excited state as a possible carrier for the structured parent absorption identified in Figure 2a. The vertical transition to the $S_2({}^1\pi\sigma^*)$ state is predicted at 4.52 eV. The antibonding σ^* orbital is largely localized on the S-H bond, suggesting that this state is likely to be repulsive in the S-H stretch coordinate and identifying this state as the analogue of the ${}^1\pi\sigma^*$ state implicated in O-H bond fission in phenols.^{3-5,12} The $S_3({}^1\pi\pi^*) \leftarrow S_0$ excitation is calculated to lie at ~4.9 eV and to have a large oscillator strength, in good accord with the marked increase in parent absorption at $\lambda \sim 250 \text{ nm}^{11,17}$ (see Figure 1).

These findings agree well with the results of higher-level electronic structure calculations reported by Lim et al.¹¹ These confirm that the ground state of C₆H₅SH correlates diabatically with the excited $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ state of the radical and that the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state is indeed dissociative in $R_{\rm S-H}$, correlating to ground-state $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1) + H$ products. As noted previously, these two states of the C₆H₅S radical differ in the relative orientation of the singly occupied $3p\pi$ orbital on the sulfur atom which lies, respectively, perpendicular (in the X^2B_1 state) and parallel (in the excited 2B_2 state) to the molecular plane. Thus, the PES of the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state must cross those of the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ and ground states, and these crossings must develop into CIs given the appropriate out-of-plane distortion.³ An approximate potential energy profile, illustrating only the singlet states of thiophenol and based on the ab initio potentials of Lim et al.¹¹ but scaled to match energetics established in the present experimental study, is displayed in Figure 3. Following the convention set by the early work of Sobolewski et al.³ and for ease of comparison with the description of our corresponding UV photolysis studies of phenol,⁵ we label the CIs of interest in the present work in terms of the interacting diabatic states, that is, the CI at large $R_{\rm S-H}$ is between the $1\pi\sigma^*$ and $1\pi\pi$ diabatic states. The PES of the ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state was found to lie at a slightly lower vertical excitation energy than its ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ analogue and, in the absence of spin-orbit interaction, to correlate with the same asymptotic limit. However, due to spin-orbit interaction, the ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES displays an avoided crossing with the (adiabatic) ground-state PES, with the result that the ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state correlates, asymptotically, with the excited $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ + H radical products. The calculations¹¹ also reveal a higher-energy dissociative ${}^{3}\sigma\sigma^{*}$ state, correlating to this same (excited) dissociation limit. At short R_{S-H} , however, the ${}^{3}\sigma\sigma^{*}$ state suffers an avoided crossing with the bound $T_1({}^3\pi\pi^*)$ diabatic state. Thus, the lowest-energy (adiabatic) PES of ${}^3A'$ symmetry is calculated to have dominant $T_1({}^3\pi\pi^*)$ character in the vertical Franck–Condon region, repulsive ${}^3\sigma\sigma^*$ character at longer R_{S-H} , and to correlate with the asymptotic products $C_6H_5S({}^2B_2) + H$.

Guided by these calculations, Lim et al.¹¹ envisaged three possible fragmentation mechanisms that might account for the anisotropic bimodal velocity distributions observed in their imaging studies of the H/D atoms following 243.1 nm photolysis of C₆H₅SH and C₆H₅SD. All assume initial excitation to the repulsive ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES but differ in the details of the mechanism for subsequent S-H(D) bond rupture. The proposed possibilities involved:

(i) Intersystem crossing (ISC) between the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ and ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ surfaces at short R_{S-H} before the region of the spin-orbitinduced avoided crossing between the ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ and ground-state PESs, which might allow some ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ population to couple onto the ${}^{3}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES. Dissociation on the latter surface leads to the excited products $C_{6}H_{5}S(^{2}B_{2}) + H$, whereas dissociation on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES generates ground-state $C_{6}H_{5}S(X^{2}B_{1}) + H$ products.

(ii) The CI between the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ surface and the ground state at extended R_{S-H} could offer a means of coupling flux on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES onto the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi$ potential, dissociation on which leads to excited-state products. Flux passing through the CI, however, and remaining on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES would yield ground-state radical products.

(iii) Dissociation on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES to C₆H₅S(X²B₁) + H products was envisaged as being in competition with ISC to, and predissociation on, the ${}^{3}\sigma\sigma^{*}$ surface, which would yield excited-state products.

As shown below, the most straightforward interpretation of the experimental PTS data reported here implies a dominant role for mechanism (ii).

3.3. H/D Atom Photofragment Translational Energy Spectra. H/D atom TOF spectra were recorded following photodissociation of C₆H₅SH/C₆H₅SD at many wavelengths within the range of $225 \le \lambda_{\text{phot}} \le 290$ nm. Consistent with the studies of Lim et al.,^{10,11} the fast H/D atoms are deduced to arise via S-H/D bond fission, generating C₆H₅S radicals as the cofragments. The measured TOF data are converted to total kinetic energy release (TKER) spectra using the relation

$$\text{TKER} = \frac{1}{2}m_{\text{H/D}} \left(1 + \frac{m_{\text{H/D}}}{m_{\text{R}}}\right) \left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

where $m_{\rm H/D}$ and $m_{\rm R}$ are the mass of the H/D atom ($m_{\rm H} = 1.0079$ u/ $m_{\rm D} = 2.0141$ u) and the partner fragment ($m_{\rm R} = 109.17$ u), respectively, *d* is the distance separating the interaction volume and the center of the front face of the detector, and *t* is the measured H/D atom TOF. Intensity "re-binning" required use of the appropriate t^{-3} Jacobian for converting such TOF data into TKER space.

Figure 4 shows illustrative H atom TOF spectra recorded following photolysis of C₆H₅SH at $\lambda_{phot} = 290.00$, 282.80, and 247.50 nm (with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$), along with the resulting TKER spectra. The detailed forms of these spectra depend on λ_{phot} , but all three show two distinct, structured features. These are attributable to formation of C₆H₅S fragments in various vibrational levels of both the ground (X²B₁) and first excited (²B₂) electronic states. Assignment of this structure relies on DFT (Gaussian 03)²⁰ calculations (at the B3LYP/6-311g++(d,p) level) of the vibrational wavenumbers of the C₆H₅S radical in the two electronic states. The results of these calculations, which also



Figure 4. H atom TOF spectra and the corresponding TKER spectra of the H + C₆H₅S fragments obtained following photolysis of C₆H₅SH at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = (a)$ 290.00, (b) 282.80, and (c) 247.50 nm, with $\varepsilon_{\text{phot}}$ aligned at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ to the TOF axis.

serve to confirm the planarity of the radical in both electronic states, are listed in Appendix 1. Vibrational wavenumbers for the C₆H₅SH parent molecule in its ground state, calculated at the same level of theory, are in good agreement with experimental values,⁸ encouraging confidence in the reliability of the predicted radical wavenumbers. The Wilson mode numbering scheme²¹ is used throughout since it is insensitive to the loss of the three S-H modes and thus serves to illustrate the complementarity of the ring vibrations in the parent and radical products. The relative peak intensities in the TKER spectra reflect the branching ratios for forming C_6H_5S products in specific electronic ($X^2B_1/^2B_2$) and vibrational (v) states, while the widths of the peaks indicates that the radical products are formed with relatively little rotational excitation. As Figure 4c shows, an unstructured feature becomes increasingly evident at low TKER as λ_{phot} is reduced. The TKER of this broad feature, which is centred at ~ 2300 $\rm cm^{-1}~(\sim 3300~\rm cm^{-1}$ in the case of D atoms from photolysis of C₆H₅SD), is largely insensitive to λ_{phot} . Such observations are very reminiscent of similar broad features observed in the TKER spectra derived from H atom TOF spectra in many other heteroaromatics, for example, phenol,⁵ and as in those cases, we attribute this signal to "statistical" unimolecular decay of highly vibrationally excited parent molecules formed by radiationless transfer following one- (and multi-) photon excitation. The detailed forms of the measured TKER spectra evolve with λ_{phot} . These trends, and their interpretation, are presented below in a number of subsections partitioned to assist the reader.

3.3.1. Photodissociation at Long Wavelengths, $\lambda_{phot} > 275$ nm. Overview and $D_0(C_6H_5S-H)$. The action spectra for forming H/D atom products at these wavelengths are structured (Figure 2). As Figure 5 shows, the TKER spectra obtained from H atom TOF spectra recorded in this wavelength range also show the most clearly resolved structure, but polarization studies reveal that the distribution of fragment recoil velocities is isotropic. $\lambda_{phot} = 290.00$ nm is the longest wavelength at which H atom



Figure 5. Internal energy spectra of C₆H₅S radicals formed following excitation of C₆H₅SH at $\lambda_{phot} = (a) 290.00$, (b) 285.80, (c) 282.80, (d) 277.50, and (e) 275.00 nm, recorded with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ to the TOF axis. The assignments have been positioned using the ab initio anharmonic wavenumbers listed in Appendix 1.

formation is observed. Analysis of the TKER spectra obtained at this ((Figure 5a) and neighboring wavelengths allows determination of the S–H bond strength, $D_0(C_6H_5S-H)$, via the relationship

$$D_0(C_6H_5S-H) = E_{phot} + E_{int}(C_6H_5SH) - TKER - E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$$
(2)

where $E_{int}(C_6H_5SH)$ and $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ are, respectively, the internal energies of the S₀ parent molecule and of the C₆H₅S photoproduct. Our analysis of the parent excitation spectrum (Figure 2a) implies a mean value of $\langle E_{int}(C_6H_5SH) \rangle \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, associated with the appreciable population of torsional levels with $\tau_{S-H} > 0$. Since the H atoms of interest arise via S–H bond fission, this $E_{int}(C_6H_5SH)$ cannot be retained in the dissociation; it will be largely converted into TKER, with a small partitioning into framework rotation. The nonzero $E_{int}(C_6H_5SH)$ thus contributes a $\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ shift in the TKER spectra, while the spread of possible $E_{int}(C_6H_5SH)$ (associated with the photodissociation of parent molecules with $\tau_{S-H} = 0$, 1, 2, etc.) serves to broaden the observed TKER peaks.

As will be demonstrated below, the peak at the highest TKER in spectra such as that obtained at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 290.00$ nm is most plausibly assigned to formation of H + C₆H₅S(X²B₁, v = 0)



Figure 6. Internal energy spectra of C₆H₅S radicals formed following excitation of C₆H₅SD at $\lambda_{phot} = (a) 285.80$, (b) 282.80, (c) 280.245, (d) 278.272, (e) 277.161, and (f) 274.421 nm, recorded with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ to the TOF axis. The assignments have been positioned using the ab initio anharmonic wavenumbers listed in Appendix 1.

products (i.e., products with $E_{int}(C_6H_5S) = 0$). Given this assignment, and assuming $\langle E_{int}(C_6H_5SH)\rangle = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, eq 2 yields $D_0(C_6H_5S-H) = 28030 \pm 100 \text{ cm}^{-1} (335.3 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ})$ mol^{-1}). As discussed below, this value should be regarded as an upper limit since we cannot definitively exclude the possibility that all of the products carry an additional (unrecognized) quantum of vibration. A similar analysis of the (less well resolved) TKER spectra of $D + C_6H_5S$ products resulting from long-wavelength photolysis of C₆H₅SD, again assuming $E_{\text{int}}(C_6H_5SD) = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ as a result of the population of excited torsional levels of the S₀ state, yields $D_0(C_6H_5S-D) \le 28610$ \pm 100 cm⁻¹. The difference between $D_0(C_6H_5S-D)$ and $D_0(C_6H_5S-H)$, ~580 cm⁻¹, agrees sensibly with the difference in the zero-point energies of the three modes that disappear upon S-H(D) bond fission. The bond dissociation energy determined here is in reasonable agreement with (and defined to higher precision than) the previous experimental estimates of this quantity^{11,22-24} and with the reported theoretical values.^{11,24-29}

Given $D_0(C_6H_5S-H)$, eq 2 can be rearranged so that TKER spectra can be replotted on a common $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ internal energy scale, which is independent of λ_{phot} and aids assignment of the vibrational energy disposal within these products. The spectra displayed in Figure 5 are plotted in this way, as are the



Figure 7. Illustrations of the nuclear motions associated with active vibrational modes identified in the C₆H₅S products arising in the long-wavelength photolysis of C₆H₅SH and C₆H₅SD; (a–c) show the inplane vibrations induced by dynamic (dFC) or vertical (vFC) Franck–Condon effects, (d) and (e) show the modes induced by dynamics at the conical intersection, and (f) shows the C–S wagging vibration, ν_{18b} , induced impulsively during the dissociation.

corresponding spectra obtained by monitoring D atom loss following excitation of C_6H_5SD in this same long-wavelength range (Figure 6). Peak assignments, based on the calculated vibrational wavenumbers listed in Appendix 1, are shown above the relevant spectra in these figures. Each spectrum shows a number of characteristic features, which are now reviewed.

Energy Disposal and Product Mode Assignments. Consider the $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 290.00$ nm data shown in Figure 5a. The peak separations in the low $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ region are noticeably wider than those at higher internal energy. The former structure can be assigned in terms of formation of ground-state $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ radicals in their v = 0 level and in levels carrying one or two quanta of excitation in modes v_{18b} (the in-plane C-S wag) and v_{6a} (a symmetric ring breathing mode). For future reference, the nuclear motions associated with these and other modes, introduced subsequently, are displayed in Figure 7. The set of peaks beginning at $E_{int}(C_6H_5S) = 2960 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ + H product channel. However, the spacings between these peaks cannot be assigned using the vibrational wavenumbers listed in Appendix 1 if the 2960 cm⁻¹ peak is assigned to $C_6H_5S(^2B_2, v = 0)$ products. These, and the companion peaks in all spectra displayed in Figures 5 and 6, can only be assigned consistently if the 2960 cm⁻¹ feature is associated with formation of C6H5S(2B2) radicals with one quantum of excitation in the lowest-frequency a" mode (v_{11} , a ring-puckering vibration with $b_1(C_{2\nu})$ symmetry). The peak at $E_{\text{int}}(C_6H_5S) = 3215 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ can then be assigned to $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products carrying a quantum of ν_{16a} (another low-frequency a" ring-puckering mode of a_2 symmetry in C_{2v}). The peaks at $E_{\text{int}}(C_6H_5S) = 3420$ and at ~4090 cm⁻¹ are consistent with formation of $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ radicals carrying one quantum of ν_{11} together with, respectively, a quantum of ν_{6a} or ν_{15} (both of which are in-plane ring breathing modes). Significantly, these assignments imply that all modes active in the $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ products have a' vibrational symmetry, whereas the populated levels of the $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products all have a" vibrational symmetry. Given these assignments, the energy difference between the electronic origins of the X²B₁ and ²B₂ states of the thiophenoxyl radical is determined as $T_{00} = 2800 \pm 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, in reasonable accord with a recent theoretical estimate (2674 cm^{-1} (ref 11)).

The spectrum obtained at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 285.80$ nm (Figure 5b) is very similar, though the branching into levels carrying a quantum of v_{6a} is enhanced in both product channels and additional peaks appear that are attributable to the population of levels carrying a quantum of v_1 (another symmetric ring breathing mode; see Figure 7). The $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 282.80$ nm spectrum (Figure 5c), obtained following excitation at the maximum of the second peak in the action spectrum for forming H atom products (Figure 2b), again shows some similarities but also noteworthy differences. The relative yield of $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1, v =$ 0) radicals is much reduced, but new features attributable to the population of levels with $v_{16a} \ge 2$ appear (particularly in the C₆H₅S(²B₂) product channel), suggesting that v_{6a} may be active in the $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ excitation at this wavelength. Similar behavior (albeit involving different in-plane modes) is observed at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 277.50$ and 275.00 nm, resonant with further weak peaks in the action spectrum for forming H atom products. The strongest features in the former spectrum (Figure 5d) indicate population of levels involving $v_{15} = 1$ (alone in the case of the $X^{2}B_{1}$ products and in combination with $v_{11} = 1$ in the case of $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$), whereas peaks attributable to population of combination levels with excitation in both v_{15} and v_{6a} are clearly evident in the $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 275.00$ nm spectrum (Figure 5e).

As Figure 6 shows, similar trends are found in the $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ spectra obtained following long-wavelength photolysis of C₆H₅SD. The data obtained at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 285.80$ and 282.80 nm (Figure 6a and b), following excitation at the centers of the first two broad features in the action spectrum for forming D atoms (Figure 2c) reveal $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ distributions similar to, though less well resolved than, those seen in the analogous C₆H₅SH studies (Figure 5b and c). As in that case, the structure is interpretable in terms of the population of levels involving ν_{6a} and ν_{18b} (in combination with the out-of-plane mode ν_{11} in the case of the ${}^{2}B_{2}$ products). The poorer resolution of these TKER spectra is most probably a reflection of increased rotational excitation of the C₆H₅S product as a result of the greater impulse accompanying S-D bond fission. Similar trends have been noted when comparing peak shapes in TKER spectra of the H + pyrrolyl and D + pyrrolyl- d_4 products resulting from UV photolysis of pyrrole and pyrrole- d_5 .^{13,30} Moving to shorter λ_{phot} , weak features indicative of population in product modes involving quanta of ν_{12} (at $\lambda_{phot} = 280.245$ nm, Figure 6c), ν_1 (at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 278.272$ nm, Figure 6d), ν_{15} (at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 277.161$ nm, Figure 6e), and the combination mode $v_{15} + v_{6a}$ (at $\lambda_{phot} =$ 274.421 nm, Figure 6f) are recognizable as is the general trend for the population distribution within both product channels to shift to higher internal energy.

Several of the foregoing observations have clear parallels with previous findings regarding the photofragmentation of phenol following excitation near its S_1-S_0 origin.⁵ The finding that the H(D) atoms formed at these long wavelengths have isotropic recoil velocity distributions suggests that the dissociation time scale is longer than the rotational period of the excited-state molecule. Such an observation is consistent with the assumption that the initial absorption is to the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ (S₁) state. Reference to Figure 3 suggests that subsequent S-H(D) bond fission must involve radiationless transfer to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES, either directly by tunnelling from S₁ or possibly, as in the case of phenol, via internal conversion to high vibrational levels of the ${}^{1}\pi\pi$ state and subsequent transfer at the long-range CI between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\pi$ PESs. The foregoing product vibrational state assignments provide further insights into the dissociation dynamics.

Symmetry Considerations. The S_1 (and S_0) states of the parent molecule have A' electronic symmetry, whereas the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state has A" electronic symmetry. The X²B₁ state of the C₆H₅S radical transforms as A" in the C_s point group of the parent, while the ²B₂ excited state of the radical has A' symmetry. Experimentally, we observe population of a' vibrational levels of the X²B₁ state product and a" vibrational levels of the ²B₂ state radical, that is, all identified product levels have A" vibronic symmetry. Consider parent excitation at the S_1-S_0 origin. Both v = 0 levels have a' vibrational (and A' vibronic) symmetry. Indeed, Franck-Condon arguments require that any S1 level populated by excitation from the $S_0(v = 0)$ level should have A' vibronic symmetry. (As noted previously, a significant fraction of the $C_6H_5SH(C_6H_5SD)$ sample in the present experiments is in levels with $\tau_{\rm S-H}$ = odd; therefore, the ensemble of photoexcited molecules is likely to contain states of both A' and A" vibronic symmetry.) Overall vibronic symmetry must be conserved in the subsequent evolution to dissociation products. On this basis, products with A' vibronic symmetry might have been expected, contrary to observation. This apparent dichotomy can be resolved, however, if we assume that coupling between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PESs is mediated by S–H torsion (an a" mode). Transfer of flux from an ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ level of A'(A") vibronic symmetry would thus result in population of ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ levels carrying an odd(even) number of quanta in τ_{S-H} . Motion in this mode must "soften" upon S-H extension, however, and eventually be lost as product translation (and/or framework rotation). As mentioned previously, we recognize the possibility that all of the observed product states might carry an additional quantum of vibration in another a" mode arising as a result of the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*/1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ coupling, as observed in the case of phenol photolysis at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} < 246$ nm, where all phenoxyl fragments are deduced to carry a quantum of v_{16b} .⁵ Unfortunately, these two possibilities are not distinguishable in the present experiments; the consequence of the alternative interpretation (and the resulting offset of the implied E_{int}) would be to lower the bond strength estimates given above.

Mode-Specific, Nonadiabatic Dissociation Dynamics. The deduced energy disposal shows a number of interesting contrasts with that observed in the case of phenol following photoexcitation to low vibrational levels of its $S_1(1\pi\pi^*)$ state. This first concerns the mechanism by which flux transfers to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state, which, in the case of thiophenol, even at the longest excitation wavelengths, is deduced to involve (S-H torsion induced) coupling at the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}/{}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ CI. In contrast to the phenol case, there is no "shift" in the deduced E_{int} of the peak at highest TKER upon varying λ_{phot} , implying a common fragmentation mechanism (i.e., via the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*/1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ CI) across the wavelength range investigated. The evolving flux is therefore sensitive to the upper part of the CI between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\pi$ PESs at longer R_{S-H} , in contrast to the case of phenol at long $\lambda_{\rm phot}$, where the dissociating molecules are deduced to sample the lower part of the corresponding CI after internal conversion to high vibrational levels of the ground state.⁵ A second difference arises at the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI itself, where, in the case of the C₆H₅SH, dissociating flux has the opportunity to branch into two different electronic states of the C₆H₅S product. The corresponding first excited ²B₂ state in the phenoxyl radical lies \sim 8900 cm⁻¹ above the ground state,³¹ reflecting the much greater interaction between the singly occupied $p\pi$ orbital of the heteroatom and the π system of the ring in the case of C₆H₅O. As a result, the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI in phenol occurs at shorter $R_{\rm X-H}$ bond lengths, the difference in the respective potential gradients in the region of the intersection is much greater,

TABLE 2: Wavelengths and Wavenumbers of Prominent Peaks in the Action Spectrum for Forming D Atoms Following Photolysis of C_6H_5SD Molecules, Together with Vibronic Assignments Proposed by Analysis of the Accompanying TKER Spectra and the Approximate Vibrational Term Values (relative to the S₁ origin)

$\tilde{\nu}_{\rm phot}$ /cm ⁻¹	$S_1 - S_0$ transition	S_1 term value / cm ⁻¹
34990	0_{0}^{0}	0
35360	$6a_0^1$	370
35680	12^{1}_{0}	690
35940	1_{0}^{1}	950
36080	15^{1}_{0}	1090
36320	$1^1_0 + 6a^1_0$	1330
36440	$15^1_0 + 6a^1_0$	1450
	$\frac{\tilde{\nu}_{phot} \ /cm^{-1}}{34990} \\ \frac{34990}{35360} \\ \frac{35680}{35940} \\ \frac{35940}{36080} \\ \frac{36320}{36420} \\ \frac{36440}{36440} $	$\begin{array}{c c} \tilde{\nu}_{\text{phot}} \ /cm^{-1} & S_1 - S_0 \ \text{transition} \\ \hline 34990 & 0_0^0 \\ 35360 & 6a_0^1 \\ 35680 & 12_0^1 \\ 35940 & 1_0^1 \\ 36080 & 15_0^1 \\ 36320 & 1_0^1 + 6a_0^1 \\ 36440 & 15_0^1 + 6a_0^1 \\ \hline \end{array}$

dissociating flux shows a greater tendency to follow the diabatic pathway, and dissociation to electronically excited phenoxyl products is excluded on energetic grounds (except at much shorter λ_{phot} , or following prior excitation to selected vibrational levels within the ground state.³²)

The product energy disposal can thus be rationalized as follows. Flux on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES that follows the diabatic route through the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI evolves to H + C₆H₅S(X²B₁) products. Vibronic symmetry conservation requires that these products are formed in a' vibrational levels, as observed. Dissociation following excitation to the S₁ origin level results in population of product modes v_{18b} and v_{6a} , as well as the v = 0 level. Activity in the former mode is understandable in terms of the (relatively weak, in-plane) impulse exerted by the departing H(D) atom, while the observed activity in v_{6a} likely reflects changes in this low-frequency ring vibration during the evolution from the excited parent molecule to product radical. Such excitations will be described as dynamic Franck-Condon (dFC) effects from hereon. As in phenol,⁵ activity in other a' vibrational modes (ν_1 , ν_{6a} , ν_{12} , ν_{15} , and the $\nu_{6a} + \nu_{15}$ combination mode in the case of thiophenol) identified when exciting at selected shorter wavelengths in this range can be explained in terms of conservation of "spectator" modes that are introduced in the S1 \leftarrow S₀ excitation step and carry through, adiabatically, into the products. Such product excitations will henceforth be described as originating from vertical Franck-Condon (vFC) excitation within the parent. Given the close similarities in the wavenumbers of each of these conserved modes in the (ground-state) parent and the corresponding modes in both states of the radical (see Appendix 1), identifying these modes offers a route to assigning the diffuse structure within the respective action spectra for forming H and D atoms. Assignments derived in this way for some of the more intense features in the action spectrum for forming D atoms from photolysis of C₆H₅SD (Figure 2c) are collected in Table 2. We note that the first three such separations match very well with those identified in the earlier analysis of the structured parent REMPI spectrum, implying that, at least with regards to these in-plane ring motions, the geometry of, and bonding within, the excited state providing the resonance enhancement is very similar to that in the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ state.

Flux on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES that follows the adiabatic route through the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI evolves to C₆H₅S(²B₂) excited-state products. Vibronic symmetry conservation in this case requires that these products are formed in a" vibrational levels, again as observed. The most obvious features in this product channel when exciting via the S₁ origin indicate population of levels with $v_{11} = 1$ or $v_{16a} = 1$; no v = 0 products are observed. Interestingly, the recent PTS studies of phenol dissociation via the corresponding ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI identified activity in these same two coupling



Figure 8. Internal energy spectra of C₆H₅S radicals formed following excitation of C₆H₅SH at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 272.50$ nm, recorded with $\varepsilon_{\text{phot}}$ aligned at $\theta = 90$ (b) and 0° (c) to the TOF axis; (a) shows the deduced variation of β with $E_{\text{int}}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5}\text{S})$ and thus TKER.

modes.⁵ As in that case, the implication of this vibrational assignment is that parent modes v_{11} and v_{16a} can both be activated by coupling at the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI, with the former seemingly the more active coupling mode in this case. These, and τ_{S-H} , will henceforth be referred to as CI-induced coupling modes. Population of other levels, involving a' modes in combination with v_{11} or v_{16a} , is identifiable in spectra recorded at shorter λ_{phot} . The active a' modes are largely the same as the dFC and vFC modes identified in the X²B₁ radical products, but again, some subtle differences can be recognized. For example, the spectrum recorded at $\lambda_{phot} = 290$ nm reveals activity in v_{18b} (the in-plane C-S bend, which we have identified as a dFC mode) in the ground-state products but not in the ${}^{2}B_{2}$ product channel. Is this simply a consequence of the reduced TKER associated with these excited state products (and thus the reduced impulse from the departing H/D atom), or does it hint that fragmentation to excited-state products is favored by nonplanar or more collinear dissociation geometries?

3.3.2. Photodissociation at Wavelengths λ_{phot} < 275 nm. Product-Mode Assignments and Recoil Anisotropy. The $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ spectrum obtained following excitation of C_6H_5SH at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 275.00$ nm (Figure 5e) hides a subtlety; this is the longest-wavelength spectrum within which the faster features exhibit discernible recoil anisotropy. Recoil anisotropy can be seen more clearly in data recorded at shorter wavelengths, for both C₆H₅SH and C₆H₅SD. By way of illustration, Figure 8 shows $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ spectra from C_6H_5SH photolysis at $\lambda_{phot} =$ 272.50 nm recorded with ε_{phot} aligned at, respectively, $\theta = 0$ (c) and 90° (b) to the TOF axis. The structure evident in Figure 8b is reminiscent of that seen at the longest λ_{phot} (Figure 5a) and can be assigned accordingly, but these spectra also reveal more population of levels with higher internal energy, in both product channels. These data, together with the corresponding spectra recorded with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 54.7^{\circ}$, allow self-



Figure 9. Internal energy spectra of C₆H₅S radicals formed following excitation of C₆H₅SH at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 257.50$ nm, recorded with $\varepsilon_{\text{phot}}$ aligned at $\theta = 90$ (b) and 0° (c) to the TOF axis; (a) shows the deduced variation of β with $E_{\text{int}}(\text{C}_{6}\text{H}_{5}\text{S})$ and thus TKER.

normalization of the respective spectra and determination of the TKER (and $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$) dependence of the recoil anisotropy parameter, β (Figure 8a).¹⁵ Three features of these data merit particular note. First, branching into the lowest energy levels of the excited C₆H₅S(²B₂) products at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 272.50$ nm is noticeably stronger than that at $\lambda_{phot} = 275.00$ or 277.50 nm (Figure 5e and d). Second, the fastest features in both product channels are more prominent in the spectra recorded with ε_{phot} perpendicular to the detection axis; the fastest features display a recoil anisotropy characterized by $\beta \sim -0.45$, whereas the unresolved signal appears essentially isotropic. [The apparent $|\beta|$ associated with the fastest H + C₆H₅S(²B₂) products in Figure 8a is reduced by the larger isotropic contribution from H + $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1, v)$ products appearing at the same TKER]. Third, the population distribution in both product channels spreads to higher $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ as λ_{phot} is reduced, with the result that the "dip" separating the product channels in TKER spectra recorded at long λ_{phot} (see, e.g., Figure 5) gradually fills.

The transition dipole moment for $S_1 \leftarrow S_0(\pi^* \leftarrow \pi)$ excitation lies in the plane of the molecule and roughly parallel to the S-H bond, whereas the transition dipole associated with excitation to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}(S_{2})$ state lies normal to the plane of the benzene ring and thus also perpendicular to the S-H bond (at the planar equilibrium geometry). The isotropy of the recoiling products observed at $\lambda_{phot} > 275$ nm has been rationalized in terms of $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ excitation, relatively slow transfer (by tunnelling) to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES, and subsequent dissociation via the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI at longer $R_{\rm S-H}$. Once $\lambda_{\rm phot}$ < 275 nm, the C₆H₅S fragments with the lowest internal energies in each product channel display perpendicular recoil anisotropy, whereas the more internally excited products continue to exhibit isotropic velocity distributions. Such behavior can be rationalized by assuming that excitation at $\lambda_{phot} < 275$ nm populates both the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}(S_{2})$ excited states directly. Extrapolating the trends observed at longer λ_{phot} suggests that vFC modes excited by $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ excitation at these wavelengths will map into the corresponding vibrational motions in the C₆H₅S fragments.



Figure 10. TKER spectra derived from H atom TOF spectra (with the assumption that all cofragments have the mass of C_6H_5S) measured following photolysis of C_6H_5SH at $\lambda_{phot} = (a) 260.00$, (b) 252.50, (c) 245.00, (d) 237.50, and (e) 230.00 nm, with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ to the TOF axis. The maximum possible TKER associated with one-photon production of $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ and $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ fragments is indicated in each case.

Excitation in spectator modes will provide negligible enhancement to the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ to ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ tunnelling probability, and given the time scales involved, the internally excited products that result would be expected to show little recoil anisotropy, as observed. The $S_{2} \leftarrow S_{0}(\sigma^{*} \leftarrow \pi)$ excitation, in contrast, populates an excited state that dissociates directly. Symmetry requires that the resulting products should show perpendicular recoil anisotropy (i.e., $\beta < 0$), again as observed. The vibrational energy disposal accompanying direct dissociation on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES is found to mimic that observed at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 290$ nm, where the same ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES is deduced to be accessed by tunneling of S_{1} molecules prepared with no internal excitation, supporting the view that this product branching is determined largely by the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}/{}^{1}\pi\pi$ CI.

Many of the trends noted when comparing the $\lambda_{phot} = 272.50$ nm data with that obtained at longer wavelength become increasingly evident as λ_{phot} is reduced further. As Figure 9 shows, the fastest features in both product channels formed at $\lambda_{phot} = 257.50$ nm exhibit perpendicular anisotropy ($\beta \sim -0.85$ for the fastest H + C₆H₅S(X²B₁) products), whereas again, the unresolved signal appears more isotropic. A similar preference for perpendicular recoil was also identified in the recent ion imaging studies of H(D) atom photoproducts from C₆H₅SH(C₆H₅SD) photolysis at $\lambda_{phot} = 243.1$ nm.^{10,11} Figure 10 shows TKER spectra obtained when exciting with ε_{phot} aligned perpendicular to the TOF axis at several well-separated wavelengths in the range of 230.0 $\leq \lambda_{phot} \leq 260.0$ nm. Short

progressions attributable to formation of $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ products with $v_{18b} \leq 3$ are evident in all but the $\lambda_{phot} = 230.0$ nm spectrum, as is a peak associated with $C_6H_5S(^2B_2, v_{11} = 1)$ fragments. The excited-state product channel is particularly evident in the $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 245$ nm spectrum (i.e., at photolysis wavelengths close to that investigated by Lim et al.^{10,11}), and additional structure attributable to the population of levels involving activity in v_{15} as well as v_{11} is apparent. The family of spectra shown in Figure 10 provides further illustration of the progressive coalescence of the TKER distributions associated with the $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ and $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ product channels and of the substantial contribution from the broad feature centered at low TKER that we attribute to "statistical" decay of highly internally excited parent molecules (recall Figure 4c), both of which lead to a progressive loss of structure in TKER spectra recorded at shorter λ_{phot} .

Recalling the parent absorption spectrum (Figure 1) and the calculated vertical excitation energies (Table 1), it is evident that the dominant excitation at $\lambda_{phot} \leq 260$ nm is likely to be to the $S_3(^1\pi\pi^*)$ state. The present TD-DFT calculations show the $S_3 \leftarrow S_0$ transition moment lying in the plane of the molecule but at ~90° to the S–H bond. Discussion of the fragmentation mechanism at these shorter wavelengths must, of necessity, be speculative, given our ignorance of the one or more CIs that facilitate population transfer from the photoprepared S_3 state to, for example, the dissociative ${}^1\pi\sigma^*$ PES. The observed recoil anisotropy of the fastest $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ and $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products indicates that such transfer must be fast and efficient, but the increased yield of slow H atoms in the measured spectra (Figures 4c and 10) points to alternative fragmentation channels also.

Photolysis of C_6H_5SD and the Origin of the Slow H Atom Signal. Analysis of TKER spectra derived from D atom TOF measurements following photolysis of C₆H₅SD in this same wavelength range provides some insights into these alternative fragmentation processes. The spectrum obtained by monitoring D atoms following excitation at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 250.0 \text{ nm}$ (Figure 11a) shows two maxima, attributable to S-D bond fission on the $^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES and formation of C₆H₅S products in their X²B₁ and ²B₂ electronic states (as found when monitoring H atom products from C₆H₅SH photolysis at similar wavelengths), but the relative yield of slow products is greatly reduced. To assess the extent of deuteration in the C₆H₅SD sample, TOF spectra were also recorded at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 243.136$ and 243.069 nm, wavelengths appropriate for two-photon $2s \leftarrow 1s$ excitation of, respectively, any H and D atom products, without the presence of the Lyman- α probe laser pulse (or the 364.7 nm radiation from which it is derived). Any H^+/D^+ ions formed by 2 + 1 REMPI at the λ_{phot} wavelength were promptly extracted by the 25 V cm⁻¹ field that spans the interaction volume, but neutral H/D (n = 2) atoms that avoided ionization were then excited with the \sim 366 nm Rydberg tagging laser pulse and their TOFs detected in the usual manner. The TKER spectrum obtained at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 243.069 \text{ nm}$ (Figure 11b, resonant with the 2s \leftarrow 1s transition of D) is similar to that obtained at $\lambda_{phot} = 250.0$ nm and can be interpreted accordingly. The spectrum obtained at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} = 243.136 \text{ nm}$ (Figure 11c, resonant with the 2s \leftarrow 1s transition of H) shows some signal at TKER \sim 12750 and $\sim 10000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, attributable to formation of H + C₆H₅S(X²B₁) and $H + C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products, implying the presence of some residual C₆H₅SH in the C₆H₅SD sample. More revealing, however, is the dominant feature at low TKER, which is barely evident in the corresponding spectrum obtained by monitoring D atom products (Figure 11b). The ratio of "fast" to "slow" H atoms in this spectrum is much smaller than that in the



Figure 11. TKER spectra derived from D atom TOF spectra (with the assumption that all cofragments have the mass of C_6H_5S) measured following photolysis of the C_6H_5SD sample at $\lambda_{phot} = (a) 250.00$ and (b) 243.069 nm, with ε_{phot} aligned at $\theta = 90^\circ$ to the TOF axis; (c) shows the corresponding TKER spectrum derived from H atom TOF spectra measured from the same C_6H_5SD sample (and any C_6H_5SH impurity it contained) at $\lambda_{phot} = 243.136$ nm.

corresponding spectrum from C_6H_5SH (recall Figure 4c), confirming that most of this slow H atom signal must arise from C_6H_5SD dissociation. As commented previously, these slow products are most readily attributable to unimolecular decay of highly vibrationally excited parent molecules in their ground electronic state (formed by radiationless transfer following one-(or multi-) photon excitation). The preponderance of H rather than D atom products at low TKER hints at the operation of competing C–H bond fission processes in the statistical decay of such highly excited parents, but any fuller discussion of such decays must await more detailed knowledge of the ground-state PES, the molecular rearrangements that it can support prior to fragmentation, and the possible kinetic isotope effects that might discriminate against D atom loss.

4. Conclusions

This translational spectroscopy study of the H(D) atom photofragments from $C_6H_5SH(C_6H_5SD)$ confirms S-H(S-D)bond fission as a primary dissociation process throughout the $225 \leq \lambda_{phot} \leq 290$ nm wavelength range investigated. The partner C_6H_5S fragments are formed in both their ground (X²B₁) and first excited (2B2) electronic states, in a spread of vibrational levels that broadens and shifts to higher $E_{int}(C_6H_5S)$ as λ_{phot} is reduced. Analysis of parent excitation spectra, of resolvable vibrational structure within the TKER spectra of the H(D) + C₆H₅S products, and of the recoil anisotropy of these fragments leads to the following conclusions. Excitation at $\lambda_{\text{phot}} > 275$ nm results in population of quasi-bound levels of the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ state, which decay by tunneling to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES. Torsional motion of the S-H group is identified as a likely coupling mode, facilitating population transfer at the CI between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}$ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PESs. Flux evolving on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES samples a second CI, at longer $R_{\rm S-H}$, between the diabatic ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ and $^{1}\pi\pi$ PESs, where the branching between ground- and excitedstate C₆H₅S fragment formation is established. The populated vibrational levels in each product channel have to satisfy symmetry conservation. All populated levels of C₆H₅S(X²B₁) identified in the TKER spectra have a' vibrational symmetry; the in-plane modes identified as active can be understood in terms of vertical (and/or dynamic) Franck–Condon effects. The vibrational energy disposal within the C₆H₅S(²B₂) products is very different, involving levels with a'' vibrational symmetry, particularly modes ν_{11} and ν_{16a} . As in phenol, the corresponding parent modes are deduced to promote coupling at the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}/{}^{1}\pi\pi$ CI. Such detailed vibrational assignments allow new and improved determinations of the bond dissociation energies, $D_0(C_6H_5S-H) = 28030 \pm 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_0(C_6H_5S-D) = 28610 \pm 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and of the energy separation between the X²B₁ and ²B₂ states of the C₆H₅S radical, $T_{00} = 2800 \pm 40 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

Details of the energy disposal evolve as λ_{phot} is reduced. Once $\lambda_{\text{phot}} \leq 275$ nm, the fastest C₆H₅S fragments in both product channels display anisotropic recoil velocity distributions, with a preference for v being perpendicular to ε_{phot} and thus to the transition dipole moment. This finding is interpreted in terms of direct $S_2 \leftarrow S_0(\sigma^* \leftarrow \pi)$ excitation and prompt dissociation on the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES, with subsequent product branching determined by the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI, as seen at longer λ_{phot} . $S_{1} \leftarrow S_{0}(\pi^{*}\leftarrow\pi)$ excitation is also deduced to occur at these same wavelengths. Franck-Condon considerations require that the resulting S1 parent molecules are necessarily vibrationally excited but predominantly in "spectator" modes that are, at best, weakly coupled to the dissociation coordinate. Such molecules are deduced to dissociate by tunneling to the ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES in much the same way as that at longer λ_{phot} , with excitation in the "spectator" modes mapping through into the corresponding product vibrational levels. Not all of the excess energy is thus available for release as kinetic energy, and the formation of such products leads to a progressive broadening and loss of structure in TKER spectra measured at shorter λ_{phot} . Once $\lambda_{phot} \leq 260$ nm, $S_3 \leftarrow S_0(1\pi\pi^*)$ excitation dominates, but the observed energy disposal and the evident recoil anisotropy of the fastest $C_6H_5S(X^2B_1)$ and $C_6H_5S(^2B_2)$ products indicates that coupling between the S₃ and ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ states via one or more, as yet unknown, CIs must be both fast and efficient. The relative increase in the yield of slow H atoms following excitation at short λ_{phot} suggests that other fragmentation channels, most probably involving unimolecular decay of highly vibrationally excited ground-state molecules, also gain in importance.

The UV photochemistry of gas-phase thiophenol shows similarities with, but also a number of significant differences from, that of the first-row analogue, phenol. Both molecules dissociate by X-H bond fission following excitation at the respective S_1-S_0 origins and at all shorter λ_{phot} . In both cases, the decay rate from low levels of the respective S_1 states is sufficiently slow that the resulting H atoms show isotropic recoil velocity distributions. However, the lack of 1 + 1 REMPI signal via the S1 state of thiophenol and the widths of individual features in the action spectra for forming H(D) atoms following $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ excitation of $C_6H_5SH(C_6H_5SD)$ both indicate that the lifetime of thiophenol(S_1) molecules is much shorter than that of phenol(S_1) molecules at comparable levels of excitation. The reduced lifetime of thiophenol(S_1) molecules can be traced to improved coupling to the dissociative ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ state, the energy of which is lower in thiophenol (relative to that of the valence ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}(S_{1})$ state) than in phenol, mirroring the differences in parent ionization potential [8.30 eV (thiophenol),¹⁸ 8.51 eV $(phenol)^{33}$]. Photoexcited C₆H₅SH molecules are thus deduced to dissociate either by (torsionally mediated) tunneling to the

 ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ PES via the ${}^{1}\pi\pi^{*}/{}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ CI and/or, once $\lambda_{\text{phot}} \leq 275$ nm, following direct population of this $S_2(1\pi\sigma^*)$ state. In either scenario, the dissociating flux samples the upper part of the subsequent ${}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*/1}\pi\pi$ CI at longer $R_{\rm S-H}$, where the branching into specific vibrational levels of the ground (X²B₁) and first excited $({}^{2}B_{2})$ states of the C₆H₅S fragment is determined. Phenol(S_1) molecules, in contrast, are deduced to dissociate by internal conversion to vibrational levels of the ground state. Subsequent O–H stretching motion on the ${}^{1}\pi\pi$ PES projects flux to the lower part of the $\frac{1}{\pi\pi}/\frac{1}{\pi}\sigma^*$ CI and then to specific, symmetry allowed vibrational levels of the ground-state C₆H₅O radical. This alternative route through the ${}^{1}\pi\pi/{}^{1}\pi\sigma^{*}$ CI, and/or the much greater energy separation between the X^2B_1 and 2B_2 states in C₆H₅O, apparently precludes formation of excited-state C₆H₅O radicals in C₆H₅OH photolysis at all UV wavelengths studied thus far.

Acknowledgment. The authors are extremely grateful to the EPSRC for financial support via the pilot portfolio partnership LASER and to Prof. J. N. Harvey, and to K. N. Rosser, and T. A. A. Oliver for their many and varied contributions to this work.

Appendix 1

In the following table, B3LYP harmonic wavenumbers for the fundamental vibrational modes of C_6H_5SH in its ground state and for the C_6H_5S radical in its ground (X^2B_1) and first excited (2B_2) electronic states, numbered as in phenol (ref. 5) using the Wilson mode labelling scheme and ordered on the basis of the $C_{2\nu}$ symmetry labels of the radical products, are given. Anharmonic wavenumbers for these fundamental modes of vibration are listed for the respective ground states also.

C ₆ H ₅ SH (X ¹ A')				$C_{6}H_{5}S$ (X ² B ₁)			$C_{6}H_{5}S(^{2}B_{2})$
mode	symmetry	harmonic	anharmonic	symmetry	harmonic	anharmonic	harmonic
20a	a'	3193	3045	a ₁	3199	3061	3203
2	a'	3176	3045	a_1	3186	3060	3191
13	a'	3162	3083	a_1	3165	3016	3171
S-H str	a'	2684	2472	disappearing mode			
8a	a'	1631	1587	a_1	1599	1555	1596
19a	a'	1512	1480	a ₁	1482	1441	1488
9a	a'	1208	1188	a_1	1200	1183	1201
7a	a'	1112	1082	a_1	1079	1056	1081
18a	a'	1046	1028	a ₁	1039	1021	1040
1	a'	1015	1002	a_1	1005	990	1007
S-H bend	a'	930	849	disappearing mode			
12	a'	706	697	a_1	730	722	731
6a	a'	409	406	a_1	428	425	427
20b	a'	3182	3060	b ₂	3196	3070	3200
7b	a'	3166	3032	b2	3175	3039	3180
8b	a'	1619	1578	b ₂	1579	1539	1578
19b	a'	1477	1446	b ₂	1461	1428	1465
14	a'	1354	1330	b ₂	1343	1329	1345
3	a'	1317	1276	b ₂	1303	1269	1299
9b	a'	1183	1168	b ₂	1181	1166	1181
15	a'	1106	1078	b ₂	1097	1086	1096
6b	a'	632	626	b ₂	621	614	623
18b	a'	277	263	b ₂	300	298	298
5	a″	970	967	a ₂	992	981	1003
10a	a″	838	833	a_2	846	836	850
16a	a‴	410	401	a ₂	386	380	387
17a	a‴	996	984	b1	1011	992	1016
17b	a‴	900	896	b	941	928	952
10b	a‴	744	741	b	766	760	780
4	a‴	702	696	b	685	674	691
16b	a‴	476	470	b ₁	463	458	470
11	a″	183	147	b ₁	160	157	161
Te II	a″	83		disappearing mode			

References and Notes

 Sobolewski, A. L.; Domcke, W. Chem. Phys. 2000, 259, 181.
Ashfold, M. N. R.; Cronin, B.; Devine, A. L.; Dixon, R. N.; Nix, M. G. D. Science 2006, 312, 1637. (3) Sobolewski, A. L.; Domcke, W.; Dedonder-Lardeux, C.; Jouvet, C. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2003, 5, 315.

(4) Lan, Z. G.; Domcke, W.; Vallet, V.; Sobolewski, A. L.; Mahapatra, S. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 122, 224315.

(5) Nix, M. G. D.; Devine, A. L.; Cronin, B.; Dixon, R. N.; Ashfold, M. N. R. J. Chem. Phys. **2006**, 125, 133318.

(6) Scott, D. W.; McCullough, J. P.; Hubbard, W. N.; Messerly, J. F.; Hossenlopp, I. A.; Frow, F. R.; Waddington, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1956**, 78, 5463.

(7) Remacle, F.; Kryachko, E. S. J. Mol. Struct. 2004, 708, 165.

(8) Larsen, N. W.; Nicolaisen, F. M. J. Mol. Struct. 1974, 22, 29.

(9) Nourbakhsh, S.; Yin, H.-M.; Liao, C.-L.; Ng, C. Y. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1992, 190, 469.

(10) Lim, J. S.; Lim, I. S.; Lee, K. S.; Ahn, D. S.; Lee, Y. S.; Kim, S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 6290.

(11) Lim, I. S.; Lim, J. S.; Lee, Y. S.; Kim, S. K. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 126, 034306.

(12) Devine, A. L.; Nix, M. G. D.; Cronin, B.; Ashfold, M. N. R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 3749.

(13) Cronin, B.; Nix, M. G. D.; Qadiri, R. H.; Ashfold, M. N. R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2004, 6, 5031, and references therein.

(14) Wilson, S. H. S.; Howe, J. D.; Ashfold, M. N. R. Mol. Phys. 1996, 88, 841.

(15) Cook, P. A.; Langford, S. R.; Dixon, R. N.; Ashfold, M. N. R. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 1672.

(16) Huber, K.-P.; Herzberg, G. Constants of Diatomic Molecules; Van Nostrand Reinhold: New York, London, 1979.

(17) Di Lonardo, G.; Zauli, C. J. Chem. Soc. A 1969, 1305.

(18) Faulk, J. D.; Dunbar, R. C.; Lifshitz, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7893.

(19) Hamprecht, F. A.; Cohen, A. J.; Tozer, D. J.; Handy, N. C. J. Chem. Phys. **1998**, 109, 6264.

(20) Frisch, M. J. Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li,

X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, revision B.04; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

(21) Wilson, E. B. Phys. Rev. 1934, 45, 706.

(22) McMillen, D. F.; Golden, D. M. Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 1982, 33, 493.

(23) Bordwell, F. G.; Cheng, J.-P.; Ji, G.-Z.; Satish, A. V.; Zhang, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 9790.

(24) dos Santos, R. M. B.; Muralha, V. S. F.; Correia, C. F.; Guedes, R. C.; Cabral, B. J. C.; Simões, J. A. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 9883.

(25) Dilabio, G. A.; Pratt, D. A.; LoFaro, A. D.; Wright, J. S. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 1653.

(26) Fu, Y.; Lin, B.-L.; Song, K.-S.; Liu, L.; Guo, Q.-X. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.2 2002, 1223.

(27) Chandra, A. K.; Nam, P.-C.; Nguyen, M. T. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 9138.

(28) Wright, J. S.; Rowley, C. N.; Chepelev, L. L. Mol. Phys. 2005, 103, 815.

(29) do Couto, P. C.; Cabral, B. J. C.; Simões, J. A. M. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2006, 421, 504.

(30) Cronin, B.; Devine, A. L.; Nix, M. G. D.; Ashfold, M. N. R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *8*, 3440.

(31) Radziszewski, J. G.; Gil, M.; Gorski, A.; Spanget-Larsen, J.; Waluk, J.; Mroz, B. J. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *115*, 9733.

(32) Hause, M. L.; Yoon, Y. H.; Case A. S.; Crim, F. F. J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 128, 104307.

(33) Lipert, R. J.; Colson, S. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1990, 92, 3240.

JP802019V