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#### Abstract

Using a quadrupole mass filter and vacuum-ultraviolet ionization, we measured the time-of-flight spectra of species at mass-to-charge ratios of $m / z=45-42$ from the reaction of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ in crossed molecular beams. Species with $m / z=44$ and 43 correspond to reaction products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$, respectively; species with $m / z=45$ and 42 are assigned to isotopic variants and daughter ions, respectively, of those two reaction products. We measured the photoionization yields and branching ratios for dissociative ionization of reaction products as a function of photoionization energy. The ionization thresholds of products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ were determined to be 6.7 and 9.2 eV , respectively. Furthermore, we calculated the equilibrium structures, electronic energies, and vibrational wavenumbers of various silicon-nitrogen hydrides $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiNH}_{y}(x+y=0-3)$ using quantum-chemical methods. $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{HNSi}\left(\mathrm{X}^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$are more stable than $\operatorname{HSiNH}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{HSiN}\left(\mathrm{X}^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$by 0.82 and 2.81 eV , respectively. $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$, HSiNH $\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$, $\mathrm{HNSi}\left(\mathrm{X}^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$, and $\mathrm{HSiN}\left(\mathrm{X}^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$have adiabatic ionization energies of $6.81,8.19,10.21$, and 10.23 eV , respectively. These experimental and calculated results indicate that $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{HNSi}\left(\mathrm{X}^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$are dominant among isomeric products in the reaction of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$. This work presents the first observation of products from the reaction of $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ in crossed beams and extensive calculations on pertinent silicon-nitrogen hydrides.


## I. Introduction

Carbon-nitrogen hydrides $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{CNH}_{y}, x+y=1-5$, have long been investigated, but their silicon counterparts $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiNH}_{y}$ have drawn less attention in experiments. Since the original production of iminosilicon $\mathrm{HNSi}^{1}$ from the photolysis of azidosilane $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiNNN}$ in solid argon and the much later discovery of silicon nitride $\mathrm{SiN}^{2,3}$ in interstellar and circumstellar space and the

[^0]industrial application of SiN thin films, these silicon compounds have attracted increasing attention. $\mathrm{SiN}^{4-7}$ and iminosilicon $\mathrm{HNSi}^{8-12}$ created in a discharge plasma of a mixture of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ (silane) were detected by means of their spectra. By means of infrared spectra, HNSi was also identified in the gas phase ${ }^{8}$ and again in a solid matrix. ${ }^{11,12}$ In addition to HNSi, Maier et al. ${ }^{11}$ detected aminosilylene $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}$ after photolysis of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiNNN}$ in a matrix at 4 K .

Gaseous aminosilane $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ persists for 1-20 h, depending on the preparation of the surfaces of its enclosure. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Wu}^{13}$ observed that aminosilane was produced during the mercurysensitized photolysis of mixed $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. With a triple-
quadrupole mass spectrometer, aminosilane was observed also in the chemical vapor deposition (CVD) of mixed $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} .{ }^{14}$ Beach and Jasinski ${ }^{15}$ and Beach ${ }^{16}$ observed the formation of aminosilane both after irradiation of a mixture of silane and ammonia with an ArF laser and from the reaction of iodosilane with ammonia. Chen et al. ${ }^{17}$ observed the formation of $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}$, and HNSi after photolysis of a mixture of Si atoms and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ deposited in an Ar matrix. HNSi in interstellar space was assumed to be formed in dissociative recombination in a $[\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}]^{+}$system, which drew attention to the investigation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+} .{ }^{18-22}$ Several calculations ${ }^{17-20,23-33}$ were devoted to the electronic energies of various silicon-nitrogen hydrides. Apart from experiments already mentioned, ${ }^{17}$ Chen et al. ${ }^{17}$ used density functionals of type B3LYP to calculate potential energy surfaces (PESs) for the reaction of $\mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P},{ }^{1} \mathrm{D}\right)$ with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, including intermediates $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}, \mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$, and transition structures. ${ }^{17}$ Using the Gaussian-2 (G2) method, Goldberg et al. ${ }^{20}$ calculated the PESs of neutral and cationic systems of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}$, HSiNH , and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and transition structures between isomers. Luke et al. ${ }^{23}$ calculated the electronic energies of neutral $\mathrm{HSiN}, \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}$, and their isomers using the $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set and Moller-Plesset perturbation theory with single, double, triple, and quadruple substitution [MP4(SDTQ)]. The issue of $\mathrm{HSiN} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HNSi}$ isomerization has attracted the attention of several theoretical groups. ${ }^{27-30}$ With the $6-31 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set and MP4(SDTQ) extension, Melius and $\mathrm{Ho}^{24}$ calculated the heats of formation and enthalpies of dissociation of groundstate silicon-nitrogen hydrides. The controversy that exists about these calculations of electronic energies and assignments of symmetry of electronic states encouraged us to reinvestigate molecules of this type with the Gaussian-3 (G3) method and the coupled-cluster extension with single, double, and triple substitutions, $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$.
Using a synchrotron as a source of radiation for ionization, we have detected photofragments of many types produced after photolysis of gaseous compounds, ${ }^{34,35}$ such as for the reactions of atomic oxygen with ethene ${ }^{36}$ and silane ${ }^{37}$ under conditions of crossed molecular beams for which we measured the time-of-flight (TOF) spectra and photoionization yields. For instance, for oxysilanes $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiOH}_{y}, x+y=0-3$, from the reaction of $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ as a function of photoionization energy, ${ }^{37}$ measurements of released kinetic energy indicated that reaction products $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiO} / \mathrm{HSiOH}, \mathrm{HSiO} / \mathrm{SiOH}$, and SiO arose mainly from the reaction of $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{D}\right)$ with silane, whereas $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}$ / $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiOH}$ arose from the reaction of $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{P}\right)$ with silane. The measured ionization thresholds of reaction products correspond to the ionization energies of hydroxyl species $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiOH}, \mathrm{HSiOH}$, and SiOH . Photoionization of oxysilanes resulted in dissociation. The channel for elimination of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ has a larger branching ratio than that for elimination of H from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiO}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiOH}^{+}$, whereas the channel for elimination of H has a larger branching ratio than that for elimination of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}^{+} / \mathrm{HSiOH}^{+}$, which is interpretable according to enthalpies of reactions. Our success with the $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ reaction encouraged our extensive investigation of the analogous reaction $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$, which we undertook under conditions of crossed molecular beams with a mass spectrometer and vacuum-ultraviolet (VUV) ionization.

## II. Experiments

We conducted the title reaction using an apparatus of crossed molecular beams ${ }^{34-37}$ that comprises two source chambers (SC1 and SC2), a reaction chamber, and a detection chamber. The two source chambers generated an atomic beam and a molecular beam that intersected each other at a right angle in the reaction
chamber. The source assembly can be rotated from $-20^{\circ}$ to $110^{\circ}$ with respect to the detector. A solenoid valve (Even-Lavie) associated with an electric discharge ${ }^{38}$ served to generate N atoms in SC1. A mixture of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(3 \%)$ in He was expanded through the Even-Lavie valve with a backing pressure 100 psi. Electric pulses ( -800 V and $10 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ ) were applied to the inner electrode, whereas the outer electrode was grounded. N atoms had a Gaussian-like velocity distribution with a most probable velocity $1920 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and a full width at half-maximum of 320 m $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Another Even-Lavie valve installed in SC2 served to generate a molecular beam of $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ that had a most probable velocity of $800 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at a stagnation pressure of 70 psi . Atomic N collided with $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ in the reaction chamber with a collision energy of $5.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. After motion along a trajectory of length 100.5 mm , reaction products were ionized with VUV radiation from an undulator. To suppress photons at high harmonics, the undulator radiation was focused into a windowless gas cell, filled with noble gas at a pressure of 10 Torr. An additional optical filter $\left(\mathrm{MgF}_{2}\right)$ served to absorb residual highharmonic photons effectively when photons of energy less than 10 eV were desired. The undulator radiation was refocused into the ionization region of the molecular-beam apparatus. Product cations at a specified mass-to-charge ratio $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ were extracted with ion optics into a quadrupole mass filter. An ion counter of Daly type associated with a multichannel scaler (MCS) served to sample product ions into 1000 bins, each of duration $3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. We accumulated each TOF spectrum for $(4-20) \times 10^{4}$ pulses at a laboratory scattering angle of $\Theta=40^{\circ}$ to yield a satisfactory signal-to-noise ratio; $\Theta$ denotes the angle between the incident direction of N atoms and the detection axis.

## III. Calculations

We employed density functionals of B3LYP type to optimize the equilibrium structures at the $6-311+G(d, p)$ level and employed a $6-311++G(3 d f, 2 p)$ basis set and $\operatorname{CCSD}(T)$ coupledcluster routines to calculate electronic energies at optimized geometries for 17 neutral species and 18 cationic species with formulas $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiNH}_{y}, x+y=0-3$. We calculated the vibrational wavenumbers of all normal modes and zero-point energies (ZPEs) at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. We confirmed the electronic energies of these neutral and cationic species with the G3 and G3B3 methods. All calculations were performed with Gaussian 03 software on a computer with four CPUs and 16 GB of memory.

## IV. Results and Discussion

A. Crossed-Beam Experiments. We observed the two products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ that we associate with the reactions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{SiH}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H} \\
& \Delta H=-9.8 /-28.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta H=-24.8 /-89.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}(2 \mathrm{a} / 2 \mathrm{~b})
$$

Here, $\Delta H$ denotes the enthalpy change for reaction at 0 K between ground-state products calculated at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-$ $311++G(3 d f, 2 p)$ level; equilibrium structures and ZPEs were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. For the reaction of atomic N with $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$, Figure 1 shows mass spectra of species with $m / z=45-42$ recorded at $\Theta=40^{\circ}$. Figure 1a shows the TOF spectrum of product $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ detected with a photoionization energy of 7.4 eV . When the photoionization


Figure 1. TOF spectra of species with $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=45-42$. Each panel shows the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ value, the laboratory scattering angle, the photoionization energy, and the accumulation number. (a) $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH} /{ }^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ from reactions $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$; (b) additional rapid component $\mathrm{H}^{29} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ from reactions $2 \mathrm{a} / 2 \mathrm{~b}$; (c) $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ from reactions $2 \mathrm{a} / 2 \mathrm{~b}$; (d) additional slow component from the dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ from reactions $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$; (e) rapid and slow features attributed to products $\mathrm{H}^{30} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{30} \mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{29} \mathrm{SiNH} /{ }^{29} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ from reactions $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, respectively; (f) rapid and slow features attributed to the dissociative ionization of products $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH} /{ }^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, respectively.
energy was increased to 11.1 eV , a rapid component peaking at $\sim 80 \mu$ s appeared at $m / z=44$, as shown in Figure 1 b ; the rapid component is attributed to the ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ isotopic variant of the HSiN/HNSi product for which the TOF spectrum is shown in Figure 1c. At a photoionization energy of 11.1 eV , the ratio between the ion signal of $\mathrm{H}^{29} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ and that of $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiN} /$ $\mathrm{HN}^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ is near the 0.051 ratio of ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ and ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ in natural abundance. ${ }^{39}$ When the photoionization energy was increased to 13.1 eV , a slow component with a maximum at $\sim 110 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ appeared at $m / z=43$, as shown in Figure 1d. This slow feature has a TOF distribution similar to that of the $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ product and is thus attributed to daughter ions $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+} / \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$ from the dissociative ionization of this product. Panels e and f of Figure 1 exhibit bimodal TOF distributions for species with $m / z=45$ and 42 , respectively, detected at a photoionization energy of 11.1 eV . The rapid and slow features for $m / z=45$ are attributed to ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Si}$ and ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ isotopic variants of products HSiN/ HNSi and $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, respectively. At a photoionization energy of 11.1 eV , the ratio between the ion signals of $\mathrm{H}^{30} \mathrm{SiN} /$ $\mathrm{HN}^{30} \mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}^{28} \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{H}^{29} \mathrm{SiNH} /{ }^{29} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH} /$ ${ }^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ ) is near the $0.034(0.051)$ value for the natural abundances of ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Si}\left({ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}\right)$ and ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}^{39}$ In contrast, the rapid and slow features with $m / z=42$ are attributed to daughter ions $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$ from dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ and $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, respectively. As ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and D atoms occur in natural abundance to extents of only $3.7 \times 10^{-3}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ relative to those of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{H},{ }^{39}$ respectively, we neglected their contributions in this work.

We measured the TOF spectra of species with $m / z=45-42$ at a scattering angle of $40^{\circ}$ with photoionization energies from 6.5 to 15 eV and an increment of $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{eV}$. Although the


Figure 2. (a) Signals of product ions versus photionization energy. Dotted, solid, dashed, and dash-dotted lines denote experimental data for $m / z=45-42$, respectively. The increment of photon energy is $\sim 0.2$ eV . The variation of photon flux with photon energy was uncorrected. (b) Total ion signals of products $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiNH} /{ }^{28} \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{28} \mathrm{SiN} / \mathrm{HN}{ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$ after summing of parent and daughter ions.
variation of photon flux with photon energy was uncorrected, the ratios of ion signals among these four species were conserved. Figure 2a shows the ion signals, integrated from 0 to $300 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=45-42$ versus photoionization energy. Species with $m / z=45-42$ appear at energies of $9.0,6.7,9.2$, and 9.8 eV , respectively. The intensity of the ion signal has a trend with respect to $m / z$ values of $44>43>45>42$ for a photon energy less than 13 eV , but the signal of $m / z=43$ becomes dominant for photon energies larger than 13 eV . As each TOF spectrum likely has two components, as shown in Figure 1, we partitioned the TOF spectra and summed signals of parent and daughter ions to obtain the total ion signals of the reaction products. Figure 2 b shows the total photoionization yield spectra of the reaction products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} /$ $\mathrm{HNSi} . \mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ has an ionization threshold at 6.7 eV , which is near the computed adiabatic ionization energy of 6.81 eV for $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ but much less than the adiabatic ionization energies of 8.19 eV for $\mathrm{HSiNH}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and 9.69 eV for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}$ $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, from the TOF spectra of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, we evaluated the total released kinetic energy, $E_{\mathrm{t}}$, to have a maximum probability at $4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, but extending to 26 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which is larger/smaller than the energetic limits of 14.8/ $33.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for reactions $1 \mathrm{a} / 1 \mathrm{~b}$, respectively; the average released kinetic energy is $\sim 8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}+2 \mathrm{H}$ product channel is energetically inaccessible for the reaction N $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ with a collision energy of $5.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, which is $18.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more stable than HSiNH, presumably has a higher yield than HSiNH . Moreover, HSiNH , if produced, might have an average internal energy of $\sim 6.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ that could not decrease the ionization threshold from 8.19 to 6.7 eV . In contrast, the $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ product has an ionization threshold at 9.2 eV that is $\sim 1 \mathrm{eV}$ less than the calculated adiabatic ionization energies of $\mathrm{HSiN}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{HNSi}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$. Because products with a small center-of-mass kinetic energy typically have large internal energies, the TOF distribution of $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ alters with photoionization energy in the range of $9.2-11 \mathrm{eV}$; at a photoionization energy of 9.2 eV , the TOF spectrum has a maximum intensity, albeit small, at about 110


Figure 3. Branching ratios for dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi} . \mathrm{m} 44^{+}, \mathrm{m} 43^{+}$, and $\mathrm{m} 42^{+}$represent $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}$, $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+} / \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$, respectively.
$\mu \mathrm{s}$ (not shown here). From the TOF spectra of HSiN/HNSi, we evaluated the released kinetic energy to have a maximum probability at $22 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, extending to $95 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, which is the energetic limit of reaction 2 b ; the average kinetic energy release is $\sim 30 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Moreover, HSiN is $64.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ less stable than HNSi and thus has a lower yield than HNSi . Products HNSi and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ share an average internal energy of 65 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$. The internal energy of HNSi might decrease the ionization threshold from $\sim 10.2$ to 9.2 eV .

Figure 1 indicates that fragmentation occurred upon photoionization of the products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$. Figure 3a shows the branching ratios for the dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+} / \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ and to $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$ $+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as a function of photoionization energy. The channel to eliminate H has a threshold at $\sim 11.7 \mathrm{eV}$ that is 0.25 eV less than the enthalpy of the reaction $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)$ $+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$and 2.27 eV less than that for $\mathrm{HSiNH}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$ $\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$, but 0.57 eV larger than that for HSiNH ( $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$. The dominant isomer, $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, has an average internal energy of $\sim 1.11 \mathrm{eV}$ that might decrease the threshold of the dissociative ionization $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}+$ $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$from 11.95 to 11.7 eV . Moreover, the full bandwidth at half-maximum of $\sim 0.4 \mathrm{eV}$ for the photoionization energy might diminish the threshold of dissociative ionization to some extent. In contrast, the channel to eliminate $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ has a branching ratio of only $0.01-0.016$ because the enthalpy of formation of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is 0.77 eV larger than that for $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)+$ H regardless of barriers. Figure 3 b shows the branching ratio for the dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ to $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ as a function of photoionization energy. The branching ratio of only $0.003-0.007$ in the $10-15 \mathrm{eV}$ range is attributed to the large enthalpies of reaction of 5.16 eV for $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$ $\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right)+\mathrm{H}$ and 2.32 eV for $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right)+\mathrm{H}$. Although the thresholds for the dissociative ionization of HNSi $\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{HSiN}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$are larger than 15.4 and 12.6 eV , respectively, vestigial photons at high harmonics in the ionizing photon beam might decompose $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ following ionization.
B. Quantum-Chemical Calculations. Table 1 lists the total electronic energies, relative energies, and vibrational wavenumbers of neutral and cationic $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiNH}_{y}, x+y=0-3$. Because electronic energies calculated with the G3B3 approach are near those calculated with the G3 method, with deviations of only between -0.003 and 0.009 hartree, we omit the G3B3 values here. From the energy differences between neutral and cationic ground states computed with the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / /$

B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) method, we calculated the adiabatic ionization energies (IEs) of $\operatorname{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right), \mathrm{HSiN}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right), \mathrm{HNSi}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right), \mathrm{HSiNH}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}$ ( $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ to be 10.03 (10.23), 10.23 (10.39), 10.21 (10.32), 9.69 (9.95), 8.19 (8.23), 6.81 (6.86), 9.16 (9.29), 8.43 (8.59), and $6.44(6.50) \mathrm{eV}$, respectively. The numbers within parentheses are ionization energies calculated with the G3 method, which are $0.04-0.26 \mathrm{eV}$ larger than the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ values. We adopt the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ values for discussion. Figures 4 and 5 show the equilibrium structures of these neutral and cationic silicon-nitrogen hydrides, respectively. For a symmetric species, the redundant values for the bond length and angles are omitted. Some angles and all dihedral angles are shown in the forms $\angle \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ and $\angle \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$, respectively.

As in previous calculations, ${ }^{20,24}$ we found the electronic ground state of SiN to be of type ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$. We found the three lowlying electronic states ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-},{ }^{3} \Pi$, and ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$for $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$; state ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$is more stable than states ${ }^{3} \Pi$ and ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$by 0.15 and 0.95 eV , respectively, and was thus assigned as the ground state of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$. Using the multireference double-excitation configuration interaction (MRD-CI) method, Bruna et al. ${ }^{40}$ found the ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$state to be of minimum energy, consistent with our result. In contrast, Goldberg et al. ${ }^{20}$ reported for $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$the two high-lying electronic states ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$and ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{+}$rather than the ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$ground state; the ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$ and ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{+}$states lie 10.82 and 13.17 eV , respectively, above the ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$state of SiN. A failure to characterize the ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$state would give a misleading (overestimated) ionization energy of SiN . Excited state ${ }^{3} \Pi$ of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$has a smaller bond length and a larger vibrational wavenumber than ground state ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$, which is attributed to the excitation of an electron from the highest occupied orbital $\sigma$ to the lowest unoccupied orbital $\pi$ that prefers a shorter bond. Convergence of the optimization calculation toward the energy minima of states ${ }^{3} \Pi$ and ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$requires care. With initial estimates of 1.3 and $2.0 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length, the optimization for triplet $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$converges to states ${ }^{3} \Pi$ and ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$, respectively, whereas with an initial $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length of less than $1.95 \AA$ for geometry optimization, the calculation converges to the ${ }^{3} \Pi$ state, thus obscuring the ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$ground state.

As from previous calculations, ${ }^{20,23}$ we found HSiN to have a linear structure for its ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$electronic ground state but an angular structure for the first triplet state, ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$, which lies 1.21 eV above the ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$state. Of the ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$electronic states of $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$, the ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$state lies 0.91 eV above the ${ }^{2} \Pi$ state. The ${ }^{2} \Pi\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ state has equilibrium lengths 1.487 and $1.632 \AA$ ( 1.476 and $1.518 \AA$ ) for the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds, respectively. Like $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$ ( ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$and ${ }^{3} \Pi$ ), optimization of the geometries and electronic energies of states ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$and ${ }^{2} \Pi$ is intricate. The upper panel of Figure 6 shows the PESs of $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$along the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond for states ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) level. When the PES scan is started at a $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length 1.3 $\AA$, the geometry optimization initially follows the ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+} \mathrm{PES}$, but transfers abruptly to state ${ }^{2} \Pi$ when the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length reaches $1.825 \AA$. The energy difference between the lowest unoccupied orbital ( $\sigma$ ) and the highest occupied orbital $(\pi)$ decreases monotonically with increasing $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length, and the order of energies reverses, i.e., the $\pi$ orbital becomes the lowest unoccupied orbital, for bond lengths greater than 1.82 $\AA$. With an initial value greater (less) than $1.38 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond, the optimization converges to the energy minimum of the ${ }^{2} \Pi\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$state. This argument is also applicable for the ${ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}$ and ${ }^{3} \Pi$ states of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}$. Analogously, we found the two electronic states ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ for HNSi and the two states ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$for $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$. The ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state lies 3.49 eV above the ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$electronic ground state. The ${ }^{2} \Pi\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$state has equilibrium lengths of 1.664

TABLE 1: Total Electronic Energy (hartree), Point Group, Relative Energy $\boldsymbol{E}_{\text {rel }},{ }^{a}$ and Vibrational Wavenumbers ${ }^{b}$ of Various Neutral and Cationic Silicon-Nitrogen Hydrides

| species | symmetry | G3 | CCSD(T)+ZPE | $E_{\text {rel }}(\mathrm{eV})$ | unscaled vibrational wavenumber ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SiN ( ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$) | $C_{\infty v}$ | -343.958129 | -343.596343 | 0 | 1177 |
| $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -343.582096 | -343.227613 | 10.03 | 759 |
| $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{\Pi}\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -343.579148 | -343.222278 | 10.18 | 923 |
| $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -343.556873 | -343.192678 | 10.98 | 1138 |
| HSiN ( ${ }^{( }{ }^{+}$) | $C_{\infty}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | -344.551232 | -344.188721 | 0 | 260, 260, 1236, 2225 |
| HSiN ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ) | $C_{s}$ | -344.505780 | -344.144298 | 1.21 | 566, 938, 2000 |
| $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -344.169243 | -343.812707 | 10.23 | 265, 282, 1054, 2207 |
| HSiN ${ }^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -344.132673 | -343.779385 | 11.14 | 560, 560, 1332, 2241 |
| HNSi ( ${ }^{( } \Sigma^{+}$) | $C_{\infty}$ | -344.655606 | -344.292180 | 0 | 544, 544, 1230, 3743 |
| HNSi ( ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ) | $C_{s}$ | -344.520310 | -344.163926 | 3.49 | 559, 870, 3462 |
| $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -344.276412 | -343.916939 | 10.21 | 321, 378, 983, 3525 |
| HNSi ${ }^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ | $C_{\infty v}$ | -344.237986 | -343.875643 | 11.33 | 1105, 1105, 1348, 3597 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -345.150597 | -344.782031 | 0 | 514, 547, 918, 1037, 2231, 2261 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -344.785041 | -344.426050 | 9.69 | 511, 604, 752, 922, 2257, 2323 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -344.754591 | -344.394151 | 10.55 | 148, 555, 724, 947, 2196, 2259 |
| HSiNH ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ) | $C_{s}$ | -345.188617 | -344.825914 | 0 | 519, 615, 710, 1062, 2005, 3648 |
| $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -344.886074 | -344.524992 | 8.19 | 207, 604, 607, 1355, 2320, 3665 |
| $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -344.820355 | -344.459181 | 9.98 | 264, 556, 714, 835, 2075, 3444 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -345.222192 | -344.855958 | 0 | 591, 743, 841, 1586, 3513, 3593 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -344.970135 | -344.605810 | 6.81 | 601, 680, 959, 1550, 3447, 3516 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -344.839593 | -344.479898 | 10.23 | 421, 489, 634, 1543, 3363, 3460 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $C_{3 v}$ | -345.737481 | -345.370207 | 0 | 559, 559, 712, 923, 933, 933, 2216, 2224, 2224 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.679286 | -345.310247 | 1.63 | 783i, 571, 776, 809, 947, 947, 2147, 2153, 2213 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.317664 | -344.958937 | 11.19 | 1091i, 196, 601, 719, 774, 850, 1959, 2002, 2298 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.819752 | -345.449901 | 0 | 568, 603, 743, 768, 999, 1121, 2197, 2290, 3586 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -345.812576 | -345.442887 | 0.19 | 509i, 475, 707, 720, 1041, 1247, 2221, 2221, 3836 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.741545 | -345.374361 | 2.06 | 488, 576, 584, 683, 832, 877, 2114, 2152, 3446 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ | $C_{2 v}$ | -345.478258 | -345.113140 | 9.16 | 283, 412, 612, 667, 876, 1050, 2277, 2340, 3605 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.451393 | -345.083257 | 9.98 | 597, 683, 744, 918, 976, 1930, 2274, 2356, 3442 |
| $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.841801 | -345.470708 | 0 | 603, 704, 740, 845, 971, 1604, 2002, 3552, 3644 |
| $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.774234 | -345.406360 | 1.75 | 443, 447, 652, 821, 822, 1588, 2071, 3548, 3630 |
| $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.526061 | -345.160905 | 8.43 | 520, 610, 692, 882, 991, 1576, 2126, 3485, 3577 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $C_{3 v}$ | -345.763756 | -345.396243 | 0 | 329, 549, 549, 1218, 1652, 1652, 3456, 3566, 3566 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.734280 | -345.363231 | 0.90 | 333, 364, 577, 1246, 1502, 1661, 3444, 3538, 3544 |
| $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ | $C_{s}$ | -345.524929 | -345.159717 | 6.44 | 81, 429, 720, 1382, 1511, 1635, 3383, 3445, 3476 |
| H ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) |  | -0.501003 | -0.499818 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{+}\right)$ | $D_{\infty h}$ | $-1.167379$ | -1.160794 | 0 | 4419 |
| $\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ |  | -54.564343 | -54.5127028 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)$ |  | -54.470516 | -54.4124319 | 2.73 |  |
| $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ | $T_{d}$ | -291.710037 | -291.397426 | 0 | 923, 923, 923, 981, 981, 2233, 2240, 2240, 2240 |

[^1]and $1.022 \AA$ ( 1.516 and $1.016 \AA$ ) for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, respectively. The lower panel of Figure 6 shows the PESs of $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$along the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond for the ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$states calculated at the B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) level. As in the case of $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$, the energy ordering of the $\pi$ and $\sigma$ orbitals of $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$ reverses for $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond lengths greater than $1.78 \AA$. With an initial value for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond greater (less) than $1.5 \AA$, the optimization converges to the energy minimum of the ${ }^{2} \Pi\left({ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ state. This behavior of surface transfer remains observable for $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$but not for $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$in the scanning range $1.1-2.6 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond with the small $6-311+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Goldberg et al. ${ }^{20}$ reported a ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$excited state rather than the ${ }^{2} \Pi$ ground state for both $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$. Associated with the Renner-Teller effect, ${ }^{41}$ the calculation predicted two vibrational wavenumbers of 265 and $282 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( 321 and $378 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), listed in Table 1, for the bending mode of the ${ }^{2} \Pi$ state of $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$ $\left(\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\right)$. The ${ }^{2} \Pi$ state splits into two electronic states when the molecule bends; the upper PES has a larger vibrational wavenumber than the lower PES.

We calculated the ground state and two cationic states for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}, \mathrm{HSiNH}$, and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}$ and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ belong to the same point group, ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$, whereas HSiNH has ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ symmetry for the electronic ground state. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$, the first triplet state
$\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ is 0.86 eV more stable than the first singlet state $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$, whereas for $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ state lies 1.79 (3.42) eV above the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ state. Ground-state $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$has two unpaired electrons occupying $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ orbitals, which yields a triplet spin multiplicity. $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}$in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state is flexible in its bending motion; the calculated total energy increases by only $7.75 \times 10^{-4}$ hartree (G3) or $3.34 \times 10^{-5}$ hartree $[\mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})+\mathrm{ZPE}]$ as $\mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ alters to a linear structure of class ${ }^{1} \Sigma^{+}$. Because the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is stronger than the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, the calculated total energy follows the trend $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}>\mathrm{HSiNH}>\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ for the lowest singlet, doublet, and triplet states. When the molecular structure alters from point group $C_{2 v}$ to $C_{s}$, the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}$, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ states correlate adiabatically with the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ states, respectively. Our calculations agree with those of Goldberg et al., ${ }^{20}$ who used G2 theory for electronic energies but differed in the notation for the point groups. For instance, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right), \mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right), \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$, and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$ were denoted as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right), \mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right), \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$, respectively, in ref 20 , which we confirmed by running the same G2 calculations as Goldberg et al. ${ }^{20}$ According to the symmetry assignments of Goldberg et al., ${ }^{20} \mathrm{HSiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$


Figure 4. Equilibrium structures of various neutral silicon - nitrogen hydrides. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ is a transition structure. $\angle \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ denotes the dihedral angle between the WXY plane and the WXZ (or XYZ) plane.
and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ correlated with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)$, which fails to conform to the rule of symmetry correlation.

Ground-state $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}$ has two unpaired electrons occupying two doubly degenerate e orbitals localized mainly on the N atom, which gives rise to a triplet spin multiplicity. We optimized the structure of triplet $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}$ in point group $C_{3 v}$ and assigned the ground state as ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ but failed for singlet $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}$ and doublet $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$. Nevertheless, with a constraint to point group $C_{s}$, the geometry optimization converged to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}$ and to the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}$, although both species have an imaginary vibrational wavenumber. Calculations of the intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) confirmed that $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ represent transition structures for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-atom exchange of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$, respectively. The rule of symmetry correlation indicates that the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state correlates adiabatically with the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ state as the molecular structure alters from point group $C_{s}$ to $C_{2 v}$. The H atom of the NH moiety migrates to the Si atom, and subsequently, one of the two H atoms originally on the $\mathrm{SiH}_{2}$ moiety migrates to the N atom to re-form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$. $\mathrm{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ calculations predicted that the barrier heights for $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-atom exchange in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$ $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ are 3.80 and 4.19 eV , respectively. The enthalpies of reactions for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ +H and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}$ are as large as 4.57 and 5.09 eV , respectively, which accounts for the large barriers for the $1,2-\mathrm{H}$-atom exchanges.

Luke et al. ${ }^{23}$ reported a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ state of point group $C_{2 v}$ rather than a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ground state for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$. From our IRC calculations, we identified $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ as a transition structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$ $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ for the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bending motion in the molecular plane;
the barrier height is only $0.19 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$, the lowest triplet state, lies 2.06 eV above the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ground state. Figure 5 indicates that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ has a planar structure of point group $C_{2 v}$, whereas $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has a planar structure of point group $C_{s}$. As a ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ state correlates adiabatically with a ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ state rather than a ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ state as the molecular structure alters from point group $C_{s}$ to $C_{2 v}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are situated on distinct PESs. As in the cases of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{3} \Pi\right), \mathrm{HSiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi,{ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$, and $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi,{ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$, convergence of the calculation toward the equilibrium structure of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ state that lies 0.82 eV above the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ ground state $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right.$ in point group $C_{s}$ ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}$is intricate. Under a constraint of $C_{s}$ symmetry, the calculation for geometry optimization converges to the equilibrium structures of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ and ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ states (i.e., ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ ) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}$with initial $\angle \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ values of less and greater than $136.6^{\circ}$, respectively. On scanning the PES along the coordinate of $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bending from $120^{\circ}$ to $180^{\circ}$ under a constraint of point group $C_{s}$, at $\angle \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \approx 143.5^{\circ}$, the calculated electron configuration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}$abruptly alters from the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ to the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ state. As for the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}$ system, $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}$ has a planar equilibrium geometry for the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$ ground state that lies 1.75 eV lower than the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ state; Chen et al. ${ }^{17}$ assigned the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ state to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}$. In contrast to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}$, in its ground state, $\mathrm{HSiNH}_{2}{ }^{+}$has an equilibrium structure belonging to point group $C_{s}$ rather than $C_{2 v}$. Analogous to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}, \mathrm{SiNH}_{3}$ in its ground state has a structure with point group $C_{3 v}$ and a ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}$ ground state; two unpaired electrons occupy two doubly degenerate e orbitals localized mainly on the Si atom, which yields a triplet spin multiplicity. In contrast to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$, we found energy minima rather than transition structures for $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}$


Figure 5. Equilibrium structures of various cationic silicon-nitrogen hydrides. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ is a transition structure. $\angle \mathrm{W}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ denotes the dihedral angle between the WXY plane and the WXZ (or XYZ) plane.


Figure 6. Scan of potential energy surfaces of $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$along the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond with method B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) and of increment $0.005 \AA$ in the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length. The solid line and circles are for states ${ }^{2} \Pi$ and ${ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}$, respectively, for both $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+}$and $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}$.
$\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ at $C_{s}$ symmetry. $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}\right)$ lies above $\mathrm{SiNH}_{3}\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)$ in electronic energy by 0.90 eV , which is less than the value of 1.02 eV obtained by Chen et al. using B3LYP theory alone. ${ }^{17}$

## V. Conclusion

On the basis of the first experiments on $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ from the reaction of atomic N with $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ in crossed molecular beams, we propose that products of these two types arise mainly from the reaction of $\mathrm{N}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\right)+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$. We determined the ionization thresholds of the products $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$ to be 6.7 and 9.2 eV , respectively. The value of 6.7 eV coincides with a calculated adiabatic IE of 6.81 eV for $\mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$, whereas the value of 9.2 eV is $\sim 1.0 \mathrm{eV}$ less than the calculated adiabatic IE of HSiN and HNSi . The internally hot HNSi is responsible for the red shift in the ionization threshold. As HSiN lies $64.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ above HNSi , the measured distribution of kinetic energy favors HNSi over HSiN as the major product. A portion of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ decomposes to $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+} / \mathrm{HNSi}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ following photoionization with a threshold of about 11.7 eV . In contrast, the extent of dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is low, indicating a large dissociation threshold. Similarly, the large enthalpies of the reactions $\mathrm{HSiN}^{+} / \mathrm{HNSi}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{SiN}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ hinder the dissociative ionization of $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$. Our present work has yielded the first measurements of TOF distributions, photoionization yields, and dissociative ionizations of $\mathrm{HSiNH} / \mathrm{SiNH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HSiN} / \mathrm{HNSi}$. In a future work, we shall present kinetic energy and spatial angular distributions of products and describe the dynamics of reaction $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ based on a calculated PES.

We calculated the electronic energies and vibrational wavenumbers for silicon-nitrogen hydrides with $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{SiNH}_{y}, x+y=$ $0-3$. Optimization of the geometries and electronic energies of $\mathrm{SiN}^{+}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma^{-},{ }^{3} \Pi\right), \mathrm{HSiN}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi,{ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$, and $\mathrm{HNSi}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \Pi,{ }^{2} \Sigma^{+}\right)$ requires care: With a short $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}$ bond as an initial value, the
optimization converges toward an electronic excited state rather than the ground state. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SiNH}^{+}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2},{ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, the optimization with a small initial value for the $\angle \mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ angle converges toward the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{\prime \prime}$ electronic excited state rather than the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ ground state. The present calculations correct previous assignments of electronic states and provide useful molecular parameters for neutral and cationic silicon-nitrogen hydrides that might occur in the $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$ reaction.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ Electronic energy relative to the neutral electronic ground-state was calculated at the level $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})+$ ZPE. ${ }^{b}$ Vibrational wavenumbers were calculated at the level B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p).

