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#### Abstract

The complex doublet potential energy surface for the ion-molecule reaction of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ is investigated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd})$ (single-point) levels. The initial association between $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ forms three energy-rich addition intermediates, $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, $\mathbf{2}$ (HC$\mathrm{cNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$), and $3\left(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{cCHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, which are predicted to undergo subsequent isomerization and decomposition steps. A total of nine kinds of dissociation products, including $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ $\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P} \mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\right.$ $\mathrm{H}), \mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}\left(\mathrm{HNCCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, are obtained. Among the nine products, $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ is the most abundant product. $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ is the second feasible product but is much less competitive than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ may have the lowest yields observed. Other products, $\mathbf{P}_{7}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$, may become feasible at high temperature. Because the intermediates and transition states involved in the most favorable pathway all lie below the reactant, the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction is expected to be rapid, which is confirmed by experiment. The present calculation results may provide a useful guide for understanding the mechanism of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$toward other unsaturated hydrocarbons.


## 1. Introduction

Titan, the largest satellite of Saturn, has attracted considerable interest because its atmosphere is so dense and because it is one of the places where the most complex atmospheric organic chemistry takes place in the solar system. A great deal of effort has been expended in describing the structure and the composition of Titan's atmosphere. ${ }^{1-9}$ It has been established that Titan's dense and complex atmosphere is essentially composed of nitrogen and methane but also contains traces of hydrogen, ethane, ethylene, and acetylene. ${ }^{10-12}$ Primary ionization begins in Titan's ionosphere both by photoionization from solar radiation and by impact ionization from magnetospheric electrons. ${ }^{13-16}$ The reactions that occur via the primary ions $\mathrm{N}^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$reacting with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ initiate the ion-process, which yields the product ions $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$. The ions then react further with hydrocarbons that are present in abundance in Titan's atmosphere such as methane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)$, acetylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, ethylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, ethane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$, and so on. In this way, a complex matrix of reactions is quickly established with a wide range of products. Such reactions are generally very fast and may be very effective in depleting old molecules or ions and in synthesizing new molecules or ions.
The ion-neutral chemistry in Titan's atmosphere is complex and has attracted the attention of chemists and astronomers. Up to now, a large number of experimental ${ }^{17-27}$ and theoretical ${ }^{28-32}$ studies have been performed to simulate the chemistry occurring in Titan's atmosphere. As one of the important ions in Titan's ionosphere, $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$has drawn considerable attention, and many ion-molecule reactions relevant to $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$have been investigated both experimentally and theoretically. In 2004, Anicich et al. performed experimental studies of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ using the flowing after low-selected ion flow tube (FA-SIFT) at room temperature. ${ }^{33}$ Recently, we investigated the reactions of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with $\mathrm{CH}_{4}{ }^{34}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{35}$ using theoretical methods. As a part of our continuing interest in the gas-phase chemistry of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$ and because there has been no theoretical study on the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+$
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction up to now, we set out to investigate the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ in the present article.

## 2. Computational Methods

All calculations are performed with the Gaussian 98 program package. ${ }^{36}$ The geometries of all of the reactants, products, intermediates, and transition states are optimized using the popular density functional theory B3LYP ${ }^{37}$ method (the Becke's threeparameter hybrid functional with the nonlocal correlation functional of Lee-Yang-Parr) in conjunction with the d,p-polarized 6-311G (d,p) basis set. ${ }^{38-40}$ Frequency calculations are performed at the same level to check to see if the obtained species is an isomer or a transition state with an imaginary frequency of $\mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{1}$, respectively. To get more reliable energetic data, single-point energy calculations are carried out at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd})^{41}$ level (coupled cluster approach with single and double substitutions including a perturbative estimate of connected triple substitutions) using the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) optimized geometries. Finally, the intrinsic reaction coordinate ${ }^{42}$ calculations are performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level to confirm that the transition states connect designated intermediates.

Our choice of B3LYP is motivated by its good performance for the geometry optimizations and prediction of vibrational frequencies for both isomers and transition states based on much previous literature. ${ }^{43-45}$ Moreover, B3LYP is able to suppress effectively the problem of spin contaminants. On the other hand, for the current reaction, the $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set is a balanced choice in consideration of computational efficiency and accuracy.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The optimized structures of the reactant and products are shown in Figure 1, and the optimized structures of intermediates and transition states are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The symbol $\mathbf{T S m} / \mathbf{n}$ is used to denote the transition state connecting intermediates $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{n}$. The energy of the reactant $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\right.$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) is set to zero for reference. Unless otherwise indicated, the
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Figure 1. Optimized structures of the reactant and products. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
relative energies mentioned hereafter refer to the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6$ $311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{pd}) / / \mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})+$ ZPVE (zero-point vibrational energy) level. The energetics of the reactant and products are listed in Table 1, whereas those of the intermediates and transition states are summarized in Table 2. By means of the interrelations among the reactant, intermediates, transition states, products, and corresponding relative energies, the schematic profile of the potential energy surface (PES) for the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction is depicted in Figure 4.
3.1. Initial Association. The reactant $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$has $C_{\infty v}$ symmetry and a ${ }^{2} \Sigma$ electronic state. The spin densities on carbon and nitrogen are 0.419427 e and 0.594288 e respectively, as confirmed by theoretical calculations at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level. So, both carbon and nitrogen can be viewed as the active site of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$. Via the attack of the nitrogen atom of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$at one carbon atom of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, intermediate $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$can be formed. Alternatively, the nitrogen atom can attack the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, and a three-membered ring intermediate $2\left(\mathrm{HC}-\mathrm{cN} \mathrm{CH} 2^{-}\right.$




Figure 2. Part 1 of 2.


Figure 2. Part 2 of 2 . Optimized structures of the intermediates. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$) can be obtained. However, the only reaction channel from $\mathbf{2}$ is an evolution that isomerizes to the more stable intermediate $\mathbf{1}$ as shown in Figure 4. Not only can the nitrogen atom of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$ attack $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, but the carbon atom in $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$can also attack $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ through the two attack patterns mentioned above. Unfortunately, we cannot locate the intermediate, which can be seen as formed via the attack of one carbon of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ by the carbon of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$. However, we get a three-membered ring species $\mathbf{3}$ ( N c $\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$), which can be considered to form via the direct attack of the carbon atom of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$at the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. The initial adducts $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$and $\mathbf{3}(\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{cCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$) lie 104.6 and $72.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively, lower in energy than reactant $\mathbf{R}\left(\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, which means that the initial association provides the two isomers with enough energy to take subsequent isomerization or dissociation reactions. In the following passage, we mainly discuss the formation pathways of various products formed via $\mathbf{1}$ and 3.
3.2. Isomerization and Dissociation Pathways. I. Reaction Product $\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{I}}\left(\boldsymbol{H C N}+\boldsymbol{C}_{2} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{+}\right)$. The charge-transfer product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ $\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$is $70.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the reactant. From Figure 4, we find that only one pathway is possible.

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}
$$

The initially formed intermediate $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$can directly dissociate to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$via the cleavage of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond. At the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level, no transition state is found during this process $\left(\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ in spite of numerous attempts. Alternatively, we obtain the transition state $\mathbf{T S 1} / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ at the HF/6-311G(d,p) level, and the structure is shown in Figure 3. We attempt to reoptimize it at the MP2/6-311G(d,p) and QCISD/6-311G(d) levels. However, it does not succeed and often leads to the dissociated product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$. This again indicates that the dissociation of $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is barrierless, and $\mathbf{T S} 1 / \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ may be an artifact of the HF method.


Figure 3. Part 1 of 3.


Figure 3. Part 2 of 3.


Figure 3. Part 3 of 3 . Optimized structures of the transition states. Distances are given in angstroms and angles are given in degrees.
II. Reaction Product $\mathrm{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right) . \mathrm{P}_{2}$ (HC$\mathrm{NCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ ) lies $70.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the reactant. From Figure 4, we find that five formation pathways are possible.

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}
$$

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}
$$

> Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{3}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$
> Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{4}): \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$
> Path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{5}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$
$\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{HCNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$can produce $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ through the elimination of one of the hydrogen atoms bonded to the internal carbon as

TABLE 1: Zero-Point ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), Total (a.u.), and Relative Energies in Parentheses ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and Those Including Zero-Point Vibration Energies (ZPVE) of the Reactant and Products for the $\mathbf{H C N}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{4}$ Reaction

| species | B3LYP | ZPVE | $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ | $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})+\mathrm{ZPVE}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{HCN}^{+}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ | -171.5692722 | 41.10053 (0.0) | -171.2177219 (0.0) | 0.0 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{1}\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$ | -171.6871249 | 40.80152(-0.3) | -171.3294402 (-70.1) | -70.4 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{2}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -171.6764678 | 38.54916 (-2.6) | -171.3254103 (-67.6) | -70.1 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$ | -171.6232905 | 39.0066 (-2.1) | -171.2315663 (-8.7) | -10.8 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right)$ | -171.6201409 | 40.90124 (-0.2) | -171.2730457 (-34.7) | -34.9 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | -171.670772 | 36.98003 (-4.1) | -171.3066598 (-55.8) | -59.9 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -171.695308 | 38.57216 (-2.5) | -171.3422801 (-78.2) | -80.7 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | -171.6057926 | 37.37759 (-3.7) | -171.2587270 (-25.7) | -29.5 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ | -171.590688 | 37.49424 (-3.6) | -171.2417497 (-15.1) | -18.7 |
| $\mathbf{P}_{9}\left(\mathrm{HNCCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ | -171.6020162 | 29.76482 (-11.3) | -171.2466805 (-18.2) | -29.5 |

TABLE 2: Zero-Point ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), Total (a.u.), and Relative Energies in Parentheses ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and Those Including Zero-Point Vibration Energies (ZPVE) of the Intermediates and Transition States for the $\mathbf{H C N}^{+}+\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{4}$ Reaction

| species | B3LYP | ZPVE | $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ | $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})+\mathrm{ZPVE}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reactant | -171.5692722 | 41.10053 (0.0) | -171.2177219 (0.0) | 0.0 |
| 1 | -171.7402572 | 44.08005 (3.0) | -171.3891591 (-107.6) | -104.6 |
| 2 | -171.7090139 | 45.63058 (4.5) | -171.355261 (-86.3) | -81.8 |
| 3 | -171.6920361 | 44.23658 (3.1) | -171.3377775 (-75.3) | -72.2 |
| 4 | -171.7266609 | 46.24328 (5.1) | -171.3749748 (-98.7) | -93.5 |
| 5 | -171.6962873 | 43.74696 (2.6) | -171.3345371 (-73.3) | -70.7 |
| 6 | -171.763167 | 43.80276 (2.7) | -171.4039506 (-116.9) | -114.2 |
| 7 | -171.7729872 | 44.84316 (3.7) | -171.417166 (-125.2) | -121.4 |
| 8 | -171.7295107 | 47.17293 (6.1) | -171.3792192 (-101.3) | -95.3 |
| 9 | -171.7556166 | 43.86718 (2.8) | -171.402578 (-116.0) | -113.2 |
| 10 | -171.7793418 | 44.05545 (3.0) | -171.420203 (-127.1) | -124.1 |
| 11 | -171.7665286 | 45.50643 (4.4) | -171.4039864 (-116.9) | -112.5 |
| 12 | -171.6748028 | 45.68124 (4.6) | -171.3284639 (-69.5) | -64.9 |
| 13 | -171.5547009 | 35.45405 (-5.6) | -171.2049832 (8.0) | 2.3 |
| TS1/2 | -171.702453 | 44.27512 (3.2) | -171.3458609 (-80.4) | -77.2 |
| TS1/4 | -171.6928729 | 43.73375 (2.6) | -171.3392289 (-76.2) | -73.6 |
| TS1/5 | -171.6529215 | 42.77802 (1.7) | -171.2982557 (-50.5) | -48.9 |
| TS1/6 | -171.6911116 | 41.21233 (0.1) | -171.3299641 (-70.4) | -70.3 |
| TS1/P ${ }_{2}$ | -171.6700632 | 39.49238 (-1.6) | -171.3155628 (-61.4) | -63.0 |
| TS3/4 | -171.686515 | 44.46459 (3.4) | -171.334576 (-73.3) | -70.0 |
| TS4/7 | -171.7002572 | 44.31786 (3.2) | -171.3425111 (-78.3) | -75.1 |
| TS4/8 | -171.6278185 | 42.68247 (1.6) | -171.2772497 (-37.4) | -35.8 |
| TS4/12 | -171.6326134 | 42.08896 (1.0) | -171.2784816 (-38.1) | -37.1 |
| TS4/P ${ }_{7}$ | -171.6013036 | 39.16047 (-1.9) | -171.246473 (-18.0) | -20.0 |
| TS5/P ${ }_{5}$ | -171.596166 | 38.13531 (-3.0) | -171.2340771 (-10.3) | -13.2 |
| TS6/7 | -171.6744336 | 41.808 (0.7) | -171.3162892 (-61.9) | -61.1 |
| TS6/P ${ }_{2}$ | -171.6767881 | 38.99963 (-2.1) | -171.3236349 (-66.5) | -68.6 |
| TS7/P ${ }_{2}$ | -171.6759573 | 39.00152 (-2.1) | -171.3209441 (-64.8) | -66.9 |
| TS8/9 | -171.6889095 | 44.71437 (3.6) | -171.3354826 (-73.9) | -70.3 |
| TS8/P8 | -171.5775829 | 40.12152 (-1.0) | -171.2210284 (-2.1) | -3.1 |
| TS9/10 | -171.7133394 | 41.69848 (0.6) | -171.352792 (-84.8) | -84.2 |
| TS9/P ${ }_{6}$ | -171.689733 | 39.33757 (-1.8) | -171.3335571 (-72.7) | -74.5 |
| TS10/11 | -171.6331169 | 40.19793 (-0.9) | -171.2682485 (-31.7) | -32.6 |
| TS11/P6 | -171.6887558 | 39.43989 (-1.7) | -171.3276335 (-69.0) | -70.6 |
| TS13/P9 | -171.4995805 | 32.23249 (-8.9) | -171.1384763 (49.7) | 40.9 |
| $\mathrm{TSP}_{6} / 13$ | -171.5429315 | $34.54867(-6.6)$ | -171.1924191 (15.9) | 9.3 |

in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$. $\mathbf{1}$ can also isomerize into $\mathbf{6}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$via a 1,2 hydrogen shift. Then $\mathbf{6}$ either undergoes a hydrogenelimination process that leads to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{2})$ or continuously isomerizes into $7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$via a 1,4 hydrogen shift followed by a hydrogen-elimination process to generate $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{3})$. In addition, $\mathbf{1}$ can transform to the four-membered ring intermediate $4\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right)$via a ring-closure process as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{4})$, whereas, in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{5}), \mathbf{4}$ can be formed via $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{cCHCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$with a negligible barrier of $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Subsequently, 4 undergoes a ring-opening process that gives rise to $7\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$followed by a hydrogen elimination that leads to $\mathbf{P}_{2}$.

In path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$, only one barrier of $41.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ needs to be overcome in order for $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Yet, in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{2})$, there are two barriers, which are 34.7 and $45.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{1}$
$\rightarrow \mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{6} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ conversions, respectively. Then we expect that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$ should be more competitive than path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 2 )}$. Considering the high-energy barrier of $54.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the 7 $\rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{2}$ conversion that is involved in the latter three pathways, we can deduce that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{3})-(\mathbf{5})$ cannot compete with path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$, and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$ should be the optimal channel to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$.
III. Reaction Product $\mathrm{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$. 1 (HCN$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}$) can isomerize to $\mathbf{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$via a concerted 1,4 hydrogen shift, and through the $-\mathrm{CN} \rightarrow-\mathrm{NC}$ conversion process, the energy barrier for step $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5}$ is $55.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Despite numerous attempts, we cannot locate the transition state for the $\mathbf{5} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow} \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ conversion; moreover, we notice that the terminal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Me}}$ (note that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Me}}$ denotes the methyl carbon) bond of $\mathbf{5}$ is $1.736 \AA$ and is easy to be broken. So, we expect that this may
be a single $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond-rupture process. The formation pathway of $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ can be written as

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}
$$

IV. Reaction Product $\mathrm{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right)$. For $\mathbf{P}_{4}(\mathrm{CN}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}$), we find that two pathways are possible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{4} \\
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The formation of the four-membered ring intermediate 4 (c$\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}$) has been discussed in section II. For brevity, we decide not to discuss it again. Via a hydrogen migration along with the ring-opening process, $\mathbf{4}$ can transform to $\mathbf{1 2}$ $\left(\mathrm{CNCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$with a barrier of $56.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ followed by direct dissociation to $\mathbf{P}_{4}$. Because the barrier of $31.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ conversion is significantly higher than $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathbf{3}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ conversion, we can deduce that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})$ is the favorite channel to generate $\mathbf{P}_{4}$.
V. Reaction Product $\boldsymbol{P}_{5}\left(\mathbf{N C C H C H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. For product $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}++\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, only one feasible pathway is located, which can be depicted as

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}
$$

The formation of $\mathbf{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$is the same as path $\mathbf{P}_{3}$. Subsequently, 5 can produce $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ via a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecule elimination process. The barrier heights for the steps of $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 5 }}$ and $\mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$ are 55.7 and $57.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.
VI. Reaction Product $\boldsymbol{P}_{6}\left(\mathbf{H N C C H C H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\boldsymbol{H}\right)$. There are four feasible pathways to form the lowest-lying product $\mathbf{P}_{6}$ $\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, which can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{6} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{6}} \\
\text { Path } \mathrm{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{3}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{6}(4): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow \mathbf{1 1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}
$$

The formation of $4\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right)$has been discussed in section II. $\mathbf{4}$ can convert to $\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}\right)$via a hydrogenmigration process followed by a ring-opening process to give rise to $\mathbf{9}\left(\mathrm{HNCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$. The energy barriers of steps $\mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9}$ are 57.7 and $25.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Subsequently, 9 can either take a hydrogen-elimination process to form $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{1})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$ or undergo the successive $1,2-$ and 1,4 hydrogen-shift interconversion to $\mathbf{1 0}$ $\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$and then to $\mathbf{1 1}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right)$, which can finally take a direct $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond cleavage to give product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ as in path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{3})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{( 4 )}$.

The barrier height of $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ (31.0) is much higher than that of $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}(2.2)$; thus, path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{4})$ are more competitive than path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{1})$ and path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{3})$, respectively. Moreover, we notice that, for $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{6}$ conversion, only one barrier of $38.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\left(\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\right)$ must be overcome in path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{2})$. However, in path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{4})$, three barriers for $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 0}$ (39.0), $\mathbf{1 0} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 1}$ (91.5), and $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(41.9)$ must be overcome. So, we conclude that the optimal channel to generate $\mathbf{P}_{6}$ is path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$.
VII. Reaction Product $\mathrm{P}_{7}\left(c-\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) . \mathrm{P}_{7}$ (c$\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) is a four-membered ring product, and we find two pathways are possible:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{7} \\
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

The four-membered ring intermediate $4\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}\right)$ can produce $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ via a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-elimination process with a barrier of $73.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Because the barrier of $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ (2.2) is much lower than that of $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ (31.0), the optimal channel to form $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ is path $\mathbf{P}_{7}$ (2).
VIII. Reaction Product $\mathrm{P}_{8}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. Product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{HNCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, another four-membered ring product, is $18.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ more stable than the reactant. From the PES, we find that two pathways are possible.


Figure 4. Sketch map of the potential energy surface (PES).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}} \\
& \text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The formation of $\mathbf{8}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}\right)$is the same as that in path $\mathbf{P}_{6}(\mathbf{1})$. Then $\mathbf{8}$ undergoes a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ elimination that gives rise to $\mathbf{P}_{8}$ with a high barrier of $92.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Comparing the energy barriers of $\mathbf{1 \rightarrow 4}$ (31.0) and $\mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{\rightarrow 4}$ (2.2), we can deduce that path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{2})$ is more competitive than path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{1})$.
IX. Reaction Product $\boldsymbol{P}_{9}\left(\boldsymbol{H N C C C H}^{+}+\boldsymbol{H}_{2}+\boldsymbol{H}\right) . \mathrm{P}_{9}$ is the secondary product of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$. It can be formed in the following way:

$$
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}: \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}
$$

$\mathbf{P}_{6}$ is $\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}$, and the way to generate it has been discussed in section VI. $\mathbf{P}_{6}$ can isomerize to $\mathbf{1 3}\left(\mathrm{HNCCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$ $+\mathrm{H})$ via a 1,2 hydrogen shift, and then $\mathbf{1 3}$ undergoes a $\mathrm{H}_{2}-$ elimination process that leads to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$. The energy barriers for $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$ are 90.0 and $38.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.
3.3. Reaction Mechanism. In the preceding sections, we have obtained nine products, which are $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ $\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right)$, $\mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{6}\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right), \mathbf{P}_{7}(\mathrm{c}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{NHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}(\mathrm{HNC}-$ $\left.\mathrm{CCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$. For easier discussion, the optimal channels for these nine products are listed again:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{5} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{2}): \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4} \rightarrow \mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}} \\
\text { Path } \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}: \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1 3} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}
\end{gathered}
$$

After comparing every optimal channel for forming possible products, we can deduce that the order of energy barriers of the rate-controlling steps increases as follows: path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbf{1})(0.0)$ $\rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})(41.6) \rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}(55.7) \rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{2})(56.4) \rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}$ (57.5) $\rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}(\mathbf{2})$ (57.7) $\rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}} \mathbf{( 2 )}$ (73.5) $\rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$ (90.0) $\rightarrow$ path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{2})$ (92.2). The much higher barriers make path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{7}} \mathbf{( 2 )}$, path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}(\mathbf{2})$, and path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{9}}$ kinetically unfeasible. As for the remaining six channels, path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is kinetically the most favorable channel, followed by path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1})$, yet it is much less competitive compared to path $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$. Paths $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3 - 6}}$ are kinetically the least feasible channels. Because the energy barriers of paths $\mathbf{P}_{3-6}$ are very close, within $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, these four pathways may have contributions comparable to that of the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction. The actual yields of these four products may depend on the reaction conditions in the experiment. As a result, reflected in the final product distributions, we predict that a total of six kinds of products, $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$ $+\mathrm{H}), \mathbf{P}_{3}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$ $\left.+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, should be observed. $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ may be the most feasible product with the largest yields. $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ may be the second most favorable product but it is less competitive than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{5}}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}$, which have the lowest yields observed.

## 4. Comparison with Experiments

In 2004, Anicich et al. performed experimental studies on the reaction $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ using the flowing after glow-selected

FA-SIFT at room temperature. The experimental results show the products and distributions are in the order of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}$ $(0.73)>\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}(0.13)=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}(0.13)>\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$ $+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ (0.01). Among these products, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}$ corresponds to $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ in our results, and the distribution is highest in all of the products. $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}$ corresponds to two products in our results, which are $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}} . \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ occupies a larger part, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{+}+\mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ correspond to $\mathbf{P}_{4}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{9}$, respectively. On the whole, this result is consistent with our theoretical result. However, there are some discrepancies, that is, (a) as shown in Figure 4, the relative energies of $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right)$are -70.1 and $-34.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. In addition, energy barriers of the rate-controlling step in the formation pathways of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{4}}$ are 41.6 and $56.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Taking thermodynamics and kinetics into consideration, we believe that $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+$ H should have a larger branching ratio than that of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}+$ CN . The branching ratio of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}$ obtained by Anicich et al. may be overestimated. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}$ may come from another source. Notice that trace amounts of water are always present in the reaction system. As a result, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$may react with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ to give $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}$. On the other hand, dissociation of HCN and all other nitriles may yield CN radical. (b) Our calculations show that products $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$and $\mathbf{P}_{5}$ $\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, which were completely ignored by Anicich et al., may also contribute to the final products. The absence of a measurable signal in these cases cannot be taken as proof of the insignificance of these possible products in the reaction $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ because the sensitivity of their experimental system is not known for these species. Moreover, the existence of $\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}$in interstellar space has been suggested by previous studies. ${ }^{46,47}$ Therefore, further experimental investigation is still desirable.

The energies of the reactant, products, intermediates, and transition states may be different at different temperatures. From Figure 4, we find that most of the pathways on the PES are exothermic channels, and an increase in temperature may make it easier to proceed through these channels. However, considering that the low temperature in Titan's atmosphere is about 170 K , and its surface temperature is $96 \mathrm{~K},{ }^{48}$ the energy correction for temperature (from 0 to 170 K ) may not play a large role.

## 5. Conclusions

A detailed doublet PES of the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction system is built up at the B3LYP and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ (single-point) levels of theory. The main calculated results can be summarized as follows: The reaction initiated by the formation of three minimal isomers 1, 2, and $\mathbf{3}$ followed by a variety of transformations lead to nine dissociation products. Among the nine products, the charge-transfer product $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{HCN}\right)$ is the most favorable product; $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}\left(\mathrm{HCNCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ is the second most feasible product but it is much less competitive than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$; and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{3}}$ $\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{4}\left(\mathrm{CN}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{+}\right), \mathbf{P}_{5}\left(\mathrm{NCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{6}}\left(\mathrm{HNCCHCH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ make up the third most feasible products, yet they are much less competitive than $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Other products, namely, $\mathbf{P}_{7}\left(\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{CHCCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{8}}$ (c$\left.\mathrm{HNCCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathbf{P}_{9}\left(\mathrm{HNCCCH}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}\right)$, are kinetically unfavorable, and their contribution to the final products can be considered negligible. Because the isomers and transition states involved in the most favorable channel of the $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ reaction are lower in energy than the reactant, the total reaction is expected to be rapid, as is confirmed by experiment. Our theoretical results are consistent with the experimental investigations, and we hope the results may provide
some useful information for understanding the reaction mechanism of $\mathrm{HCN}^{+}$with unsaturated hydrocarbon.
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