



Discussion

Reply to comments on “A critical evaluation of closure methods by two simple dynamic systems”

R.V. Bobryk, M. Grigoriu *

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Cornell University, 369 Hollister Hall, 30 Eagleshead Road, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 August 2009

Accepted 26 August 2009

Handling Editor: M.P. Cartmell

Available online 24 September 2009

Certain mathematical proofs and numerical results were used in [2,3] to show that the performance of closure methods is determined by the structure of the moment equations rather than the closure techniques. The discussion in [1], including a mathematical proof of the lack of uniqueness of the solution of moment equations and numerical results, provides a very useful addition to developments in [2,3].

We would like to note that the statement “we present a mathematical explanation of the numerical results in [2]” in [1] seems to overlook some mathematical arguments in the paper and implies that the conclusions in [2] are solely based on numerical results. It was shown in [2] that the solution X of the Itô stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = (\alpha X(t) + \beta X(t)^3) dt + \sigma dB(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

with $\alpha, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta < 0$ becomes stationary as $t \rightarrow \infty$, its stationary odd moments are 0, and its stationary even moments $\mu_{2k} = E[X(t)^{2k}]$ satisfy the recurrence formula

$$\mu_{2(k+1)} = a\mu_{2k} + (2k-1)b\mu_{2(k-1)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

where $a = -\alpha/\beta$ and $b = -\sigma^2/(2\beta)$. The solutions $\{\mu_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of the recurrence formula in Eq. (2) with $\alpha > 0$ are positive for $\mu_0 > 0$, so that they are acceptable moments of X . Hence, Eq. (2) delivers an uncountable number of valid moments $\{\mu_{2k}, k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ for X , one for each value of $\mu_0 > 0$. This simple mathematical argument was employed in [2] to show that the moment equations do not have a unique solution. Numerical results and plots were used to illustrate this finding. We also mention that additional proofs on the performance of closure methods are given in [3].

References

- [1] R.V. Bobryk, Comments on “A critical evaluation of closure methods via two simple dynamic systems”, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* (2009), in press, doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2009.08.027.
- [2] M. Grigoriu, A critical evaluation of closure methods via two simple dynamic systems, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 317 (1–2) (2008) 190–198, doi:10.1016/j.jsv.2008.02.049.
- [3] A.M. Hasofer, M. Grigoriu, A new perspective on the moment closure method, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* 62 (2) (1995) 527–532.

DOI of original articles: 10.1016/j.jsv.2009.08.027, 10.1016/j.jsv.2008.02.049

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mdg12@cornell.edu (M. Grigoriu).