

### 531. *Infra-red Absorptions of Vinyl and isoPropenyl Groups in Polar Compounds.*

By W. H. T. DAVISON and (in part) G. R. BATES.

The characteristic olefinic absorptions of a number of polar allyl, vinyl, and isopropenyl compounds have been measured in solution, and new correlations established for the esters, ketones, and ethers. The correlations for acrylates and methacrylates include absorptions associated with the ester group.

Conjugation explains some of the shifts of  $\nu_{C:C}$ , but is not responsible for those of  $\delta_{CH}$ , for which no suitable models exist. An additivity rule is suggested and tested.

CHARACTERISTIC infra-red absorptions for vinyl and isopropenyl groups in hydrocarbon environment are well established (Barnard, Bateman, Harding, Koch, Sheppard, and Sutherland, *J.*, 1950, 915; McMurray and Thornton, *Analyt. Chem.*, 1952, **24**, 318; Sheppard and Simpson, *Quart. Reviews*, 1952, **6**, 1) but little has been reported on the effect of polar substituents upon these absorptions apart from the vinyl halides (Thompson and Torkington, *J.*, 1944, 597) and allyl halides (*idem*, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1946, **42**, 432).

The vibrational modes of the characteristic absorptions (Sheppard and Simpson, *loc. cit.*, give an excellent review of the nature of these absorptions) are  $\nu_{C:C}$  (C:C stretching at *ca.* 1650  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $\delta_{CH}$  (hydrogen deformation vibrations at *ca.* 1400 and 850—1000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ).  $\nu_{C:C}$  is primarily dependent upon the C:C stretching force constant and is therefore lowered by conjugation; its intensity (group molar absorptivity) should be increased by adjacent polar groups. The assignments of the  $\delta_{CH}$  vibrations are fairly definite, but there is no suitable model which relates their frequencies to the conventional structural representation of the molecule.

#### EXPERIMENTAL AND RESULTS

The materials used were mainly made and supplied by Dr. W. Cooper and Mr. E. Catterall. Spectra were all measured in "AnalaR" carbon tetrachloride (usually as 0.5M-solutions

TABLE I. *Vinyl compounds, CH<sub>2</sub>:CH·X.*

Compound	$\nu_{C:C}$		$\delta_{CH}$		$\delta_{CH}$		$\delta_{CH}$	
	$\text{cm}^{-1}$	$\epsilon$	$\text{cm}^{-1}$	$\epsilon$	$\text{cm}^{-1}$	$\epsilon$	$\text{cm}^{-1}$	$\epsilon$
<i>Allyl ethers (X = CH<sub>2</sub>·OR) and acetals [(CH<sub>2</sub>:CH·CH<sub>2</sub>·O)<sub>2</sub>CHR]</i>								
Diallyl ether	1648	6	988	36	925	98	N.I.	—
Diallyl formal	1645	17	989	75	923	100	1420	20
							1404	18
1 : 1-Diallyloxybutane	1644	20	991	90	921	97	1425	28
							1410	22
1 : 1 : 3-Triallyloxy-propane	1644	~15	989	~70	923	~85	1420	~25
Summary	1645 ± 2	6—20	989 ± 1	36—90	923 ± 2	85—100	1422 ± 3	20—28

TABLE I.—Continued.

Compound	$\nu_{C,C}$		$\delta_{CH}$		$\delta_{CH}$		$\delta_{CH}$	
	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\epsilon$	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\epsilon$	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\epsilon$	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\epsilon$
<i>Allyl esters</i> (X = CH <sub>2</sub> ·O·CO·R)								
Adipate	1650	27	986	100	932	100	1420	48
Sebacate	1654	27	988	95	923 (sh)	84	1423	45
					932	100		
Acrylate	(i)	—	983 (i)	135 (i)	920 (sh)	72	(i)	—
					932	90		
Methacrylate	(i)	—	984	90	921	75	1420	45
					939 (i)	140 (i)		
Summary	1652 ± 3	~27	985 ± 3	90—100	922	75	1421 ± 2	45—48
					922 ± 1	72—84		
<i>Vinyl ethers</i> (X = OR)								
Ethyl	1634	72	964	80	943	28	N.I.	—
	1608	112						
<i>n</i> -Butyl	1632	65	960	55	942	33	N.I.	—
	1610	110						
<i>iso</i> Butyl	1632	65	962	60	941	37	N.I.	—
	1608	110						
2-Ethylhexyl	1632	74	963	75	944	35	N.I.	—
	1610	122						
2-Chloroethyl	1640	65	960	80	941	40	N.I.	—
	1617	120						
Summary	1634 ± 3	65—74	962 ± 2	55—80	942 ± 1	28—40	N.I.	—
	1611 ± 4	110—120						
<i>Vinyl esters</i> (X = O·CO·R)								
Acetate	1647	90	948	90	872	110	N.I.	—
Benzoate	1647	100	948	105	871	130	N.I.	—
Crotonate	1647	120	949	100	868	120	N.I.	—
Summary	1647	90—120	948 ± 1	90—105	870 ± 2	110—130	N.I.	—
<i>Vinyl ketones</i> (X = CO·R)								
Methyl	1618	~90	983	~100	953	~120	N.I.	—
Ethyl	1619	48	984	68	955	85	N.I.	—
Propyl	1620	~55	982	~75	954	~65	N.I.	—
Summary	1619 ± 1	48—90	983 ± 1	68—100	954 ± 1	65—120	N.I.	—
<i>Conjugated diolefins</i> (X = CR:CR'R'') <sup>a</sup>								
CH <sub>2</sub> :CH·CH:CM <sub>e</sub> <sub>2</sub> (API 453)	—	—	994	—	893	—	—	—
CH <sub>2</sub> :CH·CMe:CH <sub>2</sub> (API 278)	—	—	990	—	~910	—	—	—
<i>cis</i> -CH <sub>2</sub> :CH·CH:CHMe (API 496)	—	—	998	—	908	—	—	—
<i>trans</i> -CH <sub>2</sub> :CH·CH:CHMe (API 497)	—	—	1002	—	900	—	—	—
CH <sub>2</sub> :CH·CH:CH <sub>2</sub> (API 919)	—	—	1014	—	909	—	—	—
Summary	—	—	1000 ± 9	—	904 ± 7	—	—	—
<i>Miscellaneous</i>								
X = CH <sub>2</sub> ·CN	1645	26	984	57	928	68	1420	48
X = CN	1612	3·5	960 <sup>b</sup>	78	<sup>b</sup>	—	1413	26
	1645	2·5						
X = CO·H	1618	—	985	—	971	—	N.I.	—
					913			
X = CO <sub>2</sub> H	1635	90	981	110	973	120	N.I.	—
	1615	70			930 <sup>c</sup>	80		
X = CH(OEt) <sub>2</sub>	1647	~5	986	125	935	96	1413	46
X = CH(CN)·O·CO·Me	1649	—	978	—	946	—	1418	—

Notes to Tables 1—3.

N.I. Not investigated. (sh) Shoulder. (i) Interference from other olefinic groups.

<sup>a</sup> Published spectra; not in CCl<sub>4</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Unresolved doublet (Thompson and Torkington, *J.*, 1944, 597). <sup>c</sup> Possibly the ~900-cm.<sup>-1</sup> acid band (Flett, *J.*, 1951, 962). <sup>d</sup> Insufficient data for correlation. <sup>e</sup> The mean frequency of the doublets is used to calculate the summary mean. <sup>f</sup> Methyl excepted.

TABLE 2. isoPropenyl compounds, CH<sub>2</sub>:CMeX.

Compound	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\nu_{C:C}$	$\epsilon$	cm. <sup>-1</sup>	$\delta_{CH}$	$\epsilon$
<i>Esters</i> (X = O·CO·R)						
Acetate .....	1673		80	869	110	
				(899)	(60)	
Benzoate .....	1676		~70	877	~75	
Summary .....	1674 ± 2		~80	873 ± 6	~100	
<i>isoPropenyl ketones</i> (X = CO·R)						
Methyl .....	1632		39	930	110	
2-Chloroethyl .....	1654		—	931	~50	
Summary .....	<sup>d</sup>		—	930 ± 1	50—110	
<i>Conjugated diolefins</i> (X = CR:CR'R'') <sup>a</sup>						
CH <sub>2</sub> :CMe·CH:CHMe (API 452) .....	—		—	883	—	
CH <sub>2</sub> :CMe·CMe:CH <sub>2</sub> (API 451) .....	—		—	890	—	
CH <sub>2</sub> :CMe·CH:CH <sub>2</sub> (API 278) .....	—		—	~895	—	
Summary .....	—		—	889 ± 5	—	
<i>Miscellaneous</i>						
X = CO <sub>2</sub> H .....	1632		~100	947	100	
X = CN .....	1620		22	929	110	

TABLE 3. Acrylates and methacrylates.

	$\nu_{C:O}$	$\nu_{C:C}$	$\delta_{CH}$	A	B	C	D	$\delta_{CH}$	$\delta_{CH}$
<i>Acrylates</i>									
<i>cyclo</i> Hexyl	1725 (120)	1640 (40) 1622 (42)	1407 (100)	1298 (130)	1272 (150)	1192 (140)	1050 (115)	983 (100)	964 (100)
2-Ethyl- hexyl	1723 (140)	1637 (40) 1625 (38)	1407 (100)	1295 (120)	1270 (140)	1180 (160)	1054 (100)	983 (100)	964 (85)
<i>iso</i> Propyl	1717 (140)	1640 (55) 1619 (50)	1407 (95)	1295 (130)	1274 (140)	1196 (130) 1182 (120)	1047 (95)	984 (100)	965 (85)
Methyl	1735 (140)	1637 (45) 1625 (35)	1404 (90)	1290 (90)	1273 (150)	1197 (150) 1179 (150)	1068 (110)	984 (105)	965 (80)
Ethyl	1726 (120)	1637 (38) 1622 (30)	1406 (110)	1285 (130)	1271 (150)	1187 (160)	1060 (110)	984 (95)	965 (75)
Allyl	1723 (130)	1635 (47) 1620 (43)	1405 (97)	1296 (120)	1271 (140)	1184 (90)	1049 (90)	983 (i) (135)	966 (110)
Summary	1723 ± 4 <sup>f</sup> (120—140)	1638 ± 2 (38—55) 1622 ± 2 (30—50)	1406 ± 1 (90—110)	1293 ± 5 (90—130)	1272 ± 2 (140—150)	1187 ± 4 <sup>e</sup> (90—160)	1055 ± 8 (90—115)	984 ± 1 (95—105)	965 ± 1 75—110
<i>Methacrylates</i>									
<i>n</i> -Butyl	1717 (140)	1640 (65)	1404 (47)	1318 (140)	1297 (140)	1156 (160)	1011 (50)	—	938 (120)
2-Ethyl- hexyl	1717 (130)	1635 (67)	1404 (52)	1321 (130)	1296 (140)	1163 (160)	1013 (70)	—	939 (120)
Allyl	1714 (140)	1635 (70)	1404 (38)	1314 (130)	1295 (130)	1156 (160)	1012 (70)	—	939 (140)
Summary	1716 ± 2 (130—140)	1637 ± 3 (65—70)	1404 (38—52)	1318 ± 5 (130—140)	1296 ± 1 (130—140)	1158 ± 4 (160)	1012 ± 1 (50—70)	—	939 ± 1 (120—140)

Note.—Unbracketed figures are frequencies (cm.<sup>-1</sup>), bracketed figures are absorptivities ( $\epsilon$ ).

in a 0.2-mm. cell) with a Grubb-Parsons single-beam spectrometer and rock-salt prism. Frequencies are within  $3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $1600\text{-cm}^{-1}$  region, and  $1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the  $900\text{-cm}^{-1}$  region.

Intensities are expressed as group molar absorptivities ( $\epsilon$ ) given by absorbance ( $\log_{10} I_0/I$ ) divided by the product of optical path in cm. and concentration in g.-equiv./l.

The results are tabulated for vinyl (Table 1) and isopropenyl groups (Table 2). Acrylates and methacrylates (Table 3) are given separately and include absorptions not associated with the olefinic groups. Frequencies are summarised by the mean and standard deviation, and the correlations are given in Table 4. The  $1400\text{-cm}^{-1}$  band was not investigated in many cases (marked N.I.).

TABLE 4. Olefinic group correlations.

Group	No. of cpds.	$\nu_{C:C}$	$\Delta\nu^h$	$\epsilon$	$\delta_{CH}$	$\Delta\nu^h$	$\epsilon$	$\delta_{CH}$	$\Delta\nu^h$	$\epsilon$
Type $\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}$ .										
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CHR}^g$ .....	—	$1642 \pm 3$	0	28—44	$997 \pm 6$	0	33—57	$912 \pm 4$	0	110—150
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{CR}:\text{CR}'\text{R}''$ .....	5	N.I.	—	—	$1000 \pm 9$	+ 3	—	$904 \pm 7$	— 8	—
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{CH}_2:\text{OR}$ ...	4	$1645 \pm 2$	+ 3	6—20	$989 \pm 1$	— 8	36—90	$923 \pm 2$	+ 11	85—100
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{CH}_2:\text{O}:\text{CO}:\text{R}$	4	$1652 \pm 3$	+ 10	~27	$985 \pm 3$	— 12	90—100	932	+ 20	90—100
								$922 \pm 1$	+ 10	72—84
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{OR}$ .....	5	$1634 \pm 3$	— 8	65—74	$962 \pm 2$	— 35	55—80	$942 \pm 1$	+ 30	28—40
		$1611 \pm 4$	— 31	110—120						
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{O}:\text{CO}:\text{R}$ .....	3	1647	+ 5	90—120	$948 \pm 1$	— 49	90—105	$870 \pm 2$	— 42	110—130
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{CO}_2\text{R}$ .....	6	$1638 \pm 2$	— 4	38—55	$984 \pm 1$	— 13	95—100	$965 \pm 1$	+ 53	75—100
		$1622 \pm 2$	— 20	30—50						
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CH}:\text{CO}:\text{R}$ .....	3	$1619 \pm 1$	— 23	48—90	$983 \pm 1$	— 14	68—100	$954 \pm 1$	+ 42	65—120
Type $\text{CH}_2:\text{CMe}$ .										
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CRR}'^g$ .....	—	$1650 \pm 11$	0	20—42	—	—	—	$889 \pm 6$	0	120—200
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CMe}:\text{CR}:\text{CR}'\text{R}''$ .....	3	N.I.	—	—	—	—	—	$889 \pm 5$	0	—
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CMe}:\text{O}:\text{CO}:\text{R}$ ...	2	$1674 \pm 2$	+ 24	~80	—	—	—	$873 \pm 6$	— 16	~100
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CMe}:\text{CO}_2\text{R}$ .....	3	$1637 \pm 3$	— 13	65—70	—	—	—	$939 \pm 1$	+ 50	~125
$\text{CH}_2:\text{CMe}:\text{CO}:\text{R}$ .....	2	$d$	—	—	—	—	—	$930 \pm 1$	+ 41	50—110

<sup>g</sup> Based on data of McMurray and Thornton, *loc. cit.*

<sup>h</sup> Frequency shift from unconjugated hydrocarbon value.

## DISCUSSION

All the characteristic absorptions for classes of polar-substituted vinyl and isopropenyl groups have standard deviations of within  $4 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and this, together with the large shifts observed between the classes of compounds, indicates that the correlations are reliable, even when only a small number of compounds has been examined for a given class.

Even when purely empirical, such correlations are of value for identification and structural determinations, but an understanding of the reasons for the frequency shifts would greatly assist in extending the results to new compounds.

The effect most readily interpreted is that of conjugation which lowers  $\nu_{C:C}$ . In the diolefins the interpretation is complicated by the effects of mechanical coupling and the selection rules, and for this reason values of  $\nu_{C:C}$  are omitted from the Tables. Butadiene, for example, has an infra-red band at  $1592 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and a Raman shift at *ca.*  $1653 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , the result of coupling. The mean value of *ca.*  $1614$  is lower than normal, presumably owing to conjugation (Sheppard and Simpson, *loc. cit.*, p. 32).

Where coupling does not occur, the observed value of  $\nu_{C:C}$  is approximately related to the strength of the bond. Thus conjugation accounts for the lowering of  $\nu_{C:C}$  in conjugated nitriles ( $\nu_{C:N}$  is also lowered; Sheppard and Sutherland, *J.*, 1947, 453; Kitson and Griffith, *Analyt. Chem.*, 1952, 24, 334) and in conjugated ketones and esters of  $\alpha$ -unsaturated acids ( $\nu_{C=O}$  is also lowered; Hartwell, Richards, and Thompson, *J.*, 1948, 1436). For the esters of unsaturated alcohols, however,  $\nu_{C:C}$  is raised (as is  $\nu_{C=O}$ ; *idem, ibid.*).

The doubling of  $\nu_{C:C}$  for the vinyl ethers and the acrylates is probably due to rotational isomerism rather than Fermi degeneracy, but in the absence of low-temperature spectra of the crystals a decision cannot be made. It seems unlikely that such consistent splitting is due to degeneracy, since this would require a further characteristic absorption (below

650  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) to give a second overtone or a combination degenerate with  $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O}}$ . The doubling of  $\nu_{\text{C}=\text{O}}$  in vinyl ketones also suggests rotational isomerism.

In consideration of the effect of polar groups upon the  $\delta_{\text{CH}}$  absorptions at 850—1000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , conjugation is obviously unimportant (from the negligible shifts for the diolefins). Furthermore, an examination of the vinyl correlations shows that no single parameter can explain the shifts of the two bands. For example, in general the absorptions move in opposite directions, but for the vinyl esters both absorptions move to lower frequencies. However, the vinyl ester 870- $\text{cm}^{-1}$  absorption may be wrongly assigned, and the two  $\delta_{\text{CH}}$  absorptions may be superimposed at 948  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (cf. acrylonitrile, Table 1). There is a general qualitative parallelism between the shifts for vinyl and *isopropenyl* compounds similar to that reported for vinyl and vinylidene halides (Sheppard, Thesis, Cambridge, 1947, pp. 109 *et seq.*).

The large frequency shifts arising from polar substituents, and the constancy of the correlations, suggest that polar and electron-displacement effects, rather than mass effects, are responsible. In such a case, one might expect that the shifts would be algebraically additive when more than one substituent is present; unfortunately, only two suitable compounds were available to test this suggestion.

For 3 : 3-diethoxyprop-1-ene,  $\text{CH}_2\text{:CH}\cdot\text{CH}(\text{OEt})_2$ , the shifts may be regarded as twice those for an allyl ether, *viz.*,  $997 - 2 \times 8 = 981$  (obs. 986  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $912 + 2 \times 11 = 934$  (obs. 935  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). 3-Acetoxy-3-cyanoprop-1-ene may be regarded as having the shifts of an allyl ester imposed upon the frequencies for allyl cyanide, *viz.*,  $984 - 12 = 972$  (obs. 978  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $928 + 20 = 948$  (obs. 946  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ); although the agreement is quite good, further reference compounds would be needed to test the suggested additivity.

The possible use of the 1400- $\text{cm}^{-1}$  absorption was not realised until much of the experimental work was completed, and the results are therefore incomplete with respect to this band. The relatively small range of frequencies observed (1404—1425  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) suggests that, although the band might be useful for confirming the presence of the olefinic group, it would be of little value for deciding the nature of the substitution.

In addition to the olefinic absorptions, acrylates and methacrylates (Table 3) have five strong characteristic absorptions; those designated C and D are probably associated with the C—O vibrations observed in other esters (Thompson and Torkington, *J.*, 1945, 640). The A and B bands may be  $\delta_{\text{CH}}$  (in plane) modes (Sheppard and Simpson, *loc. cit.*, Tables 4 and 7).

The authors are greatly indebted to Dr. W. Cooper and Mr. E. Catterall for supplying most of the compounds examined. They also thank the Dunlop Rubber Company for permission to publish this work.

CHEMICAL RESEARCH DIVISION, DUNLOP RESEARCH CENTRE,  
BIRMINGHAM, 24.

[Received, March 16th, 1953.]