461. The Crystal Structures of the Acid Salts of Some Monobasic Acids. Part X. ${ }^{1}$ Potassium, Rubidium, and Ccesium Hydrogen Di-trifluoroacetates

By L. Golič and J. C. Speakman
The acid salts of trifluoroacetic acid, $M \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2}$, (where $M=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}$, or Cs ) have been studied by three-dimensional crystal-structure analysis. The potassium salt crystallises with four molecules in a cell, belonging to the space group $I 2 / a$, with $a=8.773, b=10 \cdot 169, c=9.255 \AA, \beta=99^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$. Its structure is that of a type $A$ acid salt, with the two equivalent trifluoroacetate residues joined by a short hydrogen bond ( $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{4 3 5} \AA$ ) lying across a centre of inversion. Though not explicitly studied, the structure of the rubidiun compound must be similar, since the crystal is isomorphous.

The cæsium salt has four molecules in a cell with $a=13 \cdot 623, b=5 \cdot 033$, $c=14 \cdot 741 \AA, \beta=112^{\circ} 46^{\prime}$ and space group $A 2 / a$. Though the structure is different, it also belongs to type $A$; and the quasi-symmetrical hydrogen bond has $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{3 8} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 3} \mathbf{2}^{2} \AA$.

Trifluoroacetic acid (HT) forms acid salts, as was discovered by Hara and Cady, ${ }^{2}$ who described compounds of formulæ $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{KHT}_{2}$, and by Klemperer and Pimentel, ${ }^{3}$ who studied the infrared spectrum of $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{3}$. We have prepared $\mathrm{KH} T_{2}$, as well as the analogous salts $\mathrm{RbH} T_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CsH} T_{2}$, and we have determined their crystal structures, which prove to be ${ }^{4}$ of type $A$ with very short hydrogen bonds.

## Experimental

Preparation.--The 1:1 acid salts were easily made by dissolving two equivalents of commercial trifluoroacetic acid and one of potassium hydroxide, or rubidium carbonate, or cæsium carbonate in a little hot water, and allowing the solution either to stand, or to evaporate in a desiccator. The crystals were prismatic, those of $\mathrm{KH} T_{2}$ and $\mathrm{RbH} T_{2}$ elongated in the $c$-direction, but those of $\mathrm{CsH}_{2}$ in the $b$-direction. All three compounds were hygroscopic and, for $X$-ray study, the crystals had to be sealed into glass capillary tubes. Their compositions were roughly checked by their equivalents, and confirmed by the subsequent crystal-structure analyses.

Crystal Data.-The crystal data in Table 1, were obtained from single crystal rotation, oscillation, Weissenberg and precession photographs, taken with $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha^{-}}$and Mo $K_{\alpha^{-}}$-radiations. Accurate values for the cell dimensions, and their standard deviations, were derived from a least-squares (L.-S.) treatment of a number of high-order reflexions, whose values for $\sin \theta$ were determined by comparison with superposed powder lines of aluminium, for which $a$ was taken as $4 \cdot 04907 \AA$, with $\lambda$ for $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha_{1}}$ radiation as $1.54051 \AA$.

We expected these three substances to be isomorphous and to be able to use their isomorphism to solve the phase problem. The first two were so, but the cæsium salt was not, though

[^0]Table 1
Crystal values for some acid trifluoroacetates

| Formula | $\mathrm{KH}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{RbH}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CsH}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M$ | $266 \cdot 1$ | $312 \cdot 5$ | 359.9 |
| $\boldsymbol{a}(\AA)$ | $8.773 \pm 0.005$ | $18.813 \pm 0.003$ | $13.623 \pm 0.003$ |
| $b$ | $10.169{ }^{(1006}$ | $10.550 \quad 0.004$ | $5.033-0.002$ |
| $c$ | $9.255 \quad 0.006$ | $9.546 \quad 0.006$ | $14.741 \quad 0.004$ |
| $\beta$ | $99.85{ }^{\circ} \pm 0.07$ | $100.66^{\circ} \pm 0.06$ | $112.76^{\circ} \pm 0.04$ |
| $U\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 813.5 | 872.2 | 932.0 |
| $D_{\text {m }}$ | 2.085 | - | 2.61 |
| $D_{\text {c }}$ | $2 \cdot 171$ | $2 \cdot 380$ | 2.565 |
| $Z$ | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{CuK}^{\text {a }}\right.$ ) | 68.5 | $97 \cdot 2$ | 321.4 |
| $F(000)$ | 520 | 592 | 664 |
| Space group | I2/a | I2/a | A2/a |

all three crystallise in the same space group of the monoclinic system. In fact, we were able to determine the structure of the potassium compound without recourse to the isomorph with the heavier, Rb , atom; and we carried this analysis to an advanced stage of refinement. The structure of the rubidium salt was therefore also known by implication, though we did no further work on it. The different structure of the cæsium salt was determined also, and refinement was carried only as far as the less accurate intensity values warranted. For both analyses, the intensities were estimated visually from multiple-film photographs. The crystals used were cut to have an approximately square cross-section, and absorption corrections were applied as for a cylindrical specimen, with effective radii $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Potassium Hydrogen Di-trifluoroacetate.-Absent reflexions were those characteristic of the space groups $I a$ and $I 2 / a$. The $N(z)$-test indicated centrosymmetry and hence $I 2 / a$ [No. 15with point-positions $\left.000, \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(x y z ; x, \bar{y}, \frac{1}{2}+z\right)\right]$, and this was borne out by the subsequent analysis. This space group implies that the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ion and the acidic hydrogen atom are in special positions, and that the two trifluoroacetate residues of the formula $\mathrm{KH} T_{2}$ are equivalent. The situation is typical of class $A$ acid salts, and the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$was assumed to occupy four-fold positions of type $0 y \frac{1}{4}$. Details of the reflexions recorded are given in Table 2.

Table 2

| Summary of terms used in the analysis of $\mathrm{KH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reciprocal-lattice net | No. of obsvd. reflexions | Percentage of those accessible | $R$ (\%) |
| $h k 0$ | 55 | $87 \cdot 3$ | $6 \cdot 23$ |
| $h k 1$ | 96 | $93 \cdot 2$ | 6.93 |
| $h k 2$ | 102 | 89.5 | $7 \cdot 12$ |
| $h k 3$ | 85 | 84.2 | 6.33 |
| $h k 4$ | 85 | $85 \cdot 9$ | 8.05 |
| Other $h k l$ | 178 | $65 \cdot 7$ | $6 \cdot 22$ |
| All | 601 | $64 \cdot 2$ | 6.79 |

The value of $y$ for the potassium ion was deduced from the sharpened $h k 0$ Patterson projection. Otherwise, the three principal projections could not be deciphered at this stage. The sharpened three-dimensional Patterson function was therefore computed and the structure was solved by a careful study of this. The initial trial structure gave an $R$-value of $38 \%$, which was reduced to $16 \%$ after two cycles of electron-density synthesis. Refinement was continued by three-dimensional L.-S. analysis, and the procedure was identical with that described in Part IX. Refinement was discontinued after 18 cycles, when the shifts were all less than one-tenth of the respective standard deviations, and the $R$-value for all observed reflexions had fallen to $6.79 \%$. At a late stage the acidic hydrogen atom was included in structure-factor calculations, with $x=y=z=\frac{1}{2}$, and the isotropic Debye factor, $B=3 \cdot 2 \AA^{2}$. Observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 3. Structure factors were also calculated for some 150 reflexions that lay within the region of reciprocal space covered but were too weak to have been observed. Of these terms, $54 \%$ had $\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ below the minimum observable, and only four terms had $\left|F_{c}\right|$ greater than three times the minimum. These were: $396(-4 \cdot 72)$, 923(5.09), 378(-5.77), and 398(5.99).

Final co-ordinates are given in Table 4, along with their standard deviations, and vibrational parameters are shown in Table 5 as tensor components for mean-square amplitudes. The

TAble 3
Potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors
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Table 3 (Continued)

| $/ 2$ | $k$ | $l$ | Pol | $F_{c}$ | $/ l$ | $k$ | $l$ | $1 F_{0}$ |  | $F_{c}$ | $/ 2$ | $k$ | $l$ | Fo | $i_{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -2 | 3.5 | 4.6 |  |  | - 2 | 5.3 |  | - 5.4 |  |  | - 5 | 13.4 | 16.1 |
| 8 | 9 | - 3 | 3.1 | - 4.3 |  |  | - 4 | 14.9 |  | 25.1 |  |  | - 7 | 11.7 | - 12.0 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 17.1 | 15.9 | 9 | 6 | - 1 | 6.4 |  | 7.6 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 10.5 | 13.5 |
|  |  | -2 | 23.5 | 24.2 |  |  | - 3 | 10.9 |  | - 12.6 |  |  | - 2 | 15.3 | 16.1 |
|  |  | - 6 | $6 . \%$ | - 8.2 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 5.6 |  | - 6.3 |  |  | - 4 | 17.6 | - 18.5 |
| 9 | 2 | - 1 | 8.7 | 10.4 |  |  | - 2 | 12.4 |  | 14.2 | 10 | 3 | - 1 | 10.6 | - 12.0 |
|  |  | - 3 | 16.0 | - 15.8 |  |  | - 4 | 8.9 |  | - 13.7 |  |  | - 3 | 10.7 | 10.2 |
|  |  | - 5 | 18.0 | 16.7 | 9 | 8 | - 1 | 6.1 |  | - 13.4 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 14.4 | 15.9 |
| 9 | 3 | 0 | 19.1 | - 19.6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 16.7 |  | 19.7 |  |  | - 2 | 7.3 | - 8.1 |
|  |  | - 2 | 5.6 | 5.4 |  |  | - 2 | 10.1 |  | - 8.6 | 10 | 5 | - 1 | 5.8 | 7.1 |
|  |  | -4 | 4.5 | 3.9 |  |  | - 4 | 17.0 |  | 14.6 |  |  | - 3 | 5.1 | - 6.2 |
| 9 | 4 | $-1$ | 8.1 | - 9.4 |  |  | - 6 | 6.7 |  | - 8.4 | 10 | 6 | -2 | 4.0 | - 4.8 |
|  |  | -3 | 6.4 | 5.2 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 18.8 |  | - 23.5 | 11 | 1 | -2 | 3.7 | - 5.6 |
| 9 | 5 | 0 | 12.3 | 12.5 |  |  | - 1 | 4.4 7.8 |  | 4.2 8.7 | 11 | 2 | - 3 | 9.6 | - 12.2 |

Table 4
Potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: fractional ( $x, y, z, \times 10^{5}$ ) and orthogonal ( $X^{\prime}, Y, Z^{\prime}, \AA, \times 10^{4}$ ) co-ordinates. (The quantities in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations; $X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ are, respectively, parallel to $x$ and $y$, whilst $Z^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to both. For the numbering of atoms see Figure 1.)


Figure 1. Potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: view of the unit cell in its $b$-axial projection. (S.C.U. indicates the standard chemical unit, the co-ordinates of whose atoms appear in Table 4; other symmetry-related units are denoted by Roman numerals as is explained in the text)
numbering of atoms is explained in Figure 1. The group of atoms covered in the Table constitutes the standard chemical unit (S.C.U). Other symmetry related units are as follows:


These results will be discussed later along with those for the cæsium salt.

Casium Hydrogen Di-trifluovoacetate.-Absorption with these crystals was high, $\mu R$ (for $\mathrm{Cu} K_{\alpha}$-radiation) being 3.2 for a typical crystal mounted to rotate about its $b$-axis. Though formally corrected for absorption, the intensity values are therefore less accurate, and notably so for the 85 reflexions measured for a crystal set to rotate about its $c$-axis. The terms used in the analysis are summarised in Table 6.

Table 5
Potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: vibrational parameters $\left(\AA^{2}, \times 10^{4}\right)$

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{31}$ | Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | 377 | 316 | 379 | - | - | 151 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 389 | 373 | 397 | -23 | -76 | 57 |
| F(1) | 443 | 644 | 598 | -196 | -51 | 93 | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 422 | 519 | 478 | -162 | -154 | 127 |
| F(2) | 659 | 585 | 343 | 8 | 50 | 77 | C(1) | 382 | 395 | 317 | 59 | -53 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{F}(3)$ | 564 | 528 | 641 | 210 | -85 | 133 | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 316 | 305 | 376 | -8 | 16 | 36 |

Table 6
Summary of terms used in analysis of $\mathrm{CsH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$

| Reciprocal-latticc net | No. of obsvd. reflexions | Percentage of those accessible | $R(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| h0l | 121 | $93 \cdot 8$ | $7 \cdot 23$ |
| h1l | 170 | $73 \cdot 0$ | $8 \cdot 16$ |
| h2l | 205 | $94 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 33$ |
| h3l | 186 | $98 \cdot 4$ | 15.03 |
| All about $b$-axis | 682 | $63 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 51$ |
| $h k l$ | 85 | $90 \cdot 4$ | 23-16 |
| (about c-axis) |  |  |  |
| All | 767 | 71-5 | $10 \cdot 28$ |

Though the structure is different from that of the potassium salt, the space group is the same, as are its structural implications. In fact, we have used the space group in a different orientation, $A 2 / a$ [with equipoints $000,0 \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \pm\left(x, y, z ; \frac{1}{2}+x, \bar{y}, z\right)$ ]. Since the $b$-axis is short and the


Figure 2. Cæsium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: view of the unit cell in its $b$-axial projection. (S.C.U. indicates the standard chemical unit, the co-ordinates of whose atoms appear in Table 8; other symmetry-related units are denoted by Roman numerals as is explained in the text)
cæsium atom heavy, the projected structure was immediately obvious in the $h 0 l$ Patterson projection, and there was no difficulty in assigning $y$-co-ordinates by trial. Refinement proceeded as before. The atomic scattering function for $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$was taken from Sagel, ${ }^{5}$ and corrected

5 K. Sagel, "Tabellun zur Röntgenstrukturanalyse," Berlin, 1958.

Table 7
Cæsium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: observed structure amplitudes and calculated structure factors
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[^1]Table 7 (Continued)

| $h$ | $k$ | $l$ | $1 F_{0}$ | $F i$ | $h$ | $k$ | $l$ | $\|F 0\|$ | $F_{. c}$ | $1 /$ | $k$ | $l$ | $\left\|F_{0 .}\right\|$ | $F_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -10 | 65.7 | 62.2 |  |  | -14 | 31.4 | - 31.6 | 2 |  |  | 14.8 |  |
|  |  | -12 | 57.1 | 60.2 |  |  | -16 | 21.9 | - 23.3 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 16.0 | - 20.4 |
|  |  | -14 | 36.4 | 35.6 | 14 | 1 | - 5 | 14.6 | - 15.4 |  |  | - 1 | 37.5 | - 30.8 |
|  |  | -16 | 29.5 | 27.4 |  |  | - 7 | 18.1 | - $\begin{array}{r}13.8 \\ \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ |  |  |  | 17.7 | - 32.7 |
| 12 | 1 | -3 | $\frac{13.0}{}$ | 15.2 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 25.2 | 28.4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 10.3 | 6.0 |
|  |  | - 7 | 22.9 | 17.4 |  |  | - 2 | 26.4 | 29.4 29.4 |  |  | 2 | 15.9 | 4.6 |
|  |  | - 9 | 18.9 | 14.7 |  |  | - 4 | 34.5 | 31.8 | 3 | 4 | - 0 | 34.2 | - 38.5 |
|  |  | -13 | 14.2 | 5.4 |  |  | - 6 | 42.7 | 40.4 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 49.3 | - 33.1 |
|  |  | -15 | 11.1 | 12.9 |  |  | -8 | 49.0 | 44.4 |  |  | - 2 | 36.1 | - 37.5 |
| 12 | 2 | 0 | 51.5 | - 51.1 |  |  | -10 | 39.6 | 39.3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 13.1 | 13.8 |
|  |  | 2 | 39.8 | - 44.3 |  |  | -12 | 29.6 | 32.4 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 18.0 | 12.3 |
|  |  | 4 | 21.5 | - 26.5 |  |  | -14 | 20.3 | 21.3 |  |  | - 1 | 20.9 | 17.3 |
|  |  | 6 | 9.8 | - 15.6 | 14 | 3 | - 1 | 14.2 | 14.7 |  |  | - 3 | 17.7 | 19.0 |
|  |  | - 2 | 61.4 | - 56.8 |  |  | - 3 | 21.2 | 19.7 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 15.8 | 14.9 |
|  |  | -4 | 55.1 | - 47.2 |  |  | - 5 | 27.4 | 22.2 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 23.5 | 25.7 |
|  |  | - 6 | 35.9 | - 31.7 |  |  | - 7 | 22.2 | 19.6 |  |  | 2 | 26.1 | 28.6 |
|  |  | -8 | 51.1 | - 45.5 |  |  | -9 | 17.8 | 16.5 |  |  | - 2 | 37.6 | 36.2 |
|  |  | -10 | 48.7 | - 44.9 |  |  | -11 | 17.6 | 15.8 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 40.2 | 32.8 |
|  |  | -12 | 31.6 | - 33.0 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 24.3 | 28.6 |  |  | 3 | 13.0 | 18.7 |
|  |  | -14 | 31.9 | - 32.6 |  |  | - 1 | 34.6 | 39.1 |  |  | - 1 | 38.3 | 31.4 |
|  |  | -16 | 18.6 | - 18.7 |  |  | - 3 | 37.3 | 40.7 |  |  | - 3 | 16.4 | 20.5 |
| 12 | 3 | 1 | 19.7 17.3 | - 16.9 |  |  | - 5 | 37.7 | 36.9 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 7.5 | - 5.1 |
|  |  | 3 -1 | 17.3 22.7 | - 27.3 |  |  | - 9 | 34.0 | 36.7 | 5 | 4 | - 2 | 15.8 36.8 | - $\begin{array}{r}2.1 \\ 37.8\end{array}$ |
|  |  | - 3 | 30.9 | - 24.2 |  |  | -11 | 35.7 | 34.7 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 23.6 | 27.7 |
|  |  | - 5 | 32.0 | - 26.4 |  |  | -13 | 35.6 | 37.2 |  |  | - 2 | 30.9 | 35.3 |
|  |  | - 7 | 31.2 | - 25.9 |  |  | -15 | 20.6 | 26.5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 16.2 | - 13.4 |
|  |  | -9 | 19.3 | - 15.2 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 5.9 | 8.6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 16.9 | - 13.9 |
|  |  | -11 | 15.4 | - 16.5 |  |  | - 2 | 7.4 | 7.1 |  |  | - 1 | 20.5 | - 16.9 |
|  |  | -13 | 10.7 | - 11.4 |  |  | -4 | 15.6 | 13.1 |  |  | - 3 | 23.9 | - 14.2 |
|  |  | -15 | 8.9 | - 11.4 |  |  | -6 | 15.8 | 12.0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 14.3 | - 14.2 |
| 13 | 1 | 1 | 34.9 | - 35.2 |  |  | -8 | 16.5 | 14.5 |  |  | 2 | 6.5 | - 11.8 |
|  |  | 3 | 24.2 | - 27.0 |  |  | -10 | 17.8 | 17.7 |  |  | - 2 | 5.8 | - 13.6 |
|  |  | 5 | 19.3 | - 24.0 | 15 | 3 | - 3 | 20.4 | - 24.6 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 34.2 | - 35.2 |
|  |  | - 1 | 45.0 | - 43.7 |  |  | - 5 | 19.1 | - 21.2 |  |  | 2 | 20.3 | - 22.2 |
|  |  | - 3 |  | - 77.7 |  |  | - 7 | 19.4 | - 18.5 |  |  | - 2 | 25.1 | - 30.9 |
|  |  | - 5 | 80.3 | - 75.5 |  |  | -9 | 19.1 | - 19.6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 18.4 | - 18.6 |
|  |  | -7 | 56.1 46.8 | - 58.6 | 16 | 0 | 0 -2 | 22.1 | 27.6 32.2 |  |  | 3 | 11.8 | - 21.1 |
|  |  | -9 | 46.8 37.0 | - 47.6 |  |  | - 2 | 32.4 38.8 | 32.2 37.5 |  |  | - $\frac{1}{3}$ | 18.8 | - 21.3 |
|  |  | -13 | 27.4 | - 29.3 |  |  | - 6 | 41.9 | 41.0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 31.1 | - 32.3 |
|  |  | -15 | 29.6 | - 29.8 |  |  | -8 | 40.3 | 38.5 |  |  | 2 | 16.1 | - 27.9 |
|  |  | -17 | 13.5 | - 21.6 |  |  | -10 | 33.6 | 33.2 |  |  | - 2 | 29.9 | - 25.8 |
| 13 | 2 | 0 | 21.2 | - 22.9 |  |  | -12 | 31.3 | 31.8 | 7 |  |  |  | 12.8 |
|  |  | 2 | 12.5 | - 13.2 |  |  | -14 -2 | 16.5 | - 24.9 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 10.9 | 9.2 |
|  |  | - 2 | 21.2 | - 22.5 | 16 | 2 | - 4 | 25.1 | - 26.3 |  |  | - 1 | 17.9 | 14.6 |
|  |  | - 4 | 16.5 25.9 | - 23.7 |  |  | - 6 | 29.7 | - 30.7 |  |  | - 3 | 19.2 | 11.3 |
|  |  | - 8 | 30.4 | - 23.3 |  |  | - 8 | 28.3 | - 29.7 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 18.5 | 20.4 |
|  |  | -10 | 15.2 | - 11.7 |  |  | -10 | 21.5 | - 25.0 |  |  | 2 | 22.7 | 22.7 |
|  |  | -12 | 16.3 | - 15.8 | 17 | 1 | - 5 | 24.6 | - 31.0 |  |  | 2 | 37.0 | 30.0 |
|  |  | -14 | 9.8 | - 9.6 |  |  | - 7 | 26.2 | - 29.0 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 23.4 | 21.5 |
| 13 | 3 | 1 | 15.0 | 18.1 |  |  | -9 | 25.3 | - 28.8 |  | 4 | - 0 | 11.9 | 19.0 |
|  |  | - 1 | 27.5 | 26.9 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 44.0 | 42.2 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 16.0 | 21.9 |
|  |  | - 3 | 33.1 | 30.4 |  |  | 2 | 48.3 | 44.3 |  |  | - 2 | 30.2 | 30.8 |
|  |  | - 5 | 39.6 | 34.4 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 23.9 | 24.4 |  |  | - 1 | 9.4 | - 10.3 |
|  |  | - 7 | 36.9 | 33.1 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 17.8 | - 5.3 | 9 | 5 | 3 | 3.9 | - 8.7 |
|  |  | -9 | 25.0 | 23.7 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 41.7 |  |  |  | -3 | 13.0 | - 10.6 |
|  |  | -11 | 18.5 | 18.1 |  |  | 2 | 45.0 | 56.5 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 23.4 | - 23.4 |
|  |  | -13 | 18.1 | 20.6 -34 |  |  | - 2 | 24.7 | 43.2 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 10.1 | - 16.6 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 32.9 | - 34.8 | 1 | 5 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 19.4 | - 18.3 |  |  |  | 33.1 | - 23.5 |
|  |  | 2 | 33.7 | -37.3 -29.4 |  |  | - 3 | 29.2 | - 15.1 | 10 |  | -1 | 6.0 | - 14.6 |
|  |  | 4 -2 | 23.9 41.4 | - 29.4 |  |  | - $\frac{1}{3}$ | 19.0 | - 13.4 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 21.7 | - 21.7 |
|  |  | - 2 | 41.4 55.7 | - 39.2 | 1 | 6 | - ${ }^{-1}$ | 29.7 20.7 | - 16.0 |  |  | 2 | 5.9 | - 14.8 |
|  |  | - 6 | 51.1 | - 47.8 |  |  | - 2 | 8.8 | - 16.1 |  |  | - 2 | 26.2 | - 25.0 |
|  |  | - 8 | 80.3 | - 73.1 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 40.9 | - 44.9 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 13.0 | 17.0 |
|  |  | -10 | 60.9 | - 57.7 |  |  | 2 | 54.4 | - 50.1 |  |  | - 2 | 21.9 | 17.7 |
|  |  | -12 | 39.6 | - 38.1 |  |  | - 2 | 33.3 | - 26.8 |  |  | - 2 | 21.9 | 17.7 |

for anomalous dispersion. Though the overall $R$-value of $10 \cdot 3 \%$ after ten cycles of L.-S. refinement was reasonably good, the values for some groups of reflexions were rather high. After the eighth cycle a three-dimensional difference-synthesis was calculated; the map was remarkably featureless, except for a complex region of positive and negative density round the site of the cæsium atom. Taken at its face value, this indicated a vibration too complicated to be represented by a centrosymmetric ellipsoid. We think a more realistic explanation is that our intensity values had errors, probably due to inadequate allowance for absorption.

The single hydrogen atom was included in structure-factor calculations, with $x=y=z=0$, and $B=3.8 \AA^{2}$ Table 7 includes observed structure amplitudes and calculated structurefactors for the terms used in the analysis. The final parameters are included in Tables 8 and 9.

Table 8
Cæsium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: fractional ( $x, y, z, \times 10^{5}$ ) and orthogonal $\left(X^{\prime}, Y, Z^{\prime}, \AA, \times 10^{3}\right)$ co-ordinates. (The quantities in parentheses are the estimated standard deviations; $Y$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are, respectively, parallel to $y$ and $z$, whilst $X^{\prime}$ is perpendicular to both. For the numbering of atoms see Figure 2.)

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $X^{\prime}$ | $Y$ | $Z^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cs | -25,000 | 21,704 | 00,000 | -3140 | 1092(1) | -1318 |
| F(1) | -01,397 | 59,125 | 15,638 | $-176(11)$ | 2976(17) | 2379(11) |
| F(2) | 11,625 | 38,021 | 26,241 | 1460(16) | 1914(20) | 3255(11) |
| F(3) | 14,726 | 70,498 | 18,430 | 1850(21) | 3548(17) | 1940(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 16,498 | 28,404 | 8000 | 2073(15) | 1430(14) | 309(18) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -479 | 17,766 | 5131 | -60(14) | 894(19) | 782(15) |
| C(1) | 8426 | 50,222 | 17,385 | 1059(20) | 2528(21) | 2118(15) |
| C(2) | 8574 | 30,548 | 9601 | 1077(21) | 1537(22) | 963(20) |
| H | 00,000 | 00,000 | 00,000 | -- | - | - |

Table 9
Cæsium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: vibrational parameters $\left(\AA^{2}, \times 10^{4}\right)$

| Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{31}$ | Atom | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{23}$ | $U_{31}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cs | 433 | 623 | 671 |  |  | 254 | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 476 | 877 | 925 | 51 | -37 | 302 |
| F(1) | 854 | 999 | 878 | 122 | $-150$ | 382 | $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 481 | 1114 | 752 | -66 | -221 | 165 |
| F (2) | 1204 | 1244 | 503 | -5 | 138 | 182 | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 819 | 752 | 451 | -54 | 56 | 166 |
| F(3) | 1162 | 1167 | 995 | -402 | $-368$ | 371 | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 569 | 794 | 639 | -36 | 41 | 50 |

The numbering of atoms is explained in Figure 2. Apart from the S.C.U., other units are denoted as follows:

|  | S.C.U. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $-x$ | $\frac{1}{2}-y$ | $\frac{1}{2}-z$ |
| II | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$ | 1 | 1 |
| III | $-\frac{1}{2}+x$ | $-y$ | $z$ |
| IV | $-x$ | $-y$ | $-z$ |



## Results and Discussion

The more important interatomic distances and angles are given in Tables 10 and 11. For the potassium salt averaged standard deviations are as follows: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 0.007, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} 0.006$, C-F $0.006, \mathrm{~K} \cdots \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{F} 0.004, \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 0.007 \AA$; angles $\sim 0.4^{\circ}$. For the cæsium salt they are: $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 0.028, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} 0.026, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} 0.025$. $\mathrm{Cs} \cdots \mathrm{O} 0.016, \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 0.032 \AA$; angles $\sim 1.8^{\circ}$.

Though different, the structures of the potassium (or rubidium) and cæsium salts both belong to type $A$ : the two acid residues of the formulæ are crystallographically equivalent,

Table 10
Potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ) and bond-angles

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1.212 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 1.268 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.515 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 1.341 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 310$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | $1 \cdot 348$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $128.4^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $120 \cdot 1$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 111.6 |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 113.0 |
| $\mathrm{F}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 110.9 |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | 107.7 |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | 107.1 |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | 106.3 |

Table 11
Cæsium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate: interatomic distances $(\AA)$ and bond-angles


| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {IV }}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 38$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{O}(2) / \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 13$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {III }}\right) / \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\mathrm{VII}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 18$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {III }}\right) / \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {IV }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 19$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(2)$ | $105^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(3)$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{F}(3)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{F}(1)$ | 109 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\text {IV }}\right)$ | 114.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {II }}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(1^{\text {III }}\right)$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{l}^{\text {III }}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdot \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\text {IV }}\right)$ | 75 |

and joined by a short hydrogen bond lying across a centre of inversion. The cæsium salt has exactly the structural scheme found in most of the acid salts of the aromatic acids so far studied ${ }^{6}$-a scheme symbolised in Figure 3(a). It can be likened to a sandwich: layers of cations $\left(M^{+}\right)$or acidic hydrogen atoms, lying in the ( 002 )-planes in this example, have a layer of carboxylate residues $\left(R \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ on either side. The carboxyl groups are directed inwards, so that their oxygen atoms are either co-ordinated to the metal ions or linked by the hydrogens. The $R$-groups face outwards and make only van der Waals contacts with the next sandwich. There is, to be sure, one noteworthy approach between a cæsium ion ion and a fluorine atom belonging to the next sandwich; but, as will be described later, this is longer than any of the six $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contacts that appear to constitute the significant environment of the ion. This cæsium salt has a short $b$-axis of about $5 \AA$, and the cationic (002) planes are about $7 \AA$ apart.
(002)
(a)

(b)



Figure 3. Schematic diagram illustrating the layer structures of (a) several acid salts of aromatic acids, and (b) acid potassium trifluoroacetate

In the potassium salt the $b$-axis is about twice as long, but the cationic planes, (200) in this case, only about $4 \AA$ apart. This changed situation is formally represented in
${ }^{6}$ E.g., J. M. Skinner, G. M. D. Stewart, and J. C. Speakman, J., 1954, 180.

Figure 3(b), and it can be related to a close contact between the potassium ion and a fluorine atom of the neighbouring sandwich, with $\mathrm{K}^{+} \cdots \mathrm{F}\left(3^{\mathrm{VIII}}\right)=2.962 \AA$. We may surmise that the intenser field of this smaller cation attracts the fluorine, and that the consequent mutual inter-penetration forces the $R \mathrm{CO}_{2}$-groups of any one sandwich further apart.

We have made no corrections for the effects of torsional vibration of the trifluoroacetate groups. With the cæsium salt, the thermal parameters were quite large, but the limited accuracy of our analysis made it unlikely that any such corrections would be significant. In any case, the application of vibrational corrections is difficult with molecules that are clamped by relatively stronger forces at one end. The analysis of the potassium salt was more highly refined, but the same difficulty obtains. Moreover, the anisotropic vibrational parameters of the three fluorine atoms, given in Table 5, suggest the presence of intramolecular libration of the trifluoroacetate group about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond; the vibrational ellipsoids of these atoms have their greatest diameters roughly tangential to the circle passing through the fluorine atoms.

We are indebted to Professor D. W. J. Cruickshank for the following discussion of the torsional vibration within the trifluoroacetate residue. Loos ${ }^{7}$ has measured the torsional frequency of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COF}$ molecule-presumably in the gaseous state-and found it to be $50 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$. The $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}$ion is ioselectronic. If it has the same frequency when isolated, this can be shown to correspond to a root-mean-square amplitude of about $6^{\circ}$ for the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-group against one, with opposite phase, of about $16^{\circ}$ for the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$-group, at room temperature. However, the ion is not isolated; in the crystal, its oxygen atoms are bonded to their environment. If the carboxyl group is assumed to be rigidly clamped by that bonding, the torsional frequency of the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-group is diminished, and its root-meansquare amplitude increased, by a factor of about 3. An amplitude of some $16^{\circ}$ is thus estimated for the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$-group, and this corresponds to a contribution or about $0 \cdot 16 \AA$ to the mean-square $U_{i j}$. The experimentally determined values of $U_{i j}$ are all much below $0 \cdot 16 \AA^{2}$ in fact. If then we guess the torsional contribution to be roughly $0.016 \AA^{2}$, corresponding to a $6^{\circ}$ intramolecular libration, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ distances reported in Table 10 would need increasing by $0.006 \AA$.

The hydrogen bonds in both acid salts are formally symmetrical and very short. The $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ angle in each compound is about $115^{\circ}$, and favourable for strong bonding. Lying in crystallographically special environments, these hydrogen bonds have the standard deviations of their lengths enhanced. So the $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2.38 \AA$ in the cæsium salt has to be qualified by $\pm 0.032$; but the $2.435 \pm 0.007 \AA$ in the potassium salt ranks with $2 \cdot 437 \pm 0.004 \AA$ in potassium hydrogen maleate ${ }^{8}$ and with $2.433 \pm 0.010 \AA$ in sodium hydrogen diacetate ${ }^{9}$ (after correction ${ }^{10}$ ) as amongst the shortest hydrogen bonds, between carboxylic oxygen atoms, to have been measured with any accuracy. [According to a personal communication from Dr. Levy, he finds $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2 \cdot 41 \AA$ within the chloromaleate anion, with an uncertainty of $0.01 \AA$. This ion lacks the crystallographic m-symmetry of the maleate anion.] A hydrogen bond between two phosphate groups has been found ${ }^{11}$ to have $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O}=2 \cdot 398 \AA$, but the standard deviation is $\pm 0.018$.

The carboxyl groups in type $A$ acid salts are intermediate in character between carboxylate anion and neutral carboxyl. The difference of $0.06 \AA$ between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in potassium hydrogen di-trifluoroacetate is in line with differences of $0.05 \AA$ in sodium hydrogen diacetate and in potassium hydrogen maleate. The difference found in the cæsium salt is $0 \cdot 12 \AA$, but this may not be significantly higher.

In both acid trifluoroacetates one $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ distance is shorter than the other two; whilst

[^2]the effect is not significant in the cæsium salt, the differences of 0.038 and 0.031 , each $\pm 0.0085 \AA$, in the potassium salt are significant at the $0.01 \%$ level or less. The fluorine atom concerned is $\mathrm{F}(2)$, which makes a van der Waals contact of $2 \cdot 828 \AA$ across a centre of inversion with another $\mathrm{F}(2)$ atom. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ angle to $\mathrm{F}(2)$ is greater than those to the other two fluorines. These other $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ distances agree well with the mean value of 1.346 $\pm 0.005 \AA$ found in ammonium trifluoroacetate. ${ }^{12}$ In this neutral salt, as well as in the two acid salts here studied, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ angles average $112^{\circ}$, against $107^{\circ}$ for the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ angles.

The five atoms $\mathrm{O}(1), \mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$, and $\mathrm{F}(1)$ of the acid residue in the potassium salt are coplanar: only one of them, $\mathrm{C}(2)$, lies as much as $0.006 \AA$ from the mean plane, $0.4405 X^{\prime}-0.7028 Y+0.5585 Z^{\prime}=0.5386(\AA)$. This planarity seems to be without structural significance, since it does not occur in the cæsium salt, nor in ammonium trifluoroacetate.

The environment of the cæsium ion, which lies on a two-fold axis, is similar to that found in many type $A$ acid salts. There are six oxygen atoms of different acid residues,


Figure 4. The environment of the potassium ion in the acid trifluoroacetate
all at distances in the range $3 \cdot 13-3 \cdot 19 \AA$. Two pairs of $O(1)$ atoms, related by the $b$-translation, form a $4 \times 5 \AA^{2}$ rectangle with the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$very near its centre. Two $\mathrm{O}(2)$ atoms then complete a very skew octahedron. This skew arrangement is perhaps ameliorated by the presence of two fluorine atoms, $\mathrm{F}\left(2^{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}\left(2^{\mathrm{VI}}\right)$, at a distance of $3 \cdot 30 \AA$. The environment of the potassium ion is more complicated, though it also lies on a digonal axis; it is shown in Figure 4. Moving in the $b$-direction we first find a pair of $F(3)$ atoms at a $y$-level $2 \cdot 55 \AA$ below $\mathrm{K}^{+}$; next, $1 \cdot 23 \AA$ below $\mathrm{K}^{+}$, we come to a pair of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ atoms, in a line roughly at right-angles to the $F \cdots F$ line; $0.59 \AA$ above the ion is another pair of $\mathrm{O}(1)$ atoms, roughly parallel to $\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{F}$; finally, $2 \cdot 27 \AA$ above the ion is a pair of $\mathrm{O}(2)$ atoms, roughly at right-angles to $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{F}$. These eight atoms belong to eight different acid groups.

The shortest non-bonded contact in the potassium salt is the $\mathrm{F}\left(2^{\mathrm{I}}\right) \cdots \mathrm{F}\left(2^{\mathrm{VI}}\right)$ already mentioned. In the cæsium salt, $\mathrm{F}(1)$ lies $3.08 \AA$ from $\mathrm{F}\left(1^{\mathrm{VIII}}\right)$, related to it by the centre of inversion at $0 \frac{31}{4} \frac{1}{4}$ and $3 \cdot 21 \AA$ from $F\left(2^{1}\right)$. These distances are distinctly greater than double the conventional van der Waals radius of fluorine ( $1.35 \AA$ ); the structure is surprisingly open in this region.
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