

Polar Effects in Hydrogen Abstraction from Benzaldehydes. Part IV.¹ Solvent Effect on Chlorination by Sulphuryl Chloride

By Kheng H. Lee, Department of Chemistry, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 22-11, Malaysia

Enhancement of the reactivity of benzaldehyde relative to cyclohexane towards sulphuryl chloride at 40° in CCl₄ was demonstrated by modifying the reactivity of the hydrogen-abstractor radical in the rate-determining step with added benzene and t-butylbenzene which are able to complex with atomic chlorine. Relative rates of chlorination of substituted benzaldehydes with SO₂Cl₂ have been determined in benzene, and in 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄ at 40°. The Hammett correlations with σ constants (ρ -0.68 and -0.86, respectively) are attributed to the selective benzene and t-butylbenzene π -complexed chlorine atom.

IN Part III¹ the radical chlorination of *meta*- and *para*-substituted benzaldehydes with SO₂Cl₂ in CCl₄ was found to obey the Hammett, $\rho\sigma$ relationship. It was also established² that $k(\text{PhCHO})/k(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{12})$ values towards SO₂Cl₂ in CCl₄ at 40° were independent of the concentration of the aldehyde up to 2.4M, even in the presence of 2% trichloroethylene which is known to be an efficient chlorine-atom trap.³ However, at a fixed concentration of benzaldehyde the reactivity values were reported to be significantly increased by added t-butylbenzene. The investigation of such a solvent effect has now been extended to the chlorination of substituted benzaldehydes in benzene and also in 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄.

EXPERIMENTAL

I.r. analysis⁴ for ArCHO and ArCOCl, and g.l.c. analysis^{5,6} for cyclohexyl chloride were performed as previously reported.

¹ Part III, K. H. Lee, *Tetrahedron*, 1970, **26**, 2041.

² K. H. Lee and T. O. Teo, *Chem. Comm.*, 1970, 860.

Materials.—Benzene and t-butylbenzene (AnalaR grade) were distilled from P₂O₅ and stored over molecular sieve (3 Å). All the aldehydes and other chemicals employed were purified in the usual manner.^{1,4,5}

Competitive Reactions at 40°.—These were carried out as previously described¹ using an aldehyde : C₆H₁₂ : SO₂Cl₂ ratio of 1 : 1 : 1.5 in the appropriate solvent. For experiments on substituent effects the concentration of the aldehyde was 0.6M. Irradiation times with a 275 W sunlamp were 0.5—2 h to allow 26—33% consumption of the organic substrates. For g.l.c. estimation of C₆H₁₁Cl a portion of the reaction solution was added to a known weight of *p*-xylene or PhBr and treated¹ with saturated aqueous Na₂CO₃. Polychlorination of cyclohexane was found (g.l.c.) to be negligible. Aroyl chlorides and unconsumed aldehydes were estimated by i.r. spectrophotometry.^{4,5} Determination of the aroyl chlorides was also carried out by titri-

³ C. Walling and J. A. McGuinness, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1969, **91**, 2053.

⁴ K. H. Lee, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 4793.

⁵ K. H. Lee, *Tetrahedron (a)* 1969, **25**, 4363; *(b)* 1970, **26**, 1503.

⁶ K. H. Lee and T. O. Teo, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, 1973, 689.

metry^{5a} after reaction with PhNH₂ at room temperature. Cyclohexyl and neophyl chlorides were not implicated in this method of estimation of ArCOCl which gave good agreement with the i.r. method. A check with known solutions of ArCOCl shows that the two methods gave results agreeing within $\pm 2\%$. The results, calculated by the usual relative rate equation⁴ and presented in Tables 1 and 2, are the average of triplicate experiments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The competitive chlorination of benzaldehyde and cyclohexane with SO₂Cl₂ at 40° was performed in CCl₄

(ArCOCl and C₆H₁₁Cl). However, such side-products were not implicated in the analytical procedures adopted. Application of the Hammett equation to the results in Table 2, by standard statistical methods,⁷ shows that in both solvent systems the results are well correlated by the σ constants of McDaniel and Brown,⁸ with $\rho = -0.68 \pm 0.01$ (r 0.999) for benzene, and -0.86 ± 0.01 (r 0.999) for 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄, respectively. This finding is in agreement with correlations using σ constants previously reported^{1,4,5b} for substituted benzaldehydes with SO₂Cl₂, Cl₃CSO₂Cl, and BrCCl₃ in CCl₄ solution. A recent study

TABLE 1

Effects of added aromatic hydrocarbons on reactivity^a of benzaldehyde toward SO₂Cl₂ at 40° in CCl₄

Diluent	[Hydrocarbon]/M	0.0	0.4	0.8	1.2	1.6	2.0	2.4	11.2
C ₆ H ₆	$k/k_{C_6H_{12}}$ for 0.8M-PhCHO	0.66	0.90	1.02	1.09	1.16		1.28	1.46
PhBu ^t	$k/k_{C_6H_{12}}$ for 1.6M-PhCHO	0.72	1.20	1.42	1.56	1.64	1.72		

^a Per molecule relative to cyclohexane based on the average of triplicate experiments with average deviation of $< \pm 3\%$.

with added benzene and also in pure benzene as solvent. Estimation of benzoyl chloride and unconsumed benzaldehyde by i.r. spectrometry gave an excellent material balance for the initial aldehyde used. The reactivity values, $k/k_{C_6H_{12}}$ (per molecule) together with those

of hydrogen abstraction from benzaldehydes by nitrogen dioxide⁹ yielded results which are also better correlated with σ rather than with σ^+ constants, giving $\rho = -1.10 \pm 0.03$ (r 0.998) at 40°.

Photochemical chlorination and chlorination with SO₂Cl₂ yielded different reactivity values in the chlorination of branched-chain hydrocarbons.¹⁰ However, in aromatic hydrocarbons little difference was noted in the results from both methods of chlorination. From studies of solvent effects in the photochlorination of aralkyl hydrocarbons,¹¹ substituted toluenes,¹² and alkyl acetates¹³ it is well established that aromatic hydrocarbons, which are good π -electron donors to atomic chlorine, greatly enhance selectivity. More recently it was established⁶ from measurements of polar and deuterium-isotope effects that the benzene-complexed chlorine atom is the principal hydrogen-abstracting species in the chlorination of nuclear-substituted toluenes, ethylbenzenes, and diphenylmethanes in benzene with SO₂Cl₂ and with t-butyl hypochlorite. The highly selective benzene-complexed chlorine atom has also been attributed as the abstracting radical in chlorination of 2-arylethyl chlorides,¹⁴ benzyl chlorides and α -substituted toluenes,¹⁵ and 2-arylethyl fluorides¹⁶ with SO₂Cl₂ in benzene. The present ρ value, -0.68 , for chlorination of benzaldehydes in benzene compared with -0.53 ¹ in CCl₄ shows consistent trends for substituted toluenes (-0.83 ¹⁵ and -0.56 ^{5a}) and for ethylbenzenes (-0.67 ¹⁵ and -0.49 ^{5a}) in the two solvent systems. The larger magnitude of -0.86 for the ρ value found for chlorination of the benzaldehydes in 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄ solution is consistent with the electron-donating t-butyl group which makes PhBu^t a better complexing agent for chlorine atoms than benzene.

TABLE 2

Relative reactivity^a of substituted benzaldehydes toward SO₂Cl₂ at 40°

Substituent	C ₆ H ₆		2M-PhBu ^t in CCl ₄	
	$k/k_{C_6H_{12}}$	k/k_0	$k/k_{C_6H_{12}}$	k/k_0
<i>p</i> -Bu ^t	2.05 \pm 0.02	1.40	3.03 \pm 0.04	1.53
<i>p</i> -Me	1.89 \pm 0.03	1.30	2.80 \pm 0.04	1.42
<i>m</i> -Me	1.61 \pm 0.05	1.10	2.23 \pm 0.04	1.13
None	1.46 \pm 0.02	1.00	1.98 \pm 0.03	1.00
<i>p</i> -Cl	1.02 \pm 0.02	0.70	1.25 \pm 0.02	0.63
<i>m</i> -F	0.85 \pm 0.01	0.58	1.02 \pm 0.02	0.50
<i>m</i> -Cl	0.83 \pm 0.02	0.57	1.00 \pm 0.02	0.50

$\rho = -0.68 \pm 0.01$ (r 0.999) $\rho = -0.86 \pm 0.01$ (r 0.999)

^a Per molecule relative to cyclohexane.

previously reported² for reactions in CCl₄ containing added t-butylbenzene are given in Table 1. The reactivity values are enhanced by 2.20 in changing the solvent composition from pure CCl₄ to pure benzene and by 2.39 from pure CCl₄ to 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄. Neophyl chloride formation became significant when the concentration of t-butylbenzene was increased beyond 2M where estimation of benzoyl chloride and cyclohexyl chloride gave less reliable data.

Having established the enhanced reactivity in aromatic solvents, the reactivity of substituted benzaldehydes relative to cyclohexane towards SO₂Cl₂ at 40° has been measured in benzene, and in 2M-PhBu^t in CCl₄. For the *m*- and *p*-methyl-, and the *p*-t-butylbenzaldehydes chlorination at these substituents was found by g.l.c. to be significantly low compared with the other products

⁷ H. H. Jaffe, *Chem. Rev.*, 1953, **53**, 191.

⁸ D. H. McDaniel and H. C. Brown, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1958, **23**, 420.

⁹ T. Yoshida and K. Namba, *Kogyo Kagaku Kyokai-shi*, 1968, **29**, 353.

¹⁰ G. A. Russell, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1958, **80**, 5002.

¹¹ G. A. Russell, A. Ito, and D. G. Henry, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 2976.

[4/962 Received, 16th May, 1974]
¹² J. Hradil and V. Chvalovsky, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Comm.*, 1968, **33**, 2029.

¹³ J. Ph. Soumillion and A. Bruylants, *Bull. Soc. chim. belges*, 1969, **78**, 425.

¹⁴ K. H. Lee, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, 1973, 693.

¹⁵ K. H. Lee and T. O. Teo, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, 1973, 1617.

¹⁶ K. H. Lee, S. E. Loke, and G. H. Yeoh, *J.C.S. Perkin II*, in the press.