

which was unimportant. Since the equilibrium constant, K , is temperature invariant, ΔH^\ominus for the interconversion must be zero and this value was used in calculating the other thermodynamic parameters, which are incorporated in the Table.

Evaluation of the activation parameters requires the evaluation of the mean life-time, τ , for the rotamers and hence of the rate constant, k ($= k_1 + k_2$), for their interconversion in both directions at various temperatures. This was done by the curve-fitting procedure described below.

The natural line-widths and the internal chemical shifts for the *t*-butyl ester signals in the slow exchange limit were obtained by study of their temperature dependence. At temperatures $>30^\circ\text{C}$ below coalescence appreciable broadening of the signals was observed, accompanied by increased separation. It was thought that this might be due to some other, faster inversion process, but low temperature studies at 220 MHz provided no further

should be identical straight lines. In the course of the work it was found that the most sensitive of these relationships was that based on the separation of peak maxima and the compatibility of this relationship with the other three was therefore adopted as the criterion for a good fit. Although we recognise the advantage of complete line-shape fitting methods,⁹ we believe that the method we have used minimises any differences between experimental and computed line shapes; any residual errors are small compared with those associated with non-linearity of the spectrometer field-sweeps. Once a satisfactory fit had been achieved, an Arrhenius plot of $\log_{10}k$ against $1/T$ was made. In all cases the plots were good straight lines, the slopes and intercepts of which were determined by the method of least squares.

The activation parameters for the conversion of the *cis*- into the *trans*-rotamer of compounds (I)—(VI), determined in this way, are given in the Table; they are very similar to those found by other workers⁶ for

Equilibrium and activation parameters ^a for the interconversion of the *cis*- and *trans*-rotamers of some *N*-acyl-L-proline *t*-butyl esters at 298 K

Compound	f_{trans}	K	$E_A/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	$\log_{10}A$	$\Delta G_0^\ddagger/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta G_1^\ddagger/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta H^\ddagger/\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta S_0^\ddagger/\text{J K}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$	$\Delta S_1^\ddagger/\text{J K}^{-1}\text{mol}^{-1}$
(I) ^b	0.56	1.27	68.9 ± 0.2	12.4 ± 0.03	$+72.3 \pm 0.1$	$+72.9 \pm 0.1$	$+66.4 \pm 0.2$	-20.0 ± 0.8	-22.0 ± 0.8
(I) ^c	0.58	1.38	62.8 ± 0.2	11.5 ± 0.03	$+72.8 \pm 0.1$	$+73.6 \pm 0.1$	$+60.3 \pm 0.2$	-41.9 ± 1.2	-44.6 ± 1.2
(II) ^b	0.63	1.70	90.4 ± 0.4	14.8 ± 0.06	$+80.3 \pm 0.4$	$+81.6 \pm 0.4$	$+87.9 \pm 0.4$	$+25.4 \pm 2.4$	$+21.0 \pm 2.4$
(III) ^b	0.72	2.57	88.5 ± 0.4	14.0 ± 0.05	$+80.6 \pm 0.3$	$+82.9 \pm 0.3$	$+86.0 \pm 0.4$	$+18.0 \pm 2.0$	$+11.1 \pm 2.0$
(IV) ^b	0.77	3.35	95.2 ± 1.0	15.0 ± 0.10	$+82.0 \pm 0.4$	$+85.0 \pm 0.4$	$+92.7 \pm 1.0$	$+35.8 \pm 4.0$	$+25.7 \pm 4.0$
(V) ^b	0.70	2.33	92.7 ± 1.0	15.1 ± 0.10	$+78.7 \pm 0.4$	$+80.8 \pm 0.4$	$+90.2 \pm 1.0$	$+38.7 \pm 4.0$	$+28.8 \pm 4.0$
(VI) ^b	0.74	2.85	88.3 ± 0.4	14.4 ± 0.10	$+78.2 \pm 0.4$	$+80.8 \pm 0.4$	$+85.8 \pm 1.0$	$+25.3 \pm 2.8$	$+16.6 \pm 2.8$

^a The Arrhenius parameters are for the conversion of *cis* to *trans*. The subscripts to ΔG^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger denote the rotamer being converted into the transition state; since ΔH^\ominus is zero ΔH^\ddagger is the same for both rotamers. ^b In CDCl_3 . ^c In $[\text{D}_2\text{O}]_2\text{DMSO}$.

evidence for this. This broadening complicated the determination of the natural line-widths and internal chemical shifts and the difficulty could only be overcome by treating these as variable parameters and successively refining the curve-fitting procedure;⁸ the sensitivity of calculated kinetic parameters to input line-width has been noted by others.⁹ In most cases a natural line-width of 0.6 Hz (T_2 0.53 s) was found to be optimal.

The curve-fitting procedure involved computation of line shapes for the *t*-butyl ester signals for a range of mean life-times, τ , using a computer program¹⁰ based on the equations of Gutowsky and Holm,¹¹ and fitting these to the experimental curves. Although the spectra were observed over a wide range of temperature (ambient to *ca.* 120°C), curve-fitting was restricted to a narrower, more sensitive range (*ca.* 60°C) around the coalescence temperature. The line shapes were described in terms of four parameters, *vis.* line-widths at half-height (used above the coalescence temperature), line-width at half-height of the stronger signal (used below the coalescence temperature), minimum to maximum ratio, and separation of peak maxima. This enabled four relationships between $\log_{10} 1/\tau$ and $1/T$ to be determined; for ideal fit all

N-methylalanine peptides, except that the entropy of activation for the urethane (I) is negative. The errors quoted are based on least square fittings; those for ΔH^\ddagger are primarily a measure of the accuracy of the curve-fitting and the consequent linearity of the Arrhenius plot; those for ΔG^\ddagger and ΔS^\ddagger are largely dependent on the accuracy of the temperature measurements, estimated to be $\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for the 60 MHz and $\pm 1.5^\circ\text{C}$ for the 100 MHz instrument.

The enthalpies of activation for the interconversion processes involving rotation about a peptide bond, *viz.* compounds (II)—(VI), all lie within the narrow range 85.8—92.7 kJ mol^{-1} , in good agreement with the values (84—96 kJ mol^{-1}) obtained by other methods¹² for the interconversion of individual *cis*- and *trans*-proline residues in the much slower isomerisation of polyproline-I. In contrast, ΔH^\ddagger for the process involving rotation about the urethane bond in (I) is much less (60—67 kJ mol^{-1}). Since rotamer interconversion involves overcoming the electron delocalisation which results in the planarity of the N—CO bond, this difference between peptide and urethane implies that the degree of delocalisation, and

¹¹ H. S. Gutowsky and C. H. Holm, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1956, **25**, 1228.

⁸ I. O. Sutherland, *Ann. Reports NMR Spectroscopy*, 1971, **4**, 106.

⁹ S. van der Werf and J. B. F. V. Engberts, *Rec. Trav. chim.*, 1971, **90**, 663.

¹⁰ T. Nakagawa, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan*, 1966, **39**, 1006.

¹² A. R. Downie and A. A. Randall, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1959, **55**, 2132; I. Steinberg, W. F. Harrington, A. Berger, M. Sela, and E. Katchalski, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 5263; D. A. Torchia and F. A. Bovey, *Macromolecules*, 1971, **4**, 246.

hence the contribution of the charge-separated structure $^+N=CRO^-$, is less in the latter than in the former. The resulting lesser rigidity, and lesser charge, of the urethane as compared with the peptides could also underlie the marked differences in the entropies of activation, which are negative for the urethane (I) and positive for the peptides (II)—(VI).

It is a well known fact, of great importance in peptide synthesis, that urethanes form oxazolones much less readily than do peptides. Determann and his colleagues¹³ have ascribed this difference to the lesser nucleophilicity of the carbonyl oxygen, and greater double-bond character of the carbonyl group, in urethanes compared with peptides. Our results provide some quantitative support for this view.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials.—*N*-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-proline t-butyl ester, (I) was prepared by the method of Anderson and Callahan¹⁴ and had m.p. 45–46°, $[\alpha]_D^{25} -56.3^\circ$ (*c* 2.2 in EtOH) (lit.,¹⁴ 44–45°, $[\alpha]_D^{25} -52.5^\circ$).

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-*O*-*t*-butyl-L-seryl-L-proline t-butyl ester (II). Dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (2.06 g, 10 mmol) was added with stirring to *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-*O*-*t*-butyl-L-serine¹⁵ (2.95 g, 10 mmol) in acetonitrile (50 ml) at -10° . After 10 min L-proline t-butyl ester hydrochloride¹⁵ (2.07 g, 10 mmol) was added, followed at once by triethylamine (1.38 ml; 10 mmol). After 1 h at -10° and 22 h at room temperature, the mixture was kept for 2 h at -5° , filtered, and the solid washed twice with ether. The filtrate and washings were evaporated to dryness and the residue taken up in ethyl acetate and re-evaporated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 ml) and the solution kept at -10° for 1.5 h. A little insoluble material was removed by filtration and the filtrate washed successively with *m*-hydrochloric acid, water, saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, and saturated brine, dried, and evaporated. The last traces of dicyclohexylurea were removed by dissolution in acetone and filtration after 18 h at -10° . Evaporation, dissolution in light petroleum (b.p. 40–60°), and re-evaporation (three times in all) followed by drying in high vacuum to constant weight, gave the *peptide* as an oil (4.04 g, 90%), $[\alpha]_D^{23} -52.5^\circ$ (*c* 2.0 in MeOH), which could neither be crystallised nor distilled. The compound was chromatographically homogeneous (t.l.c. in two solvent systems) and gave the expected i.r. and n.m.r. spectra.

Glycyl-L-proline t-butyl ester hydrochloride (III). *N*-Benzyloxycarbonylglycine (20.9 g, 100 mmol) and L-proline t-butyl ester hydrochloride¹⁵ (20.7 g, 100 mmol) were suspended in ethyl acetate (200 ml) and cooled to 0° ; dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (20.6 g, 100 mmol) and triethylamine (13.8 ml, 100 mmol) were then added and the mixture kept for 20 h at room temperature. Working up essentially as described for (II) gave *N*-benzyloxycarbonylglycyl-L-proline t-butyl ester (18.8 g, 97%) as a chromatographically homogeneous, but uncrystallisable oil, $[\alpha]_D^{23} -79.3^\circ$ (*c* 2.0 in MeOH). Hydrogenation of this in ethanol (250 ml) over 10% palladised charcoal (3.6 g) was complete in 4 h. The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate evaporated. The residue was taken up in anhydrous ether (250 ml), filtered, and treated with 4.5*M*-hydrogen chloride in ether

(24 ml). Evaporation, followed by recrystallisation from ethanol–ether gave the *hydrochloride* (22.8 g, 87%), m.p. 157.5–158° (decomp.), raised to 162–162.5° by further recrystallisation, $[\alpha]_D^{23} -96.8^\circ$ (*c* 2.0 in MeOH) (Found: N, 10.6. $C_{11}H_{21}ClN_2O_3$ requires N, 10.6%).

N-Benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanyl-L-proline t-butyl ester (IV). Ethyl chloroformate (0.65 g, 6 mmol) was added at -10° to a solution of *N*-benzyloxycarbonyl-L-phenylalanine (1.79 g, 6 mmol) and triethylamine (0.83 ml, 6 mmol) in chloroform (12 ml). After 10 min at 0° , a mixture prepared from L-proline t-butyl ester hydrochloride (1.24 g, 6 mmol) and triethylamine (0.83 ml, 6 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, washed successively with *m*-hydrochloric acid, water, saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, and water, dried, and evaporated. The residue was stirred for 4 h with light petroleum (b.p. 40–60°) (25 ml) and the solution decanted from the gummy residue. Evaporation, followed by drying in high vacuum, gave the *peptide* as an uncrystallisable oil (2.0 g, 74%), which was chromatographically homogeneous and gave the expected i.r. and n.m.r. spectra.

N-Benzyloxycarbonyldiglycyl-L-proline t-butyl ester (V). *N*-Benzyloxycarbonylglycine (5.2 g, 25 mmol) and glycyl-L-proline t-butyl ester (6.6 g, 25 mmol) were coupled by means of dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (5.2 g, 25 mmol) in ethyl acetate (50 ml), essentially as described under (III) above. Two recrystallisations from ethyl acetate–light petroleum (b.p. 40–60°), removal of residual dicyclohexylurea by precipitation from hot ethanol (25 ml) with water (25 ml), and a third recrystallisation from ethyl acetate–light petroleum gave the *peptide* (4.3 g, 41%), m.p. 89–91°, $[\alpha]_D^{23} -72.5^\circ$ (*c* 2.0 in MeOH) (Found: C, 54.5; H, 7.2; N, 10.3. $C_{21}H_{29}N_3O_6$ requires C, 60.1; H, 7.0; N, 10.0%).

Diglycyl-L-proline t-butyl ester (VI). Hydrogenation of the protected peptide (V) in ethanol, as described under (III) above, and recrystallisation from ethanol gave the *peptide*, m.p. 109–110°, $[\alpha]_D^{23} -103^\circ$ (*c* 1.0 in MeOH) (Found: C, 54.5; H, 8.5; N, 14.9. $C_{13}H_{23}N_3O_4$ requires C, 54.7; H, 8.1; N, 14.7%).

Spectra.—All compounds were investigated as ca. 30% solutions in the solvents indicated in the Table. N.m.r. spectra were obtained either at 60 MHz using a Perkin-Elmer R10 spectrometer or at 100 MHz using a JEOL MH-100 spectrometer. Both instruments were fitted with standard variable temperature accessories. Tetramethylsilane was used both as internal reference and as a field homogeneity check at elevated temperatures. Spectra were recorded under optimum stability conditions. Several spectra were obtained at each temperature in order to average out small field–frequency shifts and changes in instrument resolution.

Theoretical line shapes were obtained using a modified version of the program SHAPE FUNCTION, kindly supplied by the n.m.r. program library, Harwell. Computations were performed on an ICL-450 computer.

We thank the University of Luanda for study leave granted to H. L. M., and Mr. V. Šik for assistance with the spectroscopic measurements. The 220 MHz spectra were measured by the Physico-chemical Measurements Unit, Harwell.

[5/1773 Received, 15th September, 1975]

¹³ H. Determann, J. Heuer, P. Pfaender, and M. L. Reinerts, *Annalen*, 1966, **694**, 190; H. Determann, *Peptides: Proceedings Eighth European Peptide Symposium*, 1966, p. 73.

¹⁴ G. W. Anderson and F. M. Callahan, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 3359.

¹⁵ E. Schröder, *Annalen*, 1963, **670**, 127.