Free Radical Substitution. Part 34.1 The Chlorination of 1- and 2-Nitrobutanes in the Gas and Liquid Phases

By Saeed-y-Atto, Alan Potter, Hari Singh, and John M. Tedder,* Department of Chemistry, The University, St. Andrews KY16 9ST, Scotland

1- and 2-nitrobutanes have been chlorinated in the gas phase and in solution. The intermediate nitrobutyl radicals with the nitro-group in a β-position are unstable and in the gas phase lose NO₂ to yield the corresponding butene. The relative rates of attack at the various sites have been determined. The nitro-group proves to be the most deactivating non-ionic substituent studied so far.

In this series we have reported the directive effect of different substituents on the halogenation of 1-substituted butanes (XCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃CH₃, where X = F, Cl, Br, CF₃; COF, COCl, and CO₂CH₃; CH₃CO·O, CF₃CO·O, N=C, CGH₅, and CH₃O·S). A similar but much less intensive study has been made of 2-substituted butanes. In the course of these investigations the effect of solvents on the course of liquid-phase chlorinations has been studied. The present paper describes a study of the directive effects of the nitro-group in both the 1- and the 2-positions in butane. The only previous report of the chlorination of a nitroalkane under controlled conditions is that of Walling and Jacknow who chlorinated 1-nitropropane with t-butyl hypochlorite. Is

EXPERIMENTAL

The gas-phase reactions were studied in a conventional vacuum line, and the liquid phase ones in a small Pyrex flask fitted with a long capillary through which hydrogen chloride could escape. Chlorination was initiated photochemically using a 100 W tungsten lamp. The products were analysed quantitatively by g.l.c. using a density balance as detector. The identity of each eluted peak was established by preparative g.l.c. followed by n.m.r. spectroscopy using a Bruker WP80 spectrometer.

1-Nitrobutane was prepared from 1-bromobutane by the action of dry silver nitrite. 2-Nitrobutane was prepared from 2-iodobutane by treatment with sodium nitrite in dimethyl sulphoxide. The redistilled compounds were further purified by preparative g.l c. To assist identification 1-chloro-1-nitrobutane and 2-chloro-2-nitrobutane

nitrobutane, $\delta(\text{CCl}_3\text{D})$ 0.9 (3 H, t, J 14 Hz), 1.2—1.6 (2 H, m), 2.1—2.4 (2 H, m,), and 5.9 (1 H, quint, J 14 Hz). The second product peak (only present in the solution phase experiments) was identified as 2-chloro-1-nitrobutane, $\delta(\text{CCl}_3\text{D})$ 1.1 (3 H, t, J 16 Hz), 1.8 (2 H, m), and 4.4—4.6 (3 H, m). The third and largest product peak was identified as 3-chloro-1-nitrobutane, $\delta(\text{CCl}_3\text{D})$ 1.5 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), 2.0—2.6 (2 H, m), 3.9—4.3 (1 H, m), and 4.5 (2 H, t, J 14 Hz). The fourth peak was identified as 1-chloro-4-nitrobutane, $\delta(\text{CCl}_3\text{D})$ 1.6—2.3 (4 H, m), 3.6 (2 H, t, J 11 Hz), and 4.4 (2 H, t, J 12 Hz).

The chlorination products of 2-nitrobutane were identified similarly. The first peak to be eluted was 2-chloro-2-nitrobutane which had identical retention time and properties to an authentic sample. The next two peaks were the erythro- and threo-isomers of 2-chloro-3-nitrobutane, 8 1.6 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), 1.7 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), and 4.36—4.8 (2 H, m), and 8 1.55 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), 1.63 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), and 4.26—4.9 (2 H, m). The fourth product peak was too small to be collected but by process of elimination must be 1-chloro-2-nitrobutane. The fifth and final product peak

Table 1
Chlorination of 1-nitrobutane in the gas phase: RS₄³

T/°C a	RS_4^3	$T/^{\circ}\mathbf{C}$	RS_4^3
78	3.9	175	3.4
100	3.6	200	2.8
150	3.6		
	a $^{\circ}$ C = \mathbf{K}	— 273.15.	

was 1-chloro-3-nitrobutane, $\delta(\text{CCl}_3\text{D})$ 1.58 (3 H, d, J 7 Hz), 2.32—2.78 (2 H, m), 3.6 (2 H, t, J 7 Hz), and 4.85 (1 H, sextet, J 7, J' 7 Hz).

Table 2
Chlorination of 1-nitrobutane in benzene and carbon tetrachloride and in the gas phase: RS_4^x

Solvent	Solvent: 1-nitrobutane	T/°C	No. of runs	CH ₂ NO ₂	CH ₂	СН,	СН,
CCl ₄ C ₆ H ₆ Gas †	7:1 7:1	25 25 75	5 5 3	0.01 0.00 0.00	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.37} \pm \textbf{0.04} \\ \textbf{0.44} \pm \textbf{0.02} \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 2.3 \pm 0.1 \ 4.8 \pm 0.2 \ 3.9 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$	1.00 1.00 1.00

† Dichlorobutane and butene also formed.

were prepared by the reaction of molecular chlorine with the sodium salts of the nitro-compounds.

The chlorination of 1-nitrobutane was carried out in the liquid phase on a relatively large scale and five chloronitrobutane peaks were observed on the chromatogram. The first product peak was very small indeed but had a retention time identical to that of authentic 1-chloro-1-

The gas-phase chlorination of 1-nitrobutane only yielded two chloronitrobutanes, 3-chloro-1-nitrobutane and 1-chloro-4-nitrobutane. The chlorination was carried out over a range of temperatures and the relative selectivity RS₄³ determined.

The logarithm of RS₄³ was plotted against the inverse of the temperature and the resultant straight line had a slope

Table 3
Chlorination of 2-nitrobutane in various solvents and in the gas phase : RS_4^x

Solvent Solve	ent: 2-nitrobuta	ne T/°C	No. of runs	CH ₃	CHNO ₂	CH ₂ *	СН ₃
Neat		25	5	0.06 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.02	$\left\{ egin{array}{c} 0.74 \pm 0.07 \ 0.53 + 0.02 \end{array} ight.$	1.00
CCl ₄	1:1	25	5	0.06 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.02	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 0.87 \pm 0.04 \\ 0.56 + 0.01 \end{array}\right.$	1.00
C_6H_6	1:1	25	4	0.08 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.07	$\left\{egin{array}{c} 0.67 \stackrel{-}{\pm} 0.03 \ 0.67 \stackrel{-}{\pm} 0.04 \end{array} ight.$	1.00
CS ₂	1:1	25	4	0.07 ± 0.05	0.54 ± 0.07	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 1.01 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.95 \pm 0.04 \end{array}\right.$	1.00
Gas †		30	5	$\textbf{0.04}\pm\textbf{0.02}$	0.15 ± 0.05	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.90 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.45 \pm 0.24 \end{array} \right. $	1.00

^{*} threo- and erythro-isomers. † Dichlorobutanes were also formed and estimated.

 $E_4 - E_3 = 630 \pm 260$ cal mol⁻¹* and an intercept ln $(A_3/A_4) = 0.05 \pm 0.3$.

The chlorination of 1-nitrobutane was investigated in the solution phase using carbon tetrachloride and benzene as solvents; concentrations are presented as volume ratios. The chlorination of 2-nitrobutane was investigated in the gas phase and in solution. 1-Nitrobutane was brominated in the gas phase. The experimental was difficult because of the low vapour pressure of the products. However, the observed relative selectivities at 170 °C were RS₄ 1 2.3 \pm 1.3, RS₄ 2 trace, and RS₄ 3 69 \pm 7.3.

DISCUSSION

The abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the β-position in a nitroalkane yields an unstable radical which can decompose unimolecularly. The loss of NO₂ is apparently a reversible process since in the solution-

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{RCH_2CH(NO_2)R'} \stackrel{-H^{\cdot}}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{RCHCH(NO_2)R'} \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\Longrightarrow} \\ \operatorname{RCH=CHR'} + \operatorname{NO_2} \end{array}$$

phase experiments the 1,2-chloronitrobutanes were isolated, while in the gas-phase experiments the 1,2-chloronitrobutanes were missing and the corresponding dichloro-compounds and butenes were isolated instead.

and -attracting properties, the nitro-group has a negligible influence on hydrogen abstraction by halogen atoms beyond the β -position in the gas phase. A similar conclusion arises from the qualitative bromination results where again the ratio of attack at the 3-and 4-positions is within error the same as for all other substituents studied. In bromination the relative selectivity for hydrogen abstraction at the α -position appears slightly higher than that for the 2-position in 1,1,1-trifluoropentane.³

The ratio of *erythro*- to *threo*-2-chloro-3-nitrobutanes is greater in the gas phase than in solution, but further discussion of this steric effect will await a study of the chlorination of more 2-substituted butanes.

Table 4 clearly shows that the nitro-group is more deactivating at the α - and β -positions in chlorination than is the chlorocarbonyl group. The most unexpected result is however the relatively high rate of attack at the substituted 2-position in 2-nitrobutane. In the chlorination of 1-nitrobutane the least reactive site is the substituted 1-position, but in the chlorination of 2-nitrobutane the least reactive site is the *unsubstituted* 1-position. The same is true for the two acid chlorides. A possible explanation of the high reactivity of the sub-

Table 4

Comparison of the chlorination of 1- and 2-nitrobutanes with the chlorination of pentanoyl and 2-methylbutanoyl chlorides. Relative selectivities RS_4^x

	Solvent	XCH ₂	CH ₂	СН2	СН3	CH ₃	снх	CH ₂	СH ₃
	(CCl ₄	0.01	0.37	2.3	1	0.06	0.06	1.4	1
$X = NO_2$	$\left\{ C_{6}H_{6}\right\}$	0.00	0.44	4.8	1	0.08	0.67	1.3	1
•	(Gas	0.00		3.9	1	0.04	0.13	1.8 *	1
	(CCl	0.08	1.2	2.5	1	0.18	0.74	2.1	1
X = COCl	{C₅H ₆	0.04	1.3	6.1	1	0.26	1.8	3.2	1
	Gas	0.16	2.3	3.9	1	0.22	1.3	3.7	1

^{*} Calculated from the observed yield of 2-chloro-3-nitrobutanes plus the combined yields of meso- and (±)-2,3-dichlorobutanes.

The loss of NO_2 from 1-methyl-1-nitropropyl radical makes it impossible to estimate the rate of hydrogen abstraction from the 2-position. Table 1 shows that the ratio of attack at the 3- and 4-positions is, within experimental error, the same as that for all the other 1-substituted butanes (cf. ref. 2—8) and the activation energy difference and A factor ratio are well within the range of values obtained for other molecules. This suggests that in spite of its extreme electron-accepting \bullet 1 cal = $4\cdot184$ J.

stituted position in 2-nitrobutane (and in 2-methylbutanoyl chloride) is release of steric compression occurring when the radical is formed. Hydrogen abstraction from the 1-position in 1-nitrobutane would not result in a significant release of steric strain.

The effect of the medium varies. In the chlorination of the 1-substituted butanes the 3-position is invariably the most reactive site, and the *relative* reactivity of this site is greatest in benzene and least in carbon tetrachloride. The other factor coinciding with the change of

141 1981

solvent is the erythro: threo ratio found in the chlorination of 2-nitrobutane. In the reactions believed to involve a complexed atom, e.g. benzene and carbon disulphide, the *erythro*: threo ratio is nearly unity, while in the gas phase it is 2:1; reaction in the non-complexing solvents falls in between. These results could be consistent with our previous conclusions.9 In the gas phase the reaction is very fast and the 1-methyl-2-nitropropyl radical will have a preferred conformation which favours formation of the threo-isomer. In the complexing solvent the 1-methyl-2-nitropropyl radical itself will be weakly complexed preferentially in the 'erythro' conformation. This will favour the interaction with the chlorine molecule to yield the threo-isomer by default. The net effect could be that both diastereoisomers are formed at approximately the same rate.

There is an alternative explanation.¹⁰ The substituents which lead to large erythro: threo ratios are those which, in the gas phase, tend to dissociate to yield an olefin and an atom or radical. The substituents

RCHCHXR'
$$\rightleftharpoons$$
 RCH=CHR' + X•
X = Cl, Br, NO₂

which yield large erythro: threo ratios are those which dissociate readily while those with small erythro: threo ratios (X = F, CN, CH₃CO·O, CF₃CO·O, etc.) are those

which are unlikely to dissociate. The chlorocarbonyl group falls in between. This proposal does not necessarily imply partial 'bridging' but it would be consistent with it.14,15

We thank Dr. J. C. Walton for his interest and advice and one of us (S. A.) is indebted to the Iraqi Government for a research scholarship.

[1/843 Received, 27th May, 1981]

REFERENCES

- ¹ Part 33, D. A. Coates and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1978, 725.
- P. S. Fredricks and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 144.
 I. Galiba, J. M. Tedder, and R. A. Watson, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 1321,
- H. Singh and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc., 1964, 4737.
 H. Singh and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1966, 608.
 D. S. Ashton, H. Singh, J. M. Tedder, J. C. Walton, and E. A. Watt, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1973, 125.
 V. R. Desai, A. Nechvatal, and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1969, 30.

 - 8 H. Singh and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1966, 612.
 P. S. Fredricks and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 3520.
 S. Atto and J. M. Tedder, unpublished work.
- 11 I. Galiba, J. M. Tedder, and J. C. Walton, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1966, 604.
- H. Singh and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1966, 605.
 C. Walling and B. B. Jacknow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1960, 82, 6113.
- ¹⁴ D. S. Ashton and J. M. Tedder, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1970, 1031. ¹⁵ P. S. Skell and K. J. Shea, 'Free Radicals,' ed. J. K. Kochi, Wiley, New York, vol. II, p. 809.