Oxoiron(IV) Porphyrins Derived from Charged Iron(III) Tetraarylporphyrins and Chemical Oxidants in Aqueous and Methanolic Solutions

Steven E. J. Bell, Paul R. Cooke, Paul Inchley, Donald R. Leanord, John R. Lindsay Smith * and Angus Robbins
Department of Chemistry, University of York, York YO1 5DD, UK

The oxidation of six charged iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins with chemical oxidants has been investigated. In aqueous solution each can be converted by *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide or monopersulphate into its corresponding oxoiron(IV) porphyrin, whereas in methanol only the iron(III) tetra(*N*-methylpyridyl)porphyrins form detectable ferryl porphyrins at ambient temperatures. On standing, the iron species revert to the parent porphyrin with a small loss due to non-reversible oxidative destruction. That the oxidised porphyrin intermediates are oxoiron(IV) species has been determined using UV–VIS, resonance Raman, ^1H NMR and EPR spectroscopy.

The mechanism of formation of the ferryl porphyrins and the factors that influence their stability are examined and discussed. In particular steric effects and to a lesser extent electron-withdrawing substituents are shown to be important in stabilising the iron(IV) species. These effects are explained in terms of their influence on the disproportionation of two ferryl species into an iron(III) porphyrin and an iron(IV) porphyrin π radical cation.

Oxoiron(IV) porphyrins are important intermediates in haem-catalysed oxidations. They are well established in the chemistry of peroxidases[1] and have been proposed as transient species in the reactions of catalase,[2] cytochrome P450 [1c,3] and cytochrome oxidase.[4] Models of these intermediates can also be prepared from synthetic iron porphyrins either electrochemically,[5] photochemically,[6] with chemical oxidants[7] or by autoxidation.[8]

The large majority of the studies with model systems have used non-polar iron tetraarylporphyrins, often with *ortho*-substituents on the aryl rings to prevent porphyrin aggregation. The intermediates have been generated in organic solvents at low temperatures ($\leqslant -50$ °C) to slow down their further reactions. Very recently there have been a few reports of the preparation of oxoiron(IV) species from ionic porphyrins in aqueous solution, and some of these intermediates are surprisingly stable even at ambient temperatures.[5d,7d,f,9]

The oxoiron(IV) species fall into two categories analogous to horse radish peroxidase compound I and compound II. The former are oxoiron(IV) porphyrin π radical cations, two oxidation levels above the iron(III) resting enzyme, whilst the latter involve a single electron oxidation of the iron. These species have been characterised by their chemical reactions,[7c] resonance Raman,[6a,7e,8c-e,10] Mossbauer,[7c,11] EPR,[12] EXAF,[7a,13] ^1H NMR [7b,d,e] and UV–VIS spectroscopy,[5d,7c,e,f,8e] and by electrochemical[5d,7c] and magnetic methods.[7c,d] The data provide information on the factors that stabilise these species and a good comparative framework for identification of new oxoiron(IV) porphyrins.

In this paper we report the results of our studies on the chemical oxidation of some charged iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins in water and in methanol and the characterisation of their corresponding oxoiron(IV) porphyrins. Some of these results have already been presented at conferences.[14]

Fig. 1 UV–VIS spectra of Fe^{III}T4MPyP (9.41×10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}) in borate buffer (0.1 mol dm^{-3}, pH 9.2) before (——) and after addition of 3 mol equiv. of Bu^{t}O$_2$H ($\cdots$) or MPS (– – – –)

Results

UV–VIS Spectroscopic Studies.—The reactions of charged iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins with tert-*butyl hydroperoxide or potassium monopersulphate in aqueous solution.* The addition of excess Bu^{t}O$_2$H to an aqueous solution of Fe^{III}T4MPyP† at pH 9.2 leads to a distinct green-brown to red-brown colour change. On standing the red colour is slowly discharged and the solution reverts to its original appearance with a small loss of absorbance. Repeat addition of the oxidant regenerates the intermediate and results in a further bleaching of the iron(III) porphyrin.

UV–VIS spectroscopy shows that the addition of 3 mol equiv. of Bu^{t}O$_2$H to 10^{-5} mol dm^{-3} Fe^{III}T4MPyP leads to a complete conversion, within 1 min, to a new species. The Soret absorbance increases in intensity and shifts from 420 to 426 nm and the α, β bands at 596 and 630 nm are replaced by a new absorbance at 525 nm (Fig. 1).

3 mol equiv. of MPS generate the same spectral changes as Bu^{t}O$_2$H, although the rate of formation and decay of the red species is greater with the former oxidant (Fig. 1, Table 1). Furthermore, the maximum intensity of the 426 nm absorbance is less than that obtained with Bu^{t}O$_2$H, indicating that with

Table 1 Times required for the formation and decay of oxoiron(IV) porphyrins and percentage bleaching on addition of Bu^tO_2H or MPS to iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins in 0.1 mol dm^{-3} borate buffer, pH 9.2 at 30 °C

| Iron(III) tetraarylporphyrin | | | Molar ratio oxidant: porphyrin | Time/min for $OFe^{IV}P$ | | % Bleaching of $Fe^{III}P$ [a] |
Aryl group	Concentration/10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}	Oxidant		Formation	Decay	
—〈〉—N⁺Me	9.4	Bu^tO_2H	3	0.5	240	3
		MPS	3	<0.3	40	35
Me N⁺ —〈〉	9.1	Bu^tO_2H	3	1.0	800	<1
		MPS	3	<0.3	180	30
Cl —〈〉 Cl SO_3^-	6.8	Bu^tO_2H	5	60	1440	1.0
		MPS	5	10	600	10
—〈〉—N⁺Me₃	7.9	Bu^tO_2H	5	5	120	4
		MPS	5	0.5	60	39
—〈〉—SO_3^-	8.0	Bu^tO_2H	5	1.0	60	35
		MPS	5	0.5	60	39
—〈〉—CO_2^-	9.3	Bu^tO_2H	5	1.0	60	50
		MPS	5	0.5	30	25

[a] Obtained by measuring bleaching of Soret absorption at the end of the reaction.

Fig. 2 The concentration dependence of MPS required to bleach $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ completely in borate buffer (0.1 mol dm^{-3}, pH 9.2)

Fig. 3 UV–VIS spectra of $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ (9.07 × 10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}) in borate buffer (0.1 mol dm^{-3}, pH 9.2) before (———) and after addition of 3 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H (· · ·) or MPS (– – –)

MPS substantial porphyrin degradation has occurred. The absorption spectrum at the end of the reaction shows that there has been *ca.* 35% oxidative bleaching of the iron(III) porphyrin. Spectrophotometric measurement of the $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ concentration following repeated additions of MPS shows that the extent of bleaching is directly porportional to the concentration of the oxidant (Fig. 2). In the catalyst concentration range 2.8–28 × 10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}, *ca.* 9 mol equiv. of oxidant are required for complete catalyst bleaching.

$Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ behaves in an analogous way to its 4-isomer. Addition of 3 equiv. of either oxidant to a 10^{-5} mol dm^{-3} aqueous solution at pH 9.2 leads to new absorptions at 412 and 549 nm (Fig. 3, Table 2). Since $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ is a mixture of atropisomers, the spectral changes must correspond to

the formation of a stereoisomeric mixture of red species. By comparison with $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$, the intermediates from $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ are longer lived and the porphyrin ring is more stable towards oxidation (Table 1).

The addition of 5 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H or of MPS to aqueous solutions of four charged iron(III) tetra(substituted-phenyl)porphyrins at pH 9.2 results in similar spectral changes to those described above (a typical example is given in Fig. 4). The Soret absorption shifts to longer wavelength (*ca.* 420 nm) and a new band appears near 525 nm (Table 2). The approximate times taken for each intermediate to form and to revert back to the parent iron(III) porphyrin are recorded in Table 1. These data reveal that the most stable intermediates are formed by the dichlorosulphonatophenyl- and the two *N*-methylpyridyl-porphyrins. With all the iron(III) porphyrins, except $Fe^{III}TCPP$, the intermediate is more stable and the porphyrin degradation is less extensive with Bu^tO_2H as the oxidant rather than MPS (Table 1).

Table 2 UV–VIS absorption maxima for oxoiron(IV) porphyrins formed by addition of oxidant to solutions of charged iron(III) tetraaryl porphyrins

Iron(III) tetraaryl porphyrin				
Aryl group	Concentration/10⁻⁶ mol dm⁻³	Oxidant	Solvent[a]	λ_{max}/nm ($\varepsilon/10^3$ m² mol⁻¹)
—⟨ ⟩—N⁺Me	9.4	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	426 (12.46) 525
		PhIO	MeOH	427 (11.87) 556
		Bu^{t}O₂H or 3-CPBA	MeOH	426　　556
Me N⁺	9.1	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	418 (10.40) 549
		PhIO	MeOH	417 (10.34) 557
		Bu^{t}O₂H or 3-CPBA	MeOH	416　　553
Cl / Cl SO₃⁻	6.8	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	420　　525
—⟨ ⟩—N⁺Me₃	7.9	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	419　　525
—⟨ ⟩—SO₃⁻	8.0	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	421　　525
—⟨ ⟩—CO₂⁻	9.3	Bu^{t}O₂H or MPS	Water	420　　525

[a] Water = 0.1 mol dm⁻³ borate buffer, pH 9.2.

Fig. 4 UV–VIS spectra of Fe^{III}TSPP (8.0 × 10⁻⁶ mol dm⁻³) in borate buffer solution (0.1 mol dm⁻³, pH 9.2) before (——), 30 s (– – – –) and 60 min (· · · ·) after the addition of 5 mol equiv. of MPS

Fig. 5 UV–VIS spectra of Fe^{III}T4MPyP (1.35 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³) in methanol before (– – – –) and after the addition of 100 mol equiv. of Bu^{t}O₂H (· · · ·) or 40 mol equiv. of 3-CPBA (——)

The reactions of charged iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins with tert-butyl hydroperoxide, 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid and iodosyl-benzene in methanolic solution. The addition of excess of Bu^{t}O₂H to methanolic solutions of Fe^{III}T4MPyP or Fe^{III}T2MPyP gives very similar colour changes to those from the corresponding reactions in water. UV–VIS spectroscopy indicates that the same reaction occurs in both solvents (Fig. 5). On standing the iron porphyrin reverts to the starting iron(III) state. The main differences between the reactions in water and in methanol (Tables 1 and 3) are that in the latter solvent the build-up of the red species takes longer and requires a much larger excess of oxidant to bring about complete conversion. Furthermore, the extent of porphyrin degradation is significantly less for the reactions in methanol. As is observed in aqueous solution, Fe^{III}T2MPyP reacts more slowly and shows more resistance towards bleaching.

When Fe^{III}T4MPyP or its 2-isomer in methanol is treated with iodosylbenzene the red species are again formed. With 2.4 × 10⁻⁵ mol dm⁻³ Fe^{III}T4MPyP repeated additions of 48 equiv. of iodosylbenzene (based on the initial concentration of catalyst) result in ca. 12% bleaching of the porphyrin per aliquot of oxidant. This and experiments with a large excess of oxidant indicate that ca. 500 equiv. of PhIO are required for complete destruction of Fe^{III}T4MPyP (Table 4).

Fe^{III}T2MPyP is more stable and requires ca. 3000 equiv. of PhIO. These numbers represent the maximum turnovers of catalyst in the oxidation of methanol to formaldehyde. Table 4 shows that the oxidant accountability as formaldehyde and unreacted PhIO is close to 100%, demonstrating that methanol oxidation and catalyst bleaching are the only significant reactions.

The oxidations of the iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)por-phyrins with 3-CPBA in methanol also give the red species. These reactions, which are faster than the corresponding reactions of Bu^{t}O₂H or PhIO (Table 3), again show that Fe^{III}T2MPyP is more stable towards oxidative degradation and is less readily converted to the intermediate. This greater stability of the Fe^{III}T2MPyP towards oxidative bleaching can be measured by spectrophotometric titration of each iron(III)

Fig. 6 Spectrophotometric titration of the bleaching of iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrins by 3-CPBA in methanol. (●) $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ (initial concentration 1.16×10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}) monitored at 420 nm; (■) $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ (initial concentration 7.78×10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}) monitored at 420 nm; and (▲) $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ (initial concentration 1.05×10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}) in the presence of 600 mol equiv. of 3-chlorobenzoic acid monitored at 414.5 nm.

porphyrin with aliquots of 3-CPBA (Fig. 6). These data demonstrate the linear dependence of the porphyrin degradation on the concentration of the added oxidant, and they also show that more concentrated solutions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ are more readily bleached. Complete bleaching of 1.05×10^{-5} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ requires 1300 equiv. of 3-CPBA, whereas 1.15×10^{-5} and 7.78×10^{-6} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ require 190 and 270, respectively.

UV-VIS spectroscopy reveals another difference between the two iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrins, for whereas $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ is regenerated at the end of its reaction with 40 mol equiv. of 3-CPBA, with the 2-isomer a new species is obtained. The origin of this difference lies in the observation that the UV-VIS spectrum of $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ is more sensitive to the presence of acid in the methanol (Fig. 7) than is that of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$. The final spectrum from the reaction of $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ is of the acid form of the catalyst. This is confirmed by the observation that the reaction of each iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrin with 3-CPBA in the presence of a large excess of 3-chlorobenzoic acid, generates the red species which at the end of the reaction revert to the partially bleached acid forms of the catalysts.

Attempts to make the transient species from the charged iron(III) tetra(substituted-phenyl)porphyrins in methanol were

Fig. 7 UV-VIS spectral changes on addition of 3-CPBA to $Fe^{III}T2MPyP$ (8.32×10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}) in methanol. [3-CPBA] = 0, 2.61, 5.22, 6.52, 13.05, 52.18, 78.27 $\times 10^{-4}$ mol dm^{-3}.

Table 3 Times required for the formation and decay of oxoiron(IV) porphyrins and percentage bleaching on addition of oxidants to iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrins in methanol at 30 °C

Iron(III) porphyrin	Concentration/10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}	Oxidant	Molar ratio oxidant : porphyrin	Time/min for OFe^{IV}P Formation	Time/min for OFe^{IV}P Decay	% Bleaching of Fe^{III}P[a]
$Fe^{III}T4MPyP$	1.35	Bu^tO_2H	100	30	>360[b]	5
$Fe^{III}T4MPyP$	1.16	3-CPBA	40	<0.5	30	20
$Fe^{III}T2MPyP$	1.00	Bu^tO_2H	200	180	>720[b]	2
$Fe^{III}T2MPyP$[c]	1.05	3-CPBA	100	5	30	9

[a] Obtained by measuring bleaching of Soret absorption at the end of the reaction. [b] Complete decay within 24 h. [c] In the presence of 6.3×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3} 3-chlorobenzoic acid.

Table 4 The yield of formaldehyde and the oxidant balance in the reaction of iodosylbenzene with iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrins in methanol. [$Fe^{III}T4MPyP$], 2.14×10^{-5} mol dm^{-3}; [$Fe^{III}T2MPyP$], 8.9×10^{-6} mol dm^{-3}

Iron(III) porphyrin	[Oxidant]/ mmol dm^{-3}	[Formaldehyde]/ mmol dm^{-3}	Unreacted PhIO/ mmol dm^{-3}	Oxidant balance[a]/ mmol dm^{-3}	Catalyst turnover[b]
$Fe^{III}T4MPyP$	16.1	11.0	5.1	16.1	514
	21.4	10.7	10.2	20.9	500
$Fe^{III}T2MPyP$	53.5	26.3	28.1	54.4	2955
	71.3	28.7	38.3	67.0	3224

[a] Sum of formaldehyde and unreacted oxidant concentrations. [b] Molar yield of formaldehyde per mole of catalyst.

Fig. 8 Time-resolved resonance Raman spectra of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ (10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) in aqueous solution following the addition of 3 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H. (a) High-frequency spectrum (pH 9.2); (b) low-frequency spectrum (pH 9.4).

**Fig. 9** Resonance Raman spectrum of $Fe^{III}TDCSPP$ in borate buffer (0.1 mol dm^{-3}, pH 9.2) before (a) and after (b) the addition of 3 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H

unsuccessful. For each porphyrin the addition of either Bu^tO_2H or 3-CPBA results in bleaching without detectable intermediates. As observed above for the N-methylpyridylporphyrins the rate of reaction and extent of bleaching are greater with the peroxy acid than with the hydroperoxide.

*Resonance Raman Spectroscopic Studies.—The reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ and $Fe^{III}TDCSPP$ with* tert-butyl hydroperoxide

Fig. 10 High-frequency resonance Raman spectra of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ (10^{-4} mol dm^{-3}) in methanol before (a) and after (b) the addition of 100 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H. Spectrum (c) is the same reaction in water (pH 9.2) with 3 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H.

in aqueous solution. Resonance Raman spectroscopy (413.1 nm excitation) shows that the addition of three mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H to 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in aqueous solution rapidly generates a new species which decays back over 10 min to the original porphyrin (Fig. 8). The life-time of the species at the porphyrin concentrations used for resonance Raman studies is significantly less than those in the more dilute solutions used for UV–VIS spectroscopy. Repeating the reaction with 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}TDCSPP$ in aqueous borate buffer (pH 9.2) also generates a new species (Fig. 9). However, this intermediate is significantly more stable than that from $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ and the spectrum shows little change after 1 h.

The principal spectral changes that occur between 1600 and 1200 cm^{-1}, following addition of Bu^tO_2H to $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ are the replacement of the bands at 1554 and 1359 cm^{-1} in the parent iron(III) porphyrin by bands at 1576 and 1371 cm^{-1}, respectively. The other main band at 1450 cm^{-1} decreases in intensity but in common with the bands at 1255, 1220 and 1191 cm^{-1} does not shift on oxidation of the porphyrin. Similar spectral changes occur on reaction of Bu^tO_2H with $Fe^{III}TDCSPP$.

In the region 1200–800 cm^{-1} the most significant new bands in the spectra of the intermediates are at 818 and 816 cm^{-1} for oxidised $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ and $Fe^{III}TDCSPP$, respectively. Carrying out the reactions in $H_2^{18}O$ (90 g atom%) gives new bands at 779 and 776 cm^{-1} in place of those at 818 and 816 cm^{-1}, respectively. By comparison, replacing the solvent in the reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ with D_2O causes no discernible shift in the 818 cm^{-1} band, although it becomes sharper.

The reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ *with* tert-butyl hydroperoxide *and iodosylbenzene in methanol.* The resonance Raman spectrum of the transient intermediate from the reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ with 100 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H in methanol was also recorded, and the high frequency region (1600–1200 cm^{-1}) is almost identical to that obtained from the reaction in water (Fig. 10). However, the changes that occur < 1200 cm^{-1} are less clear. A strong methanol band at 1034 cm^{-1} obscures the 1012 cm^{-1} band observed in water and its subsequent split into 1021 and 1009 cm^{-1} bands on oxidation. The important 818 cm^{-1} band is not present in the methanolic solution. It is possible that this is shifted to 826 cm^{-1} although no ^{18}O labelling experiments were carried out to confirm the identity of this band.

The red species prepared from PhIO oxidation of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in methanol was also examined by resonance Raman spectroscopy. A wide range of porphyrin and oxidant

Table 5 The dependence on pH, ionic strength and buffer concentration of the relative amounts of monomer and μ-oxo-dimer in aqueous solutions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ (7.4×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3})

Buffer	Concentration/10^{-2} mol dm^{-3}	pH	Ionic strength[a]	Percentage Monomer	μ-oxo-Dimer
Hydroxide/KCl	10	13.0	0.08	<5	>95
Bicarbonate	2.5	10.0	0.05	28	72
Borate	2.5	9.2	0.05	44	56
Phosphate	2.5	7.0	0.05	61	39[b]
Phosphate	10	6.0	0.06	90	10[b]
Acetate	10	5.8	0.09	85	15
Acetate	20	5.8	0.18	90	10
Acetate	40	5.8	0.37	93	7
Acetate	10	5.8	0.19	24	76
Acetate	10	5.8	0.29	13	87
Acetate	10	5.8	0.49	8	92
Acetate	20	5.0	0.14	ca. 100	trace
Acetate	20	4.0	0.04	100	0
HCl	10	1.0	—	100	0

[a] Ionic strength adjusted with KNO_3. [b] Two β-pyrrole resonances observed.

Table 6 The temperature dependence of the ^1H NMR resonances of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in CD_3OD. [$Fe^{III}T4MPyP$], 6.4×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3}

$T/°C$	Chemical shifts/ppm[a] $\overset{+}{N}Me$	2-pyridyl	3-pyridyl	β-pyrrole
27	5.9	11.0	12.2	79.4
10	6.0	11.2	12.8	85.4
0	6.1	11.3	13.1	88.8
−10	6.1	11.4	13.5	92.4
−20	6.2	11.6	14.0	97.4
−30	6.3	11.9	14.5	102.4

[a] Relative to TMS standard.

concentrations was examined but complete conversion to the intermediate was not achieved. The best conditions used were 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ and a 1000-fold excess of PhIO. The spectrum from this experiment resembles one from $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ partially oxidised by Bu^tO_2H in water. As noted above for the resonance Raman spectrum of the reaction with Bu^tO_2H in methanol, there is no evidence of the 818 cm^{-1} band in the reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ with PhIO.

^1H NMR Spectroscopic Studies.—Monomers and dimers of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ *in aqueous solution.* ^1H NMR studies of the reactions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in water require porphyrin solutions that are ca. 700 times more concentrated than those used in the UV–VIS investigations. For this reason the NMR spectra of the solutions are complicated, at all pHs > 4, by the presence of μ-oxo-dimers. Table 5 shows the dependence of the relative proportions of the two species on the pH, ionic strength and buffer concentration. The μ-oxo-dimer is favoured by increasing pH and ionic strength.

The reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ *with tert-butyl hydroperoxide in aqueous solution.* The optimum temperature for following the reactions in aqueous solution is 10 °C since below this value the increased viscosity of the water broadens the absorbances and at higher temperatures the lifetime of the transient species becomes too short. The time required to accumulate sufficient data to obtain a reliable ^1H NMR spectrum was typically 30 min (ca. 400 scans) although for some oxidations fewer scans were used to obtain information about the initial phase of the reaction.

The addition of 10 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H to 7.4×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3} solutions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in D_2O at 10 °C generates the red species at all pHs between 4 and 13. The resonances of the

parent porphyrin are replaced by new resonances of the red intermediate at 9.5 and 9.9 ppm. The N-methyl resonance is lost due to the presaturation of the solvent (D_2O).

There is no clear distinction between the reactivity of the monomer and the μ-oxo-dimer with Bu^tO_2H, both are consumed to make the transient intermediate. Under all the conditions studied NMR spectroscopy shows that on standing the intermediate reverts to the parent porphyrin monomer or a mixture of monomer and μ-oxo-dimer. However, the presence of μ-oxo-dimer complicates the analysis of the NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures because the dimer has a broad resonance with a similar chemical shift to the downfield peaks of the intermediate. This problem cannot be overcome by running the reaction in acidic solution (pH ≤ 4), where the parent porphyrin exists entirely as the monomer, since the lifetime of the intermediate decreases with the pH of the solution and under these conditions is very short-lived.

Even at pH 5.8, with added acetate to favour the monomer, 10 mol equiv. of Bu^tO_2H give only a partial conversion (ca. 25%) to the intermediate.

At pH 7.0, an accumulation of the initial 16 NMR scans (1 min) shows that the conversion to the intermediate is complete. However, within 1 h it has almost totally reverted to a mixture of the monomer and dimer of the parent porphyrin. By contrast, at pH 10 ca. 60% of the intermediate is still present after 1.5 h.

The reaction of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ *with tert-butyl hydroperoxide or 3-chloroperoxybenzoic acid in methanol.* The ^1H NMR spectrum of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in methanol exhibits four resonances, one of which is the very broad low field peak from the β-pyrrole hydrogens that confirms the species is a monomer. All the resonances display a typical dependence on temperature for a high-spin iron(III) tetraarylporphyrin, moving to lower field as the temperature decreases[15] (Table 6).

Addition of 1.3 mol equiv. of 3-CPBA to a 6.4×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3} solution of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in methanol at −30 °C gives a deep red coloured solution. ^1H NMR spectroscopy of this solution shows that ca. 10% of the parent porphyrin has been converted to a new species with resonances at 5.0, 9.5, 9.7 and 10.3 ppm and a complex multiplet centred at 7.8 ppm. The last resonance arises from 3-chlorobenzoic acid. The addition of a further 1.9 equiv. of 3-CPBA gives a further reduction in the resonances from the parent porphyrin and a concomitant increase in those from the red species (ca. 50% conversion) (Fig. 11). Warming the solution to −10 °C results in a significant loss of the red species and at 10 °C the ^1H NMR spectrum of the parent iron(III) porphyrin is restored.

Attempts to record the ^1H NMR spectrum of the oxidised

Table 7 UV–VIS spectral data of oxoiron(IV) tetraarylporphyrins (OFe^{IV}TArP)

| Aryl group	Solvent	$T/°C$	λ_{max}/nm ($\varepsilon/10^3$ m^2 mol^{-1})	Ref.
Phenyl	PhCH$_3$[a]	−90	427, 555, 563, 597	8(e)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	THF	−50	417(16.95), 551, 622, 653	7(e)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	DMF	−50	419(15.76), 554, 632	7(e)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	CH$_2$Cl$_2$/EtOH	−66	416(13.84), 552	7(e)
2,6-Dimethyl-3-sulphonatophenyl	H$_2$O	22	421(9.97) 551	7(f)
4-N-Methylpyridyl	MeOH	23	427(11.87), 556	this study
4-N-Methylpyridyl	H$_2$O (pH 9.2)	30	426(12.46), 525	this study
4-N-Methylpyridyl	H$_2$O (pH 9.0)		426, 524, 547	5(d)
2-N-Methylpyridyl	MeOH	23	417(10.34), 557	this study
2-N-Methylpyridyl	H$_2$O (pH 9.2)	30	418(10.40), 549	this study

[a] Containing 1-methylimidazole.

Fig. 11 ^{1}H NMR spectrum of Fe^{III}T4MPyP (6.4 × 10^{-3} mol dm^{-3}) in CD$_3$OD before (a) and after (b) the addition of 1.6 mol equiv. of 3-CPBA at −30 °C

porphyrin free of Fe^{III}T4MPyP using 6–10 equiv. of 3-CPBA were hampered by interference from the large resonances from 3-chlorobenzoic acid.

Treatment of a 7.4 × 10^{-3} mol dm^{-3} methanolic solution of Fe^{III}T4MPyP with a ten-fold excess of Bu^{t}O$_2$H at −30 °C gives a 40% conversion to the same species as observed with 3-CPBA. Resonances attributable to Bu^{t}O$_2$H, acetone and *tert*-butyl-methyl peroxide, products from the Bu^{t}O$_2$H, are also detected. Warming to −20 °C leads to a complete reversion to the spectrum of the starting porphyrin.

EPR Spectroscopic Studies.—1 or 5 mol equiv. of Bu^{t}O$_2$H were added to 10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} solutions of Fe^{III}T4MPyP in aqueous borate buffer (pH 9.03) and the mixtures were rapidly cooled to 150, 100 or 90 K. EPR studies on these frozen reaction mixtures gave no observable signals between 5 and 5005 G.

Discussion

Of the reactions of charged iron(III) porphyrins with oxidants examined in this study, those of Fe^{III}T4MPyP have been investigated in the most detail. The spectroscopic studies show that for this porphyrin all the oxidants generate the same intermediate. This effectively eliminates oxidant–porphyrin complexes analogous to the species reported by Hill and his co-workers for the reactions of iodosylbenzene with manganese(III) porphyrins,[16] as possible structures.

The similarity of the UV–VIS characteristics of the red species from Fe^{III}T4MPyP to those reported for oxoiron(IV) porphyrins (Table 7) suggests that the intermediate is oxoiron(IV) tetra(4-N-methylpyridyl)porphyrin (OFe^{IV}T4MPyP). A very recent study of the electrochemical oxidation of Fe^{III}T4MPyP in water reaches the same conclusion about the structure of the intermediate.[5d]

The identities of the intermediates from Fe^{III}T4MPyP and Fe^{III}TDCSPP as ferryl porphyrins are unambiguously confirmed by the resonance Raman bands at 818 and 816 cm^{-1}, respectively which we assign to the Fe^{IV}=O stretch in the two porphyrins. Not only do these bands lie in the range of values reported for the Fe^{IV}=O bond of other ferryl porphyrins (Table 8) but with ^{18}O labelling they shift to lower frequency by 39 and 40 cm^{-1}, respectively. These differences in stretching frequencies of Fe^{IV}=O and Fe^{IV}=^{18}O are in excellent agreement with some very recent values of Babcock and his co-workers for some non-ionic ferryl porphyrins in toluene[8e] and with the predicted isotopic shift of 36 cm^{-1} for an isolated oxoiron(IV) unit. The sharpening of the 818 cm^{-1} band in spectra of D$_2$O solutions of OFe^{IV}T4MPyP is also expected from the slower rate of oxygen exchange in D$_2$O than in H$_2$O.[18b]

It has been argued[7e,8e] that in dipolar solvents, or in the presence of suitable donor ligands, the ferryl porphyrins are hexacoordinated and that this results in a lowering of the Fe^{IV}=O stretching frequency from the value of the pentaco-ordinate species. This weakening of the Fe^{IV}=O bonding arises either by a *trans* effect or by causing the iron to move towards the plane of the porphyrin ring.[7e,8c,e,17,19] Hydrogen bonding to the oxygen of the ferryl porphyrin also weakens the Fe^{IV}=O bonding.[8e] In this respect the shift in the resonance Raman Fe^{IV}=O stretch of horse radish peroxidase compound II from 787 to 775 cm^{-1} on changing from high pH to neutral solution has been interpreted in terms of H-bonding to a distal imidazole.[18] Further evidence for the influence of H-bonding on oxometal bonds in porphyrins has been obtained by Spiro and co-workers[20] in a systematic resonance Raman study on stable oxovanadyl porphyrins which showed that water is particularly effective in lowering the oxometal stretching frequency. The 818 cm^{-1} value obtained in this study, although it is not as low as that found in peroxidase compound II[18] (where there is both H-bonding to distal and ligation to proximal histidines), indicates that a probable structure for the ferryl porphyrins in water is 1; R = H. The structural information from the resonance Raman

Table 8 Resonance Raman $Fe^{IV}=O$ stretching frequencies of oxoiron(IV) tetraarylporphyrins ($OFe^{IV}TArP$)

Aryl group	Solvent	Sixth ligand	$T/°C$	$Fe^{IV}=O$ stretching frequency/cm^{-1}	Ref.
Phenyl	O_2 matrix	—	−258	852	17
Mesityl	$PhCH_3$	—	−46	845	8(d)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	THF	THF	−50	841	7(e)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	DMF	DMF	−50	828	7(e)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	$PhCH_3$	1-methylimidazole	−50	818	7(e)
Phenyl	$PhCH_3$	1-methylimidazole	−120	820	8(e)
4-N-Methylpyridyl	H_2O	H_2O	RT^a	818	this study
2,6-Dichloro-3-sulphonatophenyl	H_2O	H_2O	RT^a	816	this study
HRP compound II	H_2O high pH	imidazole	RT^a	787	18
HRP compound II	H_2O neutral pH	imidazole	RT^a	775	18

^{a} Room temperature.

Table 9 ^1H NMR chemical shifts of oxoiron(IV) tetraarylporphyrins ($OFe^{IV}TArP$)

				Chemical shifts/ppm ^{a}					
					Aryl				
Aryl group	Solvent	$T/°C$	β-pyrrole	2	3	4	ArMe	Ref.
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Mesityl	$[^2H_8]$toluene	−70	8.4	—	6.4 6.0	—	3.3 2.6	8(a), 21
2-Pivalamidophenyl	$[^2H_8]$THF	−50	7.2	12.9	9.3 8.7	7.7	—	8(b)
2,6-Dichlorophenyl	$[^2H_7]$DMF	−50	14.1	—	4.0	4.0	—	7(e)
4-N-Methylpyridyl	$[^2H_4]$MeOH	−30	10.0	9.7	9.5	—	5.0	this study
4-N-Methylpyridyl	$[^2H_2]$water	10	9.9	9.5	9.5	—	b	this study

^{a} Relative to TMS or DSS. ^{b} Not detectable due to presaturation of water resonance.

spectra of the oxidised porphyrin in methanol is not as clear cut as that from the aqueous solutions, since without ^{18}O labelling experiments the $Fe^{IV}=O$ stretching cannot be unequivocally identified. However, the similarity of the high frequency region of the resonance Raman spectra of the species generated by Bu^tO_2H and by PhIO in methanol to that of $OFe^{IV}T4MPyP$ in water suggests strongly that the intermediate has structure **1**, R = Me. An alternative dimethoxyiron(IV) porphyrin, structure **2**, similar to that proposed by Groves et al.[12] for the species formed by reaction of iron(III) tetramesitylporphyrin perchlorate with iron(III) perchlorate followed by sodium methoxide, seems less likely. ^1H NMR spectroscopy of the species in methanol supports this conclusion.

R = H or CH₃   ⬭ = porphyrin dianion

The ^1H NMR spectra from oxidised $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in methanol are more informative than those from aqueous solutions. In the former solvent the parent porphyrin is a monomer and it reacts cleanly with either Bu^tO_2H or with 3-CPBA to give a new species. This work requires the use of low temperatures because, at the porphyrin concentrations needed for the NMR studies, the lifetime of the intermediate above −30 °C is too short to allow its spectrum to be recorded.

The most striking change in the ^1H NMR spectrum of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ on addition of the oxidant is the decrease in the intensities of the resonances of the parent porphyrin and non-appearance of any new resonances <11 ppm. Taken in

conjunction with the absence of EPR signals from the oxidised porphyrin, these data are in accord with the high spin $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ ($S = 5/2$) being converted into an oxoiron(IV) species ($S = 1$). The upfield shift of all the NMR resonances, and in particular that of the β-pyrrole hydrogens, coupled with the sharpening of these resonances in the oxidised species would be expected from the smaller interaction between the porphyrin ring and the unpaired electrons on the paramagnetic iron. A tentative assignment of the resonances of the oxidised porphyrin, which is in agreement with those of other ferryl porphyrins, is given in Table 9.

The ^1H NMR spectra also rule out structure **2** for the intermediate since the β-pyrrole resonances from such iron(IV) porphyrins occur at characteristically high fields, e.g. at −37.5 ppm for dimethoxyiron(IV) tetramesitylporphyrin.[12]

In aqueous solution, the ferryl porphyrin is more stable than in methanol and this allows NMR spectra to be obtained at 10 °C. However, the spectra show less structure than those from methanolic solutions since the pyridyl resonances are unresolved (9.5 ppm) and are superimposed on the broader β-pyrrole peak (9.9 ppm).

Apart from structural information on $OFe^{IV}T4MPyP$, the ^1H NMR studies on squeous solutions also provide information on the parent porphyrin. Several recent investigations[22] have led to the conclusion that in the pH range 1–10 $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ undergoes a pH dependent equilibrium between two monomers (**3** and **4**), and, in basic solution, there is also a concentration dependent equilibrium between monomer and μ-oxo-dimer (**5**) [reaction (1)].

The results from the present study are in agreement with these equilibria, showing clearly that mixtures of monomer and μ-oxo-dimer in basic solutions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ are converted to a single monomeric species at pHs $\leqslant$ 4. They also reveal, as observed by Goff and Morgan,[23] that increasing the ionic strength of the solution with a non-ligating salt favours the μ-oxo-dimer. Interestingly, at pH 5.8, increasing the concentration of the buffer favours the monomer. Clearly under the latter conditions, the ligating ability of acetate, which favours the monomer, outweighs the ionic strength effect.

The addition of Bu^tO_2H to aqueous solutions of $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ converts both the monomer and the μ-oxo-dimer into the ferryl porphyrin. We suggest that this arises through oxidation of the monomer, and that the dimer reacts by first forming the monomer. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the oxidant reacts with the dimer directly.

Formation, Destruction and Stability of Oxoiron(IV) Porphyrins.—The initial reaction of iron(III) porphyrins with PhIO or with a peroxy acid generates the oxoiron(IV) porphyrin π radical cation in a two-electron oxidation [reaction (2)].

$$Fe^{III}P + OX \longrightarrow OFe^{IV}P^{\cdot+} + X \qquad (2)$$

$$OX = 3\text{-CPBA, MPS or PhIO}$$

In methanolic solution there are two important competing reactions leading to the removal of this active oxidant; solvent oxidation to regenerate the catalyst and comproportionation with iron(III) porphyrin to give the ferryl porphyrin [reactions (3) and (4), respectively]. In aqueous solution, solvent oxidation

$$OFe^{IV}P^{\cdot+} + CH_3OH \longrightarrow HCHO + H_2O + Fe^{III}P \qquad (3)$$

$$OFe^{IV}P^{\cdot+} + Fe^{III}P \xrightarrow{H_2O} 2OFe^{IV}P \qquad (4)$$

is unimportant and the competitive process [reaction (4)] predominates. This explains why aqueous solutions of the iron(III) tetra(N-methylpyridyl)porphyrins require significantly less oxidant than methanolic ones to give complete conversion to the oxoiron(IV) porphyrin. Indeed, solvent oxidation probably accounts for our inability to detect any oxoiron(IV) porphyrins in oxidations of methanolic solutions of charged iron(III) tetra(substituted-phenyl)porphyrins. It is noteworthy that all previous reports of ferryl porphyrins that are long-lived at ambient temperature have used aqueous solutions.[5d,7d,f,9]

The mechanism of oxidation of iron(III) porphyrins with Bu^tO_2H is in dispute. Investigations by Traylor and his co-workers[24] have been used to support a two-electron process analogous to reaction (2) with peroxy acids. However, there is strong evidence from other work that the initial reaction involves a one-electron transfer [reaction (5)].[7e,25] Whether or not the reaction of Bu^tO_2H with iron(III) porphyrins is a one- or a two-electron process, our recent studies with $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$ in aqueous solution have shown that the initial step is followed by a complex sequence of interrelated reactions involving dioxygen, alkyl peroxyl, alkoxyl and methyl radicals.[25d]

$$Fe^{III}P + Bu^tO_2H \longrightarrow OFe^{IV}P + Bu^tO^{\cdot} \qquad (5)$$

The mechanisms of reduction of ferryl porphyrins back to the iron(III) state, particularly in the absence of an oxidisable substrate, are uncertain. Oxoiron(IV) porphyrins, unlike oxoiron(IV) porphyrins π radical cations, are not potent oxidants, particularly when stabilised with a good donor ligand.[26]

However, the marked decrease in the stability of $OFe^{IV}T4MPyP$ in more concentrated solutions suggests that an important mechanism involves the self-reaction of ferryl porphyrins. This could be disproportionation [reverse of reaction (4)] followed by porphyrin degradation by the oxoiron(IV) porphyrin π radical cation [reaction (6)]

$$2OFe^{IV}P \longrightarrow [Fe^{III}P\ OFe^{IV}P^{\cdot+}] \longrightarrow$$
$$Fe^{III}P_{OX} + Fe^{III}P \qquad (6)$$

where $Fe^{III}P_{OX}$ contains an oxidised porphyrin ring.

If, in aqueous solution, this is the sole route back to the iron(III) porphyrin our results show that with 1.15×10^{-5} mol dm^{-3} $Fe^{III}T4MPyP$, 9 equiv. of MPS are required to bring about complete bleaching. It is, however, also possible that the oxoiron(IV)porphyrin π radical cation can oxidise the MPS, and that this competing reaction leads to removal of oxidant without destruction of the porphyrin. In methanol, any oxoiron(IV) porphyrin π radical cation formed by the reverse of reaction (4) is also able to oxidise the solvent to formaldehyde [reaction (3)] and consequently the extent of porphyrin degradation is significantly less than in water. The good oxidant balance in the reactions of PhIO in methanol show that solvent oxidation accounts for virtually all the oxidant. In the reactions with Bu^tO_2H, the oxidant and products from the oxidant serve as substrates for the oxoiron(IV) porphyrin. Our current investigations are aimed at defining more fully the reactions of ferryl porphyrins.

The results from the present study demonstrate that the aryl group on the porphyrin has a marked influence on the lifetime of the oxoiron(IV) species and on the stability of the porphyrin towards oxidative bleaching (Table 1). As has been observed previously, steric effects and electron-withdrawing substituents make iron(III) tetraarylporphyrins more resistant to oxidative degradation.[27] Interestingly, these affects also stabilise the ferryl porphyrins. The same explanations can be used to account for both observations. Thus, steric and electron-withdrawing effects make $OFe^{IV}T2MPyP$ more stable than $OFe^{IV}T4MPyP$, and $OFe^{IV}TDCSPP$ the most stable of the ferryl porphyrins in the present study. This is particularly apparent in more concentrated solutions which encourage reaction (6) in unhindered ferryl porphyrins. For example, changing the concentration of the ferryl porphyrin from 10^{-5}–10^{-4} mol dm^{-3} has little effect on the lifetime of $OFe^{IV}TDCSPP$, however, it reduces that of $OFe^{IV}T4MPyP$ from several hours to a few minutes. No differences in behaviour attributable to the individual atropisomers of $OFe^{IV}T2MPyP$ were detected.

With the N-methylpyridylporphyrins, the 2-isomer is more resistant to oxidative destruction and has the more stable ferryl porphyrin. This is due to a combination of the steric effect of the methyl group and electron-withdrawing effect of the positive nitrogen. In the 2-position these have a greater influence in discouraging oxidation of the porphyrin ring.

The greater stability of the cationic ferryl porphyrins than the corresponding anionic species can also be accounted for by reaction (6), since electron-withdrawing N-methylpyridyl and trimethylanilinium groups will discourage oxidation of the porphyrin ring to the π radical cation. For the sulphonatophenyl and carboxyphenyl ferryl porphyrins, the electron-transfer will be relatively more favoured.

Experimental

Materials.—All materials were commercially available from Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd. or Fisons Scientific Apparatus Ltd. unless otherwise stated.

The preparation of the following iron(III) porphyrins have been previously reported;[28] 5,10,15,20-tetra(4-N-methyl-

pyridyl)porphyrinatoiron(III) pentachloride, 5,10,15,20-tetra(2-
N-methylpyridyl)porphyrinatoiron(III) pentachloride, 5,10,15,-
20-tetra(2,6-dichloro-3-sulphonatophenyl)porphyrinatoiron-
(III) tetrasodium salt. 5,10,15,20-Tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)por-
phyrinatoiron(III) chloride was prepared by metallation of the
free base (Nentech Ltd.) with iron(II) chloride following the
method of Adler et al.[29] and purified by ion-exchange
chromatography using Dowex 50-WX8. 5,10,15,20-Tetra(4-
sulphonatophenyl)porphyrinatoiron(III) tetrasodium salt and
5,10,15,20-tetra(4-trimethylammoniumphenyl)porphyrinato-
iron(III) pentachloride were obtained from Nentech Ltd. and
used without further purification. Iodosylbenzene was prepared
as described previously.[28] $H_2^{18}O$ 90 atom% was from Alpha
Products.

Methods.—UV–VIS spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu
UV-260 scanning spectrometer and on Hewlett Packard 8450
and 8452A diode array spectrometers.

Raman spectra were obtained with either a Spex 1401 double
spectrograph or a Jobin-Yvon HR640 single spectrograph and
a Wright Instruments liquid nitrogen cooled CCD camera.
Indene and 1,4-dioxane were used for routine calibration; the
peak positions of well defined bands are accurate to ± 3 cm^{-1}.
An excitation wavelength of 413.1 nm was used throughout
since it lies within the Soret absorptions of both the iron(III) and
oxoiron(IV) porphyrins investigated. Samples were contained in
a spinning quartz cell at room temperature and the oxidations
were carried out *in situ*. In a typical experiment a small
aliquot of oxidant (*ca.* 25 mm^3) was added as rapidly as possible
to the porphyrin solution (1 cm^3) in the cell. The mixture was
agitated and data acquisition initiated in <30 s. Spectra were
acquired at 30 s intervals following the addition of oxidant. In
solvent isotope substitution experiments the iron(III) porphyrin
solutions were made up in $H_2^{18}O$ or $[^2H_2]$water.

^{1}H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker MSL 300
spectrometer (300 MHz). All Fe^{III}T4MPyP solutions were
7.4×10^{-3} mol dm^{-3}. For reactions in $[^2H_4]$methanol the
porphyrin solution, in an NMR tube, was cooled in a dry ice-
acetone bath and the required excess of oxidant was added by
syringe. The tube was shaken, placed in the spectrometer and
data acquisition begun *ca.* 5 min after oxidant addition.
Chemical shifts were measured relative to TMS as an internal
standard.

Reactions in $[^2H_2]$water were carried out as above, except
that the porphyrin solution was first cooled to 10 °C and the
oxidant was added while the mixture was held in an ice-water
bath. Chemical shifts were measured relative to the internal
standard, DSS. The signal from the small amount of non-
deuteriated water in the solvent was suppressed with a 50 μs
presaturation pulse.

EPR experiments used a Bruker ESP 300 spectrometer
equipped with an X band microwave bridge and 100 kHz
modulation. 1 mol equiv. of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide was added
to 0.1 mmol dm^{-3} Fe^{III}T4MPyP in 50 mmol dm^{-3} borate buffer
(pH 9.03). This mixture was rapidly frozen in liquid nitrogen
and spectra were run at 100 and 150 K. The experiment was
repeated with 5 mol equiv. of oxidant and at 90 K.

Measurement of Oxidant Accountability in the Reaction of
Fe^{III}T2MPyP *with Iodosylbenzene.*—The iodosylbenzene dis-
solved in methanol (2 cm^3) was added to the methanolic
solution of the iron(III) porphyrin (1 cm^3). After 72 h an aliquot
of the reaction mixture was removed and the formaldehyde
estimated spectrophotometrically using Nash's method.[30] The
unreacted iodosylbenzene was quantified titrimetrically.[28]

Acknowledgements

References
1 (a) H. B. Dunford and J. S. Stillman, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1976, **19**,
187; (b) G. N. LaMar, J. S. de Ropp, L. Latos-Grazynski, A. L. Balch,
R. B. Johnson, K. M. Smith, D. W. Parish and R. Chen, *J. Am. Chem.
Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 782; (c) J. H. Dawson, *Science*, 1988, **240**, 433.
2 (a) H. B. Dunford, *Adv. Inorg. Biochem.*, 1982, **4**, 41; (b) J. E. Few and
P. Jones, *Adv. Inorg. Bioinorg. Mech.*, 1984, **3**, 175.
3 (a) R. E. White and M. J. Coon, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 1980, **49**, 315;
(b) J. T. Groves, in *Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism and
Biochemistry*, ed. P. Ortiz de Montellano, Plenum, New York, 1985,
ch. 1.
4 D. F. Blair, C. T. Martin, J. Gelles, H. Wang, G. W. Brudvig, T. H.
Stevens and S. I. Chan, *Chem. Scr.*, 1983, **21**, 43.
5 (a) T. S. Calderwood, W. A. Lee and T. C. Bruice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1985, **107**, 8272; (b) J. T. Groves and J. A. Gilbert, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986,
25, 123; (c) T. S. Calderwood and T. C. Bruice, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**,
3722; (d) S.-M. Chen and Y. O. Su, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*,
1990, 491.
6 (a) K. Bajdor and K. Nakamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 3045;
(b) M. W. Peterson, D. S. Rivers and R. M. Richman, *J. Am. Chem.
Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 2907.
7 (a) J. E. Penner-Halm, K. S. Elbe, T. J. McMurray, M. Renner, A.
L. Balch, J. T. Groves, J. H. Dawson and K. D. Hodgson, *J. Am.
Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 7819; (b) K. Shin and H. M. Goff, *J. Am.
Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 3140; (c) H. Sugimoto, H.-C. Tung and D.
T. Sawyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 2465; (d) V. P.
Shedbalkar, S. Modi and S. Mitra, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*,
1988, 1238; (e) A. Gold, K. Jayaraj, P. Doppelt, R. Weiss, G.
Chottard, E. Bill, X. Ding and A. X. Trautwein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1988, **110**, 5756; (f) P. N. Balasubramanian, J. R. Lindsay Smith,
M. J. Davies, T. W. Kaaret and T. C. Bruice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1989, **111**, 1477.
8 (a) A. L. Balch, Y.-W. Chan, R.-J. Cheng, G. N. La Mar, L. Latos-
Grazynski and M. W. Renner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1984, **106**, 7779; (b)
M. Schappacher, R. Weiss, R. Monteil-Montoya, A. Trautwein and
A. Tabard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 3736; (c) M. Schappacher,
G. Chottard and R. Weiss, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1986, 93;
(d) I. R. Paeng, H. Shiwaku and K. Nakamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1988, **110**, 1995; (e) W. A. Oertling, R. T. Kean, R. Weaver and G. T.
Babcock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 2633.
9 R. Panicucci and T. C. Bruice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 6023.
10 (a) J. R. Kincaid, A. J. Schneider and K.-J. Paeng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1989, **111**, 735; (b) P. M. Champion, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**,
3433.
11 B. Boso, G. Lang, T. J. McMurray and J. T. Groves, *J. Chem. Phys.*,
1983, **79**, 1172.
12 J. T. Groves, R. Quinn, T. J. McMurray, M. Nakamura, G. Lang and
B. Boso, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 354.
13 J. E. Penner-Hahn, T. J. McMurray, M. Renner, L. Latos-Grazynski,
K. S. Elbe, I. M. Davies, A. L. Balch, J. T. Groves, J. H. Dawson and
K. O. Hodgson, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1983, **258**, 12761.
14 S. E. J. Bell, R. E. Hester and J. R. Lindsay Smith, in *Proceedings
of the Fourth International Conference on Time-resolved Vibrational
Spectroscopy*, ed. T. G. Spiro, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989, p. 26; S.
E. J. Bell, P. R. Cooke, P. Inchley, D. R. Leanord, J. R. Lindsay
Smith, R. J. Lower and A. Robbins, presented at the European
Conference on Homogeneous Catalysis, Arles, September 1989.
15 G. N. La Mar, G. R. Eaton, R. H. Holm and F. A. Walker, *J. Am.
Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 63.
16 J. A. Smegal and C. L. Hill, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 2920; J. A.
Smegal, B. C. Schardt and C. L. Hill, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**,
3510.
17 J. M. Proniewicz, K. Bajdor and K. J. Nakamoto, *J. Phys. Chem.*,
1986, **90**, 1760.
18 (a) J. Terner, A. J. Sitter and C. M. Reczek, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*,
1985, **828**, 229; (b) S. Hashimoto, Y. Tatsuno and T. Kitagawa, *Proc.
Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 1986, **83**, 2417.
19 R. T. Kean, W. A. Oertling and G. T. Babcock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
1987, **109**, 2185.
20 Y. O. Su, R. S. Czernuszewicz, L. A. Miller and T. G. Spiro, *J. Am.
Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 4150.

21 A. L. Balch, L. Latos-Grazynski and M. W. Renner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 2983.

22 (*a*) F. L. Harris and D. L. Toppen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, **17**, 71; (*b*) N. Kobayashi, M. Koshiyama, T. Osa and T. Kuwana, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, **22**, 3608; (*c*) G. A. Trondeau and R. G. Wilkins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 2745; (*d*) G. M. Miskelly, W. S. Webley, C. R. Clark and D. A. Buckingham, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1988, **27**, 3773; (*e*) S. E. J. Bell, J. N. Hill, R. E. Hester, D. R. Shawcross and J. R. Lindsay Smith, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, 1990, 4017.

23 H. Goff and L. O. Morgan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1976, **15**, 2062.

24 (*a*) T. G. Traylor, W.-P. Fann and D. Bandyopadhyay, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 8009; (*b*) T. G. Traylor and F. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 178 and earlier papers.

25 (*a*) J. R. Lindsay Smith, P. N. Balasubramanian and T. C. Bruice, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 7411; (*b*) R. Labeque and L. J. Marnett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 6621; (*c*) R. D. Arasasingham, C. R. Cornman and A. L. Balch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 7800; (*d*) J. R. Lindsay Smith and R. J. Lower, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1991, 31.

26 H. Hennig, D. Rehorek, R. Stich and L. Weber, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1990, **62**, 1489; L. Weber, personal communication.

27 (*a*) P. S. Traylor, D. Dolphin and T. G. Traylor, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1984, 279; (*b*) M. J. Nappa and C. A. Tolman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4711; (*c*) T. G. Traylor and S. Tsuchiya, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1338; (*d*) S. Banfi, F. Montanari and S. Quici, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1988, **53**, 2863.

28 D. R. Leanord and J. R. Lindsay Smith, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 1991, 25.

29 A. D. Adler, F. R. Longo, F. Kampas and J. Kim, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, 1970, **32**, 2443.

30 T. Nash, *J. Biochem.*, 1953, **55**, 416.