

How Do the Nucleophilicity and Size of an Isocyano Carbon Atom Differ from those of a Cyano Nitrogen Atom?

Anthony C. Legon

Department of Chemistry, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QD, UK

Hydrogen-bond stretching force constants k_σ and distances $r(\text{B} \cdots \text{X})$ from the rotational spectra of the C_{3v} dimers $\text{B} \cdots \text{HX}$, where $\text{B} = \text{CH}_3\text{NC}$ or CH_3CN and $\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{CN}$ or CCH , have been used to establish the difference in magnitude of the gas-phase nucleophilicity and of the van der Waals radius between the isocyano carbon atom in CH_3NC and the cyano nitrogen atom in CH_3CN .

The relative nucleophilicities of alkyl cyanides and the isomeric isocyanides are quantities of interest in physical organic chemistry. Various physical properties of methyl isocyanide have been interpreted¹ as indicating that the predominant contribution to the valence-bond description of the molecule is made by the polar structure $\text{CH}_3\text{N}^+\equiv\text{C}^-$ first proposed by Lindemann and Wiegrebé.² The isocyano carbon atom then carries a non-bonding (n) electron pair with a formal negative charge. A nucleophilic character is therefore not unexpected. Although the N atom in the isomeric CH_3CN also carries an n-pair, it is formally neutral. The negative charge on C, the lower electronegativity of C than N, and the observation of a greater molar bond refraction for the isocyano group than for the cyano group led to the suggestion³ that the n-pair in RNC molecules is more loosely bound than in RCN. Consequently, RNC might¹ be a stronger Lewis base and a better nucleophile than RCN. Some evidence for such an order is available.

First, the shifts $\Delta\nu$ in the wavenumbers of OH and CH stretching vibrations are greater when these groups form hydrogen bonds to NC^{4-6} than when the bonds are to CN. Secondly, the gas-phase proton affinity⁷ of CH_3NC (844 kJ mol⁻¹) is greater than that of CH_3CN (788 kJ mol⁻¹). Thirdly, but less directly, the reactivity of CH_3NC with anhydrous hydrogen halides or aqueous acids or halogens is significantly greater.^{8,9} However, all of this evidence should be interpreted cautiously. For example, the order of IR shifts might not parallel the order of proton acceptor ability of B in hydrogen bond formation.⁴ Moreover, proton affinities are defined as ΔH_m° for $\text{BH}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{B}$ and there is some evidence that the ions CH_3NCH^+ and CH_3CNH^+ are not isostructural.⁹ The difference in gas-phase proton affinity might therefore measure more than just the difference in nucleophilicity of the n-pair on C in CH_3NC and on N in CH_3CN . A more appropriate gauge of relative nucleophilicity might be one that measures the propensity of the n-pair to interact with a non-perturbing positive charge.

A convenient scale of nucleophilicity based on such a non-perturbing interaction has been proposed recently.¹⁰ The nucleophilicity of the acceptor region of a molecule B is derived from the hydrogen-bond stretching force constant k_σ of the weakly bound dimer $\text{B} \cdots \text{HX}$, k_σ being one measure of the strength of the hydrogen bond, *i.e.* through the energy $\frac{1}{2}k_\sigma dr^2$ required to produce a unit infinitesimal displacement along the dissociation coordinate. The success of a set of empirical rules¹¹ and a simple electrostatic model¹² in rationalizing a wide range of angular geometries of dimers $\text{B} \cdots \text{HF}$, for example, is consistent with the view¹³ that the electrophilic end $\text{H}^{\delta+}$ of HF acts as a non-perturbing probe of an n-pair carried by B. The experimental angular geometries were all determined for the isolated dimer $\text{B} \cdots \text{HF}$ in the gas phase by rotational spectroscopy, from which technique k_σ is also available. When a

Table 1 Gas-phase nucleophilicities N and electrophilicities E of some molecules B and HX^a

B	N	HX	E
CO	3.4	HF	10.0
PH ₃	4.4	HCl	5.0
H ₂ S	4.8	HCN	4.25
(CH ₃) ₃ P	6.9	HCCH	2.4
HCN	7.3		
(CH ₃) ₃ CCN	9.0		
H ₂ O	10.0		
NH ₃	11.5		

^a Values from ref. 10.

sufficiently large number of k_σ values had been determined, it was realized¹⁰ that a nucleophilicity N could be assigned to each B and an electrophilicity E to each HX so that the whole set of k_σ could be reproduced by the expression in eqn. (1) where

$$k_\sigma = cNE \quad (1)$$

$c = 0.25 \text{ N m}^{-1}$. A selection of these gas-phase N and E values is displayed in Table 1.

Until recently, the k_σ values important for the determination of $N(\text{CH}_3\text{NC})$, namely those for $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HF}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HCl}$, were not available because of the reactivity of CH_3NC and HX alluded to above. The development of a fast-mixing and freezing technique¹⁴ has now allowed the rotational spectra of these dimers to be observed, however. In this technique two mixtures, one of CH_3NC diluted in argon and the other of HF similarly diluted, for example, are kept separate until the point at which they expand into a vacuum through a nozzle. The dimers $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HF}$ formed on mixing achieve collisionless expansion within a few microseconds, are thereby frozen and no reaction is possible thereafter. The expanded gas can then be probed with microwave radiation and the rotational spectrum of $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HF}$ recorded.¹⁵

The force constants k_σ determined from centrifugal distortion constants D_J made available by analysis of the rotational spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ ($\text{X} = \text{F}, \text{Cl}, \text{CN}$ and CCH)¹⁵⁻¹⁸ are summarized in Table 2. The necessary relationship between D_J and k_σ for these isostructural C_{3v} molecules is set out elsewhere.¹⁹ The k_σ for the corresponding series of isomers $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$, similarly determined,²⁰⁻²³ are also given in Table 2. By taking the E value of the appropriate HX from Table 1, each k_σ in Table 2 generates an N value. As seen in Table 2, the N values for the $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ series closely parallel those of the $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$ series. Moreover, it is clear that N is indeed a property of the acceptor molecule B in each series and that the mean value of N is identical for CH_3NC and

Table 2 Hydrogen-bond stretching force constants k_{σ} for dimers $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$

$\text{B} \cdots \text{HX}$	$\text{B} = \text{CH}_3\text{NC}$		$\text{B} = \text{CH}_3\text{CN}$	
	$k_{\sigma}/\text{N m}^{-1}$	$N(\text{B})^a$	$k_{\sigma}/\text{N m}^{-1}$	$N(\text{B})^a$
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HF}$	19.9(3) ^b	8.0	20.1(5) ^c	8.0
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCl}$	11.4(1) ^d	9.1	10.70(3) ^e	8.6
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCN}$	8.7(2) ^f	8.2	9.51(2) ^g	8.2
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCCH}$	4.78(1) ^h	8.0	4.75(1) ⁱ	7.9
	mean	8.3(4)	mean	8.3(5)

^a Calculated from eqn. (1) and the appropriate E value from Table 1. ^b Ref. 15. ^c Ref. 20. ^d Ref. 16. ^e Ref. 21. ^f Ref. 17. ^g Ref. 22. ^h Ref. 18. ⁱ Ref. 23.

Table 3 Distances $r(\text{B} \cdots \text{X})$ in $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$

Dimer	$\text{B} = \text{CH}_3\text{NC}$		$\Delta r/\text{\AA}^b$
	$r(\text{C} \cdots \text{X})/\text{\AA}^a$	$r(\text{N} \cdots \text{X})/\text{\AA}^a$	
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HF}$	2.840 ^c	2.752 ^d	0.088
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCl}$	3.400 ^e	3.292 ^f	0.108
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCN}$	3.423 ^g	3.272 ^h	0.151
$\text{B} \cdots \text{HCCH}$	3.596 ⁱ	3.426 ^j	0.170
		mean	0.13(3)

^a All $r(\text{B} \cdots \text{X})$ calculated using the model discussed in ref. 23. ^b $\Delta r = r(\text{C} \cdots \text{X}) - r(\text{N} \cdots \text{X})$. ^c Ref. 15. ^d Ref. 20. ^e Ref. 16. ^f Ref. 21. ^g Ref. 17. ^h Ref. 22. ⁱ Ref. 18. ^j Ref. 23.

CH_3CN , even though imperfections in the model lead to small variations of N within a given series. On this basis, the gas-phase nucleophilicities of CH_3NC and CH_3CN must be considered indistinguishable and to lie in magnitude between those of HCN and $(\text{CH}_3)_3\text{CCN}$ (see Table 1).

Another quantity of some physico-chemical interest available via the rotational spectra of $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$ dimers is the van der Waals radius of the isocyano carbon atom. The distances $r(\text{C} \cdots \text{X})$ and $r(\text{N} \cdots \text{X})$ have been determined for the isostructural series $\text{CH}_3\text{NC} \cdots \text{HX}$ and $\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \cdots \text{HX}$, respectively, by an internally consistent method that allows for the contributions of the intermolecular bending modes to the zero-point motion and is described in detail elsewhere.²³ The results are displayed in Table 3, also included in which are the quantities $\Delta r = r(\text{C} \cdots \text{X}) - r(\text{N} \cdots \text{X})$. The main conclusion of interest is that Δr is positive and close to 0.1 Å for each X. In addition, there is a small systematic increase in Δr as the interaction becomes

weaker. As discussed previously,¹² distances $r(\text{B} \cdots \text{X})$ in hydrogen-bonded dimers $\text{B} \cdots \text{HX}$ are a reasonable approximation to the sum of the van der Waals radii of the acceptor atom on B and the atom X. The implication of the present work is therefore that the van der Waals radius of isocyano C exceeds that of cyano N by approximately 0.1 Å, i.e. by the mean value of Δr (Table 3). Given the accepted van der Waals radius of N as 1.4 Å, the above discussion suggests a value of 1.5 Å for isocyano C.

Acknowledgements

A research grant from the SERC in support of this work is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 J. A. Green II and P. T. Hoffman, in *Isonitrile Chemistry*, ed. I. Ugi, Academic Press, New York, 1971, ch. 1.
- 2 H. Lindemann and L. Wiegbebe, *Chem. Ber.*, 1930, **63**, 1650.
- 3 R. G. Gilles, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1962, **27**, 4103.
- 4 P. von R. Schleyer and A. Allerhand, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 1322; 1963, **85**, 866.
- 5 L. L. Ferstandig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 3533.
- 6 R. G. Gilles and J. L. Occolowitz, *Spectrochim. Acta*, 1963, **19**, 873.
- 7 J. S. Knight, C. G. Freeman and M. J. McEwan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 1404.
- 8 T. Saegusa and Y. Ito, in *Isonitrile Chemistry*, ed. I. Ugi, Academic Press, New York, 1971, ch. 4.
- 9 Y. Y. Lim and A. R. Stein, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1971, **49**, 2455.
- 10 A. C. Legon and D. J. Millen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 356.
- 11 A. C. Legon and D. J. Millen, *Faraday Discuss.*, 1982, **73**, 71.
- 12 A. D. Buckingham and P. W. Fowler, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1985, **63**, 2018.
- 13 A. C. Legon and D. J. Millen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1987, **16**, 467.
- 14 A. C. Legon and C. A. Rego, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.*, 1990, **86**, 1915.
- 15 A. C. Legon, D. G. Lister and H. E. Warner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, to be published.
- 16 A. C. Legon, D. G. Lister and H. E. Warner, unpublished observations.
- 17 A. C. Legon and J. C. Thorn, unpublished observations.
- 18 A. C. Legon, D. G. Lister and C. A. Rego, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, to be published.
- 19 D. J. Millen, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1985, **83**, 1477.
- 20 P. Cope, A. C. Legon, D. J. Millen and L. C. Willoughby, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, 1986, **82**, 1197.
- 21 A. C. Legon, D. J. Millen and H. M. North, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1987, **91**, 5210.
- 22 N. W. Howard and A. C. Legon, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2*, 1987, **83**, 991.
- 23 N. W. Howard and A. C. Legon, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1986, **85**, 6898.

Paper 1/06441J

Received 24th December 1991

Accepted 9th January 1992