Crystal Structures of Bis(acetylacetonato- μ -allyl-platinum), [Pt(C₃H₅)- $(C_5H_7O_2)]_2$, and Bis-[di- μ -allyl-(di- μ -chloro-diplatinum)], [Pt(C₃H₅)Cl]₄

By G. Raper and W. S. McDonald,* Department of Inorganic and Structural Chemistry, The University, Leeds LS2 9JT

The X-ray crystal structures of the title compounds have been determined from photographic data. The acetylacetonato-complex (I) is dimeric, with approximate C_2 symmetry, and crystallises in the monoclinic space-group $P2_1/c$ with Z = 4 in a unit cell of dimensions: $a = 10.01 \pm 0.01$, $b = 10.00 \pm 0.01$, $c = 17.29 \pm 0.03$ Å, $\beta = 10.01 \pm 0.01$ $100^{\circ}2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$. The chloro-complex (II) exists as a tetramer of approximate D_2 symmetry, and crystallises in the triclinic space-group P1, with Z = 4 in a unit cell of dimensions: $a = 13.34 \pm 0.03$, $b = 16.16 \pm 0.03$, $c = 10.16 \pm 0.0$ 8.33 ± 0.01 Å, $\alpha = 90.2 \pm 0.2$, $\beta = 100.2 \pm 0.2$, $\gamma = 93.2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$. Both structures were solved by conventional Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by least-squares techniques to R 11 3% [(I); 1948 independent reflections] and 12.0% [(II); 2975 independent reflections].

In (I) each ally group of the Pt \cdots Pt bridge is σ -bonded to one platinum and π -bonded to the other. Similarly diallyl bridges occur in (II) where non-planar dichloro-bridges alternate with the diallyl bridges in the ring. The Pt-O bond lengths in (I) and Pt-Cl bond lengths in (II) reflect the differing trans-influences of the σ - and π bonded allyl group.

REACTION of diallylplatinum with dry hydrogen chloride gives ¹ chloro(allyl)platinum, $[Pt(C_3H_5)Cl]_x$, which with thallium(I) acetylacetonate gives ² the dimeric acetylacetonato(allyl)platinum, $[Pt(C_3H_5)(C_5H_7O_2)]_2$. The dimeric formula of $[Pt(C_3H_5)(C_5H_7O_2)]_2$ suggested the presence of bridging allyl groups, whilst the very low solubility of $[Pt(C_3H_5)Cl]_x$ suggested a polymer which might have both chloro- and allyl-bridges. The only previous structure determination of a compound with bridging allyl groups is that of the hexamethyl Dewar benzene derivative, $[Pt(C_{12}H_{17})Cl]_2$.³ In this compound, however, the highly substituted and constrained allyl group cannot be considered typical, and it was of interest to determine the structure of a compound containing unsubstituted allyl bridges.

The insolubility of the chloro-complex (II) at first prevented the preparation of suitable crystals and we initially carried out a structure analysis on the acetylacetonato-complex (I), but satisfactory crystals of (II) were later obtained, and a structure determination on this also completed. Preliminary accounts of this work have been published.^{2,4}

EXPERIMENTAL

Acetylacetonato-complex (I)

Crystal Data.—[Pt(C_3H_5)($C_5H_7O_2$)]₂, $C_{16}H_{24}O_4Pt_2$, M =670, Monoclinic, $a = 10.01 \pm 0.01$, $b = 10.00 \pm 0.01$, c =

¹ G. Wilke, B. Bogdanovic, P. Hardt, P. Heimbach, W. Keim, M. Kroner, W. Oberkirch, K. Tanaka, E. Steinrucke, D. Walter, and H. Zimmermann, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1966, 5, 151. 17.29 ± 0.03 Å, $\beta = 100.2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, U = 1704 Å³, $D_{\rm m} =$ 2.59, $\overline{Z} = 4$, $D_c = 2.61$, $\overline{F(000)} = 1232$. Space-group $P2_1/c$. Cu- K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 1.5418$ Å; $\mu(Cu-K_{\alpha}) = 315$ cm^{−1}.

The cell dimensions were determined from zero-level precession photographs taken with Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.7107 Å). Intensities were recorded on equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs of layers 0-7kl, taken with $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, and visual estimation gave 1948 independent reflections. The crystal was a needle of radius ca. 0.003 cm, and no absorption corrections were applied.

The two independent platinum atoms were located from the Patterson synthesis, giving R 28%, and the oxygen and carbon positions were determined from two successive difference Fourier syntheses. Using the program of Smith and Cruickshank for the KDF 9, block-diagonal leastsquares refinement of co-ordinates, isotropic temperature factors, and eight layer scales reduced R to 14.6%, and allowance for anisotropic vibrations for the platinum atoms gave a final R of 11.3%. The weighting scheme used in the final cycles was $w = 1/(25 + F_o + 0.0045 F_o^2 + 0.00005$ F_{o}^{3}). The atomic scattering factors for platinum were taken from ref. 5 (with inclusion of the real part of anomalous scattering) and for carbon and oxygen from ref. 6.

The final co-ordinates and vibration parameters, with

² W. S. McDonald, B. E. Mann, G. Raper, B. L. Shaw, and G. Shaw, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1254.

³ R. Mason, G. B. Robertson, and P. O. Whimp, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 535. ⁴ G. Raper and W. S. McDonald, Chem. Comm., 1970, 655.

⁵ D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, Acta Cryst., 1965, 18, 104.
⁶ International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III,

Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.

their estimated standard deviations are given in Table 1. The observed and calculated structure factors for both compounds (I) and (II) are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20267 (18 pp., 1 microfiche).*

TABLE 1

Acetylacetonato-complex (I): atomic co-ordinates and isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

		1				
Atom	x	у	z	U_{iso}		
Pt(1)	0.3837(2)	0.2186(1)	0.1478(1)	*		
Pt(2)	0.2322(2)	-0.0974(1)	0.1341(1)	*		
O(Ì)	0.261(3)	0.347(2)	0.187(1)	0.043(6)		
O(2)	0.380(3)	0 ∙332(3)	0·047(1)	0·046(6)		
O(3)	0.030(2)	-0.110(2)	0.144(1)	0.035(5)		
O(4)	0.182(3)	-0.146(3)	0·021(1)́	0.049(6)		
C(1)	0.388(4)	0.115(4)	0.245(2)	0·044(8)		
C(2)	0.273(4)	0.023(3)	0.239(2)	0·038(7)		
C(3)	0·290(5)	-0.114(4)	0.258(2)	0.053(10)		
C(4)	0·430(4)	0·079(3)	0.123(2)	0.041(7)		
C(5)	0.454(4)	0.053(3)	0 ∙089(2)	0·037(7)		
C(6)	0.561(5)	0.132(5)	0.122(3)	0.071(13)		
C(7)	0.111(6)	0.540(5)	0·191(3)	0.074(13)		
C(8)	0.212(4)	0.457(4)	0.154(2)	0.045(8)		
C(9)	0.229(5)	0.502(4)	0.083(2)	0.057(10)		
C(10)	0.314(4)	0·436(3)	0.035(2)	0.039(8)		
C(11)	0.318(5)	0.504(5)	-0.048(3)	0.067(12)		
C(12)	-0.202(6)	-0.157(6)	0.116(3)	0.082(14)		
C(13)	-0.058(4)	-0.142(4)	0.096(2)	0.041(8)		
C(14)	-0.055(4)	-0.180(3)	0.014(2)	0.040(8)		
C(15)	0.066(4)	-0.170(4)	-0.015(2)	0.052(9)		
C(16)	0.061(5)	-0.213(4)	-0.099(2)	0.059(10)		
* Anisotronic temperature factors (Å ²).						

Anisotropic temperature factors (A^2) :

Atom	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	$2U_{23}$	$2U_{31}$	$2U_{12}$
Pt(1)	0.038(1)	0.024(1)	0.049(1)	-0.003(1)	0.020(1)	-0.001(1)
Pt(2)	0.037(1)	0.021(1)	0.049(1)	0.004(1)	0.020(1)	-0.002(1)

The temperature factors take the form: $\exp[-8\pi^2 U (\sin \theta / \lambda)^2]$ for the isotropic case, and $\exp[-2\pi^2 (U_{11}h^2a^{*2} + U_{22}k^2b^{*2} + U_{33}l^2c^{*2} + 2U_{23}klb^*c^* + 2U_{31}lhc^*a^* + 2U_{12}hka^*b^*)]$ for the anisotropic case.

Chloro-complex (II)

Crystal Data.—[Pt(C₃H₅)Cl]₄, C₁₂H₂₀Cl₄Pt₄, M = 1086, Triclinic, $a = 13.34 \pm 0.03$, $b = 16.16 \pm 0.03$, $c = 8.33 \pm 0.01$ Å, $\alpha = 90.2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, $\beta = 100.2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 93.2^{\circ} \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, U = 1765 Å³, $D_{\rm m}$ ca. 4, Z = 4, $D_{\rm o} = 4.10$, F(000) = 1888. Space-group PI, μ (Cu- K_{α}) = 650.

The cell dimensions were determined from zero-level precession and Weissenberg photographs (Mo- K_{α} radiation). Visual estimation of Cu- K_{α} Weissenberg photographs of reciprocal lattice levels hk0-7 gave 2975 independent reflections. The irregularly shaped crystal had a maximum linear dimension of ca. 0.006 cm, and no absorption corrections were applied.

The three-dimensional Patterson synthesis showed all the major peaks close to the sections x = 0 and $x = \frac{1}{2}$. If the space-group is PI the asymmetric unit contains eight platinum atoms. The Patterson synthesis was interpreted in terms of two sets of four platinum atoms, the atoms in each set lying at the corners of a square of edge $3 \cdot 2$ Å, and all eight having x co-ordinates close to $\frac{1}{4}$, with the crystallographic centre of symmetry relating these to two more groups of four at $x = \frac{3}{4}$. The presence of all 64 symmetry-independent Pt \cdots Pt vectors in the Patterson map was verified.

A structure-factor calculation based on the eight platinum

* For details of Supplementary Publications see Notice to Authors No. 7 in J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, Issue No. 20.

atoms gave R 38%, reduced to 21% by least-squares refinement of platinum positions and isotropic temperature factors. The eight chlorine atoms were then located on a difference Fourier synthesis, and further least-squares refinement reduced R to 13.8%. A further difference synthesis revealed the 24 carbon atom positions. Finally blockdiagonal least-squares refinement of the co-ordinates and isotropic temperature factors of all non-hydrogen atoms, together with 8 layer scale-factors, gave a final R value at convergence of 12.0%. Scattering factors were as for the previous determination.^{5,6} The weighting scheme in the final cycles was $w = 1/(40 + F_0 + 0.002 F_0^2)$.

The final co-ordinates and vibration parameters, with their estimated standard deviations are given in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Chloro-complex (II): atomic co-ordinates and isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$, with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

		Molecu	ile (1)	
Atom	x	у	z	U
Pt(1)	0.2167(2)	0.1643(2)	0.1408(4)	0.029(1)
Pt(2)	0.2484(2)	0.0487(2)	0.4659(4)	0.031(1)
Pt(3)	0.2415(3)	0.2096(2)	0.6926(4)	0.036(1)
Pt(4)	0.2587(2)	0.3274(2)	0.3771(4)	0.029(1)
Cl(1)	0.3550(15)	0.2637(11)	0.1881(25)	0.040(4)
Cl(2)	0.1190(15)	0.0875(11)	0.6061(25)	0.040(4)
Cl(3)	0.3692(15)	0.1142(12)	0.6977(26)	0.043(5)
Cl(4)	0.1125(14)	0.2836(11)	0.1816(23)	0.035(4)
C(1)	0.299(4)	0.069(3)	0.136(7)	0.010(11)
C(2)	0.359(6)	0.055(4)	0.292(9)	0.037(17)
C(3)	0.355(6)	-0.020(5)	0.382(10)	0.046(20)
C(4)	0.122(9)	0.009(7)	0.255(15)	0.088(35)
C(5)	0.097(6)	0.077(5)	0.189(10)	0.042(19)
C(6)	0.092(6)	0.091(4)	0.018(9)	0.035(17)
C(7)	0.313(6)	0.316(4)	0.719(9)	0.035(17)
C(8)	0.382(8)	0.321(7)	0.585(14)	0.074(29)
C(9)	0.387(7)	0.398(6)	0.519(12)	0.059(24)
C(10)	0.169(6)	0.367(4)	0.506(10)	0.037(17)
C(11)	0.132(6)	0.302(5)	0.610(10)	0.040(18)
C(12)	0.125(6)	0.287(4)	0.760(10)	0.037(17)
		Molecu	ale (2)	
Atom	x	у	z	U_{iso}
Pt(5)	0.2790(2)	0.8285(2)	0.9926(4)	0.029(1)
Pt(6)	0.2610(3)	0.7144(2)	0.6639(4)	0.035(1)
Pt(7)	0.2599(2)	0.5515(2)	0·8876(4)	0.032(1)
Pt(8)	0.2310(2)	0.6658(2)	1·1978(4)	0·031(1)
C1(5)	0.1318(13)	0.7861(10)	1.1002(22)	0.031(4)
C1(6)	0.3862(16)	0.6159(12)	0.7315(26)	0·044(5)
C1(7)	0.1352(15)	0.5942(12)	0.6665(25)	0.041(4)
C1(8)	0.3725(15)	0.7605(11)	1.2365(25)	0.039(4)
C(13)	0.189(5)	0.870(4)	0.780(8)	0.028(15)
C(14)	0.144(5)	0.802(4)	0.688(9)	0.030(15)
C(15)	0.151(6)	0.786(5)	0.530(10)	0.040(18)
C(16)	0.366(5)	0.815(4)	0.693(9)	0.033(16)
C(17)	0.402(6)	0.823(4)	0.881(9)	0.036(17)
C(18)	0.407(6)	0.907(4)	0.945(9)	0.034(17)
C(19)	0.136(9)	0.509(7)	0.997(15)	0.086(34)
C(20)	0.114(7)	0.582(6)	1.093(12)	0.055(23)
C(21)	0.108(8)	0.593(6)	1.255(13)	0.065(26)
C(22)	0.324(6)	0.565(5)	1.256(10)	0.039(18)
C(23)	0.379(6)	0.553(5)	1.114(11)	0.048(21)
C(24)	0.374(7)	0.474(5)	1.029(11)	0.051(21)

DISCUSSION

The molecular structures of (I) and (II) are shown in Figures 1 and 2, which show the atom numbering; Figures 3 and 4 show their respective arrangements of molecules in the unit cells. The dimeric molecule of (I) has no crystallographic symmetry but closely approximates two-fold (C_2) symmetry. Neither of the two



FIGURE 1 Acetylacetonato-complex (I): projection down the non-crystallographic two-fold axis



FIGURE 2 Chloro-complex (II): projection of molecule (1) down a non-crystallographic two-fold axis. The atom numbering of molecule (2) follows the same sequence



FIGURE 3 (I): Projection down the c axis

independent tetrameric molecules of (II) has crystallographic symmetry, but both approximate 222 (D_2) symmetry, and do not differ significantly in their dimensions. In the crystal of (II) molecules pack in layers at $x = \frac{1}{4}$ and $x = \frac{3}{4}$, each layer having approximate pgg symmetry, resulting in the angle α being very close to 90°, but the mutual orientation of different layers is such that the plane symmetry is not preserved in the threedimensional structure.



FIGURE 4 (II): Projection down the *a* axis

The bond lengths and angles for the two compounds are listed in Tables 3 and 4. For (II) the means of chemically equivalent dimensions are also given in Table 4. The equations of some mean planes and dihedral angles are in Table 5.

TABLE 3

Acetylacetonato-complex (I): bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) with estimated standard deviations in parentheses. Pairs of dimensions equivalent under the non-crystallographic two-fold symmetry are given on the same line

Pt(1) - O(1)	1.98(2)	Pt(2) - O(4)	1.99(2)
Pt(1) - O(2)	2.07(2)	Pt(2) - O(3)	2.07(2
Pt(1) - C(1)	1.97(3)	Pt(2) - C(4)	2.02(4
Pt(1) - C(5)	$2 \cdot 13(3)$	Pt(2) - C(2)	2.15(3)
Pt(1)-C(6)	2.09(5)	Pt(2) - C(3)	$2 \cdot 12(4)$
O(1) - C(8)	1.30(4)	$O(\dot{4}) - C(\dot{1}5)$	$1 \cdot 24(5)$
O(2) - C(10)	1.23(4)	O(3) - C(13)	1.14(4)
C(7) - C(8)	1.54(6)	C(15) - C(16)	1.51(6
C(8) - C(9)	1.34(5)	C(14) - C(15)	1.39(6
C(9) - C(10)	1.45(6)	C(13) - C(14)	1.49(5
$\hat{C}(10) - \hat{C}(11)$	1.59(6)	C(12) - C(13)	1.54(7)
C(1) - C(2)	1.46(5)	C(4) - C(5)	1.49(5
C(2) - C(3)	1.41(5)	C(5) - C(6)	1.37(6)
-(-) -(0)	(0)	$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O})$	2 01(0
	$Pt(1) \cdots I$	Pt(2) = 3.495(2)	
O(1) - Pt(1) - O(2)	91(1)	O(3) - Pt(2) - O(4)	89(1)
O(1) - Pt(1) - C(1)	88(1)	O(4) - Pt(2) - C(4)	91(1)
O(2) - Pt(1) - C(1)	179(1)	O(3) - Pt(2) - C(4)	178(1)
Pt(1) = O(1) = C(8)	127(2)	Pt(2) - O(4) - C(15)	126(2)
Pt(1) - O(2) - C(10)	122(2)	Pt(2) - O(3) - C(13)	127(2)
Pt(1)-C(1)-C(2)	112(2)	Pt(2)-C(4)-C(5)	111(2)
Pt(1)-C(6)-C(5)	73(3)	Pt(2) - C(3) - C(2)	72(2)
Pt(1) - C(5) - C(6)	70(2)	Pt(2) - C(2) - C(3)	69(2)
O(1) - C(8) - C(7)	120(3)	O(4) - C(15) - C(16)	114(4)
O(1) - C(8) - C(9)	126(4)	O(4) - C(15) - C(14)	129(4)
O(2) - C(10) - C(11)	114(3)	O(3) - C(13) - C(12)	120(3)
O(2) - C(10) - C(9)	130(3)	O(3) - C(13) - C(14)	129(4)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	123(3)	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	121(3)
$\tilde{C}(7) - \tilde{C}(8) - \tilde{C}(9)$	114(4)	C(14) - C(15) - C(16)	116(4)
C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	124(4)	C(13) - C(14) - C(15)	110(3)
C(9) = C(10) = C(11)	116(3)	C(19) = C(13) = C(14)	119(3)
	110(0)	C(12) - C(14)	112(0)

TABLE 4

Chloro-complex:	distances (Å) a	and angles (°) with
estimated stan	dard deviations	s in parentheses
Molecula (1)		$M_{0} = 10$

Molecul	e (1)	Molecule	(2)
$\operatorname{Pt}(1) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(2)$ $\operatorname{Pt}(3) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(4)$	$3 \cdot 273(4) \\ 3 \cdot 283(4)$	$\operatorname{Pt}(5) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(6)$ $\operatorname{Pt}(7) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(8)$	$3 \cdot 262(4)$ $3 \cdot 262(4)$
Me	ean Pt · · · Pt(di	$-\mu$ -C ₃ H ₅) 3·270(2)	
$\operatorname{Pt}(2) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(3)$ $\operatorname{Pt}(4) \cdots \operatorname{Pt}(1)$ M	3·225(4) 3·248(4) ean Pt · · · Pt(di	$Pt(6) \cdots Pt(7)$ $Pt(8) \cdots Pt(5)$ <i>i-µ-Cl</i>) 3.235(2)	3·230(4) 3·236(4)
Pt(1)-Cl(1) Pt(2)-Cl(2) Pt(3)-Cl(3) Pt(4)-Cl(4)	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 36(2) \\ 2 \cdot 36(2) \\ 2 \cdot 36(2) \\ 2 \cdot 38(2) \end{array}$	Pt(5)-Cl(5) Pt(6)-Cl(6) Pt(7)-Cl(7) Pt(8)-Cl(8)	$2 \cdot 37(2)$ $2 \cdot 37(2)$ $2 \cdot 38(2)$ $2 \cdot 34(2)$
Mea	.n Pt-Cl(trans to	$-\pi - C_3 H_5$) 2.37(1)	
Pt(1)-Cl(4) Pt(2)-Cl(3) Pt(3)-Cl(2) Pt(4)-Cl(1)	$2 \cdot 50(2)$ $2 \cdot 48(2)$ $2 \cdot 51(2)$ $2 \cdot 46(2)$	Pt(5)-Cl(8) Pt(6)-Cl(7) Pt(7)-Cl(6) Pt(8)-Cl(5)	$2 \cdot 48(2)$ $2 \cdot 50(2)$ $2 \cdot 49(2)$ $2 \cdot 47(2)$
Me	an Pt-Cl(trans	to σ -C ₃ H ₅) 2·49(1)	
Pt(1)-C(1) Pt(2)-C(4) Pt(3)-C(7) Pt(4)-C(10)	1.95(5) 2.27(12) 1.91(7) 1.87(8) Mean Pt-C($\begin{array}{c} Pt(5)-C(13)\\ Pt(6)-C(16)\\ Pt(7)-C(19)\\ Pt(8)-C(22)\\ g) 2 \cdot 05(3) \end{array}$	$2 \cdot 09(7)$ $2 \cdot 07(7)$ $2 \cdot 10(12)$ $2 \cdot 11(7)$
		-, (0)	

	TABLE 4	(Continued)	
Molecule	(1)	Molecule (2	.)
Pt(1) - C(5)	2.17(8)	Pt(5) - C(17)	2.03(8)
Pt(2)-C(2)	$2 \cdot 24(7)$	Pt(6) - C(14)	$2 \cdot 20(7)$
Pt(3) - C(11)	2.17(7)	Pt(7) - C(23)	2.24(8)
Pt(4) - C(8)	2.17(11)	Pt(8)–C(20)	2.08(9)
Mea	an Pt–C(π , c	entral C) 2·16(3)	
Pt(1)-C(6)	2.09(7)	Pt(5)-C(18)	2.16(7)
Pt(2) - C(3)	2.07(8)	Pt(6) - C(15)	2.09(7)
Pt(3) - C(12)	$2 \cdot 19(7)$	Pt(7)-C(24)	$2 \cdot 20(9)$
Pt(4) - C(9)	$2 \cdot 16(9)$	Pt(8)-C(21)	2.09(10)
Mean	n Pt−C(π, te	erminal C) 2 ·13(3)	
Cl(1) - Pt(1) - Cl(4)	84·4(6)	Cl(5) - Pt(5) - Cl(8)	84.5(6)
Cl(2) - Pt(2) - Cl(3)	85·7(7)	Cl(6) - Pt(6) - Cl(7)	$85 \cdot 2(7)$
Cl(3) - Pt(3) - Cl(2)	85·1(7)	C1(7) - Pt(7) - C1(6)	85.0(7)
Cl(4) - Pt(4) - Cl(1)	84·7(6)	Cl(8) - Pt(8) - Cl(5)	85·3(6)́
	Mean Cl–P	t-Cl 85·0(2)	
Pt(1)-Cl(1)-Pt(4)	84.9(6)	Pt(5)-Cl(5)-Pt(8)	83.9(6)
Pt(2) - Cl(2) - Pt(3)	82·9(6)	Pt(6) - Cl(6) - Pt(7)	83.3(7)
Pt(3) - Cl(3) - Pt(2)	83·7(6)	Pt(7) - Cl(7) - Pt(6)	82·8(6)
Pt(4) - Cl(4) - Pt(1)	83.5(6)	Pt(8) - Cl(8) - Pt(5)	84·3(6)
	Mean Pt-C	1-Pt = 83.7(2)	
Pt(1) - C(1) - C(2)	111(4)	Pt(5)-C(13)-C(14)	109(5)
Pt(2) - C(4) - C(5)	103(8)	Pt(6) - C(16) - C(17)	104(4)
Pt(3) - C(7) - C(8)	106(5)	Pt(7) - C(19) - C(20)	105(7)
Pt(4)–C(10)–Č(11) 113(5)	Pt(8) - C(22) - C(23)	107(̀5)́
	Mean Pt–	С-С 107(2)	
	1.1. 1.00		

U-C ('single bond')	$\cdot 20(14) - 1 \cdot 57(13)$, mean $1 \cdot 40(4)$
C-C (' double bond ') I	$\cdot 29(11) - 1 \cdot 46(10)$, mean $1 \cdot 40(4)$
CCC 1	$13(8) - 142(7)^{\circ}$, mean $125(3)^{\circ}$

TABLE 5

Equations of mean planes and dihedral angles in the form AX + BY + CZ = D

(a) Acetylacetonato-complex (I): planes referred to unit (Å) axes parallel to a^* , b, and c.

	A	B	С	D
Plane (1): Pt(1), O(1), O(2), C(7)(11)	0.787	0.531	0.314	4.742
Plane (2): Pt(2), O(3), O(4), C(12)(16)	-0.161	0.960	0.230	-1.700

(b) Chloro-complex (II): planes referred to unit (Å) axes parallel to a^* ($a^* \times c$) and c.

Plane (3):				
Pt(1) - (4)	0.997	-0.042	-0.065	2.844
Plane (4):				
Pt(1), Cl(1), Cl(4)	0.128	-0.194	0.972	0.512
Plane (5):				
Pt(2), Cl(2), Cl(3)	0.040	-0.877	0.478	1.179
Plane (6):				
Pt(3), Cl(2), Cl(3)	0.037	0.190	-0.981	
Plane (7):				
Pt(4), Cl(1), Cl(4)	0.058	0.869	-0.492	3.386
Plane (8):			~ ~ - -	
Pt(5)-(8)	0.995	0.070	0.075	3.132
Plane (9):	0.050	0.000	0 500	15 410
Pt(5), Cl(5), Cl(8)	0.072	0.860	0.206	15.418
Plane (10):			0.000	0 = 00
Pt(6), Cl(6), Cl(7)	0.008	+0.101	0.986	6.728
Plane (II):	0.005	0.050	0.401	10 700
Pt(7), Cl(6), Cl(7)	0.032			-10.762
Plane 12):	0.100	0.100	0.070	10 749
Pt(8), C(5), Cl(8)	0.138	0.192	-0.972	-10·742
(c) Dihedral (deg.).				
(1)-(2) 71.9	(6) - (7)	49.5	(10) - (11)	129.8
(4) - (5) = 50.1	(7) - (4)	129.8	(11) - (12)	2) 50.2
(5) - (6) 129.4	(9) - (10)) 50.0	(12) - (9)	´ 130·3
··/ ··/	() (=)	,	. , . ,	

The diallyl bridge between platinum atoms is common to both compounds. Each allyl group is σ-bonded to one platinum and π -bonded to the other, and each platinum forms one σ - and one π -bond to allyl groups. Although the Pt-C and C-C bond lengths show no significant differences between the two compounds, the non-bonded Pt · · · Pt distances differ considerably; in the acetylacetonato-complex Pt \cdots Pt is 3.495(2) Å and in the chloro-complex it is 3.270(2) Å. The lower value for the latter probably results from a folding across the bridge in order to achieve the cyclic structure. The differing $Pt \cdots Pt$ distances are reflected in the dihedral angles defined by the co-ordination planes of the metal atoms (Table 5). In the acetylacetonato-complex the angle between the planes of the two [Pt(acac)] rings is 72° while in the chloride the angle at the diallyl bridge, as defined by the two (Cl₂Pt) planes is 50°. The only other structure determination revealing³ a diallyl bridge is that of $[Pt_2Cl_2(C_{12}H_{17})_2]$ where the $C_{12}H_{17}$ ligand contains both an allyl group which bridges, as in our compounds, and an olefin group which also co-ordinates to the metal. In this compound the $Pt \cdots Pt$ distance is 3.262(2) Å and the dihedral angle 57°.

The differing *trans* influences of σ - and π -bonded allyl may be seen in both compounds. In the acetylacetonato-complex the Pt-O distances *trans* to σ -bonded allyl are each 2.07(2) Å while those *trans* to π -bonded allyl

⁷ L. R. Bateman, P. M. Maitlis, and L. F. Dahl, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, **91**, 7292.

are 1.98(2) and 1.99(2) Å. Similarly in the chlorocomplex the mean Pt-Cl distance *trans* to σ -bonded allyl is 2.49(1) Å and that *trans* to π -bonded allyl is 2.37(1) Å.

As is normally the case, each π -bonded olefin group is approximately perpendicular to the co-ordination plane of its attached platinum atom. Thus in the acetylacetonato-complex the angle between the C(5)-C(6) bond and the normal to the O(1), Pt, O(2) plane is 3.6°, σ ca. 2° and for the C(2)-C(3) bond the angle is 1.4°. The corresponding angles in the chloro-complex range between 1 and 10°, σ ca. 4°.

In addition to the previously mentioned folding of the diallyl bridge, the cyclic structure of (II) also requires that the dichloro-bridges shall depart greatly from planarity. The dihedral angles at the bridging chlorines (Table 5) are all close to 130° (*i.e.* 50° departure from planarity) whilst in most other di- μ -chloro-palladium and -platinum compounds the bridge is planar. Large departures from planarity have been found in some di- μ -chloro-rhodium compounds, notably the dihedral angle of 116° in $[Rh_2Cl_2(CO)(C_6H_{10})_2]_2$ which resembles (II) in having a tetranuclear cyclic structure.⁷

The mean Pt-C distances to σ -bonded carbon, central carbon, and terminal π -bonded carbon are: 1.99(3), 2.14(2), and 2.10(3) Å for the acetylacetonato- and 2.05(3), 2.16(3), and 2.13(3) Å for the chloro-complex.

We thank Drs. B. L. Shaw and G. Shaw for crystals of the complexes.

[1/1319 Received, July 29th, 1971]