# Preparation, Properties, and Crystal Structure of Bis(ethyl carbamate)dinitratodioxouranium(vi) 
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A monomeric complex of formula $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{L}=$ ethyl carbamate) has been synthesized and characterized. The crystal structure has been determined by $X$-ray analysis from three-dimensional counter data, and refined by least-squares to $R 0.076$ for 1369 reflections. Crystals are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / a$, with $a=12 \cdot 176(3)$, $b=8 \cdot 364(3), c=7 \cdot 847(3) \AA, \beta=90 \cdot 42^{\circ}(5)$, and $Z=2$. The linear uranyl group is perpendicular to the equatorial plane in which four oxygen atoms of two nitrate groups and two amidic oxygen atoms of the organic ligands occupy the corners of an irregular hexagon. The crystallographic results are related to i.r. and n.m.r. measurements.

In a preliminary note ${ }^{1}$ the preparation of $a$ number of addition complexes of ethyl carbamate $(\mathrm{L})$ with a variety of uranyl compounds has been reported. The complexes obtained $\left\{\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]\right.$, $\left.\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2} \text { (tropolonate) }\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}\right], \quad\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2} \text { (acetate) }(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{L}\right]_{2}, \quad\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right.$ (oxalate) L$]_{n}$, and $\left.\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2} \text { (phthalate) } \mathrm{L}\right]_{n}\right\}$ showed quite different stoicheiometry, molecular complexity, and co-ordination number depending on the anionic ligands used. In all cases i.r. analysis ${ }^{1}$ seems to indicate coordination through the amidic oxygen, although the spectra are complicated due to the presence of hydrogen bonding in the free ligand and possibly in the complexes. Only the nitrate complex, which is moderately soluble in many solvents, can be obtained as well shaped crystals. In this case it was possible to carry out a complete characterization by i.r. spectroscopy in the solid state and solution, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r., and $X$-ray diffraction.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Materials.-Reagent grade uranyl nitrate hexahydrate and ethyl carbamate were used without further purification.

Preparation of the Complex.-A mixture of uranyl nitrate hexahydrate and a large excess of ethyl carbamate was slowly heated until completely molten ( $70-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The homogeneous thick solution was stirred for 2 h and the solid anhydrous product was obtained after sublimation of the excess of ligand under vacuum. It was washed with dry benzene, dried in vacuo, and recrystallized from benzene as brilliant yellow crystals, m.p. $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Found: C, 12.3; $\mathrm{H}, 2.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.65 ; \mathrm{U}, 41.7 . \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{U}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, \mathbf{1 2 . 6}$; $\mathrm{H}, 2.45 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.8 ; \mathrm{U}, 41.5 \%)$. Uranium was determined as $\mathrm{U}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ by ignition of the complex at $750-800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Physical Measurements.-Molar conductivity at $25^{\circ}$ was measured on $10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$-solutions in chloroform and acetonitrile with an LKB 3216B conductivity bridge. I.r. spectra ( $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 621 i.r. spectrophotometer in Nujol mull, KBr discs, and chloro-
form solution. Room temperature u.v. spectra for chloroform and acetonitrile solutions ( $600-250 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were recorded with an Optica CF4/R spectrophotometer. N.m.r. measurements were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer R 12 instrument for solutions in deuterioacetone. Molecular weight was determined at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for chloroform solution with a Mechrolab 302 osmometer. Some results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

| Some physical data for $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Lambda_{\mathrm{M}} / \mathrm{ohm}^{-1}$ | $\nu_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right) /$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{Cm}^{-1}$ | $M$ | Band maxima/nm |
| $3^{a}$ | $937^{b}$ |  | $483,466,451,436,423,414 \mathrm{sh}$, |
|  |  | $405 \mathrm{sh}, 394 \mathrm{sh}, 382 \mathrm{sh}, 369$, |  |
| $<1^{\circ}$ | $930,95^{\circ}$ | $550^{\circ}$ | $485,468,451,435 \mathrm{sh}, 422 \mathrm{sh}$, |
|  |  |  | $415,405,395 \mathrm{sh}, 383 \mathrm{sh}, 369$, |
|  |  | $358^{\circ}$ |  |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{MeCN}$ solution. ${ }^{b}$ Nujol mull and KBr pellets. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution.

## CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

Crystals are well formed and elongated along the [010] direction.

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{U}, M 572 \cdot 21$, Monoclinic, $a=$ $12 \cdot 176(3), \quad b=8 \cdot 364(3), \quad c=7 \cdot 847(3) \quad \AA, \quad \beta=90 \cdot 42^{\circ}(5)$, $U=799 \AA^{3}, \quad D_{\mathrm{m}}=2.37$ (flotation), $Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=2 \cdot 38$. Space group $P 2_{1} / a$. Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$; $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=54 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A crystal of dimensions ca. $0.02 \times 0.03 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~cm}$ was used for the measurements. From preliminary $X$-ray Weissenberg and precession films approximate unit-cell parameters were obtained, and the space group was determined. Precise values of the unit-cell parameters were derived by the method of least-squares from angle data recorded with the diffractometer.

Intensity Data.-The crystal was mounted with the [010]
${ }^{1}$ L. Cattalini, L. Baracco, S. Degetto, G. Marangoni, L. Maresca, and L. Sindellari, Atti Accad. Peloritana, in the press.
direction parallel to the $\phi$-axis of the goniometer. A total of 1369 independent reflections were collected on a computer controlled Siemens automated diffractometer, by use of the $0-2 \theta$ scan and the five-point measuring procedure. About 280 very weak reflections were considered unobserved and were given zero weight in the subsequent refinement. Intensities were measured up to $\theta_{\max } 25^{\circ}$. No absorption correction was made. Structure factors
convergence was reached at $R 0 \cdot 076$, when no parameter shift was $>0.2 \sigma$. A final three-dimensional difference map was then computed which showed no interpretable features about the positions of the hydrogen atoms.

The final atomic parameters along with their estimated standard deviations are given in Table 2. Bond lengths and angles are listed in Tables 3 and 4. Equations of some relevant planes in the molecule are reported in Table 5.

Table 2
Final atomic parameters $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ of $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]$, with estimated standard deviations *

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{11}$ | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U | 5000 | 5000 | 0 | 346 | 455 | 489 | -65 | -142 | -136 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5031(14) | 6724(26) | 1222(39) | 134 | 438 | 1226 | 25 | -111 | 393 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 3025(15) | 5599(25) | -773(27) | 293 | 572 | 691 | -50 | -351 | 97 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 3244(14) | 4188(25) | 1532(25) | 261 | 607 | 555 | -48 | -11 | 164 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 1589(15) | 4674(35) | 570(28) | 236 | 1213 | 653 | -38 | -83 | 123 |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | 5235(14) | 3495(25) | 2575(21) | 356 | 698 | 241 | $-196$ | $-228$ | 191 |
| O (6) | 5827(14) | 1783(23) | 4560(23) | 383 | 416 | 491 | -55 | -34 | 152 |
| N(1) | 2562(18) | 4815(31) | 445(28) | 379 | 407 | 488 | -13 | $-55$ | -58 |
| N(2) | 478(19) | 1077(33) | 2893(29) | 460 | 618 | 415 | -142 | -228 | 127 |
| C(1) | 5178(19) | 2118(33) | 3198(29) | 243 | 498 | 237 | -21 | $-136$ | 101 |
| C(2) | 6336(22) | 3027(38) | 5000(35) | 374 | 616 | 349 | -84 | -220 | 51 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 7413(26) | 2294(43) | 6314(48) | 489 | 577 | 905 | 59 | -243 | 47 |

* Thermal parameters, $U_{i j}\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{4}\right)$ are defined by: $\exp \left[-2 \pi^{2}\left(U_{11} a^{* 2} h^{2}+U_{22} b^{* 2} k^{2}+U_{33} c^{* 2} l^{2}+2 U_{12} a^{*} b^{*} h k+2 U_{13} a * c^{*} h l+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.2 U_{23} b^{*} c^{*} k l\right)\right]$.
were calculated using scattering factors for neutral atoms taken from ref. 2, and for uranium the real part of the correction for the anomalous scattering was applied. ${ }^{3}$ All calculations were carried out on a CDC 6600 computer with the program system of ref. 4.

Table 3
Intramolecular bond lengths ( $\AA$ )

| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.73(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.19(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 53(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.25(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.53(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1.24(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $2.40(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.36(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.29(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6) \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.48(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.30(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.53(4)$ |

Table 4
Bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $88(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $123(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $91(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $123(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $92(1)$ | $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $144(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $51(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $127(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $65(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $118(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $64(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $114(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $97(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $115(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{U}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $97(1)$ | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $114(2)$ |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{O}\left(5^{1}\right)$ is at $1-x, 1-y,-\mathrm{z}$. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Determination of the Structure.-The unit cell contains two molecules, and the uranium atoms occupy special positions at centres of symmetry. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. The co-ordinates of the atoms with isotropic vibration parameters and one overall scale-factor were then subjected to several cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement. The function minimized was $\sum w\left[\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right]^{2}$ with $w=1$. Refinement was then continued with anisotropic thermal parameters and

[^0]Some intra- and inter-molecular contacts are given in Table 6. Observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20560 (2 p., 1 microfiche).*

Table 5
Equations of best least-squares planes and, in brackets, deviations $(\AA)$ of the relevant atoms from these planes. The equations are in the form $A x+B y+C z=D$, where $x, y$, and $z$ are fractional co-ordinates referred to the crystallographic axes

Plane (1):
$\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{O}(5), \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\mathrm{I}}\right), \mathrm{O}\left(3^{\mathrm{I}}\right), \quad 0.441 \quad 7.087 \quad 4 \cdot 159 \quad 3.764$
$\mathrm{O}\left(5^{\mathrm{I}}\right)$
$\left[\mathrm{O}(2) \quad 0.016, \mathrm{O}(3)-0.016, \mathrm{O}(5) \quad 0.015, \mathrm{O}\left(2^{\mathrm{I}}\right)-0.016\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{O}\left(3^{\mathrm{I}}\right) 0.016, \mathrm{O}\left(5^{\mathrm{I}}\right)-0.016, \mathrm{~N}(1)-0.054, \mathrm{O}(4)-0.144\right]$
Plane (2):
$\begin{array}{llllll}\mathrm{O}(2), \mathrm{O}(3), \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(4) & -0.457 & 6.976 & 4.317 & 3.434\end{array}$
[These atoms are perfectly coplanar]
Plane (3) :
$\mathrm{O}(5), \mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(6), \mathrm{C}(2) \quad 7.973 \quad-3.173 \quad-5.092 \quad 1.774$ $[\mathrm{O}(5)-0.019, \mathrm{C}(1) 0.054, \mathrm{~N}(2)-0.019, \mathrm{O}(6)-0.016, \mathrm{C}(2)$ $0.004, \mathrm{C}(3) 0.193]$

Angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ between the planes

| $(1)-(2)$ | $\mathbf{4 \cdot 5}$ | (2)-(3) | $\mathbf{4 5 \cdot 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(1)-(3)$ | $50 \cdot 0$ |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

Description of the Structure.-A view of the model of the molecule showing the numbering scheme used in the analysis is given in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows a projection of the structure. Eight-co-ordination of the uranium atom is realized by an irregular hexagon of six oxygen atoms bonded in the equatorial plane which is
${ }^{3}$ Ref. 2, p. 216.
${ }^{4}$, J. M. Stewart, F. A. Kundell, and J., C. Baldwin, ' $X$-Ray '70 ' System of Crystallographic Programs.'

Table 6
(a) Intermolecular contacts $<3 \cdot 5 \AA$

| $\mathrm{O}(4) \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(2^{\text {II }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 24$ | $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(2^{1 \mathrm{~V}}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 43$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(6^{\text {III }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 14$ | $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{C}\left(3^{\text {v }}\right.$ ) | $3 \cdot 42$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {II }}\right.$ ) | 3.44 | $\mathrm{C}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {VI }}\right.$ ) | 3.07 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(4^{\text {II }}\right)$ | $3 \cdot 42$ |  |  |
| (b) Some intramolecular contacts $<3.5 \AA$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)$ | $2 \cdot 24$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2 \cdot 18$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \cdots \mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 24$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2 \cdot 19$ | $\mathrm{O}(5) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 60$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(5)$ | $2 \cdot 63$ | $\mathrm{O}(6) \cdots \mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2 \cdot 19$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(5^{1}\right)$ | $2 \cdot 65$ |  |  |

Roman numerals as superscripts refer to atoms in the following equivalent positions relative to the reference molecule at $x, y, z$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
\text { I } 1-x, 1-y,-z & \text { IV } \frac{1}{2}-x,-\frac{1}{2}+y,-z \\
\text { II } \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-z & \text { V } \\
\text { III }-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-y, z-1 \\
1-x,-y, 1-z & \text { VI } \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{3}-y, z
\end{array}
$$

normal to the linear uranyl group. The two nitrate groups, crystallographically equivalent, are co-ordinated as bidentate ligands, and lie approximately in the base plane, together with the two oxygen atoms from the equivalent ethyl carbamate groups so that the symmetry of the immediate co-ordination to the uranium atom is $D_{2 h}$. The six oxygen atoms are coplanar (Table 5).

The Uranyl Group.-The U-O bond lengths of the uranyl group $[1.73(2) \AA]$, which is symmetrical and linear, agree with reported values (see Table 7)..$^{5-10}$

The $v_{a s}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$ is observed at $937 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, very close to that of the compounds listed in Table 7. The Raman

spectra show two strong absorptions at 855 and $862 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to $v_{\text {sym }}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$. Owing to the high symmetry of the
${ }^{5}$ J. I. Bullock and F. W. Parrett, Canad. J. Chem., 1970, 48, 3095.
${ }^{6}$ S. P. McGlyinn, J. K. Smith, and W. C. Neely, J. Chem. Phys., 1961, 35, 105.
${ }^{7}$ J. C. Taylor and M. H. Mueller, Acta Cryst., 1965, 19, 536.
${ }^{8}$ N. Kent Dalley, M. H. Mueller, and S. H. Simonsen, Inorg. Chem., 1971, 323.
${ }^{9}$ B. M. Gatehouse and A. E. Comyns, J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 3965.
${ }^{10}$ P. S. Gentile and L. S. Campisi, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1965, 27, 2291.
${ }^{11}$ B. M. Gatehouse, S. E. Livingstone, and R. S. Nyholm, J. Chem. Soc., 1957, 4222.
compound being examined the $\nu_{\text {sym }}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$ present in the aforementioned compounds, might be absent in the i.r. region; unfortunately the presence of a weak absorption of the ligand at $855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ masks this region.


The Nitrate Group.-Bond lengths and angles in the nitrate group are not different from the normal values, and compare very favourably with those reported for other similar compounds (Table 7).

As expected, the $D_{3 h}$ symmetry of the free nitrate group is lowered to $C_{2 v}$ in the complex because of asymmetry introduced by co-ordination. As shown in Table 5 , the group is perfectly planar and is tilted $4.5^{\circ}$ with respect to the equatorial plane. The i.r. absorptions of the $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$group are in accordance with these results. In fact the single strong absorption, which occurs in the region $1390-1350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for ionic nitrates, is split so that a pair of bands at 1270 and $1290 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and a strong absorption at $1523 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ with a shoulder at $1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are observed; they are characteristic of a chelate nitratogroup according to general diagnostic criteria. ${ }^{9,11-13}$

The characteristic absorptions of some uranyl nitrato complexes are summarized in Table 7.

The Ligand.-The ethyl carbamate ligand is coordinated to the uranium atom through the amidic oxygen atom. The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ (ligand) distance of $2 \cdot 40(2) \AA$ is equal to that found for $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}$ (water) in $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right], 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}[2 \cdot 39(2) \AA] .{ }^{7}$ The $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}(5)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ angle is ca. $10^{\circ}$ lower than the $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{As}$ or $\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}$ angles found in $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}\right)_{2}\right]^{14}$ and in a series of similar complexes. ${ }^{15-17}$ This feature has no immediate explanation but the value of the angle at the oxygen atom
${ }^{12}$ B. M. Gatehouse, S. E. Livingstone, and R. S. Nyholm, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1958, 8, 75.

13 A. B. P. Lever, E. Mantovani, and B. S. Ramaswamy Canad. J. Chem., 1970, 49, 1957.

14 C. Panattoni, R. Graziani, U. Croatto, B. Zarli, and G. Bombieri, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1968, 2, 43.
${ }^{15}$ C. Panattoni, R. Graziani, G. Bandoli, B. Zarli, and G. Bombieri, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 320.
${ }_{16}$ R. Graziani, B. Zarli, A. Cassol, G. Bombieri, E. Forsellini, and E. Tondello, Inorg. Chem., 1970, 9, 2116.
${ }^{17}$ G. Bombieri, U. Croatto, E. Forsellini, B. Zarli, and R. Graziani, J.C.S. Dalton, 1972, 560.
could perhaps be correlated with the steric hindrance of the neutral ligand.

Bond distances and angles in the co-ordinated ethyl carbamate are reported for comparison in Figure 3 together with those of the free ligand, whose structure was determined by the $X$-ray analysis. ${ }^{18}$
very short $\mathrm{O}(6) \cdots \mathrm{N}(2)$ contact of $2 \cdot 19 \AA$ allows for the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds between these two atoms. Some type of interaction could also explain the existence of the asymmetry in the angles at the $C(1)$ atom which in principle should be equivalent.

Co-ordination of ethyl carbamate to the uranium atom

Table 7
Some interatomic distances $(\AA)$, angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), and vibrational frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) of some nitrato-uranyl complexes

| Complex | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{U}-\mathrm{O} \\ \text { (uranyl) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { U-O } \\ & \text { (eq. bond } \\ & \text { with } \\ & \text { neutral } \\ & \text { ligand) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O} \\ \text { (co-ord. } \end{gathered}$ <br> O) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O} \\ \text { (unco-ord. } \\ \mathrm{O} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ <br> (chelat- <br> ing site) | $\mathrm{vas}^{\text {a }}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$ | $\nu_{\text {gym }}\left(\mathrm{UO}_{2}\right)$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{str})}{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{as}}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{str})}{\nu_{\mathrm{sym}}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right]$ | 1-73(2) | 2-40(2) | 1-29(3) | $1 \cdot 19(3)$ | 114(2) | 937 | 855 | 1510sh | 1270 |
|  |  |  | $1 \cdot 30(3)$ |  |  |  | 862 | 1523 | 1290 |
| $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right], 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}{ }^{\text {a-c }}$ | 1.770 | $2 \cdot 397$ | $1 \cdot 260$ | $1 \cdot 207$ | 114.6 | 941 | 864 |  |  |
|  | $1 \cdot 749$ |  | $1 \cdot 271$ | 1-231 | $115 \cdot 6$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 948 | 874 | 1517 | 1284 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1545 | 1308 |
|  |  |  | 1.269 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right]^{\text {d,e }}$ | 1.754 | $2 \cdot 446$ | $1 \cdot 253$ | $1 \cdot 190$ | 114.4 | 951 |  | 1515 | 1280 |
|  | 1.763 | $2 \cdot 457$ | $1 \cdot 275$ | 1.213 | $115 \cdot 3$ |  |  | 1547 | 1311 |
|  |  |  | $1 \cdot 262$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\alpha-\left[\mathrm{UO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\text { urea })_{2}\right]^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 938 |  | 1510 | 1300 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1520 | 1320 |

Atom $C(1)$ appears to be essentially $s p^{2}$ hybridized thus allowing the unhybridized $p$ orbital to join in partial $\pi$ bonding with $\mathrm{O}(5), \mathrm{O}(6)$, and $\mathrm{N}(2)$. The angle of $115^{\circ}$ at the $\mathrm{O}(6)$ atom indicates that this atom is

partially $s p^{2}$ in character and this may account for the approximate planarity of the entire co-ordinated ligand, as well as in the free ligand. The $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ distance is normal. The short $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distance in the complex indicates the possibility of the nitrogen lone-pair participating in some way in the delocalized system of the ligand. This is also supported by the n.m.r. results.

There is no evidence of strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding which is certainly present in the structure of the free ligand, the shortest contacts of this type being of the order of $3 \cdot 14$ and $3 \cdot 24 \AA$ (Table 6). However the
causes a lowering of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency from 1690 to $1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in KBr and from 1740 to $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in chloroform solution. The different absorption frequencies observed for the free ligand in solid and solution must be attributed to the strong hydrogen bonding which is present in the solid. The same is true for the stretching frequencies of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group. In fact the broad absorption observed in the $3360-3570 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ region for the solid is resolved in the spectra of the chloroform solution so that five strong peaks at 3200 , $3280,3350,3450$, and $3525 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ are observed. As far as the stretching frequencies of the $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ group in the complex are concerned, the two strong absorption peaks at 3350 and $3490 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the solid are shifted to 3420 and $3540 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively for chloroform solution, probably owing to weak intermolecular interaction through hydrogen bonding.

The co-ordination seems to have very little influence on the amidic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond length (with respect to that of the free ligand). However, strong co-ordination of the ligand to uranium atom is clearly indicated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. measurements. In fact a large withdrawing of electrons from the entire molecule induced by co-ordination is observed. Free ligand (p.p.m., $15 \%$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{COCD}_{3}$ ): $4.09(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.81(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; complex: 3.17 (br, 2 H ), $5 \cdot 47$ (q, 2 H ), $8 \cdot 61(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
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