Europium-151 Mössbauer Spectroscopic Studies of After-effects of the Electron-capture Decay of Gadolinium-151 and the β-Decay of Samarium-151

By Peter Glentworth * and Alan L. Nichols, Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT

Norman R. Large and Richard J. Bullock, Chemistry Division, A.E.R.E., Harwell

151Eu Mössbauer spectrometry has been used to study the after-effects of electron-capture decay of 151Gd and β-decay of ¹⁵¹Sm in inorganic and organic lanthanide compounds doped with these nuclides. No charge state other than ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} was observed following nuclear decay in lanthanide oxides and fluorides doped with ¹⁵¹Gd or ¹⁵¹Sm. However, both the bivalent state, ¹⁵¹Eu^{II}, and the tervalent state, ¹⁵¹Eu^{III}, were observed following the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped organic lanthanide compounds. The effects of varying temperature, the organic ligand, and the lanthanide matrix element were investigated. The presence of water of crystallisation was not essential for the production and stabilization of ¹⁵¹Eu¹¹ in lanthanide oxalates. The presence of a conjugated organic ligand in ¹⁵¹Gd-doped compounds suppressed the formation of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II}.

THE application of Mössbauer spectrometry to the study of chemical effects of nuclear transformations in solids has two important advantages over conventional radiochemical procedures.¹⁻⁵ First, the technique allows an in situ analysis of the products of the nuclear transformation. Secondly, the chemical state and the nature of the environment of the product atom can be observed ca. 10^{-9} to 10^{-6} s after their formation by the nuclear event, and it should therefore be possible to detect the



FIGURE 1 Simplified decay schemes of ¹⁵¹Gd and ¹⁵¹Sm leading to the production of ¹⁵¹Eu

presence of 'short-lived' species whose lifetimes are of this order.

Mössbauer studies of after-effects of radioactive decay of lanthanide atoms are of particular interest because the chemical effects normally associated with a change in atomic number are minimised when the radioactive decay occurs between adjacent members of the lanthanide series. The close similarity in chemical properties between the radioactive dopant atoms and the matrix lanthanide atoms will, in most compounds, ensure that

¹ J. Danon, Notas De Fisica, 1968, **14**, 239. ² A. Nath, M. P. Klein, W. Kundig, and D. Lictenstein, in Mössbauer Effect Methodology,' ed. I. J. Gruverman, Plenum, New York, 1970, p. 173.

S. I. Bondarevskii, A. N. Murin, and P. P. Seregin, Uspekhi Khim., 1971, 40, 95.

⁴ J. P. Adloff and J. M. Friedt, in 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy and its Applications,' I.A.E.A., Vienna, 1972, p. 301.

the radioactive parent atoms are in a known chemical form.

This paper reports the results of the application of ¹⁵¹Eu Mössbauer spectrometry to the study of the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd and, in less detail, of the β-decay of ¹⁵¹Sm. As shown in Figure 1, each of these processes leads to the formation of the Mössbauer nuclide ¹⁵¹Eu. A study of the ¹⁵¹Gd-¹⁵¹Eu electron-capture decay should provide an interesting comparison with the reported studies of the 57Co-57Fe electron capture decay process.⁵ Europium can exist in two different oxidation states, Eu²⁺ and Eu³⁺, which are stable in the solid state and readily distinguishable by means of their isomer shifts. We have investigated the effects of varying both the anion and the lanthanide matrix atoms associated with a radioactive parent atom. We have also attempted to determine the role of water of crystallisation, and the influence of the organic ligand, on the production of aliovalent charge states (that is, in the present case, charge states different from that of the parent atom).

There have been relatively few Mössbauer studies of after-effects reported for lanthanide elements. Probst ⁶ has reported observing $^{151}\mathrm{Eu}^{2+}$ produced by $\beta\text{-decay}$ of ¹⁵¹Sm³⁺ incorporated in anhydrous LaCl₃. This is an unexpected result since $\beta\text{-decay}$ of $^{151}\text{Sm}^{3+}$ in an anhydrous chloride environment would be expected to produce $^{151}Eu^{4+}$ rather than the bivalent state $^{151}Eu^{2+}$. Khurgin, Ofer, and Rakavy ⁷ have observed the presence of $^{161}\mathrm{Dy}^{4+}$ and $^{161}\mathrm{Dy}^{3+}$ produced by the $\beta\text{-decay}$ of $^{161}\mathrm{Tb}$ incorporated in CeO₂ and there is somewhat doubtful evidence for the production of ¹⁵³Eu⁴⁺ following the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵³Gd incorporated in Gd₂O₃.8 Mössbauer studies of vtterbium oxide excited by

⁵ A. G. Maddock, in 'Radiochemistry,' Volume 8 of 'In-A. G. Maddock, in 'Radiochemistry,' Volume 8 of 'Inorganic Chemistry,' Series One, ed. A. G. Maddock, Butterworths, London, 1972, p. 213.
(a) C. Probst, Diplomarbeit Technischen Hochschule, München, 1966; (b) C. Probst, P. Kienle, K. Luchner, F. E. Wagner, and U. Zahn, Radiochim. Acta, 1972, 18, 19.
B. Khurgin, S. Ofer, and M. Rakavay, Phys. Letters, 1970, 22 4, 210.

^{33,} A, 219.
⁸ E. Steichele, W. Henning, S. Hufner, and P. Kienle, in 'Applications of the Mössbauer Effect in Chemistry and Solid State Physics,' I.A.E.A., Vienna, 1966, p. 233.

bombardment with 3 MeV protons failed to produce evidence for radiation-damage effects or for the production of ' anomalous ' charge species.9

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation of ¹⁵¹Gd- and ¹⁵¹Sm-doped Lanthanide Compounds.-The procedure used for the cyclotron production of ¹⁵¹Gd and the radiochemical techniques used for its isolation have been reported.¹⁰ Approximately 2 mCi quantities of essentially carrier-free ${\rm ^{151}Gd}$ were prepared as required, and used in the preparation of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide compounds.

20 mCi of ¹⁵¹Sm was obtained as Sm₂O₃ (1·16 Ci g⁻¹) from the Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, and used without further purification for the preparation of $^{151}\mathrm{Sm}\text{-doped}$ compounds. The 20 mCi ¹⁵¹Sm activity was associated with $17.24 \text{ mg of } \text{Sm}_2\text{O}_3$, and was not carrier-free.

A number of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide complexes were prepared for use as Mössbauer sources by an appropriate scaling down of the methods previously described.¹¹ In order to obtain Mössbauer sources of suitable activity, 2 mCi of ¹⁵¹Gd was incorporated in ca. 20 mg of a lanthanide complex. Although a detailed chemical analysis of the ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide compounds was not attempted, in every case a sample of the corresponding undoped compound was prepared and analysed. Each ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide compound was mounted on an aluminium source mount, cooled to the appropriate temperature in a helium-flow cryostat, and its Mössbauer emission spectrum determined. On completion of the Mössbauer emissionspectra studies the compound was removed from the source mount and the ¹⁵¹Gd activity recovered, together with the lanthanide carrier. (Organic ligands, when present, were destroyed by heating the compound to 1200 K.) The lanthanide carrier containing the ¹⁵¹Gd activity was then converted into the next compound to be investigated. In this way a series of compounds of a particular lanthanide carrier with various organic ligands was studied. On completion of such a series of experiments the ¹⁵¹Gd was isolated from the lanthanide carrier by ion-exchange methods, a different lanthanide carrier was added to the ¹⁵¹Gd activity, and a further series of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped compounds prepared and studied. ¹⁵¹Smdoped lanthanide compounds were prepared, analysed, and mounted in a similar manner to the ¹⁵¹Gd-doped compounds.

The lanthanide compounds which were doped with ¹⁵¹Gd and used as Mössbauer sources in emission spectra studies are listed in the Tables. The organic compounds were selected on the basis of previous studies 11 which showed that they gave characteristic ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} absorption spectra observable at room temperature.

Our investigations into the after-effects of 151 Sm β -decay have, so far, been limited to 151Sm-doped, Sm2(oxalate)3,-10H₂O. It was necessary to use ca. 10 mCi of ¹⁵¹Sm in the preparation of ¹⁵¹Sm-doped compounds, since, as shown in

Figure 1, the 21.7 keV level of ¹⁵¹Eu is populated by only 2% of the β-decays of ¹⁵¹Sm. For ¹⁵¹Sm of specific activity 1.16 Ci g⁻¹ of Sm, the 10 mCi ¹⁵¹Sm activity was necessarily associated with 8.6 mg of Sm_2O_3 . Therefore, it was not possible to dope a lanthanide compound with ¹⁵¹Sm without at the same time introducing a significant proportion of samarium into the compound. The emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd- and ¹⁵¹Sm-doped compounds were measured within 4 or 5 days of their preparation, and the time taken to measure an emission spectrum was 7 to 10 days. Increasing the storage time to $2\overline{8}$ days did not produce an observable effect on the emission spectra.

The Mössbauer Spectrometer.--Mössbauer spectrometer is based on that described by Cranshaw.¹² A Si(Li) diode was employed for detection of $21.7 \text{ keV} ^{151}\text{Eu} \gamma$ -ray, and emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd- and ¹⁵¹Sm-doped sources were measured relative to a moving room-temperature absorber of isotopically enriched (99.15% 151Eu) EuF3,2H2O. The 151Gd- and ¹⁵¹Sm-doped sources were mounted in a helium-flow cryostat which allowed emission spectra to be determined with the source at temperatures between room temperature and 4.2 K. The velocity range of the spectrometer was calibrated by means of standard absorbers of EuSO4 and ${\rm EuF}_3, 2{\rm H}_2{\rm O}$ and a ${\rm ^{151}SmF}_3, 2{\rm H}_2{\rm O}$ source. The isomer shift difference between the Eu^{III} and Eu^{II} resonances of these two absorbers was taken to be 14.15 mm s⁻¹.¹³

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide compounds have been investigated with the following objectives in mind: (i) to observe the presence of aliovalent charge states of the ¹⁵¹Eu daughter atoms; (ii) to examine the influence of the ligand, including water of crystallisation, on the production of the aliovalent charge states; (iii) to study the effects of temperature on the emission spectra; and (iv) to compare the aftereffects of ¹⁵¹Gd electron-capture decay with those of ¹⁵¹Sm β -decay. In studies of emission spectra the usual roles of source and absorber are transposed, and therefore the sign of the isomer shift will be changed. The isomer shifts reported here are all expressed relative to a ¹⁵¹SmF₃,2H₂O standard source at room temperature. Resonances with isomer shifts in the range 0 to -1.2mm s⁻¹ are characteristic of the Eu^{III} charge state and those with isomer shifts in the range +14.4 to +12.0mm s⁻¹ are characteristic of the Eu^{II} charge state.¹⁴

Two general points should be stressed. First, the percentage resonance absorption of europium resonances observed in an emission spectrum depends not only on the extent of formation of the europium species by the after-effects processes, but also on the recoil-free fractions for emission of the 21.7 keV γ -ray by the ¹⁵¹Eu daughter atoms. In the present work it was not possible to determine the recoil-free fractions of the individual species. Secondly, the velocity range of the transducer

⁹ J. S. Eck, Y. K. Lee, J. C. Walker, and R. R. Stevens, Phys.

Rev., 1967, 156, 246.
 ¹⁰ R. J. Bullock, N. R. Large, I. L. Jenkins, A. G. Wain, P. Glentworth, and D. A. Newton, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1969, 31, 1969.

^{1929.} ¹¹ P. Glentworth, A. L. Nichols, D. A. Newton, N. R. Large, ¹² P. Glentworth, A. L. Nichols, D. A. Newton, N. R. Large, and R. J. Bullock, J.C.S. Dalton, 1973, 546.

¹² T. E. Cranshaw, Nuclear Instr. Methods, 1964, 30, 101.

¹³ G. Gerth, P. Kienle, K. Luchner, Phys. Letters, 1968, 27, A, 557.

¹⁴ N. N. Greenwood and T. C. Gibb, in 'Mössbauer Spectroscopy,' Chapman and Hall, London, 1971, p. 547.

used in this work was +30 to -30 mm s⁻¹ and the possibility that resonances of Eu^I and Eu^{IV} charge states might be outside the velocity range investigated must be borne in mind. The spectral information was obtained from the Eu^{II} and Eu^{III} resonances by fitting single Lorentzian peaks to the experimental data, since, with a velocity range appropriate to the observation of both resonances in the same spectrum, the experimental data did not reveal sufficient detail of the individual peaks to warrant the application of a quadrupole splitting analysis.

151Gd-doped Lanthanide Inorganic Compounds.—The emission spectra of EuF₃,2H₂O and of a number of lanthanide oxides doped with ¹⁵¹Gd were determined (Table 1). In all cases only the expected ¹⁵¹Eu^{III}

TABLE 1

Charge states of ¹⁵¹Eu observed following the electroncapture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped inorganic lanthanide compound

		Resonances observed a			
			151Eu111		
¹⁵¹ Gd-doped compounds	T/K		Isomer shift/mm s ⁻¹	Width/mm s ⁻¹	
CeO ₂	$4 \cdot 2$ 77 295	$5 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 4 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 4 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.69 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.89 \pm 0.07 \\ -0.52 \pm 0.04 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 4 \cdot 1} \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ {\bf 4 \cdot 0} \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ {\bf 2 \cdot 7} \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	
Gd_2O_3	4 ·2	$8{\cdot}5\pm0{\cdot}1$	-0.97 ± 0.02	$3\cdot3\pm0\cdot1$	
Tb ₄ O ₇	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 295$	${ 3 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \over 4 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \over 3 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1 }$	$\begin{array}{c} -1\cdot 20\pm0\cdot 10\\ -0\cdot 93\pm0\cdot 08\\ -0\cdot 90\pm0\cdot 07\end{array}$	$5 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \ 3 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \ 4 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 2$	
Dy ₂ O ₃	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 295$	$\begin{array}{c} 5{\cdot}0 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \\ 4{\cdot}3 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \\ 3{\cdot}6 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \\ 3{\cdot}6 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \\ 3{\cdot}6 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \\ 3{\cdot}6 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1{\cdot}17\pm 0{\cdot}06\\ -1{\cdot}10\pm 0{\cdot}03\\ -1{\cdot}01\pm 0{\cdot}03\\ -0{\cdot}90\pm 0{\cdot}03\\ -0{\cdot}81\pm 0{\cdot}03\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\bf 4}{\cdot}7\pm0{\cdot}1\\ {\bf 4}{\cdot}2\pm0{\cdot}1\\ {\bf 4}{\cdot}3\pm0{\cdot}1\\ {\bf 3}{\cdot}7\pm0{\cdot}1\\ {\bf 2}{\cdot}9\pm0{\cdot}1\end{array}$	
$\mathrm{Er}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3}$	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 295$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 10 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 10 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -1\cdot 24 \pm 0\cdot 02 \\ -1\cdot 30 \pm 0\cdot 02 \\ -0\cdot 89 \pm 0\cdot 03 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} 2{\cdot}7 \pm 0{\cdot}1\ 3{\cdot}3 \pm 0{\cdot}1\ 3{\cdot}4 \pm 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	
ErF_{3} ,2 $\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	$\frac{77}{295}$	${11.7 \pm 0.1 \over 2.6 \pm 0.1}$	$-0.97 \pm 0.01 \\ -0.85 \pm 0.03$	${3 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1} {3 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	

^a No resonance corresponding to charge states other than $^{151}Eu^{III}$ was observed. ^b% Absorption in all the Tables is expressed in terms of the height of an absorption peak as a percentage of the measured baseline.

resonance was observed. The absence of any evidence for the formation of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} is in contrast with the production of both ⁵⁷Fe¹¹ and ⁵⁷Fe¹¹¹ following the electron-capture decay of ⁵⁷Co in oxides ^{15,16} and hydrated fluorides.17,18

It is worth noting that we did not observe evidence for the formation of ¹⁵¹Eu^{IV} resulting from the electroncapture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd in CeO₂ or Tb₄O₇. This contrasts with the reported identification of ¹⁶¹Dy^{IV} following the β -decay of ¹⁶¹Tb in CeO₂ at 4.2 K.⁷

¹⁵¹Gd-doped Lanthanide Organic Compounds.---Emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide organic compounds were investigated with the source at temperatures between 295 and $4 \cdot 2$ K (see Tables 2 and 3).

¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide oxalates. The results of our

¹⁶ V. G. Bhide and G. K. Shenoy, Phys. Rev., 1966, 143, 309.

emission spectra studies for a series of ¹⁵¹Gd doped lanthanide oxalates are in Table 2. At and below 77 K all the emission spectra consisted of two resonances which have been unambiguously assigned to ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} and ¹⁵¹Eu¹¹. The emission spectra were little affected by the particular lanthanide element which was used to form the ¹⁵¹Gd-doped oxalate. However, the line-widths of the Eu^{II} resonances appear to increase as the difference in atomic number between ¹⁵¹Gd and the matrix lanthanide increases. This tendency, which is detectable at both 4.2 and 77 K, implies that the degree of variation in the environment of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms increases with increasing difference in radius between the ¹⁵¹Gd³⁺ and the host lanthanide. The percentage absorption of both the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} and ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} resonances increased with decrease in temperature. For comparison



FIGURE 2 Mössbauer spectrum of a $^{151}\text{Gd-doped}$ $\text{Gd}_2(\text{C}_2\text{O}_4)_3, 10\text{H}_2\text{O}$ source at 4.2 K and a $^{151}\text{EuF}_3,\text{SH}_2\text{O}$ absorber at room temperature. The light line represents the fitted spectrum and the heavy lines represent the individual component peaks

the percentage absorption was determined as the ratio of the measured peak height to the observed baseline with no background correction. The largest percentage resonant effect for the production of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms was observed for ¹⁵¹Gd-doped Gd₂(C₂O₄)₃, 10H₂O at 4.2 K. The spectrum is in Figure 2.

In ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide oxalates the dopant atoms could occupy either normal lattice positions, as ¹⁵¹GdLn- $(C_2O_4)_3, xH_2O$, or interstitial sites in the $Ln_2(C_2O_4)_3, xH_2O$ matrix, although the former appears more probable. For the case of ¹⁵¹Gd dopant atoms in a $Gd_2(C_2O_4)_{3,-}$ 10H₂O matrix we know that essentially all the ¹⁵¹Gd atoms will occupy normal lattice positions. It is likely

¹⁵ W. Triftshauser and P. P. Craig, Phys. Rev., 1967, 162, 274.

¹⁷ J. M. Friedt and J. P. Adloff, Compt. rend., 1969, 268, C. 1342. ¹⁸ J. M. Friedt and J. P. Adloff, Inorg. Nuclear Chem. Letters,

^{1969,} **5**, 163.

TABLE 2

Charge states of ¹⁵¹Eu observed following the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide oxalates

Resonances	observed
------------	----------

			151Eu111			151 _{Еи} п	
¹⁵¹ Gd-doped compounds	T/K	Absorption (%)	Isomer shift mm s ⁻¹	Width mm s ⁻¹	Absorption (%)	Isomer shift mm s ⁻¹	Width mm s ⁻¹
La ₂ (C ₂ O ₄) ₃ ,9H ₂ O	4.2 8 77 295	$3.1 \pm 0.1 \\ 3.4 \pm 0.1 \\ 1.6 \pm 0.1$	-0.39 ± 0.03 -0.49 ± 0.04 -0.28 ± 0.06 Not observed	$3.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 3.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 3.8 \pm 0.15$	$0.9 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.9 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1$	$+14.18 \pm 0.18 + 13.71 \pm 0.30 + 14.15 \pm 0.39$ Not observed	$12.6 \pm 0.5 \\ 12.8 \pm 0.8 \\ 11.3 \pm 1.0$
$\operatorname{Ce}_2(\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{O}_4)_3, 9\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O}$	$4 \cdot 2 \\77 \\295$	$egin{array}{cccc} 1\!\cdot\!5 \pm 0\!\cdot\!1 \ 1\!\cdot\!2 \pm 0\!\cdot\!1 \ 0\!\cdot\!3 \pm 0\!\cdot\!1 \ 0\!\cdot\!3 \pm 0\!\cdot\!1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0{\cdot}63\pm0{\cdot}04\\ -0{\cdot}34\pm0{\cdot}17\\ -0{\cdot}10\pm0{\cdot}40\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8{\cdot}3 \pm 0{\cdot}2 \\ {\bf 5}{\cdot}0 \pm 0{\cdot}4 \\ {\bf 5}{\cdot}2 \pm 1{\cdot}0 \end{array}$	${0 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \over 0 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	$egin{array}{l} +12{\cdot}60\pm 0{\cdot}90\ +12{\cdot}10\pm 1{\cdot}01\ \mathrm{Not}\ \mathrm{observed} \end{array}$	${11 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \over 10 \cdot 8 \pm 2 \cdot 0}$
$\mathrm{Sm}_2(\mathrm{C_2O_4)_3l0H_2O}$	4·2 77 295	${\begin{array}{c} 2{\cdot}8\pm0{\cdot}1\ 1{\cdot}8\pm0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}4\pm0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}4\pm0{\cdot}1\ \end{array}}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.85 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.56 \pm 0.06 \\ -0.63 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3{\cdot}6\pm0{\cdot}1\ 3{\cdot}2\pm0{\cdot}2\ 3{\cdot}1\pm0{\cdot}4 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{ccc} 1{\cdot}0\pm 0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}5\pm 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{l} +13\cdot 24 \pm 0\cdot 22 \ +12\cdot 33 \pm 0\cdot 46 \ \mathrm{Not\ observed} \end{array}$	$9 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 6 \\ 13 \cdot 0 \pm 1 \cdot 2$
$^{153}\mathrm{Eu}_{2}(\mathrm{C_{2}O_{4}})_{3},10\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	$\frac{77}{295}$	$egin{array}{c} 2{\cdot}1\ \pm\ 0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}2\ \pm\ 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	${-0.42 \pm 0.04 \atop -0.30 \pm 0.19}$	$egin{array}{c} 3{\cdot}4\pm0{\cdot}1\ 5{\cdot}1\pm0{\cdot}6 \end{array}$	0.3 ± 0.1	$+13\cdot32\pm0\cdot56\ \mathrm{Not\ observed}$	11.7 ± 1.4
$\mathrm{Gd}_2(\mathrm{C_2O_4})_3, 10\mathrm{H_2O}$	$4 \cdot 2 \\77 \\295$	$egin{array}{c} 3\cdot1\pm0\cdot1\ 1\cdot2\pm0\cdot1\ 1\cdot2\pm0\cdot1 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} -0.13 \pm 0.04 \ -0.10 \pm 0.07 \ \mathrm{Not\ observed} \end{array}$	$egin{array}{rl} 3\cdot 7 \pm 0\cdot 1 \ 3\cdot 1 \pm 0\cdot 2 \end{array}$	${2 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \over 0 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	$+13.03 \pm 0.07 \ +13.26 \pm 0.26 \ \mathrm{Not} \ \mathrm{observed}$	${\begin{array}{c} 6{\cdot}6\pm 0{\cdot}2\ 7{\cdot}2\pm 0{\cdot}6 \end{array}}$
$\mathrm{Gd}_2(\mathrm{C}_2\mathrm{O}_4)_3(\mathrm{anhydrous})$	$4 \cdot 2$	$3\cdot 2\pm 0\cdot 1$	-0.52 ± 0.05	3.7 ± 0.1	$2{\cdot}5\pm0{\cdot}1$	$+13\cdot28\pm0\cdot08$	$6{\cdot}3\pm0{\cdot}2$
$\mathrm{Tb}_2(\mathrm{C_2O_4})_3, \mathbf{10H_2O}$	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 295$	${\begin{array}{c} 2{\cdot}4\pm 0{\cdot}1\ 1{\cdot}5\pm 0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}4\pm 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.64 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.70 \pm 0.08 \\ -0.22 \pm 0.22 \end{array}$	${3\cdot5\pm0\cdot1\over 3\cdot2\pm0\cdot2\ 2\cdot4\pm0\cdot5}$	$egin{array}{c} 1{\cdot}0\pm0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}6\pm0{\cdot}1 \ 0{\cdot}0 \ \pm 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	$+13.13 \pm 0.15 \ +13.56 \pm 0.29 \ m Not observed$	$egin{array}{c} 8\cdot9\pm0\cdot4\ 6\cdot6\pm0\cdot7\end{array}$
$Dy_3(C_2O_4)_3, 10H_2O$	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 295$	$egin{array}{c} 1\cdot 7 \pm 0\cdot 1 \\ 1\cdot 1 \pm 0\cdot 1 \\ 0\cdot 3 \pm 0\cdot 1 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} -0.72 \pm 0.1 \ -0.30 \pm 0.1 \ -0.21 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 5 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 5 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$+12\cdot80\pm0\cdot4\+12\cdot84\pm0\cdot21$ Not observed	${9 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \over 7 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 5}$
$\mathrm{Er}_{2}(\mathrm{C}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{4})_{3},10\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	$\begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 2 \\ 20 \\ 40 \\ 60 \\ 77 \\ 100 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 295 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.75\pm 0.03\\ -0.78\pm 0.04\\ -0.63\pm 0.04\\ -0.80\pm 0.06\\ -0.44\pm 0.05\\ -0.50\pm 0.05\\ -0.25\pm 0.09\\ -0.30\pm 0.17\\ -0.34\pm 0.05\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ 4 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ 2 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \\ 3 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 4 \\ 4 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +13\cdot37\pm0\cdot11\\ +13\cdot03\pm0\cdot14\\ +13\cdot30\pm0\cdot13\\ +14\cdot04\pm0\cdot22\\ +13\cdot84\pm0\cdot20\\ +13\cdot54\pm0\cdot25\\ +14\cdot05\pm0\cdot46\\ +13\cdot71\pm1\cdot50\\ +13\cdot48\pm0\cdot40\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 9 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \\ 7 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \\ 7 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \\ 8 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 5 \\ 9 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 6 \\ 8 \cdot 1 \pm 0 \cdot 6 \\ 11 \cdot 1 \pm 1 \cdot 2 \\ 9 \cdot 4 \pm 3 \cdot 0 \\ 14 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 8 \end{array}$
$\mathrm{Tm}_2(\mathrm{C_2O_4})_3, \mathrm{6H_2O}$	$4 \cdot 2 \\ 77 \\ 295$	$egin{array}{c} 4{\cdot}0\pm0{\cdot}1\ 2{\cdot}1\pm0{\cdot}1\ 0{\cdot}4\pm0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0{\cdot}51\pm 0{\cdot}03\\ -0{\cdot}48\pm 0{\cdot}06\\ -0{\cdot}84\pm 0{\cdot}32\end{array}$	${ 3\cdot 5 \pm 0\cdot 1 \over 4\cdot 0 \pm 0\cdot 1 \over 2\cdot 4 \pm 0\cdot 8 }$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.4 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	$+13.37 \pm 0.28 \ +12.60 \pm 0.44 \ \mathrm{Not} \ \mathrm{observed}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 7 \\ 12 \cdot 6 \pm 1 \cdot 2 \end{array}$
$Yb_2(C_2O_4)_3, 10H_2O$	$4 \cdot 2 \\77 \\295$	${3 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \over 2 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	$egin{array}{l} -0.68\pm0.04\ -0.25\pm0.05\ \mathrm{Not\ observed} \end{array}$	${3 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 1} {3 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1\cdot4\pm0\cdot1\\ 0\cdot6\pm0\cdot1\end{array}$	$+14.36 \pm 0.18 \ +13.83 \pm 0.30 \ \mathrm{Not\ observed}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 4 \\ 7 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 7 \end{array}$
$Lu_2(C_2O_4)_3, 6H_2O$	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \cdot 2 \\ 10 \\ 20 \\ 77 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 295 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 5 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 2 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 9 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 1 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.33 \pm 0.03 \\ -0.39 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.43 \pm 0.04 \\ -0.30 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.43 \pm 0.05 \\ -0.43 \pm 0.14 \\ \text{Not observed} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 6 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 5 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 5 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 3 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +13\cdot67\pm0\cdot25\\ +14\cdot00\pm0\cdot03\\ +13\cdot81\pm0\cdot38\\ +13\cdot70\pm0\cdot33\\ +13\cdot40\pm0\cdot56\\ +13\cdot78\pm1\cdot10\\ \mathrm{Not\ observed} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 13{\cdot}0 \pm 0{\cdot}6\\ 11{\cdot}2 \pm 0{\cdot}8\\ 9{\cdot}9 \pm 1{\cdot}0\\ 10{\cdot}0 \pm 0{\cdot}9\\ 10{\cdot}0 \pm 1{\cdot}4\\ 11{\cdot}2 \pm 2{\cdot}8 \end{array}$

TABLE 3

Charge states of ¹⁵¹Eu observed at 77 K following the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped organic lanthanide compounds

	Resonances observed					
	151Eum			¹⁵¹ Eu ^{II}		
¹⁵¹ Gd doped compounds	Absorption	Isomer shift mm s ⁻¹	$\frac{\text{Width}}{\text{mm s}^{-1}}$	Absorption	$\frac{\text{Isomer shift}}{\text{mm s}^{-1}}$	Width mm s ⁻¹
$H[Ce(dcta)], 4H_2O$ $H[Fr(dcta)] 4H_2O$	1.4 ± 0.1 2.7 ± 0.1	$-0.60 \pm 0.15 \\ -0.61 \pm 0.02$	$rac{4 \cdot 6 \pm 0 \cdot 4}{3 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 1}$	$0.2 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.3 \pm 0.1$	$^{+11\cdot 10}_{+12\cdot 56} {}^{\pm 2\cdot 85}_{-12\cdot 56}$	${16\cdot 5 \pm 6\cdot 1 \over 8\cdot 3 + 0\cdot 6}$
$H[Er(edta)], 3H_2O$ $Er(Ac \cdot CH \cdot Ac), 3H_2O$	$2 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 1 \\ 3 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 1$	$-0.50 \pm 0.01 - 0.59 \pm 0.02$	$3 \cdot 8 \pm 0 \cdot 1$ $3 \cdot 4 \pm 0 \cdot 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 2 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \ \pm \ 0 \cdot 1 \end{array}$	$^{+13\cdot30\pm0\cdot13}_{+12\cdot20\pm0\cdot19}$	$egin{array}{c} 10{\cdot}1 \stackrel{+}{\pm} 0{\cdot}3 \\ 6{\cdot}3 \stackrel{+}{\pm} 0{\cdot}3 \end{array}$
$Er_2(CH_2 \cdot C_2O_4)_3, 5H_2O$ Ce(phen) ₂ Cl ₂ , 2H ₂ O	$\begin{array}{c} 2{\cdot}0 {\pm} 0{\cdot}1 \\ 3{\cdot}4 + 0{\cdot}1 \end{array}$	$-0.55 \pm 0.01 \\ -0.58 \pm 0.09$	$egin{array}{c} 4\cdot 3 \stackrel{ op}{\pm} 0\cdot 1 \ 3\cdot 7 \stackrel{ op}{\pm} 0\cdot 2 \end{array}$	0.7 ± 0.1	$+13.70\pm0.05$ Not observed	8.5 ± 0.1
$Dy(phen)_{2}Cl_{3}2H_{2}O$ Ce(tropolonate),	$egin{array}{c} 3\cdot 4 \stackrel{-}{\pm} 0\cdot 2 \ 1\cdot 2 + 0\cdot 1 \end{array}$	${-0.52 \pm 0.11 \atop -1.00 \pm 0.20}$	${3\cdot 5\pm0\cdot 3\over 3\cdot 4\pm0\cdot 4}$		Not observed Not observed	

 $Ce(tropolonate)_{3}$ 1.2 ± 0.1 -1.00 ± 0.20 3.4 ± 0.4 hot observed edta = ethylenediamine-NNN'N'-tetra-acetate; dcta = 1,2-diaminocyclohexane-NNN'N'-tetra-acetate; $CH_{2}\cdot C_{2}O_{4}$ = malonate; Ac·CH·Ac = acetylacetonate; phen = 1,10-phenanthroline. that the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms produced by the radiolysis mechanism (see below) will have a greater probability of detection if the ¹⁵¹Gd parent atom initially occupies a normal lattice site.

The line-width of a normal Eu^{II} peak obtained for a europus oxalate monohydrate absorber at 77 K and a $^{151}\text{Gd}_2\text{O}_3$ source at room temperature is 5.1 ± 0.15 mm s^{-1.19} Thus, the line-widths of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} resonances of the emission spectra are a factor of two greater than the normal absorption peak-widths. This is illustrated in Figure 3 for the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} peak of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped La₂-(C₂O₄)₃,9H₂O at 4.2 K, which is broad and asymmetric.



FIGURE 3 Mössbauer spectrum of a $^{151}\text{Gd-doped}$ La $_2(C_2O_4)_3,-9H_2O$ source at 4.2 K and a $^{151}\text{EuF}_3,2H_2O$ absorber at room temperature

Ehnholm et al.²⁰ investigated the absorption spectra of europous oxalate and found that quadrupole splitting could not be detected above 1.9 K for EuC_2O_4 , and 2.8 Kfor EuC₂O₄,H₂O. The large width of the observed ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} resonance cannot therefore be explained solely by incipient quadrupole splitting, and it must be concluded that some at least of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms are not in the normal lattice sites to be expected for Eu^{II} in europous oxalate. Therefore it is likely that the broad ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} resonances are due to the stabilisation of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms in a number of different chemical environments before the emission of the 21.7 keV γ -ray. This non-uniformity of the chemical environment in the immediate vicinity of the ¹⁵¹Eu daughter atoms is due to the random nature of the radiolysis initiated by electroncapture decay.

The role of water of crystallisation in the after-effects processes has been examined. Table 2 shows a close similarity between the emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped Gd₂(C₂O₄)₃,10H₂O and anhydrous Gd₂(C₂O₄)₃. There-¹⁹ P. Glentworth, A. L. Nichols, N. R. Large, and R. J. Bullock, Chem. Comm., 1971, 206.

fore, for the conditions examined, water of crystallisation appears to exert little influence on the fate of the ¹⁵¹Eu daughter atoms. This contrasts with the findings of Friedt and Asch²¹ for ⁵⁷Co-doped cobalt oxalates.

The formation of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} and ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} following electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd in lanthanide oxalates can be explained as follows. A high positive charge on the daughter atoms results from the Auger cascade which follows the electron-capture process and this charge is very rapidly transferred to the whole molecule. The movement of electrons leads to excitation of the ligand groups, and in some cases the parent molecule may suffer a coulombic explosion as a result of repulsion between the distributed positive charges. Moreover radiolysis of the parent or surrounding molecules may be produced by the Auger electrons. All these processes are complete in ca. 10⁻¹² s and lead to the formation of radiolvsis products, in particular the radical ion $C_2O_4^{-*}$. It has been suggested ²² that the radical ion $C_2O_4^{-}$ is a reactive reducing species capable of reducing many metals to lower valence states [reaction (1)]. We therefore assume

$$\mathbf{M}^{n+} + \mathbf{C}_2 \mathbf{O}_4^{-\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(n-1)+} + 2\mathbf{CO}_2 \qquad (1)$$

that the $C_2O_4^{-}$ radical ion will, in some proportion of events, bring about reduction of ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms to $^{151}Eu^{II}$ before the 21.7 keV γ -ray is emitted.

The widths and isomer shifts of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} resonances are consistent with the view that the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms are present in a normal oxalate lattice. However, the low sensitivity of the isomer shift of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} resonance to its chemical environment makes this a tentative assumption. It is therefore not profitable to speculate on the mechanism which might be responsible for returning ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms to a normal oxalate lattice environment.

We are unable to estimate what fraction of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} and ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} daughter atoms emit the 21.7 keV y-ray in a recoil-free manner. On the basis of the above mechanism it is probable that a significant fraction of the ¹⁵¹Eu daughter atoms are present within localised zones of radiation damage and thus are not detected because the 21.7 keV γ -ray is not emitted recoil-free. It is also probable that the recoil-free fractions are not the same for the ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} and the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms.

¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide multidentate complexes. The emission spectra data for a number of ¹⁵¹Gd doped complexes of cerium, erbium, and dysprosium, with multidentate organic ligands are in Table 3. The ¹⁵¹Gddoped cerium and erbium complexes with the nonconjugated ligands edta, dcta, acetylacetonate, and malonate, all at 77 K, gave emission spectra which consisted of ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} and ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} resonances and which were similar to the emission spectra obtained with ¹⁵¹Gddoped oxalates. These results imply that in the nonconjugated ligand complexes investigated, radiolysis

22 I. G. Draganić and O. Gal, Radiation Res. Rev., 1971, 3, 167.

G. J. Ehnholm, T. E. Katila, O. V. Lounasmaa, P. Reivari, G. M. Kalvius, and G. K. Shenoy, Z. Physik, 1970, 235, 289.
 J. M. Friedt and L. Asch, Radiochim. Acta, 1969, 12, 208.

of the complex has occurred resulting in the production of reducing radicals which then subsequently reduce some of the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms to ¹⁵¹Eu^{II}.

The ¹⁵¹Gd-doped conjugated ligand complexes Ce-(phen)₂Cl₃,2H₂O, Ce(tropolonate)₃, and Dy(phen)₂Cl₃,-2H₂O gave emission spectra at 77 K which consisted of the ¹⁵¹Eu¹¹¹ resonance only (Table 3). The influence of conjugated ligands on the aliovalent charge states of ⁵⁷Fe produced by electron-capture decay of ⁵⁷Co has been investigated by Nath et al.^{2,23} The mechanism suggested by Nath for the after-effects of the $\rm ^{57}Co-^{57}Fe$ electron-capture decay assumed that the Auger electrons lost by the ⁵⁷FeM⁺ daughter atoms were accepted by the π -bonded ligand with little decomposition and very rapidly returned to the ${}^{57}\text{Fe}^{n+}$ atom, neutralising its high positive charge. The neutralisation of the positive charge on the ⁵⁷Feⁿ⁺ daughter atoms must take place very rapidly in order to prevent coulombic disruption of the ligand.

A mechanism similar to that suggested by Nath for the ⁵⁷Co-⁵⁷Fe electron-capture decay process may be responsible for the absence of ¹⁵¹Eu^{II} from the emission spectra of ¹⁵¹Gd-doped lanthanide conjugated complexes, but two other factors may also be important. First, it is unlikely that the conjugated ligand will entirely escape radiolysis, but in the conjugated ligand systems reactive radiolysis products may undergo rapid electrontransfer reactions with the undamaged conjugated ligand groups of surrounding molecules before they can react with the ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms. Secondly, ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} is a more difficult species to reduce to the bivalent state than ⁵⁷Fe^{III}, and it may be that the radiolysis products resulting from damage of the conjugated ligands are not able to donate an electron to ¹⁵¹Eu¹¹¹.

 β -Decay of ¹⁵¹Sm-doped Sm₂(C₂O₄)₃,10H₂O.—The investigation of the after-effects of β -decay of ¹⁵¹Sm was of a preliminary nature, and was confined to an examination of Sm₂(C₂O₄)₃,10H₂O doped with 10 mCi of ¹⁵¹Sm. The emission spectrum of this source at 77 K consisted of two resonances (Table 4). The resonance with an

TABLE 4

	Isomer shift	Width
Absorption (%)	mm s ⁻¹	mm s ⁻¹
19.5 ± 0.1	-0.32 ± 0.1	$3\cdot2\pm0\cdot1$
0.5 ± 0.1	$+15\cdot2\pm0\cdot5$	$6{\cdot}5\pm0{\cdot}1$

isomer shift of -0.32 mm s⁻¹ corresponds to ¹⁵¹Eu^{III}. We expect ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} to be observed at $\pm 13.0 \pm 1.0$ mm s⁻¹ and the peak at ± 15.2 mm s⁻¹ is outside this range. However, Figure 4 shows that the resonance absorption of this peak is low, and there is a greater uncertainty in the isomer shift than is indicated by the computed error. (The apparent precision is a consequence of attempting to fit a single Lorentzian peak to the experimental data.) It therefore seems probable that this resonance is due to ¹⁵¹Eu^{III} daughter atoms. This

²³ A. Nath, M. P. Klein, W. Kundig, and D. Lichtenstein, Radiation Effects, 1970, 2, 211.

observation of a small resonance due to ${}^{151}Eu^{II}$ species in $Sm_2(C_2O_4)_3, 10H_2O$ sources is in contrast with the results of Probst *et al.*⁶ who did not observe such a resonance at temperatures down to $4\cdot 2$ K.

The very much smaller ratio of the percentage resonance absorption of $^{151}Eu^{II}$ to $^{151}Eu^{II}$ following β-decay of 151 Sm, compared with that following electron-capture decay of 151 Gd in oxalates, arises from the small degree of radiolysis of the oxalate matrix produced by the β-decay process when compared with the Auger cascade radiolysis produced by electron-capture decay of the parent atom.²⁴ Within the velocity range investigated, we did not observe any evidence for the $^{151}Eu^{IV}$ charge state.

Conclusions.—Mössbauer spectrometry can be used to study the after-effects of radioactive decay in solids.



FIGURE 4 Mössbauer spectrum of a $^{151}{\rm Sm}\mbox{-doped}\ {\rm Sm}_2({\rm C}_2{\rm O}_4)_3, -10{\rm H}_2{\rm O}$ source at 77 K and a 151 Eu_2O_3 absorber at room temperature

Aliovalent charge species have been observed following the electron-capture decay of ¹⁵¹Gd in doped lanthanide oxalates and multidentate ligand complexes, but not in complexes with conjugated ligands, nor in inorganic compounds. Similar species have been observed following the β -decay of ¹⁵¹Sm in doped lanthanide oxalates. The results reported here for the ¹⁵¹Gd electron-capture decay differ in two important respects from published work on the ⁵⁷Co electron-capture decay process. First, we did not obtain evidence for the production of charge states with a charge greater than the parent atom. Secondly, water of crystallisation did not play a major role in the after-effects mechanism.

These results demonstrate the need for caution in extrapolating the results of the ⁵⁷Co-⁵⁷Fe after-effects studies to other electron-capture decay processes.

[3/962 Received, 14th May, 1973]

²⁴ S. Wexler, in 'Actions Chimiques et Biologiques des Radiations, 'ed. M. Haissinsky, Masson, Paris, 1965, vol. 7, p. 105.