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#### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structure of the title compound has been determined from three-dimensional singlecrystal $X$-ray diffraction data measured by counter techniques. Crystals are monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / a$, with $Z=4$ in a unit cell of dimensions: $a=15 \cdot 735(10), b=12 \cdot 885(5), c=9 \cdot 343(4) \AA$, and $\beta=111 \cdot 95(4)^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods to $R 3.3 \%$ for 3325 non-zero independent reflections. The co-ordination sphere of the molybdenum atom in each molecule consists of one nitrogen from each of the three pyrazolyl rings of the pyrazolylborate ligand, two carbon atoms from the $\sigma$-bonded carbonyl groups, and a $\pi-2$-methylallyl group.


Among the many pyrazolylborato-molybdenum compounds whose syntheses and physical properties have been reported, ${ }^{1-5}$ three containing a multicentre-bonded group have recently been subjected to $X$-ray structure investigation. $\quad \pi$-Allyldicarbonyl[dihydrobis-(3,5-di-methyl-1-pyrazolyl) borato]molybdenum ${ }^{6}$ and dicarbon-ylcycloheptatrienyl[dihydrobis-(3,5-dimethyl-1-pyrazolyl)borato]molybdenum, ${ }^{7}$ which involve bidentate bispyrazolylborate ligands, each have their molybdenum atom in a slightly distorted octahedral environment through co-ordination to two carbonyl groups, two nitrogen atoms from the pyrazolylborate ligand, a twoelectron, three-centre bond, and an interaction with a proton on the boron atom. Each has a very short $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{B}$ distance and, as a consequence of this and the proton involvement, a chelate ring in strained boat form. The structure of $\pi$-cyclopentadienyltetrakis-(1-pyrazolyl)boratomolybdenum has recently been the subject of a preliminary ${ }^{8}$ and a detailed ${ }^{9}$ study. In this compound, the molybdenum atom is co-ordinated to two of the four pyrazolyl rings of tetrakis(1-pyrazolyl)borate, to two carbonyl groups, and to the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ ring through a fourelectron, five-centre bond. There is a high degree of crowding around the molybdenum atom, as evidenced by the many non-bonded distances to this atom of $<3 \AA$ and by the relatively large degree of tilt of the cyclopentadienyl ring. ${ }^{9}$ This present study of dicarbonyl[hydro-tris(1-pyrazolyl)borato]- $\pi$-(methylallyl)molybdenum ${ }^{3}$ was undertaken to provide information about a molyb-denum-pyrazolylborate compound in which the molybdenum atom forms a multicentre bond without the crowding of either additional non-bonded pyrazolyl groups or of 3,5 -dimethyl substitution, and without the strain on the chelate ring introduced when the molybdenum is involved with a proton on the boron atom.

The high-temperature n.m.r. data for this material show the three pyrazolyl groups to be equivalent while the low-temperature limiting spectrum indicates that two of the groups are identical and different from the third. Trofimenko interpreted these observations as an indic-

[^0]ation that at higher temperatures rotation of the tridentate ligand takes place about the $\mathrm{Mo}^{-}-\mathrm{B}$ axis whereas at lower temperatures rotation is hindered, one pyrazolyl group existing in an environment different from that of the other two. ${ }^{3}$ It was hoped that a structural study would confirm or disprove this hypothesis in the expectation that the low-temperature solution species should resemble the crystalline molecule.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Crystal Data.- $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BMON}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}, M=420 \cdot 1$, Monoclinic, $a=15 \cdot 735(10), \quad b=12.885(5), \quad c=9.343(4) \quad \AA, \quad \beta=$ $111.95(4)^{\circ}, U=1756.9 \AA^{3}, D_{\mathrm{m}}=1.60$ (3) (by flotation), $Z=4, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1 \cdot 59(3), F(000)=848$. Space group $P 2_{1} / c$ from systematic absences $=h 0 l$ for $h=2 n, 0 k 0$ for $k=2 n$. Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA, \mu\left(\operatorname{Mo}-K_{\alpha}\right)=$ $7.66 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Preliminary Weissenberg and precession photographs established the space group. A platelet of dimensions $0.40 \times 0.21 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on a Picker four-circle automated diffractometer. Graphite-monochromated molybdenum $-K_{\alpha}$ radiation was used for data collection. The $X$-ray tube take-off angle was $4^{\circ}$. During alignment procedures more accurate unit-cell dimensions were determined by least-squares fit of the angular positions of 12 independent, relatively high-angle reflections. All independent reflections to $\sin \theta_{\text {max. }}=0.69$ were sampled by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan procedure, the $2 \theta$ scan being at $1^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ over a basic range of $2 \cdot 6^{\circ}$ extended to allow for a dispersion $\Delta \lambda / \lambda=0.592$. Background measurements were made for 20 s each on either side of the diffracted peak. Three standard reflections were measured after every 40 reflections, and their intensities were constant to within $5 \%$ over the whole data measurement period. Of the 5165 reflections measured, 3325 were classed as observed $\left[\left|F_{0}\right| / \sigma\left(\left|F_{0}\right|\right)>\right.$ 1.5 where $\left|F_{0}\right|$ is the observed structure amplitude and $\sigma\left|F_{0}\right|$ is its corresponding estimated standard deviation] after subtraction of background and correction for Lorentz and polarization factors. No absorption corrections were applied.
Determination of the Structure and Refinement.-The
${ }^{6}$ C. A. Kosky, P. Ganis, and G. Avitabile, Acta Cryst., 1971, B2', 1859.
${ }^{7}$ F. A. Cotton, J. L. Calderon, M. Jeremic, and A. Shaver, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 13, 777.
${ }_{8}$ J. L. Calderon, F. A. Cotton, and A. Shaver, J. Organometallic Chem., 1972, 38, 105.
${ }_{9}$ E. M. Holt and S. L. Holt, J.C.S. Dalton, 1973, 1893.
position of the molybdenum atom in the unit cell was located from a Patterson synthesis. Three cycles of least-squares refinement of a scale factor and the molybdenum atom's position and isotropic temperature parameter gave $R 0 \cdot 233$. A difference-Fourier synthesis based upon the molybdenum atom's contribution to the phases then showed the positions of the remaining 24 atoms. After three cycles of fullmatrix least-squares refinement of these positions, individual atomic isotropic thermal parameters and the scale factor, $R$ was $10.4 \%$. A difference-Fourier map plotted at this stage indicated the presence of thermal anisotropic motion and so the isotropic temperature factors were converted to their anisotropic equivalents. Refinement of these together with the other parameters for three further full-matrix leastsquares cycles gave $R 3 \cdot 3 \%$. The maximum ratio of the shift of a parameter to its corresponding estimated standard deviation was 0.03 so no further refinement was undertaken. No attempt was made to locate hydrogen atoms. A final difference-Fourier map showed no peak $>0.3 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. Unit weights for all reflections were used until the final cycles of refinement when a weighting scheme to reduce the weight of the high-intensity observations was introduced. In this scheme unit weights were retained for reflections with $\left|F_{0}\right| \leqslant 30 \cdot 0$ and weights of $\left(30 \cdot 0 /\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right)^{2}$ were applied to

Table 1
Atomic co-ordinates with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mo | $0 \cdot 29595$ (2) | $0 \cdot 30618(2)$ | $0 \cdot 82494(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 1483(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3755(4)$ | $0.9521(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 3613(3)$ | $0.5321(2)$ | $0.9223(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 2259(2)$ | $0 \cdot 1543(2)$ | $0.7076(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $0 \cdot 2115(2)$ | $0.1374(2)$ | $0.5560(3)$ |
| N(3) | $0 \cdot 2034(2)$ | $0 \cdot 3659(2)$ | $0 \cdot 5990$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 0.1926 (2) | $0 \cdot 3191$ (2) | $0 \cdot 4617(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $0 \cdot 3846(2)$ | $0 \cdot 2794(2)$ | $0 \cdot 6828(3)$ |
| N(6) | $0 \cdot 3468$ (2) | $0 \cdot 2352(2)$ | $0.5395(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $0 \cdot 2019(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3476(3)$ | $0 \cdot 9039(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 0.3389(3) | $0 \cdot 4480(3)$ | $0 \cdot 8866$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $0 \cdot 1847(3)$ | $0.0751(3)$ | $0.7497(5)$ |
| C(4) | 0.1443 (3) | $0.0072(3)$ | 0.6271 (6) |
| C(5) | $0.1625(3)$ | 0.0492 (3) | $0.5062(5)$ |
| C(6) | $0.1484(3)$ | 0.4501 (3) | $0.5636(5)$ |
| C(7) | $0 \cdot 1023(3)$ | $0.4577(3)$ | $0.4043(5)$ |
| C(8) | $0 \cdot 1324(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3734(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3441$ (4) |
| C(9) | $0.4724(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2971$ (3) | $0 \cdot 7066(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $0 \cdot 4927(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2628(3)$ | $0.5796(5)$ |
| C(11) | $0.4112(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2251(3)$ | $0 \cdot 4770$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $0 \cdot 3105(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2180$ (4) | $0.0515(4)$ |
| C(13) | $0 \cdot 3922$ (3) | $0 \cdot 2102(3)$ | $0.0208(4)$ |
| C(14) | $0.4383(3)$ | $0 \cdot 3068(3)$ | $0.0353(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 0.4281(3) | $0 \cdot 1108(3)$ | $0.9773(6)$ |
| B | $0 \cdot 2425(3)$ | $0 \cdot 2160(3)$ | $0 \cdot 4607(4)$ |

those of greater intensity. This choice seemed justified by the fact that at the conclusion of the analysis, the values of $\left\langle\Sigma\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}\right\rangle$ for the reflections grouped in 20 sets of increasing $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$ did not differ by more than a factor of $2 \cdot 6$.

The structure analysis and refinement described were carried out first on an XDS Sigma 7 computer with modifications of programs written by W. R. Busing, K. O. Martin, and M. A. Levy, and W. G. Sly, D. P. Shoemaker, and J. H. Van den Hende, and later on an IBM 360/75 computer using the programs of Stewart, Kundell, and Baldwin. ${ }^{10}$ The $X$-ray atomic scattering factors used were taken from
${ }^{10}$ J. M. Stewart, F. A. Kundell, and J. C. Baldwin, ' $X$-Ray '63' System of Programs, 1970 version, University of Maryland Technical Report, TR-192.
refs. 11 and 12. The real part of the anomalous scattering contribution for molybdenum was included.

## DISCUSSION OF THE STRUCTURE

Final atomic co-ordinates are given in Table 1, anisotropic temperature factors in Table 2, and interatomic distances and angles in Tables 3 and 4. Final

Table 2
Atomic temperature factors in the form
$\exp \left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} h l+2 \beta_{12} h k\right)\right]$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Atom | $10^{6} \beta_{11}$ | $10^{5} \beta_{22}$ | $10^{5} \beta_{33}$ | $10^{5} \beta_{12}$ | $10^{5} \beta_{13}$ | $10^{5} \beta_{23}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mo | $273(2)$ | $347(2)$ | $632(3)$ | $7(1)$ | $162(2)$ | $-33(2)$ |
|  | $10^{4} \beta_{11}$ | $10^{4} \beta_{22}$ | $10^{4} \beta_{33}$ | $10^{4} \beta_{12}$ | $10^{4} \beta_{13}$ | $10^{4} \beta_{23}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $57(2)$ | $112(4)$ | $198(6)$ | $9(2)$ | $70(3)$ | $-36(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $73(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $148(5)$ | $-10(2)$ | $48(3)$ | $-23(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $24(2)$ | $33(2)$ | $82(3)$ | $-2(1)$ | $18(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $24(2)$ | $34(2)$ | $87(4)$ | $-3(1)$ | $21(2)$ | $-15(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $25(1)$ | $31(2)$ | $74(3)$ | $2(1)$ | $16(2)$ | $1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $23(2)$ | $44(2)$ | $67(3)$ | $2(1)$ | $10(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $22(2)$ | $40(2)$ | $74(3)$ | $-2(1)$ | $17(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $24(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $73(3)$ | $1(1)$ | $20(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $38(2)$ | $58(3)$ | $104(5)$ | $-1(2)$ | $32(3)$ | $-17(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $41(2)$ | $78(4)$ | $-1(2)$ | $25(2)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $34(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $134(6)$ | $-3(2)$ | $31(3)$ | $13(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $43(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $173(7)$ | $-13(2)$ | $43(3)$ | $-10(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $32(2)$ | $42(2)$ | $149(6)$ | $-9(2)$ | $33(3)$ | $-29(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $31(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $120(5)$ | $7(2)$ | $21(2)$ | $9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $37(2)$ | $51(2)$ | $11(5)$ | $10(2)$ | $11(3)$ | $21(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $28(2)$ | $58(2)$ | $86(4)$ | $4(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $17(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $24(2)$ | $56(3)$ | $109(5)$ | $-3(2)$ | $20(2)$ | $-7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $30(2)$ | $55(2)$ | $128(6)$ | $3(2)$ | $36(3)$ | $4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $33(2)$ | $39(2)$ | $100(4)$ | $4(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $51(3)$ | $75(3)$ | $65(4)$ | $-5(2)$ | $22(3)$ | $16(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $38(2)$ | $45(2)$ | $66(4)$ | $-1(2)$ | $3(2)$ | $10(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $38(2)$ | $54(2)$ | $80(4)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $44(2)$ | $45(2)$ | $144(6)$ | $12(2)$ | $-2(3)$ | $10(3)$ |
| B | $24(2)$ | $42(2)$ | $68(4)$ | $-3(1)$ | $16(2)$ | $-10(2)$ |

Table 3
Some interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| Mo-C(1) | $1.959(6)$ | Mo... B | 3.391(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1-958(4) | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1 \cdot 421$ (8) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 2.312(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1 \cdot 421(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 2.207(3) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.515(7) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 2.283(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 2.932(4) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 2.337(5) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2 \cdot 868(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 2.258(4) | $\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 713(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2 \cdot 364(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 777(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 1-151(7) | $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 3.249(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 150(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 391$ (4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 366(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 755(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1 \cdot 343(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 896$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1-392(6) | $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 198(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1 \cdot 376(8)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 4.249(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $1 \cdot 355(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 4-113(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $1 \cdot 369(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 5•391(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1 \cdot 335(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $3 \cdot 712(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1 \cdot 410$ (7) | $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 5.318(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.371 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 4-437(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 1.351(6) | $\mathrm{C}(3) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6.453(6) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 370$ (4) | $\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | 4.093(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1 \cdot 350(5)$ | $\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | 3.144(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.394(6) | $\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)$ | 5.355(6) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.386(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(5) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 4.044(5) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1 \cdot 348$ (5) | $\mathrm{C}(9) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5 \cdot 272(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B}$ | $1 \cdot 543(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$ | $3 \cdot 696(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{B}$ | 1.545 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(9) \cdots$ O(1) | 6-405(7) |
| $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{B}$ | 1.547(5) | $\mathrm{C}(9) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)$ | 4.349(5) |

[^1]observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20763 (7 pp.).*

Table 4
Some bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ), with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 177.3(4) | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 119.7(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 177.8(4) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 106.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $86.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 110.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 89.3(1) | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 104.6(4) |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 79.9(1) | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | $108.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | 79.3(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | $109.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 77.5(1) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{B}$ | $127 \cdot 8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 77.3(2) | $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{B}$ | $121.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 89.0 (2) | $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 123.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 88.7(1) | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 106.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}$ (1) | 83.4(2) | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 110.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $82 \cdot 0$ (2) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 105.0(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(5)$ | 95.4(2) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $108.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $83 \cdot 3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $109.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ | $165 \cdot 6(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{B}$ | 119.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 119.4(4) | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{B}$ | 130.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $105 \cdot 8(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $112.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $111.0(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $124.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 104.9(4) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 122.5(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 108.3 (4) | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 106.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $110 \cdot 0(4)$ | $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(6)$ | 108.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B}$ | $121.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(6)-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | 109.8(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{B}$ | 127.9(3) |  |  |

The molecular conformation viewed down the crystallographic $a$ axis is shown in the Figure. The molybdenum atom achieves an eighteen-electron configuration


The molecular conformation as viewed down the $a$ axis
through co-ordination to two carbonyl groups, one nitrogen atom from each pyrazolyl ring of the terdentate pyrazolylborate group and the two-electron threecentre bond of the 2 -methylallyl group.

The geometry about the molybdenum atom is distorted octahedral. $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{N}(1)$, and $\mathrm{N}(5)$ are coplanar within $0.02 \AA$ but the molybdenum is $0.23 \AA$ above that plane. The central atom of the methyl allyl group,

[^2]$\mathrm{C}(13)$, is $2.46 \AA$ above that plane and $\mathrm{N}(3)$ is $1.96 \AA$ below it (Table 5). The $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ angle is $\mathbf{1 6 5 \cdot 6}$.

The molybdenum-nitrogen distances range from 2.207 to $2.312 \AA$, similar to those observed in other compounds of this type. Likewise, the boron-nitrogen distances of $1.543-1.547 \AA$ are not unusual. Co-ordination about the boron atom is roughly tetrahedral.

Although the molybdenum-carbon-oxygen bonds are slightly nonlinear $\left[177.3(4)\right.$ and $\left.177 \cdot 8(4)^{\circ}\right]$, the small deviations from linearity almost certainly arise from the

Table 5
Equations of least-squares planes through atoms and deviations ( $\AA$ ) of atoms from the planes
Plane (1):
$\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2) \quad 0.522 x-0.569 y+0.606 z=0.457$
$[\mathrm{C}(1)-0.020, \mathrm{C}(2) 0.020, \mathrm{~N}(1) 0.016, \mathrm{~N}(5)-0.017, \mathrm{C}(13)$ $2.456, \mathrm{~N}(3)-1 \cdot 964$, Мо $0 \cdot 228$ ]
Plane (2):
$\mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{C}(3)-(5) \quad 12.663 x-6.784 y-0.436 z=1.503$
$[\mathrm{N}(1) 0.001, \mathrm{~N}(2) 0.000, \mathrm{C}(3)-0.001, \mathrm{C}(4) 0.001, \mathrm{C}(5) 0.000$, Mo $-0.193, \mathrm{~B}-0.098$ ]
Plane (3):
$\mathrm{N}(3), \mathrm{N}(4), \mathrm{C}(6)-(8) \quad 13 \cdot 087 x+7 \cdot 108 y-3 \cdot 432 z=3 \cdot 202$
$[\mathrm{N}(3) \quad 0.001, \mathrm{~N}(4)-0.001, \mathrm{C}(6)-0.000, \mathrm{C}(7)-0.001$, C(8) 0.001, Mo 0.010, B-0.078]
Plane (4):
$\mathrm{N}(5), \mathrm{N}(6), \mathrm{C}(9)-(11) \quad-1.348 x+11.678 y-3.287 z=0.504$
$[\mathrm{N}(5)-0.005, \mathrm{~N}(6) 0.001, \mathrm{C}(9) 0.006, \mathrm{C}(10)-0.005, \mathrm{C}(11)$ 0.002 , Mo -0.039 , B 0.176 ]
effects of local packing forces and have no further chemical significance.

The molybdenum-carbon distances to the 2 -methylallyl group are $2 \cdot 337(5), 2 \cdot 258(4)$, and $2 \cdot 364(4) \AA$, as compared with $2 \cdot 358(9), 2 \cdot 214(8)$, and $2 \cdot 331(8) \AA$ in the allylbis(l-pyrazolyl) compound. The allyl structure thus has the central carbon atom of the allyl system closer to the molybdenum atom by $0 \cdot 117-0 \cdot 144 \AA$ so the substitution of a methyl group for a proton on the 2 -position of the allyl group does not drastically alter the geometry. (In the methyl-substituted compound the central atom of the allyl group is $0.079-0.106 \AA$ closer to the molybdenum atom than the end atoms.)

The distance between the molybdenum and boron atoms is $3 \cdot 391(4) \AA$, significantly greater than the corresponding distances of 2.81 and $2.829(7) \AA$ in the dihydrobispyrazolyl structures, ${ }^{6,7}$ in which a proton on boron interacts with the molybdenum. In the structure of (benzenediazo)dicarbonyl[hydrotris(1-pyrazolyl)borato]molybdenum, ${ }^{13}$ where there is no proton involvement, this distance is $3 \cdot 345(7) \AA$.

The line through the molybdenum and boron atoms forms an approximate three-fold axis for the pyrazolyl rings. However, although the rings are planar (maximum standard deviation $0.006 \AA$ ), they are slightly skewed from the three-fold symmetry, for neither the

[^3]molybdenum nor the boron atoms lie on the planes of any of the rings. In fact they lie on opposite sides of the planes of two of the rings (Table 4). This skewing of the rings in the terdentate pyrazolylborate ligand differs from that seen in bis[hydrotris(l-pyrazolyl)borato]cobalt ${ }^{14}$ where the pyrazolylborate rings are distorted from $D_{3 d}$ symmetry by slight rotations of the pyrazolyl rings about their $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ axes and in which the bonded cobalt and boron atoms thus lie on the same sides of the planes of the rings.

Examination of the non-bonded contact distances for nitrogen and carbon atoms of the pyrazolyl rings supports the suggested explanation of the n.m.r. data. In the crystal structure the plane of the ring $N(3), N(4)$, $\mathrm{C}(6)-(8)$ is situated between the carbonyl groups and has many close contact distances; e.g. N(3) $\cdots \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{I})$ $2 \cdot 868(6), \mathrm{N}(3) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) 2.932(4), \mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(1) 3 \cdot 249(6)$, and $\mathrm{C}(6) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2) 3 \cdot 391(4) \AA$. The other two rings are each somewhat further from one carbonyl group and are
greatly removed from the other. Thus in circumstances where rotation about the $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{B}$ axis is restricted to the point where the molecules have the same geometry as those in single crystals, the protons on the $N(3), N(4)$, $C(6)$-(8)-ring might be expected to show the effects of an environment which differs markedly from those of the other two, which are fairly similar.
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