# Structural Studies in Main-group Chemistry. Part VI.<sup>1</sup> Crystal and Molecular Structure of 2,2'-Bipyridyldichlorodiphenyltin

## By Philip G. Harrison,\* Trevor J. King, and John A. Richards, Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD

The crystal structure of the title compound has been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Crystals are monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ , with Z = 4 in each unit cell of dimensions a = 9.5208(24), b = 13.1583(36). c = 16.5437(40) Å,  $\beta = 93.600(17)^{\circ}$ . The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by fullmatrix least-squares methods to R 0.049 for 1896 independent reflections. The tin atoms are octahedrally coordinated by a bidentate 2,2'-bipyridyl residue, two cis-chlorine atoms, and two phenyl groups which are mutually trans. The two tin-carbon bond distances are identical [mean r (Sn-C) 2·152 Å], as are the two tin-chlorine bond distances [mean r(Sn-Cl) 2.509 Å]. The bipyridyl group is not planar, one  $C_5H_4N$  ring being twisted 4.2° with respect to the other, with the two Sn-N distances unequal (2.344 and 2.375 Å). The bond angles subtended at tin by adjacent ligands all fall in the range 85.5—95.6°. The two phenyl groups are not exactly trans (C-Sn-C 173.5°), and are not equivalent. The plane of one phenyl group almost exactly bisects the CI-Sn-Cl and N-Sn-N bond angles, whilst the other is rotated 79.5° with respect to the first.

THE stereochemistry adopted by five- and six-coordinate (organo)tin complexes and complex ions has been the subject of much investigation. In the absence of unequivocal structural data for the solid state from X-ray diffraction studies, it has been the usual convention to infer the stereochemistry from the application of spectroscopic techniques such as far i.r., Raman, Sn-119m Mössbauer, n.m.r., and dipolemoment studies. The combinations of far-i.r. and Raman data with activity predicted by group theoretical calculations, and the magnitude of the Mössbauer quadrupole splitting with the rather naïve (but surprisingly effective) point-charge calculation have been employed with apparent success. We have previously<sup>2</sup> applied the former method to species such as  $\mathrm{Me_3SnX_2^-}$ (I),  $Me_2SnX_3^-$  (II), and  $Me_2SnX_4^{2-}$  (III; X = Cl, Br, or I) and found the far-i.r. data to be consistent with the X-ray diffraction determined structures for the two five-co-ordinated species.3,4 The stereochemistry of six-co-ordinate diorganotin species is intriguing, and depends on the nature (both steric and electronic) of the groups attached to tin. Here the value of the Mössbauer quadrupole splitting,  $\Delta$ , can be a guide as to the disposition of the two organic groups about tin. Point-charge calculations show that for the two isomers, cis- and trans-SnX<sub>2</sub>Y<sub>4</sub>,  $\Delta_{trans}$  is  $2\Delta_{cis}$ . By use of this criterion, Fitzsimmons et al.5 have postulated the transstructure for the bipyridyl and phenanthroline complexes of the diorganotin dihalides and the complex anions  $R_2 Sn Cl_4^{2-}$  (R = Me or Ph) and the *cis*-configuration for diorganotin bis(oxinates). With some electronegative substituents, however, the stereochemistry adopted is dependent on the organic group attached to tin. Thus, whilst the bipyridyl and phenanthroline adducts of dialkyltin di-isothiocyanates and dialkyltin bis(oxinates) and bis(acetylacetonates) exhibit large quadrupole splittings (ca. 4 mm  $s^{-1}$ ) and hence appear to possess the *trans*-configuration, the corresponding diphenyltin derivatives have only small quadrupole splittings (ca. 2 mm s<sup>-1</sup>) characteristic of cis-geometry. Available structural data illustrate that a simple division into cis- and trans-geometries is far from an adequate representation of reality. Both dimethyltin dichloride ( $\Delta$  3.55 mm s<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>6</sup> and dimethyltin bis(dimethyldithiocarbamate) [ $\Delta$  3.14 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for Me<sub>2</sub>Sn-(S<sub>2</sub>CNEt<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>7</sup> have structures which in the solid phase are best described as intermediate between tetrahedral and octahedral, the Me-Sn-Me bond angles being only 123.5 (ref. 6) and  $136^{\circ}$  (ref. 8) respectively.

A problem of equal magnitude is the rationalisation of known stereochemistry. This is exemplified by the two closely related systems  $Me_2SnCl_2, L_2$  (L = dimethyl sulphoxide or pyridine N-oxide). Whilst both have a trans-arrangement of methyl groups, the former possesses cis chlorine atoms and sulphoxide groups but the latter has the totally trans-configuration.

In order to gain insight into the factors controlling the structure and bonding involved, we are determining the crystal structures of a series of model compounds. We now report the X-ray crystal structure of the bipyridyl complex of diphenyltin dichloride, Ph2SnCl2- $(C_{10}H_8N_2).$ 

# EXPERIMENTAL

Crystals of Ph<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>(bipy) suitable for intensity measurements were prepared by addition of a benzene solution of 2,2-bipyridyl to a benzene solution of diphenyltin dichloride, and were recrystallized from hot benzene. A crystal was mounted directly on a fine glass fibre, and was used for both cell data and subsequent intensity measurements.

 $Data.-C_{22}H_{18}Cl_2N_2Sn, M = 500.00,$ Crystal a =9.5208(24), b = 13.1583(36), c = 16.5437(40) Å,  $\beta = 93.600(17)^{\circ}$ , U = 2068.46 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4, F(000) = 992.

<sup>8</sup> R. V. Parish and R. H. Platt, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1970, **4**, 65; B. W. Fitzsimmons, N. J. Seeley, and A. W. Smith, *J. Chem.* Soc. (A), 1969, 143. <sup>6</sup> A. G. Davies, H. J. Milledge, D. C. Puxley, and P. J. Smith,

J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 2862.

7 B. W. Fitzsimmons, A. A. Owusu, N. J. Seeley, and A. W. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 935.
 <sup>8</sup> T. Kimura, N. Yasuoka, N. Kasai, and M. Kakudo, Bull.

Chem. Soc. Japan, 1972, 45, 1649.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Part IV, P. G. Harrison, Inorg. Chem., 1973, 12, 1545; Part V, G. Davidson, P. G. Harrison, and E. M. Riley, Spectrochim. Acta, 1973, 29A, 1265.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> J. Buckle, M. K. Das, and P. G. Harrison, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1972, **6**, 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> P. J. Vergammi, H. Vahrenkamp, and L. F. Dahl, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1971, **93**, 6327.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> F. W. B. Einstein and B. R. Penfold, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 3019.

Space group  $P2_1/n$  by systematic absences (h0l for h + l = 2n + 1 and 0k0 for k = 2n + 1).

The space group and initial cell parameters were determined from zero- and first-layer Weissenberg photographs obtained on an equi-inclination Weissenberg camera. The cell parameters were further refined and relative intensities were collected with Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation on a Hilger and Watts single-crystal computer-controlled four-circle diffractometer Y 290. A crystal of cross-section *ca.*  $0.4 \times 0.5$  mm was used for the collection of intensity data up to  $\theta$  29.4°. Each reflection was counted for 30 s and the associated two background counts for 10 s. The reflections were brought to the same relative intensities by reference reto 0.070 and a further four cycles of block-diagonal anisotropic and four cycles of full-matrix anisotropic least-squares refinement further reduced it to 0.052. A weighting scheme:  $w = 1/[1 + (F_0 - 30)/23 \cdot 3]^2$ , was applied, and subsequently the 245 reflections with  $F_c < 1/4 F_0$  were omitted from the least-squares total. The positions of the hydrogen atoms could not satisfactorily be determined from a difference map, and although it was possible to estimate their positions, they were not introduced. Refinement was terminated after a further 6 cycles of full-matrix anisotropic least-squares, when the shifts were <0.35 for the positional parameters and  $\mu_{ij}$ . The final value of R was 0.049 and  $R' [= \Sigma w \Delta^2 / \Sigma w F_0^2]$  0.0068.

TABLE 1

Atom	x a	y'/b	z/c	$U_{11}$	$U_{22}$	$U_{33}$	$U_{12}$	$U_{13}$	$U_{23}$
C(1)	0.1142(8)	0.2540(7)	0.0293(4)	59(5)	67(5)	37(4)	3(4)	-6(3)	5(4)
C(2)	0.1256(9)	0.3570(8)	0.0089(6)	62(5)	80(7)	70(6)	5(5)	0(4)	23(5)
C(3)	0.1335(10)	0.3862(9)	-0.0718(7)	69(6)	<b>94(7</b> )	83(7)	7(5)	-7(5)	33(6)
C(4)	0.1304(11)	0.3087(11)	-0.1327(7)	82(7)	132(11)	66(6)	-7(7)	-16)5)	25(7)
C(5)	0.1187(12)	0.2093(11)	-0.1122(6)	<b>99(7</b> )	127(10)	50(5)	-13(8)	-12(5)	1(7)
C(6)	0.1090(10)	0.1811(8)	-0.0305(5)	83(6)	99(8)	50(5)	-11(5)	-3(4)	-7(5)
C(7)	0.1222(8)	0.1714(6)	0.2808(5)	50(4)	55(4)	52(4)	-3(3)	5(3)	2(4)
C(8)	0.0014(9)	0.1798(7)	0.3264(5)	73(6)	62(5)	58(5)	-5(4)	20(4)	1(4)
C(9)	0.0080(11)	0.1559(8)	0.4077(6)	105(8)	72(6)	60(5)	-8(6)	6(5)	-8(5)
C(10)	0.1345(11)	0.1231(8)	0.4460(6)	93(7)	77(6)	63(6)	-9(6)	9(5)	<b>4(5</b> )
C(11)	0.2516(10)	0.1141(6)	0.4008(5)	90(6)	53(5)	58(5)	-3(5)	2(4)	-1(4)
C(12)	0.2447(9)	0.1391(6)	0.3200(4)	70(5)	52(5)	47(4)	-2(4)	-1(4)	3(4)
C(13)	0.3652(9)	0.3702(6)	0.1919(4)	71(5)	51(4)	<b>53(4)</b>	-1(4)	-3(4)	<b>4(4</b> )
C(14)	0.4990(9)	0.4120(7)	0.1939(5)	61(5)	69(6)	58(5)	-4(4)	-4(4)	3(4)
C(15)	0.6074(10)	0.3492(8)	0.1695(5)	70(6)	75(6)	68(6)	-16(5)	-12(5)	23(5)
C(16)	0.5802(8)	0.2507(7)	0.1464(5)	54(5)	64(5)	72(6)	3(4)	5(4)	11(4)
C(17)	0.4431(7)	0.2133(6)	0.1479(4)	48(3)	55(4)	34(3)	9(4)	1(3)	<b>4(4</b> )
C(18)	0.4058(8)	0.1066(6)	0.1273(4)	59(4)	52(4)	39(4)	4(4)	3(3)	6(3)
C(19)	0.5071(9)	0.0329(7)	0.1122(5)	71(5)	61(6)	66(5)	22(4)	12(4)	0(4)
C(20)	0.4637(10)	-0.0637(7)	0.0956(5)	87(6)	61(6)	71(6)	14(5)	21(5)	<b>3</b> ( <b>5</b> )
C(21)	0.3219(10)	-0.0897(6)	0.0948(5)	93(6)	56(5)	56(5)	9(4)	12(4)	-5(4)
C(22)	0.2267(10)	-0.0150(6)	0.1128(5)	87(6)	52(5)	56(5)	11(4)	-2(4)	1(4)
N(1)	0.3373(6)	0.2726(4)	0.1695(3)	50(3)	<b>40(4)</b>	45(3)	-1(3)	0(2)	-3(3)
N(2)	0.2687(6)	0.0815(4)	0.1291(4)	62(4)	36(3)	50(3)	<b>5</b> (3)	-1(3)	-6(3)
Cl(1)	-0.1005(2)	0.0957(2)	0.1260(1)	52(1)	65(1)	88(1)	-7(1)	-6(1)	7(1)
Cl(2)	-0.0074(2)	0.3777(2)	0.1891(1)	70(1)	57(1)	72(1)	18(1)	6(1)	-1(1)
Sn	0.10536(5)	0.21238(4)	0.15459(3)	49.7(2)	$52 \cdot 0(2)$	51.9(2)	$2 \cdot 4(3)$	-2.1(1)	-0.9(3)

flections taken for every hundred reflections recorded, the diffractometer being referenced every two hundred reflections recorded. The background counts were low and were included in the usual manner; intensities varied from 1 to 270,000. Of 3500 intensities, those with a corrected count  $I < 3 \sigma$  (I) were considered unobserved and were not further used, reducing the total number of reflections to 2380. These reflections were further reduced to 2141 by eliminating the lower intensity reflections, to enable adequate computer storage for refinement. The intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, but not for absorption correction. An approximate absolute scale factor was determined by inspection of the data.

Structure Determination and Refinement.—The positional parameters of the tin atom in the asymmetric unit were obtained from a Patterson synthesis, and were used to phase the initial structure-factor calculation. A Fourier synthesis established the positions of the remaining nonhydrogen atoms of the asymmetric unit, which complied with the chemically expected model. Four cycles of isotropic block-diagonal refinement reduced R from 0.749

\* See Notice to Authors No. 7 in J.C.S. Dalton, 1973, Index issue.

Scattering factors (which were not modified) used in the refinement were those for neutral atoms.<sup>9</sup>

Positional and thermal parameters are listed in Table 1, bond distances and angles in Table 2. Final observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 21044 (11 pp., 1 microfiche).\*

#### DISCUSSION

As can be seen from the Figure, the molecule contains octahedrally co-ordinated tin with *trans*-phenyl groups, as expected from the magnitude of the quadrupole splitting ( $\Delta$  3·90 mm s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>5</sup> The geometry is not ideally octahedral, the C-Sn-C bond angle being only 173·5°, and the C-Sn-N and C-Sn-Cl angles all falling in the range 85·5—92·9°. The two Sn-C bond lengths are equal (2·152 Å). Since this is the first Sn-C(Ph) bond distance measured for a six-co-ordinate species, no comparative values are available. The present values are in general larger than those obtained previously for four-co-ordinate compounds, and are of the same

<sup>9</sup> ' International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962.

#### TABLE 2

Intramolecular distances and angles with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

(a) Distances (A)			
Sn-C(1)	$2 \cdot 151(7)$	C(11) - C(12)	1.37(1)
Sn-C(7)	2.153(8)	C(12) - C(7)	1.37(1)
Sn-N(1)	$2 \cdot 344(6)$	N(1) - C(13)	1.36(1)
Sn-N(2)	2.375(6)	N(1) - C(17)	1.34(1)
Sn-Cl(1)	2.511(2)	C(13) - C(14)	1.39(1)
Sn-Cl(2)	2.508(2)	C(14) - C(15)	1.40(1)
$C(1) - \dot{C}(2)$	1.40(1)	C(15) - C(16)	1.37(1)
C(2) - C(3)	1.40(1)	C(16) - C(17)	1.40(1)
C(3) - C(4)	$1 \cdot 43(2)$	C(17) - C(18)	1·48(1)
C(4) - C(5)	1·36(2)	N(2) - C(18)	1.35(1)
C(5) - C(6)	1.41(1)	N(2) - C(22)	1.35(1)
C(6) - C(1)	1·38(1)	C(18) - C(19)	1·40(1)
C(7) - C(8)	1.42(1)	C(19) - C(20)	1·36(1)
C(8) - C(9)	1.38(1)	C(20) - C(21)	1·39(1)
C(9) - C(10)	1.39(1)	C(21) - C(22)	1·38(1)
C(10) - C(11)	1.39(1)	-()	(-)
(b) Angles (°)	(-)		
C(1) - Sn - C(7)	173.5(3)	C(10) - C(11) - C(12)	120.9(8)
C(1) = Sn = N(1)	85.5(2)	C(11) - C(12) - C(7)	121.6(8)
C(1) = Sn = N(2)	87.0(3)	C(12) - C(7) - C(8)	117.9(7)
C(1) - Sn - C(1)	92.9(2)	$S_{n-N}(1) - C(17)$	119.6(4)
C(1) = Sn = C1(2)	92.0(2) 92.4(2)	$S_{n-N(1)-C(13)}$	121.0(5)
C(7) - Sn - N(1)	88.3(2)	C(13) - N(1) - C(17)	119.0(6)
C(7) = Sn = N(2)	88.0(2)	N(1) - C(13) - C(14)	123.1(8)
C(7) = Sn = C(1)	09.3(2)	C(13) = C(14) = C(15)	116.0(8)
C(7) = Sn = C1(1)	92.3(2) 00.9(9)	C(14) = C(15) = C(16)	190.5(8)
N(1) = Sn = O(2) N(1) = Sn = (N(2))	60.0(2)	C(15) = C(16) = C(17)	110.9(8)
$N(1) = Sin^{-1}(N(2))$ N(1) = Sn = C1(1)	160.0(1)	C(16) - C(17) - N(1)	191.9(7)
N(1) = Sn = C1(2)	05.6(1)	C(16) = C(17) = C(18)	121.2(7)
N(1) = Sn = Cl(1)	95.0(1) 02.0(1)	N(1) - C(17) - C(18)	116.1(6)
N(2) = Sir Ci(1) N(2) = Sr = Ci(2)	164.5(1)	$S_{n-N(2)-C(18)}$	118.9(5)
C(2) = Sn - C(2)	104.5(1) 102.5(1)	Sn = N(2) = C(10)	191.9(5)
C(1) = Si = C(2) C(1) = C(2) = C(2)	103.3(1)	N(2) = C(22)	121.6(3)
C(1) = C(2) = C(3) C(2) = C(4)	120.4(9)	C(22) = C(21) = C(21)	112.9(2)
C(2) = C(3) = C(4) C(2) = C(4) = C(5)	190.6(10)	C(22) = C(21) = C(20)	190.0(0)
C(3) = C(4) = C(3) C(4) = C(5) = C(6)	120.0(10)	C(21) = C(20) = C(19)	119.6(9)
C(4) = C(0) = C(0)	120.2(10)	C(10) = C(10) = C(10)	190.0(7)
C(0) = C(0) = C(1)	120.0(2)	C(19) - C(18) - C(17)	120.8(7)
C(0) = C(1) = C(2) C(7) = C(8) = C(0)	120.0(8)	C(19) = C(18) = C(17) C(17) = C(18) = M(9)	116.4(4)
C(1) = C(3) = C(9)	120.7(8)	C(12) = C(18) = N(2)	110.4(0)
C(8) = C(9) = C(10)	120.0(8)	C(18) = IN(2) = C(22)	120.0(7)
C(9) = C(10) = C(11)	118.8(8)		

#### TABLE 3

(a) Equations of weighted least-squares planes, in the form PI + QJ + RK = S, where I, J, K are orthogonal co-ordinates related to the monoclinic co-ordinates by:  $I = X + Z \cos\beta$ , J = Y,  $K = Z \sin\beta$ . Deviations \* (Å) of atoms from the planes are given in square brackets

Plane (1): C(13), C(17), N(1)

Q

R

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.07893 \quad -0.22871 \quad 0.97029 \quad 2.01403 \\ [C(19) \ 0.0563, \ C(20) \quad 0.0501, \ C(21) \quad 0.0088, \ Sn \ -0.1100] \end{array}$ 

Plane (3): Cl(1), Cl(2), Sn

 $\begin{array}{c} -0.02463 & -0.26534 & 0.096384 & 1.69793 \\ [N(1) & -0.0265, \ N(2) & 0.0120] \end{array}$ 

Plane (4): C(1)---(6)

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.99209 & -0.07474 & 0.1085 & 0.84061, \\ [C(1) & 0.0068, C(2) & 0, C(3) & -0.0050, C(4) & 0.0034, C(5) & 0.0032, \\ C(6) & 0.0084, Sn & 0.0438] \end{array}$ Plane (5): C(7)--(12)

- $\begin{bmatrix} C(7) & 0 & 23144 & 0.94599 & 0.22704 & 3.38875 \\ \hline C(7) & 0 & C(8) & -0.0027, C(9) & 0.0004, C(10) & 0.0047, C(11) \\ -0.0075, C(12) & 0.0052, Sn & 0.0293 \end{bmatrix}$
- (b) Angles (°) between planes

\* According to V. Schomaker, J. Waser, R. E. Marsh, and G. Bergman, Acta Cryst., 1959, 12, 600.

## TABLE 4

## Comparison of bond distances (Å) in Ph<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>(bipy) with those in related compounds

(a) Sn–C(Ph) bonds		
Compound	Geometry †	Distance
Ph_SnCl_(bipy) *	Oct	2.152(8)
$Ph_{a}Sn^{a,g,b}$	Tet	2.14
Ph.SnCl <sup>e</sup>	Tet	$2 \cdot 122(22)$
$Ph_2SnCl_2 d \ddagger$	Tet	(i) $2 \cdot 105(5)$ , $2 \cdot 119(5)$
PhMe <sub>2</sub> Sn-CPh:CPh·-	Tet	(i) $X = Cl, 2.118(3)$ (i) $X = Cl, 2.116(9)$ (ii) $X = Br, 2.141(13)$
Ph ISp[CH] SpIPh f	Tet	2.14(2) $2.16(2)$
Ph Sn·[O·CMe·O]·SnPh. /	Trig hiny	$2 \cdot 15(2)$
Ph $Sn(ONPh(O.Ph))^{h}$	Trig bipy	$A \ge \frac{10}{2}$
1 1301(01111 00 1 11)	ing pipy	Eq 2.13, 2.14
(b) Sn-Cl bonds		
Ph_SnCl_(biny) *	Oct	2.508(2)
1 112011012(01)	000	2.511(2)
Ph <sub>s</sub> SnCl <sup>o</sup>	Tet	2.318(15)
$Ph_sSnCl_s d \pm$	Tet	(i) $2 \cdot 336(2)$ , $2 \cdot 353(2)$
2 2 1		(ii) 2.336(2), 2.357(2)
Me <sub>3</sub> SnCl(py) <sup>i</sup>	Trig bipy	2.42(4)
Me,SnCl(Ph,PCH·CO·Me) j	Trig bipy	2·565 ́
Me,SnCl, k	Tet§	2.41(4) bridged, 3.54(5)
Me <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (DMSO) <sub>2</sub> <sup>1</sup>	Oct	2.48(1)
2 2( )2		2·53(1)
Me <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (py-O) <sub>2</sub> <sup>m</sup>	Oct	2.584(10)
(c) Sn-N bonds		
Ph SnCl (biny) *	Oct	9.344(6)
$I_{12}SHC1_2(DIPY)$	000	2.375(6)
Sn(NMe) <sup>n</sup>	Tet	2.045
(Me Sn) N C	Trig biny	2.010
$M_{2}Sn_{2}N_{2}C$	Trig bipy	2.336(9)
SnCl (MeCN) q	Oct	2.33(2)
Me Sn(oxin)	Distoct	2.31(1)
Megon(0xIII)2	2150 000	2.38(1)
[Me_SnCl(ter)]+*	Dist oct	$2 \cdot 29(3), 2 \cdot 33(4)$
		2.41(3)
Me <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (ter) <sup>4</sup>	Pent bipy	(i) $Sn-NCS: 2.283(4)$ (ii) $Sn-ter 2.479(3)$ , 2.407(2) - 2.570(4)
Cl SnIV(phth) #	Oct	2.497(3), 2.070(4) 2.050(3)
Clasher (hum) =	000	4 000(0)

N. A. Akhmed and G. G. Aleksandrov, J. Struht. Chem., 1970, 11, 824. <sup>b</sup> P. C. Chieh and J. Trotter, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 911. <sup>c</sup> N. G. Bokii, G. N. Zakharova, and Yu. T. Struckkov, J. Strukt. Chem., 1970, 11, 828. <sup>d</sup> P. T. Greene and R. F. Bryan, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1971, 2549. <sup>e</sup> F. B. Boer, F. P. Van Remoortere, P. P. North, and G. N. Reeke, Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 529. <sup>J</sup> V. Cody and E. Corey, J. Organometallic Chem., 1969, 19, 359, <sup>g</sup> G. Bandoli, D. A. Clemente, and C. Panattoni, Chem. Comm., 1971, 311. <sup>b</sup> P. G. Harrison and T. J. King, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 815. <sup>i</sup> R. Hulme, J. Chem. Soc., 1963, 1524. <sup>j</sup> J. Buckle, P. G. Harrison, T. J. King, and J. A. Richards, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 1104. <sup>k</sup> A. G. Davies, H. J. Milledge, D. C. Puxley, and P. J. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, 1257. <sup>m</sup> E. A. Blom, B. R. Penfold, and W. T. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 913. <sup>a</sup> L. V. Vilkov, N. A. Tarosenko, and A. K. Prokof'ev, J. Strukt. Chem., 1970, 11, 114. <sup>o</sup> R. A. Forder and G. M. Sheldrick, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1971, 1107. <sup>p</sup> Y. M. Chow, Inorg. Chem., 1971, 10, 1938. <sup>e</sup> M. Webster and H. E. Blayden, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 2443. <sup>r</sup> E. O. Schlemper, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 2012. <sup>e</sup> F. W. B. Einstein and B. R. Penfold, Chem. Soc. (A), 1971, Rogers and H. E. Slayden, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 2443. <sup>r</sup> E. O. Schlemper, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 2012. <sup>e</sup> F. W. B. Einstein and B. R. Penfold, Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 243. <sup>r</sup> E. O. Schlemper, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 82, 272. <sup>w</sup> D. Naika and W. R. Scheidt, Inorg. Chem., 1973, 12, 272. <sup>w</sup> D. Naigers and R. S. Osborn, Chem. Comm., 1971, 840.

\* This work.  $\dagger$  Oct = octahedral, Tet = tetrahedral, Trig bipy = trigonal bipyramid, Dist = distorted, Pent bipy = pentagonal bipyramid; oxin = 8-hydroxyquinolato, ter = terpyridyl, phth = phthalocyaninato.  $\ddagger$  The asymmetric unit of Ph<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> contains two crystallographically different molecules. § Distorted towards octahedral. magnitude as for the two five-co-ordinate derivatives.  $Ph_4Sn_2(O_2CMe)_2$  (ref. 10) and  $Ph_3SnONPh \cdot CO \cdot Ph.^{11}$ 

The bipyridyl residue, the tin atom, and the two chlorine atoms are essentially coplanar (Table 3),



Structure of the molecule, showing the atom numbering used in the analysis

although the two rings of the bipyridyl are mutually twisted *ca.*  $4 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ . Mutual repulsion of the two chlorine atoms opens the Cl-Sn-Cl bond angle to  $103 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ , whilst N-Sn-N is only  $69 \cdot 0^{\circ}$ . The Sn-Cl distances are also equal (2.509 Å) and are substantially bigger than those in tetrahedral diphenyltin dichloride (2.34 and 2.36 Å), but within the range of values determined for other six-co-ordinate diorganotin dichloride complexes (Table 4). The Sn-N distances (2.344 and 2.375 Å) are also of a similar magnitude to those for other octahedral complexes, although the distance in octahedral dichloro(phthalocyaninato)tin(rv) is only 2.05 Å.

Unlike dimethyltin(IV) derivatives, where an increase in co-ordination number from four to six is accompanied by an increase in Sn-Cl bond distances but a decrease in the Sn-C bond distance as tin 5s electron density is preferentially concentrated in bonds to carbon, both Sn-Cl and Sn-C bond distances are increased in the complex formation between  $Ph_2SnCl_2$  and bipyridyl. Presumably this difference is due to the increased electronegativity of the phenyl relative to the methyl group.

An unusual feature of the structure is the disposition of the two phenyl groups, which are not equivalent. The plane of one almost exactly bisects the Cl-Sn-Cl and N-Sn-N bond angles, whilst the plane of the other makes an angle of  $79.5^{\circ}$  with the first.

We thank the S.R.C. for the award of an INSTANT studentship (to J. A. R.).

[4/254 Received, 8th February, 1974]

<sup>10</sup> G. Bandoli, D. A. Clements, and C. Panatroni, Chem. Comm., 1971, 311.
<sup>11</sup> P. G. Harrison and T. J. King, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 815