Structural Studies in Main-group Chemistry. Part VII.¹ Crystal Structure of *N*-Benzoyl-*N*-phenyl-*O*-(triphenylstannyl)hydroxylamine

By Philip G. Harrison • and Trevor J. King, Department of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD

The crystal structure of the title compound has been determined from X-ray diffractometer data by Patterson and Fourier methods. Crystals are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$ with a = 12.278(3), b = 17.621(2), c = 15.411(2) Å, $\beta = 128.37(2)^\circ$, Z = 4. Strong intramolecular carbonyl-tin co-ordination renders the tin atom five-co-ordinate with trigonal-bipyramidal geometry. The equatorial positions are occupied by two phenyl groups [mean Sn-C(Ph) 2.136 Å] and the hydroxylamino-oxygen (Sn-O 2.091 Å). The Sn-C(Ph) axial distance (2.176 Å) is significantly longer than the two equatorial bonds. In the hydroxylamine residue, the C-N bond distance indicates significant multiple-bond character, whilst the carbonyl bond distance is the same as in zwitterionic compounds. Rationalisations of observed spectroscopic data are made in the light of the derived structure. The sign of the electric-field gradient at the tin nucleus is deduced to be negative.

TRIORGANOTIN derivatives of potentially bidentate ligands R_3SnXY may *a priori* assume the three configurations (I)—(III). Essentially tetrahedrally coordinated tin is expected for weak or no interaction between the second donor site Y and the metal atom (I), whilst strong inter- or intra-molecular co-ordination would produce the five-co-ordinate configurations (II) and (III). Among the sparse structural data for tinoxygen-bonded compounds there are examples of types





(I) and (II), but there was no crystallographic confirmation of the existence of the intramolecularly co-ordinated type (III). Most triorganotin acetates are considered to possess the same structure as tribenzyltin acetate, in which fairly strong intermolecular carbonyltin co-ordination results in chain-like structure of type (II).² Tricyclohexyltin acetate on the other hand is monomeric in the crystal, and the intramolecular carbonyl-tin distance is such as to suggest negligible bonding interaction.³ Previously we reported the preparation of and spectroscopic data for a number of triorganotin derivatives of N-benzoylhydroxylamines. The data suggested that these compounds possess monomeric structures of type (III), rather than the acetate structures (I) and (II). Here we report the structure of one of these compounds, N-benzoyl-Nphenyl-O-(triphenylstannyl)hydroxylamine.

 \dagger See Notice to Authors No. 7 in J.C.S. Dalton, 1973, Index issue.

¹ Part VI, P. G. Harrison, T. J. King, and J. A. Richards, *J.C.S. Dalton*, 1974, 1723.

EXPERIMENTAL

Crystal Data.— $C_{31}H_{25}NO_2Sn$, M = 561.9, Monoclinic, a = 12.278(3), b = 17.621(2), c = 15.411(2) Å, $\beta = 128.37(2)^\circ$, Z = 4, F(000) = 1136. Space group $P2_1/c$ from systematic absences: h0l for l odd, and 0k0 for k odd. Mo- K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å. μ (Mo- K_{α}) = 10.41 cm⁻¹.

Rod-like crystals, stable to air and to X-rays, were obtained by recrystallisation from benzene, and a crystal of dimensions $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.4$ mm was mounted on a glass fibre. The space group was deduced from oscillation and zero- and first-layer Weissenberg photographs. The intensities of 3549 independent non-zero reflections were measured by use of a Hilger and Watts four-circle automatic diffractometer. Reflections with intensities $I < 3\sigma(I)$ were considered non-observed, and were not used further. Accurate unit-cell dimensions were obtained by least-squares refinement of data for *ca*. 20 reflections. Lorentz and polarisation corrections were applied, but none were made for absorption effects.

Determination and Refinement of the Structure.—The positional parameters of the tin atom in the asymmetric unit obtained from a Patterson synthesis were then used to phase the initial structure-factor calculation. A Fourier synthesis then enabled the positions of all but two phenylgroup carbon atoms of the non-hydrogen light atoms to be deduced. Block-diagonal least-squares refinement including all atoms was carried out using programmes written by Ahmed. With anisotropic thermal parameters for all atoms, convergence was reached with R 0.0490. A difference-Fourier synthesis at this stage revealed the positions of all hydrogen atoms. These were then included in the structure-factor calculation to give R 0.0455 after two cycles.

Final atomic co-ordinates for non-hydrogen atoms are in Table 1, anisotropic temperature factor parameters, in Table 2, and co-ordinates and isotropic thermal parameters for hydrogen atoms in Table 3.

The magnetically perturbed spectrum was obtained at the P.C.M.U. (Harwell) using a $BaSn^{119m}O_3$ source and an applied magnetic field of 6 Tesla. Final observed and calculated structure factors are in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 21107 (15 pp., 1 microfiche).[†]

DISCUSSION

The structure and numbering scheme of the atoms is shown in Figure 1. The geometry at tin is that of a ² N. W. Alcock and R. E. Timms, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968,

1873.
³ N. W. Alcock and R. E. Timms, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 1876.

Fractional atomic co-ordinates, with standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	x a	y/b	z c
Sn(1)	0.24118(4)	0.17471(3)	0.20224(3)
N(Ì)	-0.0323(5)	0.1251(3)	-0.0009(4)
O(1)	0.0608(4)	0.1086(3)	0.1110(3)
O(2)	0.1390(4)	0.1859(3)	0.0169(3)
C(1)	0·0156(B)	0.1613(3)	-0.0446(4)
C(2)	- 0·0695(6)	0.1731(4)	-0.1668(4)
C(3)	0.1329(9)	0.3396(4)	0.1175(7)
C(4)	-0.2207(7)	0.1257(5)	-0.3531(5)
C(5)	-0.2054(7)	0.1930(5)	-0.3895(5)
C(6)	-0.1216(8)	0.2512(5)	-0.3169(6)
C(7)	-0.0526(7)	0.2402(4)	-0.2044(5)
C(8)	-0.1734(6)	0.1107(4)	-0.0469(4)
C(9)	-0.2070(7)	0.0397(4)	-0.0289(6)
C(10)	-0.3423(8)	0.0258(5)	-0.0694(6)
C(11)		0.0816(5)	-0.1259(6)
C(12)	-0.4075(7)	0.1507(5)	-0.1421(6)
C(13)	-0.2723(7)	0.1662(4)	-0.1033(5)
C(14)	0.2814(6)	0.1261(3)	0.3495(5)
C(15)	0.1850(7)	0.0856(4)	0.3478(5)
C(16)	0.2136(9)	0.0630(5)	0.4472(6)
C(17)	0.3377(8)	0.0812(4)	0.5486(5)
C(18)	-0.0526(7)	0.2402(4)	-0.2044(5)
C(19)	0.4082(7)	0.1444(5)	0.4527(5)
C(20)	0.4247(6)	0.1537(3)	0.2181(5)
C(21)	0.5295(7)	0.1062(4)	0.3015(6)
C(22)	0.6499(9)	0.0957(5)	0.3154(8)
C(23)	0.6701(8)	0.1304(5)	0.2461(8)
C(24)	0.5664(8)	0.1763(5)	0.1622(7)
C(25)	0.4462(7)	0.1884(4)	0.1486(6)
C(26)	0.1938(6)	0.2902(4)	0.2071(5)
C(27)	0.1239(9)	0.3396(4)	0.1175(7)
C(28)	0.1001(11)	0.4148(5)	0.1260(9)
C(29)	0.1290(9)	0.4405(5)	0.2207(9)
C(30)	0.1900(9)	0.3928(5)	0.3102(7)
C(31)	0.2219(7)	0.3189(5)	0.3033(6)



FIGURE 1 Structure and atomic numbering scheme showing bond angles (°) at the tin atom

trigonal bipyramid distorted by the chelation of the benzoylhydroxylamine residue. The equatorial positions are occupied by two phenyl groups and the hydroxylamino-oxygen. Intramolecular co-ordination of the carbonyl group oxygen atom to the tin *via* an axial site produces a five-membered $Sn \cdot O \cdot N \cdot C \cdot O$ heterocyclic ring. The other axial site is occupied by the third

TABLE 2

Anisotropic thermal parameters $(\times 10^4)$,* with standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	B11	B_{22}	B_{33}	B 23	B13	B_{12}
Sn(1) [†]	1011(4)	404(1)	605(2)	11(4)	826(5)	-143(6)
$N(\hat{l})^{\prime}$	105(6)	46 (2)	48(3)	12(4)	67(7)	-6(6)
O(1)	122(5)	51(2)	55(3)	13(4)	71(6)	-27(5)
O(2)	122(5)	66(2)	60(3)	$-\dot{5}(5)$	89(7)	-37(6)
Č(I)	95(6)	34(3)	50(3)	-16(5)	56(8)	-2(6)
C(2)	95(6)	57(3)	57(4)	$4(\hat{6})$	90(8)	17(8)
C(3)	123(8)	57(3)	63(4)	-24(6)	104(10)	-6(8)
C(4)	134(9)	67(4)	61(5)	-6(7)	91(11)	9(9)
C(5)	131(9)	91(5)	57(4)	10(7)	96(11)	50(10)
C(6)	167(líl)	78(5)	86(6)	40(8)	175(14)	32(11)
C(7)	157(9)´	38(3)	71(4)	17(6)	129(11)	32(8)
C(8)	117(7)	41(3)	52(4)	(5)	89(9)	-3(7)
C(9)	141(9)	41(3)	89(5)	18(6)	113(12)	5(8)
C(10)	146(10)	54(4)	108(6)	14(8)	126(13)	- 36(10)
C(11)	129(9)	73(4)	86(6)	7(8)	113(12)	-20(10)
C(12)	130(9)	65(4)	100(6)	27(8)	137(13)	36(9)
C(13)	157 (9)	38(3)	71(4)	17(6)	129(10)	32(8)
C(14)	121(7)	37(2)	58(4)	22(5)	101(9)	17(7)
C(15)	135(8)	45 (3)	67(5)	-3(6)	98(11)	35(8)
C(16)	203(12)	68(4)	89(6)	6(8)	166(15)	- 8(11)
C(17)	177(10)	53(3)	70(5)	3(7)	142(12)	-15(9)'
C(18)	133(9)	64(4)	55(4)	7(7)	70(11)	23(9)
C(19)	120(8)	67(4)	64(4)	0(6)	85(10)	-19(9)
C(20)	114(7)	33(3)	84(5)	8(5)	119(10)	-9(6)
C(21)	130(8)	47(3)	105(6)	20(7)	149(12)	9(8)
C(22)	162(11)	57(4)	141(9)	44(9)	162(17)	32(11)
C(23)	144(10)	59(4)	166(9)	4(10)	208(17)	15(10)
C(24)	160(10)	57(3)	153(8)	34(10)	227(16)	18(11)
C(25)	143(8)	43(3)	91(5)	9(6)	144(12)	-3(8)
C(26)	100(7)	42(3)	86(5)	19(6)	128(10)	2(7)
C(27)	255(14)	48(4)	127(8)	41(8)	261(19)	62(11)
C(28)	283(17)	58(4)	194(11)	81(11)	344(25)	101(13)
C(29)	216(14)	52(4)	209(11)	-6(10)	327(23)	26(11)
C(20)	199(12)	52(4)	147(8)	-56(9)	231(18)	-29(11)
C(31)	152(9)	52(3)	88(5)	-14(7)	136(12)	-16(9)
*	In the for	m: exp[-	$-(B_{1},h^{2})$	$+ B_{aa}k^2 +$	$B_{a}l^2 +$	Backl +

* In the form: $\exp[-(B_{11}h^2 + B_{22}h^2 + B_{33}l^2 + B_{23}hl + B_{13}hl + B_{12}hk)]$. † Values for Sn × 10⁵.

TABLE 3

Positions and thermal parameters for hydrogen atoms

Atom *	x a	y/b	z c	$B/{ m \AA^2}$
H(3)	-0.1655	0.0625	-0.2100	$5 \cdot 1$
H(4)	-0.2861	0.0804	-0.4127	5.7
$\mathbf{H}(5)$	-0.2605	0.5001	-0.4780	5.7
H(6)	-0.1119	0.3020	-0.3480	$6 \cdot 1$
H(7)	0.0156	0.2846	-0.1446	$5 \cdot 2$
H(9)	-0.1268	-0.0043	0.0155	5.5
H(10)	-0.3697	-0.0292	-0.0545	6.6
H(11)	-0.5497	0.0704	-0.1584	$6 \cdot 2$
H(12)	-0.4862	0.1947	-0.1862	6.0
H(13)	-0.2459	0.2220	-0.1173	$4 \cdot 9$
H(15)	0.0857	0.0711	0.2693	$5 \cdot 1$
H(16)	0.1364	0.0298	0.4444	6.4
H(17)	0.3392	0.0790	-0.0145	5.4
H(18)	0.5324	0.1333	0.6228	5.6
H(19)	0.4862	0.1781	0.4565	5.4
H(21)	0.5143	0.0769	0.3563	5.6
H(22)	0.7324	0.0587	0.3817	7.4
H(23)	0.7667	0.1222	0.2577	7.1
H(24)	0.5799	0.5035	0.1059	6.6
H(25)	0.3665	0.2256	0.0819	$5 \cdot 3$
H(27)	0.1116	0.3200	0.0408	$7 \cdot 1$
H(28)	0.0493	0.4525	0.05400	8.5
H(29)	0.1036	0.4990	0.2264	7.6
H(30)	0.2129	0.4142	0.3868	$7 \cdot 0$
H(31)	0.2704	0.2812	0.3755	5.7

 $\ensuremath{^{\ast}}$ Numbered according to the carbon atom to which they are attached.

no reason for the large difference between the two O-Sn-C(eq) bond angles (111, 125.5°) except perhaps crystal packing forces. The angles between axial and equatorial sites range from 71.3 to 105.7°, and that between the two axial positions $157 \cdot 2^{\circ}$.

The tin-carbon bond distances all fall within the range measured for other phenyltin compounds,⁵ but the phenyl group in the axial position is at a significantly greater distance [2.176(9) Å] from the tin than are the two equatorial groups [mean 2.136(8) Å]. Both the covalent and co-ordinate tin-oxygen bond distances are among the shortest of each type yet recorded.⁵ The co-ordinate distance [2·308(4) Å] is slightly less than that in the complex (Ph₃P:CH•COMe)Me₃SnCl (2·332 Å) ⁶ but much lower than the intermolecular $Sn \cdots OC$ distance in tribenzyltin acetate $(2.65 \text{ Å})^2$ and the intramolecular (presumed to be a non-bonding interaction) Sn · · · O:C distance in tricyclohexyltin acetate (2.95 Å).³ The observed bond angles at tin and tinligand distances are thus in accord with the expectations of simple $sp^{3}d$ hybridisation for tin.

The bond distances within the hydroxylamine residue reveal some contribution of the canonical resonance form (V) to the overall electronic distribution. Structural parameters for the unsubstituted hydroxylamine



are unavailable, but those of the similar N-acetylhydroxylamine hemihydrate, HO·NH·COMe, ¹/₂H₂O,⁷ are compared with Ph₃Sn(ONPh·COPh) in Table 8. In both compounds, the heavy-atom framework is essentially planar; the sums of the angles at the carbonyl carbon and nitrogen atoms being 360°. The N-O bond distance in Ph₃SnONPh•COPh is slightly shorter (1·384 Å) than in HONH COMe, ¹/₂H₂O, but this difference is most probably due to the lack of substitution at nitrogen and hydrogen bonding present in the latter compound. The respective relative shortening and lengthening of the C(:O)-N and C=O bonds is more significant. The latter is increased to 1.265 from 1.245 Å in HONH.COMe, H2H2O and 1.23 Å generally found in esters, aldehydes, and ketones.⁸ This value compares well with the distances in zwitterionic compounds such as DL-serine (1.26 Å)⁸ and (Ph₃P:CH·COMe)Me₃SnCl (1.268 Å).⁶ The endocyclic C-N bond distance (1.304 Å) is much lower than the corresponding exocyclic distance (1.430 Å) which is of normal single N-C(Ph) bond length, indicating a

 P. G. Harrison, Inorg. Chem., 1973, 12, 1545.
 B. Y. K. Ho and J. J. Zuckerman, J. Organometallic Chem., 1973, **49**, 1.

⁶ J. Buckle, P. G. Harrison, T. J. King, and J. A. Richards, C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 1104.
 ⁷ B. H. Bracher and R. W. H. Small, Acta Cryst., 1970, **B26**,

1705.

greater double-bond character for the endocyclic bond than in HONH•COMe, ¹/₂H₂O (1·333 Å) ⁷ or HCO•NH₂ (1.322 Å).⁸ Corresponding C=N double-bond distances in hydroximate derivatives fall in the range 1.26-1.29 Å.9,10

The determined structure rationalises observed spectroscopic data for Ph₃Sn(ONPh•COPh).⁴ The monomeric character observed for both solution and vapour is preserved in the crystal [no intermolecular $Sn \cdots O$ interaction is present (Table 5)], and the strong intramolecular carbonyl-tin co-ordination is reflected by the very low i.r. carbonyl stretching frequency (1540 cm⁻¹), some 120 cm⁻¹ lower than in Me₃Si(ONPh·COPh) where such co-ordination is absent. The presence of the Sn•O•NPh•C:O heterocyclic ring also rationalises the formation in the mass spectra of this and closely related compounds of fragments resulting from ring-contraction processes which take place by loss of [O] and [PhN] from the heterocycle.

It is possible to obtain semiguantitative estimates of the tin-119*m* Mössbauer quadrupole splitting, Δ , using point-charge calculations together with empirically derived partial quadrupole splitting (POS) for the ligands attached to tin.^{11, 12} Thus, for the five-coordinate configurations (II) and (III), in which the tin



FIGURE 2 Magnetically perturbed Mössbauer spectrum

atom is bonded to three phenyl groups and two oxygen atoms, assuming idealized geometry and equivalence of

⁸ 'Molecular Structures and Dimensions,' vol. A1, eds. O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, F. H. Allen, N. W. Isaacs, W. D. S. Motherwell, R. C. Petersen, and W. G. Town, N. V. A. Oosthoek's Vitgevers Mij, Utrecht, 1972.

I. K. Larsen, Acta Chem. Scand., 1971, 25, 2409.

¹⁰ M. G. Waite and G. A. Sim, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1971, 752, 1102.

 R. V. Parish and R. H. Platt, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1970, 4, 65.
 R. C. Poller and J. N. R. Ruddick, J. Organometallic Chem., 1972, 39, 121.

phenyl groups and oxygen atoms, expressions (1) and (2) may be derived for Δ_{cis} and Δ_{trans} in terms of [Ph] and [O], the respective PQS values for phenyl groups and oxygen atoms. Poller and Ruddick ¹² have derived

$$\Delta_{cis} = \{3[Ph]^2 - 6[Ph][O] + 4[O]^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (1)

$$\Delta_{trans} = -3[\text{Ph}] + 4[\text{O}] \tag{2}$$

values of -0.19 for [Ph], 0.72 for $[O_2CMe]$, and 0.85 for $[O_2CCF_3]$. Using these values, the calculated quadrupole splittings for the *trans*-derivatives, Ph₃SnO₂CMe (3.45 mm s⁻¹) and Ph₃SnO₂CCF₃ (3.97 mm s⁻¹), are in reasonable accord with observed data (3.34 and 4.00 mm s⁻¹, respectively). In the present case, it might be expected that [O] would fall somewhere between these extreme values. On this basis, therefore, the quadrupole splitting for Ph₃Sn(ONPh•COPh) would lie in the range 1.73-1.99 mm s⁻¹, compared with the experimentally observed value of 1.94 mm s^{-1.4} From the magnetically

TABLE 4

Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°), with standard deviations in parentheses

(a) Distances		C(14)-Sn(1)-C(20)	105.7(3)
Sn(1) - O(1)	2.091(5)	C(14) - Sn(1) - C(26)	$103 \cdot 2(3)$
Sn(1) = O(2)	2.308(4)	C(20) - Sn(1) - C(26)	116.9(3)
Sn(1) - C(20)	$2 \cdot 142(9)$	Sn(1) - O(1) - N(1)	115.6(4)
Sn(1) - C(26)	2.131(7)	Sn(1) - O(2) - C(1)	$112 \cdot 1(3)$
Sn(1) = C(14)	2.176(9)	O(1) - N(1) - C(1)	117.3(6)
C(14) - C(15)	1.368(19)	O(1) - N(1) - C(8)	113.0(5)
C(14) = C(16)	1.400(11)	C(1) - N(1) - C(8)	128.8(6)
C(16) = C(17)	1.209(11)	N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	$122 \cdot 1(6)$
C(10) = C(17)	1.951(14)	N(1) - C(1) - O(2)	119.7(6)
C(17) = C(18)	1.999(10)	O(2) - C(1) - C(2)	118-1/6
C(18) - C(19)	1.300(10)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	120.7(6)
C(19) = C(14)	1.408(9)	C(1) = C(2) = C(3)	118.3(6)
C(20) - C(21)	1.399(10)	C(2) = C(2) = C(7)	190.6(6)
C(21) - C(22)	1.309(10)	C(3) = C(2) = C(1)	120.0(0)
C(22) - C(23)	1.380(15)	C(2) = C(3) = C(4)	119.1(7)
C(23) - C(24)	1.379(13)	C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	119.0(8)
C(24) - C(25)	1.372(15)	C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	118.3(8)
C(25)-C(20)	1.394(11)	C(6) - C(7) - C(2)	120.4(7)
C(26)-C(27)	1.393(10)	N(1) = C(8) = C(9)	118.4(6)
C(27)-C(28)	1.414(13)	N(1) - C(8) - C(13)	120.9(6)
C(28)-C(29)	1.349(16)	C(9) - C(8) - C(13)	120.6(7)
C(29)-C(30)	1.373(13)	C(8) - C(9) - C(10)	$119 \cdot 1(7)$
C(30)-C(31)	1.384(12)	C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	120.4(8)
C(31)-C(26)	1.390(10)	C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	$119 \cdot 6(8)$
O(1) - N(1)	1.384(6)	C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	$121 \cdot 2(8)$
N(1) - C(1)	1.304(9)	C(12)-C(13)-C(8)	$118 \cdot 8(7)$
C(1) - O(2)	1.265(9)	Sn(1)-C(14)-C(15)	$123 \cdot 5(5)$
C(1)-C(2)	1.495(9)	Sn(1)-C(14)-C(19)	117.7(5)
C(2) - C(3)	1.388(10)	C(15)-C(14)-C(19)	118.5(7)
C(3) - C(4)	1.398(9)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	$120 \cdot 1(7)$
C(4) - C(5)	1.375(12)	C(15)-C(16)-C(17)	121.6(8)
C(5) - C(6)	1.391(12)	C(16) - C(17) - C(18)	117.8(8)
C(6) - C(7)	1.392(10)	C(17) - C(18) - C(19)	$122 \cdot 4(8)$
C(7) - C(2)	1.389(11)	C(18) - C(19) - C(14)	119.6(7)
N(1) - C(8)	1.430(10)	Sn(1)-C(20)-C(21)	120.7(5)
C(8) - C(9)	1·399(10)	Sn(1) - C(20) - C(25)	121.6(5)
C(9) - C(10)	1.386(14)	C(21) - C(20) - C(25)	117.6(7)
C(10) - C(11)	1.380(13)	C(20) - C(21) - C(22)	120.8(8)
C(11) - C(12)	1.364(13)	C(21) - C(22) - C(23)	121.0(9)
C(12) - C(13)	1.398(14)	C(22) - C(23) - C(24)	118·7(9)
C(13) - C(8)	1.369(10)	C(23) - C(24) - C(25)	120.9(9)
-() -(-)	()	C(24) - C(25) - C(20)	120.9(8)
(b) Angles		Sn(1) - C(26) - C(27)	123.5(6)
O(1) - Sn(1) - O(2)	$71 \cdot 3(2)$	Sn(1) - C(26) - C(31)	119.5(6)
O(2) - Sn(1) - C(20)	83·1(2)	C(27) - C(26) - C(31)	117.0(7)
O(2) - Sn(1) - C(26)	90.7(2)	C(26) - C(27) - C(28)	120 1(9)
O(2) - Sn(1) - C(14)	$157 \cdot 2(2)$	C(27) - C(28) - C(29)	$121 \cdot 1(11)$
O(1) - Sn(1) - C(20)	$125 \cdot 5(2)$	C(28) - C(29) - C(30)	119.6(11)
O(1) - Sn(1) - C(26)	110.9(2)	C(29) - C(30) - C(31)	120.2(9)
O(1) - Sn(1) - C(14)	86.7(2)	C(30) - C(31) - C(26)	121.9(8)
		-() -() -()	

perturbed (H = 6 T) Mössbauer spectrum (Figure 2) obtained at 4.2 K, the sign of the electric-field gradient at tin in Ph₃Sn(ONPh·COPh) is easily deduced to be negative.

TABLE 5

Closest interme	olecular Sn	\cdots) con	tacts	(Å)
$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Sn}(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathrm{O}(1) \ \mathrm{Sn}(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathrm{O}(2) \end{array}$	6·30, 9·89, 7·35, 6·22,	9·68, 9·20	8.74,	8.76,	8.80

TABLE 6

Equations of least-squares planes, and, in square brackets, deviations (Å) of atoms from these planes

Plane (1): Sn(1), O(1), O(2), N(1), C(1) 0.5634x - 0.8243y - 0.0297z + 1.9344 = 0[Sn(1) -0.0953(5), O(1) 0.139(5), O(2) 0.102(6), N(1) -0.101(6), C(1) -0.044(7)] Plane (2): C(8)--(12) 0.3885x - 0.3284y - 0.8609z + 0.8060 = 0[C(8) 0.001(6), C(9) -0.004(7), C(10) 0.003(8), C(11) 0.001(8), C(12) -0.004(8), C(13) 0.003(7)] Plane (3): C(2)--(4)

-0.9163x + 0.3860y - 0.1070z - 0.7068 = 0

 $\begin{bmatrix} C(2) & 0.006(9), C(3) & 0.001(9), C(4) & -0.007(10), C(5) & 0.006(10), \\ C(6) & 0.002(11), C(7) & -0.008(9) \end{bmatrix} \\ \\ Dihedral angles (°): (1)-(2) & 58.9 \end{bmatrix}$

(1)-(3) 164.9

TABLE 7

Comparison of the tin-ligand bond distances (Å) in $Ph_3Sn(ONPh \cdot COPh)$ with those in related compounds

Molecule ^a	r(Sn–C)	r(Sn-O)	Confign. at Sn
(1) b	Eq. 2·136(8),	Cov. 2.091(5).	Trig.
()	ax. $1.176(9)$	Co-ord. 2.308(4)	bipy.
(2) d	$2 \cdot 122(22)$	()	Tet.
(3) •	2.087(14),	2.010(9)	Tet.
	$2 \cdot 134(14), 2 \cdot 170(15)$		
(4) f	2.15(2)	$2 \cdot 25(2)$	Trig.
()			bipy.
(5) ^g	$2 \cdot 15(3), 2 \cdot 18(3),$	Cov. 2·14(2)	Trig.
	$2 \cdot 18(3)$	Co-ord. 2.65(2)	bipy.
(6) h	2.19(3) c	Cov. $2 \cdot 12(3)$	Dist.
			tet.
(7) i	$2 \cdot 133(9)$	2.332(6)	Trig.
			bipy.

^a (1) Ph₃Sn(ONPh•COPh); (2) Ph₃SnCl; (3) Ph₃SnO₅Ph₄-[Mn(CO)₃]; (4) (MeCO₂)₂Sn₂Ph₄; (5) (PhCH₂)₃SnO₅CMe; (6) C₆H₁₁SnO₂CMe; (7) (Ph₃PCH•COMe)Me₃SnCl. ^b This work. ^e Mean value. ^d N. G. Bokii, G. N. Zakharova, and Yu. T. Struchkov, J. Strukt. Chem., 1970, **11**, 828. ^e R. F. Bryan and H. P. Weber, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1967, 843. ^f G. Bandoli, D. A. Clemente, and C. Panattoni, Chem. Comm., 1971, 311. ^e Ref. 2. ^k Ref. 3. ^f Ref. 6.

TABLE 8

Comparison of bond d	listances (Å) in ((A) HO·NH·COMe,-
[−] ¹ / ₂ H ₂ O and	(B) Ph ₃ Sn(ONP)	h•COPh)
Bond	(A)	(B)
N-O	1.400(5)	1.384(6)
C(: O)–N	1.333(6)	1.304(9)
C=O	$1 \cdot 245(6)$	$1 \cdot 265(9)$
C-R	1.505(6) *	1.495(7) †
C–NR		1.430(10)
* R =	= Me. $\dagger R = Ph$	

We thank the S.R.C. for funds to measure the magnetically perturbed Mössbauer spectrum.

[4/401 Received, 28th February, 1974]