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#### Abstract

The graphical method for the calculation of stability constants of mononuclear complexes by the cation-exchange method has been modified and extended to include systems such as $M^{3+}-A-$ or $M^{4+}-A^{-}$. where two or three cationic complex species respectively would be sorbed by the exchange material. New functions have been derived for this purpose. The method has been applied to trivalent lanthanides with salicyclic acid. The stability constants were determined at $0.25 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ in $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetone-water.


Cation-exchange methods have been frequently employed in an attempt to understand the complex chemistry of metal ions. A full description of the mathematical treatments have been reviewed in many monographs. ${ }^{1-5}$ The computation of stability constants from cation-exchange data is straightforward where only one ${ }^{6-8}$ or only two ${ }^{9-12}$ cationic species are sorbed onto the exchanger. However, few attempts ${ }^{13-19}$ have been made to calculate the stability constants for the case where three or four cationic species are sorbed. These methods are only approximate and involve some assumptions. The present paper is an attempt to calculate these constants without adopting any approximation and the calculations are also extended to include the $\mathrm{M}^{4+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}$system.

In the following discussion, the same notations are used as mentioned in previous texts ${ }^{\mathbf{1 0 - 1 3}}$ unless otherwise stated.
(i) Calculation of the Complexity Constants for the System $\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}$.—As shown previously, ${ }^{9}$ for the $\mathrm{M}^{2+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}$ system, the values of $\beta_{j}$ could be obtained by differentiating the product $\phi \cdot \mathrm{X}$ twice with respect to A . In the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}$system, this product is differentiated three times to give:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3} \cdot \mathrm{X}+3 \phi_{2} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{1}+3 \phi_{1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{2}+\phi \cdot \mathrm{X}_{3}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

substituting for the values of $\mathrm{X}_{n}(n=1,2 \text {, or } 3)^{12}$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\phi_{3}+\sum_{=1}^{N}\left([\mathrm{~A}]^{j \cdot} \cdot \phi_{3}+3 j[\mathrm{~A}]^{j-1} \cdot \phi_{2}+3 j(j-1)[\mathrm{A}]^{j-2} \cdot \phi_{1}+\right. \\
\left.j(j-1)(j-2)[\mathrm{A}]^{j-3} \cdot \phi\right) \beta_{j}=0 \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

From a simple graphic representation of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, and $\phi_{3}$ as a function of [A], at $N$ different values of [A], we get a system of $N$ equations from which the complexity
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constants, $\beta_{j}$, can be computed. Using the values of the functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ and their limiting values ( $c f$. Fronaeus ${ }^{12}$ ), Carlson and Irving ${ }^{14}$ obtained the following equation:
$\Delta \phi_{2}[\mathrm{~A}]=\beta_{1} \Delta \phi_{1} \mid[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}{ }^{\circ}+\Delta \phi_{1}\right)-\beta_{3}-\mathrm{X}_{4}[\mathrm{~A}](3)$
As a first approximation, they assumed that $\mathrm{X}_{4}[\mathrm{~A}] \simeq$ $l_{2}^{\prime} \Delta \phi_{1}$ so that these would cancel out in equation (3). In another study of $\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}$ acetate and glycolate systems, Sonesson ${ }^{15}$ showed that these two terms are functions of [A] and at low values of [A] can be regarded as correction terms so that approximate values of $\beta_{1}$ may be obtained as the slope of the linear representation of $\Delta \phi_{2} \mid[\mathrm{A}]$ vs. $\Delta \phi_{1} \mid[\mathrm{A}]$. On the other hand, Grenthe ${ }^{16}$ showed that equation (3) could be rearranged into

$$
\beta_{1}=\frac{\Delta \phi_{2}}{\Delta \phi_{1}}+\beta_{3} \frac{[\mathrm{~A}]}{\Delta \phi_{1}}+\beta_{4} \frac{[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}}{\Delta \phi_{1}}-l_{2}^{\prime} \phi_{1} \cdot \frac{[\mathrm{~A}]}{\Delta \phi_{1}}
$$

so that, to a first approximation $\beta_{1}$ is equal to $\Delta \phi_{2} / \Delta \phi_{1}$.
However, these mathematical approximations are not necessarily valid in all cases and might lead to erroneous results.

In order to obtain more accurate values of the constant $\beta_{j}$, the following treatment is suggested. A new function $\phi_{3}$ may be derived where:

$$
\frac{\phi_{3}=l_{0} \cdot \phi^{-1}\left[\left\{\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\beta_{2}-l^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right\}[\mathrm{A}]-\right.}{\left.\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]+\phi_{1}}[\mathrm{~A}]^{2} \quad
$$

The values of $l_{0},\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left\{\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\beta_{2}-l^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right\}$ are obtained as the limiting values of the graphical representations of the functions $\phi([A]), \phi_{1}([A])$, and $\phi_{2}([\mathrm{~A}])$ respectively. It is easily shown that $\phi_{3}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}=\beta_{1} \phi_{2}-\beta_{2} \phi_{1}+X_{3} \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$
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A plot of the function $\phi_{3}([\mathrm{~A}])$ extrapolated to $[\mathrm{A}]=0$, gives $\phi_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ as the limiting value where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}{ }^{\circ}=\beta_{1} \phi_{2}{ }^{\circ}-\beta_{2} \phi_{1}{ }^{\circ}+\beta_{3} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (5) and (6), $\beta_{3}$ can be eliminated and a relation for the differences in $\phi_{3}, \phi_{2}$, and $\phi_{1}$ obtained,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{3} \cdot \Delta \phi_{1}^{-1}=\beta_{1} \cdot \Delta \phi_{2} \cdot \Delta \phi_{1}^{-1}-\beta_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that only three complex species are formed. A plot of $\Delta \phi_{3} / \Delta \phi_{1}$ versus $\Delta \phi_{2} / \Delta \phi_{1}$ gives a line of slope $\beta_{1}$ and intercept $\beta_{2}$.

Sodium perchlorate solution was prepared by dissolving the appropriate amount of AnalaR sodium perchlorate monohydrate in twice distilled water. The exact molarity was determined by exchanging a small aliquot with a H -form Dowex- 50 resin and the resulting acid was determined titrimetrically

The air-dried cation exchanger Dowex 50, X-8 (50-100 mesh and $21 \%$ moisture content) was employed. The cation-exchange measurements were obtained by equilibrating $v$ litres of the composition: $c^{\prime} \mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{mmol}^{\prime} \mathrm{Ln}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]$, $c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}$ (mmol of NaSal$), c_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{mmol}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)$ to adjust the final pH to 4.5 and $\left(250-c_{\mathrm{H}}-c_{\mathrm{A}}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ in $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$

Table 1
Ion-exchange measurements for the scandium-salicylate system in $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetone--water solvent

| , ( $I=0.250$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{C_{M}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{mmol}^{-1}}$ | 1.52 |  | 2.28 |  | 3.04 |  | 4.56 |  |
| [A] | $c_{\text {MR }} 10^{5}$ | $\phi .10^{\text {a }}$ | $\overbrace{\text { MR }} 10^{5}$ | $\phi .10^{3}$ | $c_{\text {MR }} 10^{5}$ | $\underline{\phi .10^{3}}$ | $c_{\text {MR }} 10^{5}$ | $\phi .10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{mmoli}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | $\overline{1 g^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | $\overline{1 g^{-1}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{molg}^{-1}}$ | $\overline{1 g^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ | $1 \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ |
| 0.674 | 13.01 | 59.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.348 | 11.06 | 26.69 | 17.31 | 31.60 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.022 | 9.06 | 14.76 | 14.43 | 17.25 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.696 | 7.33 | 9.32 | 12.67 | 12.51 | 18.00 | 14.52 |  |  |
| 3.033 | 6.50 | 7.50 | 10.40 | 8.75 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.707 |  |  | 9.30 | 7.25 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.044 | 4.43 | 4.11 | 8.34 | 5.77 | 13.06 | 7.53 | 23.99 | 11.01 |
| 5.392 | 2.80 | 2.26 | 5.84 | 3.44 | 9.19 | 4.33 | 19.01 | 7.15 |
| 6.066 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.51 | 5.68 |
| 6.740 |  |  | 3.50 | 1.81 | 6.37 | 2.65 |  |  |
| 8.088 |  |  |  |  | 4.27 | 1.63 | 10.00 | 2.81 |
| 9.436 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.23 | 1.88 |

Table 2
Corresponding values of $\phi, \phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}$ and other related functions at different values of [A] and constant $c_{\mathrm{MR}}$ $\left(=1.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$

| $[\mathrm{A}]$ | $\frac{\phi .10^{3}}{1 \mathrm{~g}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\phi_{1} \cdot 10^{-3}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{l}}$ | $\frac{\phi_{2} \cdot 10^{-6}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{l}^{2}}$ | $\frac{\phi_{3} \cdot 10^{-8}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{-3} \mathrm{l}^{3}}$ | $\frac{\Delta \phi_{2} / \Delta \phi_{1}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{l}} \cdot 10^{-3}$ | $\frac{\Delta \phi_{3} / \Delta \phi_{1}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{l}^{2}} \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 160.00 | 1.800 | 2.400 | 3.400 |  |  |
| 0.674 | 59.50 | 2.506 | 3.463 | 4.437 |  |  |
| 1.348 | 30.00 | 3.215 | 4.737 | 5.981 |  |  |
| 2.022 | 17.50 | 4.027 | 6.147 | 7.812 |  |  |
| 2.696 | 11.50 | 4.790 | 7.513 | 9.599 | 1.710 | 2.074 |
| 3.033 | 10.00 | 4.946 | 7.865 | 10.068 | 1.737 | 2.120 |
| 3.707 | 8.00 | 5.125 | 8.329 | 10.702 | 1.783 | 2.196 |
| 4.044 | 7.25 | 5.210 | 8.534 | 10.986 | 1.799 | 2.225 |
| 5.392 | 5.50 | 5.210 | 8.745 | 11.326 | 1.861 | 2.325 |

Substituting for the values of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ in the equations ${ }^{14}$ for $\phi_{1}{ }^{\circ}$ and $\phi_{2}{ }^{\circ}$, the constants $l_{1}^{\prime}$ and $l_{2}^{\prime}$ could be determined accurately. Knowing $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, and $l_{2}^{\prime}$, the polynomial $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ is easily calculated from the following relationship:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{X}_{2} & =\beta_{1} \phi_{1}-\phi_{2}+l_{2}^{\prime}\left(\phi_{1}[\mathrm{~A}]+1\right)  \tag{8}\\
& =\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}[\mathrm{~A}]+\ldots+\beta_{j}[\mathrm{~A}]^{j-2}
\end{align*}
$$

Other values of $\beta_{j}$ are obtained from the corresponding $\mathrm{X}_{j}([\mathrm{~A}])$ functions.

## EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals used were of analytical grade reagents. Stock solutions of $\mathrm{Ln}\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{3}(\mathrm{Ln}=\mathrm{Sc}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{La}$, or trivalent rare-earth ion) were prepared by dissolving the metal oxide in the least amount of perchloric acid, evaporation of the solution almost to dryness, and then its dilution to the appropriate volume The final concentration was then checked compleximetrically. ${ }^{20}$
Recrystallised sodium salicylate was used to prepare the ligand solution.
acetone-water solvent with a mass $m$ of resin. The load $c_{\mathrm{MR}}$ on the exchanger was computed indirectly using the relationship:

$$
c_{\mathrm{MR}}=v / m\left(c_{\mathrm{M}}^{\prime}-c_{\mathrm{M}} \delta\right)
$$

where $c^{\prime}{ }_{M}$ is the initial concentration of the metal ion, $c_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the concentration at equilibrium, and $\delta$ is the swelling factor. The value of $\delta$ was found to be 0.97 for the $v / m$ value $=0.1$ $1 \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Series with varying $c_{A}^{\prime}$ were performed at four different values of $c^{\prime} \mathrm{M}$. At equilibrium the final metal-ion concentration was determined compleximetrically using standard methods. ${ }^{20}$
Since the salicylate ion is the conjugate base of a weak acid, the initial ligand concentration [A] was given by:

$$
[\mathrm{A}]=\left\{\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \cdot k_{\mathrm{A}}^{-1}\right) \div 1\right\}^{-1} c^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{A}} \cdot \delta^{-1}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the acid dissociation constant $\left[1.072 \times 10^{-4}\right.$ as determined potentiometrically at 0.25 ionic strength in $50 \%$ ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) acetone-water solvent] and $\delta$ is a correction for the swelling of the resin phase.
${ }^{20}$ T. S. West, 'Complexometry with EDTA and Related Reagents,' 3rd edn., B.D.H., 1969.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Tables 1 and 2 summarise the data obtained for the scandium-salicylate system. It is obvious from the results that at a given value of $c_{A}$, the function $\phi$ increases with decreasing $c_{\mathrm{MR}}$ values. Extrapolation of the function $\phi\left(c_{\mathrm{A}}\right)_{c_{\mathrm{MR}}}$ gives the limiting value $l_{0}$ as $0.160 \mathrm{lg}^{-1}$. The values of $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, and $\phi_{3}$ (Table 2 columns

Table 3
Values of the overall stability constants of Sc, Y, La, and some rare-earth salicylato-complexes

| Element | $\log \beta_{1}$ | $\log \beta_{2}$ | $\log \beta_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sc | $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ | $5.92 \pm 0.002$ | $8.91 \pm 0.27$ |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 0} \pm 0.002$ | $6.20 \pm 0.01$ |
| La | $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ | $3.52 \pm 0.005$ | $4.01 \pm 0.14$ |
| Pr | $\mathbf{2 . 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 5} \pm 0.007$ | $5.40 \pm 0.25$ |
| Sm | $\mathbf{9 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 3} \pm 0.020$ | $6.40 \pm 0.55$ |
| Gd | $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ | $3.33 \pm 0.016$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 4} \pm 0.05$ |
| Er | $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ | $4.24 \pm 0.003$ | $5.92 \pm 0.03$ |
| Lu | $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ | $4.27 \pm 0.003$ | $\mathbf{5 . 8 6} \pm 0.01$ |

3,4 , and 5 respectively) are calculated as has been described before.

Since the limiting values $\phi_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \phi_{2}{ }^{\circ}$, and $\phi_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ are known, the differences $\Delta \phi_{1}, \Delta \phi_{2}$, and $\Delta \phi_{3}$ can be calculated. The values of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ were then obtained as the slope and intercept of the linear function $\left(\Delta \phi_{3} / \Delta \phi_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\Delta \phi_{2} / \Delta \phi_{1}\right)$ respectively. Assuming that only three complex species are formed, the value of $\beta_{3}$ is obtained using equation (5). In Table 3, the values of the overall stability constants obtained have been summarised.

The trivalent lanthanides differ significantly one from another in the number of $4 f$ electrons and the ionic radius. If the bonding in the rare-earth chelates is purely electrostatic, stability should be determined by the relationship $\Delta E=\left(e^{2} / 2 r\right)\left(1-D^{-1}\right)$ where $\Delta E$ is the energy change on complexation of a gaseous ion of charge $e$ and radius $r$ in a medium of dielectric constant $D$. Accordingly, $\log K_{1}$ is expected to vary linearly with $1 / r$ (ref. 21). In these salicylato-complexes, such linearity characterises the sub-groups (La, Y, and Sc) and (La, Gd, Er, and Lu). The trend in stability resembles that obtained for other rare-earth complexes ${ }^{22-27}$ in that the heavy rare-earth elements have nearly comparable stabilities. The sharp variation in $\log K_{1}$ values for the light elements cannot be explained by the electrostatic theory and may be interpreted in terms of the ligand-field effects. ${ }^{28-31}$ Considering the electronic configurations of $\mathrm{Pr}^{3+}\left(4 f^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Sm}^{3+}\left(4 f^{5}\right)$ ions, the former is expected to be more stable than the latter one and those elements which have no ligand-field stabilisation, e.g. $\mathrm{La}^{3+}\left(4 f^{0}\right), \mathrm{Gd}^{3+}\left(4 f^{7}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Lu}^{3+}\left(4 f^{14}\right)$, ${ }^{21}$ F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson,' Advanced Inorganic Chemistry,' Interscience, London, 1967.
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should vary in stability linearly with ionic radii which is the case. The marked stability of Sc and Y is referred to the small size of the former and possibly, polarisability effects or/and a minor contribution of covalent bonding in the latter ion. ${ }^{32}$

In general, the values of $\log K_{1}$ obtained are in good agreement with other values determined conductimetrically ${ }^{33,34}$ or by the glass-electrode method. ${ }^{35}$

Calculation of the Complexity Constants for the System $\mathrm{M}^{4+}-\mathrm{A}^{-}$.-The mathematical treatment for this system is more complicated but similar deduction of the equations is followed here.

The distribution ratio, $\phi$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=l_{0} / \mathrm{X}\left(1+l_{1}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}+l_{3}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{3}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerators $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are computed according to Fronaeus' definitions. Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\frac{\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)+[\mathrm{A}]\left(\beta_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}\right)+[\mathrm{A}]^{2}\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right)}{1+l_{1}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}+l_{3}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{3}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{2} & =\frac{l_{0} \cdot \phi^{-1}\left[\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)[\mathrm{A}]-1\right]+1}{[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}} \\
& =\beta_{1} \phi_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{2}+\left\{l_{2}^{\prime}+l_{3}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]\right\}\left\{\phi_{1}[\mathrm{~A}]+1\right\} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for the value of $\phi$ in the original equation, we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\beta_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}\right)-[\mathrm{A}]\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right)+ \\
& \phi_{2}=\frac{\left.\beta_{1} \beta_{1}-\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(\beta_{2}[\mathrm{~A}]+\mathrm{X}_{3}[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}\right)}{1+l_{1}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}+l_{3}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{3}} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The limiting values of $\phi_{1}{ }^{0}$ and $\phi_{2}{ }^{0}$ may then be introduced into a third function $\phi_{3}$, where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{3}=\frac{l_{0} \cdot \phi^{-1}\left[\phi_{2}{ }^{0}[\mathrm{~A}]-\phi_{1}{ }^{0}\right]+\phi_{1}}{[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Alternatively, $\phi_{3}$ would be rewritten as,

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{3}= & \beta_{1} \phi_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{3}-\beta_{2} \phi_{1} \cdot l_{0} l_{3}^{\prime}{ }_{3} \phi  \tag{14}\\
= & \frac{\beta_{1}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\beta_{2}-l^{\prime}{ }_{2}\right)\right]-}{\left.1+l_{1}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}{ }_{2}[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}+l^{\prime}{ }_{3}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right)+} \\
& \frac{\beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}\right)}{1+\mathrm{AX}_{2}\left\{\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\beta_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}} \\
& \frac{[\mathrm{A}]\left\{\mathrm{X}_{3}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{3} l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{X}_{4}\right\}}{1+l_{1}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]+l_{2}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}+l_{3}^{\prime}{ }_{3}[\mathrm{~A}]^{3}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$
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${ }_{33}$ Ya. A. Fialkov and V. I. Ermolenko, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem., 1959, 4, 159(359), 615 (1369).
${ }_{34}$, V. I. Ermolenko, Russ. J. Inovg. Chem., 1965, 10, 1423 (2617).
${ }^{35}$ M. Cefola, A. S. Tompa, A. V. Celiano, and P. S. Gentile, Inorg. Chem., 1962, 1, 290.

Hence:
$\lim _{[\mathrm{A}] \rightarrow 0} \phi_{3}=\phi_{3}{ }^{0}=\beta_{1}\left\{\beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right)-\right.$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\left(\beta_{2}-l_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right\}+\left(\beta_{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right)-\beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}-l_{1}^{\prime}\right) \\
=\beta_{1} \phi_{2}^{0}-\beta_{2} \phi_{1}^{0}+\left(\beta_{3}-l_{3}^{\prime}\right) \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$

subtracting equation (16) from equation (14) and dividing by [A], then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{2} /[\mathrm{A}]=\beta_{1} \Delta \phi_{2} /[\mathrm{A}]+\mathrm{X}_{4}-l_{3}^{\prime} \Delta \phi_{1}-l_{3}^{\prime} \phi_{1}^{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In agreement with the earlier attempts, ${ }^{17-19}$ it is reasonable to obtain $\beta_{1}$ as the slope of the linear function of $\Delta \phi_{3} /[\mathrm{A}]$ versus $\Delta \phi_{2} /[\mathrm{A}]$ in the low region concentration of [A].

The authors ${ }^{17-19}$ modified the calculations by assuming some successive approximations in order to obtain the other $\beta_{j}$ values.

By differentiating the product $\phi \cdot \mathrm{X}$ in equation (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{4} \cdot \mathrm{X}+4 \phi_{3} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{1}+6 \phi_{2} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{2}+4 \phi_{1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{3}+\phi \cdot \mathrm{X}_{4}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

another function $\phi_{4}$ is introduced, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{4}=\beta_{1} \phi_{3}-\beta_{2} \phi_{2}+\beta_{3} \phi_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{4} \\
&=l_{0} \cdot \phi^{-1}\left\{\phi_{3}{ }^{0}[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}-\phi_{2}[\mathrm{~A}]+\phi_{1}{ }^{0}\right\}-\phi_{1}  \tag{19}\\
& {[\mathrm{~A}]^{3} }
\end{align*}
$$

The limiting value $\phi_{4}{ }^{0}$ is obtained from a plot of $\phi_{4}([\mathrm{~A}])$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{[A] \rightarrow 0} \phi_{4}=\phi_{4}^{0}=\beta_{1} \phi_{3}^{0}-\beta_{2} \phi_{2}^{0}+\beta_{3} \phi_{1}^{0}-\beta_{4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

if only four complex species are formed, then combination of equations (19) and (20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{4}=\beta_{1} \Delta \phi_{3}-\beta_{2} \Delta \phi_{2}+\beta_{3} \Delta \phi_{1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then introducing the value of $\beta_{1}$ in equation (21), it follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta \phi_{4}-\beta_{1} \Delta \phi_{3}\right) / \Delta \phi_{2}=\beta_{3} \Delta \phi_{1} / \Delta \phi_{2}-\beta_{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

A plot of the function $\left(\Delta \phi_{4}-\beta_{1} \Delta \phi_{3}\right) \cdot \Delta \phi_{2}{ }^{-1}$ vs. $\Delta \phi_{1} / \Delta \phi_{2}$ should give a straight line of slope $\beta_{3}$ and intercept $\beta_{2}$. From the values of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$, and $\beta_{3}$ the constants $l_{1}^{\prime}, l_{2}^{\prime}$, and $l_{3}^{\prime}$ could be determined accurately and consequently the polynomial $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ from equation (11).

All the above equations have been deduced on the assumption that no polynuclear species are formed either in the resin phase or in solution.
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