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#### Abstract

Reaction of the zerovalent palladium or platinum complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right]$ ( $\mathrm{dba}=\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CHCOCH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$; $\mathrm{s}=$ dba or solvent) with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CCl}$ gives $\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{n}\right]$ ( $n$ probably 2 ) which are converted into the pentane-2,4dionates $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right][\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd}(3 \mathrm{a})$ or $\mathrm{Pt}(3 \mathrm{~b}) ; \mathrm{acac}=\mathrm{MeCOCHCOMe}$ ] by reaction with $\mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{acac})$. The $\mathrm{CPh}_{3}$ ligands in complexes (3a) and (3b) are $\eta^{3}$-benzylically bound to the metal. Three different dynamic processes of progressively higher $\Delta G \ddagger$ are undergone by complex (3a) and have been studied by n.m.r. spectroscopy: (A), where the metal moves between two $\eta^{3}$-positions on a single phenyl via a suprafacial [1,5]-sigmatropic shift : (B), where the metal moves from one phenyl ring to another ( $\Delta G \ddagger=13.8 \mathrm{kcal}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at 300 K ) : and ( $C$ ). where the ends of the acac ligand also become equilibrated [after remaining distinct during processes (A) and (B)]. Process (A) [but not (B) or (C)] also occurs in (3b) ( $\Delta G \ddagger=c a .6 .4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 187 K ) within the accessible temperature range, but it could not be frozen out for either (3a) or (3b). A $p$-substituent in one phenyl ring has a small effect on the rate of process ( $B$ ), but the metal shows definite site preferences and favours the phenyl bearing the more electron-releasing substituent.


The possibility that the benzyl group could be $\eta^{3}$ - (rather than $\sigma$-) bonded was first suggested by King and Fronzaglia ${ }^{1}$ for the complex $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ obtained by decarbonylation of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\sigma-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right]$. This was confirmed by an $X$-ray structure determination of $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ carried out by Cotton and La Prade. ${ }^{2}$ Cotton and Marks ${ }^{3}$ later reported an elegant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. investigation of the dynamic processes which occur in such molecules and concluded that they proceeded via 16 -electron $\sigma$-benzylic intermediates of the type $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ -$\left.\left(\sigma-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right](\mathrm{R}=$ aryl $)$ with $E_{\text {act }}=19 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \cdot \dagger$ Since then a number of $\eta^{3}$-benzyl complexes of other metals have been prepared including $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\right.$ -$\left.\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{+,},\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right],{ }^{5}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}\right\}_{3}\right]^{6}$ Wilke and Schott ${ }^{7}$ have reported the preparation of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{n}\right]$ but the structures have not been elucidated. ${ }^{8}$

In connection with our interest in the use of the zerovalent palladium and platinum olefin complexes $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right][\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd}(1 \mathrm{a})$ or $\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{lb}) ; \mathrm{dba}=1,5-\mathrm{di}-$ phenylpenta-1,4-dien-3-one, $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CHCOCH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$; $\mathrm{s}=$ solvent or dba$]^{9,10}$ as synthetic precursors, we have examined their reactions with a number of organic halides, in particular those likely to give $\eta^{3}$-bonded complexes. ${ }^{11,12}$ We here report the reactions of (la) and (lb) with chlorotriphenylmethane, and with some mono- $p$-substituted chlorotriphenylmethanes, to give $\eta^{3}$-triphenylmethyl complexes of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and also the dynamic behaviour that these complexes show. A preliminary communication on part of this work has appeared, ${ }^{13}$ and details of the crystal structures of two complexes are given in the following paper. ${ }^{14}$

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation of the Complexes.-Complexes (la) and (lb) reacted with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CCl}$ in chloroform to give the chloro-complexes (2a) and (2b) in virtually quantitative

[^0]and $44 \%$ yield, respectively. The yield of (2b) was improved (to $81 \%$ ) if $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right]$ was used in place of (1b). The yield of (2a) was unchanged when the reaction was carried in the presence of 1 equivalent of 2,6 -di-t-butyl-4-methylphenol (ionol) as a radical-trapping agent. This suggests that the free triphenylmethyl radical is not formed in the reaction and that the complex arises either via a caged radical intermediate or by an $S_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction path. Free, unchanged dba ligand was recovered from these reactions. Reaction of complexes (3a) or (3b) with HCl regenerated complexes (2a) or (2b) respectively.
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})\right]+2 \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CCl} \longrightarrow\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{n}\right]} \\
& \text { (1) } \mathrm{s}=\text { solvent or dba } \\
& {\left[\left\{\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{n}\right]+\mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{acac}) \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]} \\
& \text { (2) } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Complexes (2a) and (2b) were rather insoluble and molecular-weight determinations were not possible; some samples gave low C and H and high Cl analyses suggesting the presence of excess of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$. In order to investigate the complexes of the $\mathrm{CPh}_{3}$ ligand further the more soluble pentane-2,4-dionate (acac) complexes (3a) and ( 3 b ) were prepared. $X$-Ray structure determinations on complexes (3a) and (3b) ${ }^{14}$ showed that the two complexes were isostructural and that both contained the $\mathrm{CPh}_{3}$ ligand $\eta^{3}$-co-ordinated to the metal which was also $O O^{\prime}$-bonded to the acac ligand. The n.m.r. spectra of (3a) and (3b) showed that a variety of dynamic processes was occurring; in order to study these in more detail a series of $\eta^{3}$-triphenylmethylpalladium complexes bearing one $p$-substituent, $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left[\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}-4\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\right.$ (4) and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{[ } \mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}-4\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ (5) $[$ (a) $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}$, (b) $\mathrm{X}=$ Me , or (c) $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OMe}$ ], as also prepared by similar routes from the substituted chlorotriphenylmethane.

The chloro-complexes (4) were more soluble than (2a) and molecular-weight determinations showed them to be dimeric. The presence of a strong band in the far-i.r.
spectrum of (2a) at $288 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is consistent with the presence of bridging chlorines, and we therefore presume these complexes to have dimeric structures with $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ bridges similar to those found for $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\text { allyl })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] .{ }^{15}$
through $C(4)-C(1)-C(\alpha)$. This should give rise to nine rather than eight $\mathrm{CPh}_{3}$ signals but we find that there is additional accidental degeneracy between $C(4)$ and $C\left(3^{\prime}+5^{\prime}+3^{\prime \prime}+5^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The assignments of the acac


Figure $1{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ N.m.r. spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
N.M.R. Spectra of Complexes (3a) and (3b).-The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of neither (3a) (at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) nor of (3b) (at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were consistent with the solid-state structures shown by the $X$-ray determination.* In particular, in
carbons as well as of $C(\alpha), C(1), C\left(1^{\prime}\right)$, and $C\left(1^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are straightforward; the other carbons were assigned with the help of the higher-temperature spectra.

Above $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a second fluxional process (B) was

Table 1
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

|  | Compound | aryl | CH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CCl}$ | 7.30 |  |  |
| (3a) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)\right.$ (acac) $]$ | 7.00-7.60 | 5.08 | 1.76, 1.84 |
| (3b) | $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.19,7.33,7.46 \\ 6.14[\mathrm{H}(2), J(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Pt}) 27 \mathrm{~Hz}] \end{gathered}$ | 5.31 | 1.78, 1.90 |
|  | $\left[4-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}^{\text {a }}$ | 6.7-7.5 |  |  |
| (5a) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]^{\text {b }}$ | 6.8-7.6 | 5.06 | 1.74, 1.82 |
|  | $\left(4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}{ }^{c}$ | 7.1, 7.25 |  |  |
| (5b) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]^{d}$ | 7.0-7.6 | 5.08 | 1.76, 1.84 |
|  | $\left(4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}{ }^{e}$ | $6.7-7.3$ |  |  |
| (5c) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OMe}-4\right)\right\} \text { (acac) }\right]^{s}$ | $6.7-7.4$ | 5.07 | 1.75, 1.83 |

${ }^{a} \delta\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=-115.8$ p.p.m. with respect to $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3} . \quad{ }^{b} \delta\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=-126.5$ p.p.m. for forms 5 aX and 5 aY . ${ }^{c} \delta\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)=-115.4$ for form $5 \mathrm{aZ} ; \delta(\mathrm{Me})=2.30$ p.p.m. ${ }^{d} \delta(\mathrm{Me})=2.20$ p.p.m. ${ }^{e} \delta(\mathrm{Me})=3.72$ p.p.m. $\quad{ }^{\delta} \delta(\mathrm{Me})=3.77$ p.p.m.
place of the 15 signals expected for the $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}$ ligand in (3a) (assuming free rotation of unco-ordinated phenyls), only eight could be observed (Figure 1). This may be explained by assuming a rapid fluxional process (A) such that the molecule appears to have a plane of symmetry

* The aromatic region of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra of complex (3b) at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and of (3a) at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed two multiplets in the ratio of 13:2. The lower-frequency band of intensity 2 arises from $\mathrm{H}(2+6)$; at higher temperatures exchange occurs of the aromatic protons to produce a complex multiplet for (3a).
observed for complex (3a). The only carbons unaffected by this are $C(\alpha)$ and the acac carbons. The signal at $\delta 102.3$ p.p.m. can be assigned as the averaged $C(2+6)$ signal since $C(6)$ is expected to be at $c a . \delta 130$ and $\mathrm{C}(2)$ at ca. 70 p.p.m. The remaining signals were assigned by a qualitative application of the ForsénHoffman spin-saturation method at $-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 2). Thus, irradiation at $\delta 116.0$ p.p.m. [C(1)] caused the signal at 143.1 p.p.m. $\left[C\left(1^{\prime}+1^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$ to vanish; irradiation
at $\delta 102.3$ p.p.m. $[\mathrm{C}(2+6)]$ caused the signal at 129.9 p.p.m. to decrease markedly and allowed its assignment

to $C\left(2^{\prime}+2^{\prime \prime}+6^{\prime}+6^{\prime \prime}\right)$. On the basis of their intensities the signals at $\delta 133.7$ and 127.9 p.p.m. were
that irradiation at $\delta 133.7$ p.p.m. caused a decrease in the intensity of the signal at 127.9 p.p.m. However, the decrease was not as much as that observed for $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}+\right.$ $\left.2^{\prime \prime}+6^{\prime}+6^{\prime \prime}\right)$ when the $C(2+6)$ signal was irradiated; this suggests that the missing $\mathrm{C}(4)$ signal is also at $\delta 127.9$ p.p.m. and leaves only the signal at 126.7 p.p.m. to $C\left(4^{\prime}+4^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The behaviour of these resonances at higher temperatures was in agreement with these assignments (see below).

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the platinum complex (3b) showed the nine resonances expected for the fluxional molecule. They were assigned by analogy with those of (3a) and with the help of $J(\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C})$. Attempts to freeze out process (A) were unsuccessful. However, at $-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Process (B)
assigned to $C(3+5)$ and $C\left(3^{\prime}+3^{\prime \prime}+5^{\prime}+5^{\prime \prime}\right)$ respectively. This last assignment is consistent with the normal position of a $m$-carbon in a monosubstituted phenyl ring, between $\delta 127.5$ and 129.5 p.p.m. Confirmation of these assignments came from the observation


Figure 2 Partial ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at $-14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (a) unperturbed spectrum, (b) irradiation at $\delta 102.3$, $(c)$ irradiation at 116.0 , (d) irradiation at 133.7 p.p.m.
the signal at $\delta 92.9$ p.p.m., due to $\mathrm{C}(2+6)$ in complex (3b), is broad, while the remaining signals are still sharp. This behaviour is consistent with process (A) beginning to slow down on the n.m.r. time scale. Following the argument developed above, if $C(6)$ in (3b) resonates at $c a . \delta 130$ p.p.m., C(2) should then be observed at $c a .54$ p.p.m. From the difference ( $c a .1840 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) an exchange rate of $1.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ may be estimated which gives a value for $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ of $c a .6 .4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ at 187 K . No similar broadening could be detected for the palladium complex (3a), implying a still lower $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$.

The partial variable-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectrum of the palladium complex (3a) is shown, together with a computer simulation, in Figure 3. The computer simulation is based on process (B) as shown. Good agreement is found especially around $\delta 128$ p.p.m. where any mis-assignment of $C(4), C\left(4^{\prime}\right)$, or $C\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right)$ would have produced significant discrepancies between the observed and computed spectra. The rates of process (B) for (3a) are 24 (263), 77 (273), 143 (282), 605 (302), 1600 (316), $3850(326)$, and $5500 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(334 \mathrm{~K})$. These values give activation parameters $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=13.79 \pm 0.19 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ (at 300 K ), $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=12.63 \pm 0.13 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-3.85 \pm 0.44 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. By contrast, the platinum complex (3b) showed no evidence for process (B) even at $58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving a lower limit of 19.4 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ for $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$.

During processes (A) and (B) the two methyls of the acac in complex (3a) remained inequivalent in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectrum,* but at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ exchange [process (C)] between

* The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of complexes (3) and (5) frequently showed only singlets for the acac CO and CH resonances owing to accidental equivalence; the two CH signals were, however, clearly distinguished in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra (Table 1).
the two sites became significant. The rate of process $(C)$ is much less than that of $(B)$; for example, when that


Figure 3 Partial variable-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra ( $\delta 100$ -140) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at various temperatures and the computer-simulated spectra for various rates of exchange
of (B) is $605 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ the rate of (C) is only ca. $8 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for the same concentration at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In contrast to process (B), which was independent of solvent and concentration, process (C) was somewhat concentration dependent; thus, when a solution at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was diluted seven-fold the rate changed from $c a .10$ to $c a .3 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Similarly ready fluxional processes to that described by (A) here have been observed for the other $\eta^{3}$-benzylic complexes; ${ }^{46}$ however, for $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ aryl) the activation energies were found to be substantially higher. Process (A) may be interpreted in terms of a symmetry-allowed suprafacial sigmatropic [1,5]-shift, following the application of WoodwardHoffman rules to fluxional molecules by Mingos. ${ }^{16}$ The crystal structures of (3a) and (3b) show that the metal would need to move by some $2.35 \AA$ from one $n^{3}$-benzylic position to the other equivalent one during process (A); it is likely that the actual movement
required is less and that some compensation is provided by a twisting about the $\mathrm{C}(\alpha)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond. This process

implies that the ends of the acac remain inequivalent, as is observed. An alternative mechanism, involving a 14-electron transition state in which the metal was $\sigma$-bonded only to $C(\alpha)$, should allow free rotation about the $\operatorname{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(\alpha)$ bond making the ends of the acac equivalent. Since no movement of the acac methyls is detected during process ( B ) this mechanism can be excluded.

For $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)\left\{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}\right\}_{3}\right]$ a fluxional process corresponding to (A) is also observed since two of the three phosphite ligands exchange, giving rise to an $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ pattern in the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ n.m.r. spectrum. ${ }^{6}$ However, the higher activation energies found for the molybdenum complexes $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right] \quad(6 ; \mathrm{R}=$ aryl) arise from a process other than a $[1,5]$-shift and which has been characterised as proceeding through a 16 electron $\sigma$-benzyl intermediate. ${ }^{3}$ In these molecules a [ 1,5$]$-shift does not result in the interconversion of $C(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$ but in the interconversion of isomers (6a) $\rightleftharpoons$ (6b) in which the $\eta^{3}$-benzyl remains bound to the metal by the same face. This latter process can in practice not be observed. Infrared spectra showed that only one isomer was present for $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right],{ }^{3}$ presumably (6a), the form present in the crystal; the other isomer (6b) is probably strongly disfavoured for steric reasons. We postulate that the interconversion $(6 \mathrm{a}) \rightleftharpoons(6 \mathrm{~b})$ is fast and comparable in rate to process (A) but that the equilibrium concentration of ( 6 b ) is very small. Both isomers have been detected for the less-hindered $\eta^{3}$-allyl complex $\left[\mathrm{Mo}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right.$ $\left.(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\right]^{17}$ and for related complexes. ${ }^{18}$


The fluxional behaviour shown by complex (3a) and characterised as process (B) has been observed in other systems, in particular for $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}\right.\right.$-cycloheptadiene-2,4diyl) $\mathrm{L}_{2}{ }^{+}$and $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3} \text {-cyclo-octadiene-2,4-diyl) } \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]^{+}\right.$, where the 1,3 -shift was shown to proceed via an 18 -electron transition state, e.g. $(7 \mathrm{a}) \rightleftharpoons(7 \mathrm{~b}){ }^{19}$ Again here the two L ligands remain inequivalent. An analogous proposal has been made by Rinze to account for the
dynamic behaviour of the 18 -electron complex, [Co-$\left.\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right] .{ }^{20}$ A related transition state as shown above is suggested for process (B) for complex (3a).

No conclusion can, however, be drawn about the detailed path of the interconversion since a second path

(7b)
is also possible and has been shown to take place for $(8 \mathrm{a}) \rightleftharpoons(8 \mathrm{~b})^{21}$ where the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond between the

double bond and the allylic ligand in (8a) has the s-trans arrangement, rather than the $s$-cis arrangement in (7).

Although process (A) interconverts (5X) and (5Y) rapidly on the n.m.r. time scale, there are unequal


Figure 4 The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{0}\left\{\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$

$$
\text { in } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \text { at }-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

populations of the two species. Consequently, since rotation about the $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(\alpha)$ bond in (5X) and (5Y) is slow on the n.m.r. time scale, $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(5)$, and $\mathrm{C}(6)$ give four, not two, signals. The signals were assigned on the basis of the signals for $\left(4-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}$, using the methods described above for the elucidation of the spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ (3a), and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. data are given in Table 2.

At $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, process (B) for these complexes is slow and it was possible to determine the relative concentrations of $(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})$ compared to $(5 \mathrm{Z})$; these are given in Table 3. Since there are two phenyl groups and only one substituted phenyl group, the combined concentration of $(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})$ is favoured by a factor of two over the concentration of $(5 Z)$. The equilibrium constants and $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ were calculated after dividing the

Table 2
Carbon-13 n.m.r. spectra in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left[J\left({ }^{195} \mathrm{Pt}^{-13} \mathrm{C}\right)\left\{\right.\right.$ and $\left.J\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}^{-13} \mathrm{C}\right)\right\}$ are in H 2$]$

N.M.R. Spectra of the Substituted Complexes (5a)-(5c). -The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}^{2}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}-4\right)\right\}\right.$ (acac)] (5; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Me}$, or OMe ) are considerably more complex than those of (3a) (Figure 4) but they show similar fluxional processes to those described for (3a) over the same temperature range. The extra complexity arises from there being three different species in solution, (5X), (5Y), and (5Z), in different concentrations.
combined concentration of $(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})$ by two. $\Delta G^{\ominus}$ then gives a measure of how much the 4 - X substituent favours binding to the substituted rather than the unsubstituted ring. It is clear that the electronreleasing substituents ( $\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{Me}$ ) favour the binding of the metal to the phenyl on which they are situated; fluoride similarly disfavours the binding of the metal. This is a not unexpected result since it is generally agreed
that the $\sigma$ - (ligand to metal) donation is more important in metal(II) $d^{8}$ complexes than the $\pi$-back bonding. Any effect which increases the tendency of electronic charge to be donated to the metal will therefore be expected to stabilise the bonding and vice versa.

Although the substituent, $X$, has an effect on the bonding preference of the palladium atom, it has very little effect on the rate of process (B), as shown by the marked similarity of the room-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of complexes (3a), (5a), (5b), and (5c) (Figure 5).


Figure 5 Partial (aromatic region) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{R}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

Only for ( $5 \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}$ ) was there any difference in lineshape and this system was analysed in detail. For
a combination of integration and lineshape fitting. These values were used to calculate $\Delta H^{\ominus}=0.74 \pm 0.14$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ominus}=-0.9 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the equilibrium after allowing for the factor of two favouring

(5X)

(5Y)


(5Z)
( 5 aX ) and ( 5 a Y ). These values were then used to estimate the concentration of the two forms at higher temperatures for use in the lineshape analysis. It was observed that the separation of the two ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ resonances decreased from 580.6 to 562.5 Hz between -58.5 and $-4.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A linear dependence on temperature was assumed and the separation was extrapolated to higher temperature. Lineshape analysis (Figure 6) at higher temperature yielded rates and hence activation parameters for exchange between the substituted and unsubstituted rings. The relative concentrations of $(5 \mathrm{aX})+(5 \mathrm{a})$ with respect to $(5 \mathrm{a} Z)$ derived from the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. study were then used in the analysis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra where rates were derived for both

Table 3
Site preferences of the $\eta^{3}$-benzyl-metal bond in the substituted $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{X}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ (5a)-(5c)

| Substituent | Relative observed populations |  | Relative site preference |  | Free-energy difference at 230 K , $\Delta G^{\ominus}\{(5 \mathrm{Z})-[(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})]\} / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})$ | (5Z) | $\overparen{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}[(5 \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{Y})]}$ | $\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}(5 \mathrm{Z})$ |  |
| F | 1.0 | 0.31 | 1.0 | 0.26 | -0.6 |
| H | 1.0 | 0.50 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| Me | 1.0 | 0.71 | 1.0 | 1.42 | 0.15 |
| OMe | 1.0 | 1.43 | 1.0 | 2.86 | 0.5 |

these molecules, process (B) leads to two independent rates. In one, $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i})$, the palladium atom moves between the two unsubstituted rings while in the second, B (ii), it moves between the substituted and an unsubstituted ring:

For (5a) the second process could be conveniently investigated by use of ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. spectroscopy. Between -58.5 and $-4.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the relative concentrations of $(5 a \mathrm{X})+(5 \mathrm{a} Y)$ with respect to $(5 \mathrm{aZ})$ were determined by
processes $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{i})$ and $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{ii})$ (Figure 7). Use of the Eyring equation to analyse these rates yielded activation parameters for the interchange of the unsubstituted rings of $\Delta G^{\ddagger} 300=14.22 \pm 0.39 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}, \Delta H^{\ddagger}=12.76 \pm 0.27$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$, and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-4.9 \pm 0.9 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, and for the interchange of the substituted and unsubstituted rings, using the rate of leaving the unsubstituted ring, $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=13.74 \pm 0.37 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}, \Delta H^{\ddagger}=14.13 \pm 0.31$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=1.3 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{cal} \mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$. Since
these results were not significantly different to those found for the unsubstituted derivative no further detailed studies of complexes ( 5 b ) and ( 5 c ) were carried out.


Figure 6 Variable-temperature ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. spectra of $\left.\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and the computer-
simulated spectra for various rates of exchange
The low-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra of (5a), (5b), and ( 5 c ) showed the somewhat surprising feature that two resonances could be distinguished for both $\mathrm{C}(2+6)$ and $C(3+5)$. This shows that the relative populations of ( 5 X ) and ( 5 Y ) were significantly different from each other in all three complexes. The explanation of this very long-range effect can only be guessed at: it might be due to a long-range intramolecular electronic effect of the $4-X$ substituent or it could arise from a preferential solvation of one form.*

## EXPERIMENTAL

Microanalytical data, molecular weights, and yields are

* Note added in proof. We also draw attention to the recent paper by Y. Becker and J. K. Stille (J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100,845 ) on dynamic $\eta^{1}$ - and $\eta^{3}$-benzylbis(triethylphosphine)palladium cations.
collected in Table 4. Molecular weights were determined in chloroform using a Mechrolab 301A vapour-pressure osmometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ N.m.r. spectra (Table 1) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer R12B or Varian HA-100 spectrometer, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2) and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ n.m.r. spectra on a JEOL PFT-100 spectrometer. In each case $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as solvent.


Figure 7 Partial variable-temperature ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra ( $\delta 100$ -140) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}-4\right) ;(\mathrm{acac})\right]\right.$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and the com-puter-simulated spectra; $k_{1}$ is the rate of motion between unsubstituted rings and $k_{2}$ is the rate of motion from the unsubstituted to substituted ring

The I.U.P.A.C. recommended sign convention that high frequency is positive is used with $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$

Table 4
Analytical data, melting points, yields, and molecular weights

| Complex |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| (2a) | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\right.$ |
| (2b) | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ |
| (4a) | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}-4\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}{ }^{e}\right.$ |
| (4b) | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ |
| (4c) | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OMe}-4\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ |
| (3a) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ |
| (3b) | [ $\left.\mathrm{Pt}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ |
| (5a) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| (5b) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Me}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ |
| (5c) | $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left\{\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OMe}-4\right)\right\}(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ |
|  | Calculated values are given in parenth copy. |


| Analysis (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\%) \end{gathered}$ | Decomposition point $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | H | Cl |  |  |  |
| 59.1 | 4.2 | 9.3 |  | 95 | 104-106 |
| (59.2) | (3.9) | (9.2) |  |  |  |
| 51.0 | 3.7 | 7.7 |  | $44(81){ }^{\text {b }}$ | 170-179 |
| (51.0) | (3.7) | (7.5) |  |  |  |
| 57.4 | 4.5 | 7.4 |  | 86 | 135-140 |
| (57.3) | (4.4) | (7.7) |  |  |  |
| 60.4 | 4.7 | 8.6 |  | 86 | 165--168 |
| (60.2) | (4.3) | (8.9) |  |  |  |
| 58.1 | 4.5 | 8.7 | 772 | 91 | 140-144 |
| (57.9) | (4.1) | (8.5) | (830) |  |  |
| 64.6 | 5.3 |  | 470 | 46 | 176-178 |
| (64.3) | (4.9) |  | (490) |  |  |
| 53.2 | 4.4 |  | 557 | 80 | 175-178 |
| (53.6) | (4.1) |  | (538) |  |  |
| 63.0 | 5.3 |  | 475 | 44 | 140-142 |
| (63.3) | (5.4) |  | (502) |  |  |
| 64.7 | 5.3 |  | 473 | 37 | 125-128 |
| (64.9) | (5.2) |  | (462) |  |  |
| 62.5 | 5.4 |  | 468 | 48 | 160-163 |
| (62.7) | (5.0) |  | (478) |  |  |

${ }^{b}$ Using $\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right]$ as starting material.
$\left({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\right)$ as reference compounds. The quoted errors are for one standard deviation.

The compound $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{CCl}\left(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .113-115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) is commercially available; $\left[\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right] \quad(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Pd}$ or Pt$),{ }^{9}\left(4-\mathrm{FC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}$ (m.p. $87-89{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{22}\left(4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}$ (m.p. $96-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{23}$ and $\left(4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}$ (m.p. $120-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{24}$ were all prepared by literature methods. Representative preparations are given.
$\left[\left\{\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ (2a).—Solid $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right]$ (llllll $\mathbf{1 . 6}$ g, 3.4 mmol) was added to a solution of chlorotriphenylmethane $(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 9.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry chloroform ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate concentrated (to $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) on a rotary evaporator. Acetone ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and the solution was set aside for 15 min . The orange-yellow microcrystalline powder was filtered off, washed with acetone, and dried (yield 1.3 g ). When the acetone washings were concentrated and pentane was added, free dba crystallised out $\left(87 \%\right.$, m.p. $102-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Far-i.r. spectra: (2a), $326 \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{br}, 288 \mathrm{~s}, 262 \mathrm{~ms}$, and $234 \mathrm{~ms} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; (5a), 303mw, $268 \mathrm{~ms}, 248 \mathrm{vs}$, and $211 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

A number of variations were found to be equally successful; $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(\mathrm{dba})\right]$ was used in place of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}\right] \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and dichloromethane was used as solvent in place of chloroform for the syntheses of (4a), (4b), and (4c).
$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{CPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{acac})\right]$ (3a).-Thallium(I) pentane-2,4dionate ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portions to a suspension of ( 2 a ) ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene ( $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ), under nitrogen. The suspensions were stirred (16 h at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and then filtered to remove precipitated TlCl and to leave a clear amber solution from which the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was crystallised from benzene-light petroleum (b.p. $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to give orangeyellow crystals ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$ ).

Complexes (5a), (5b), and (5c) were prepared similarly and crystallised from diethyl ether-hexane.

We thank Mr. G. A. Wright for synthesising intermediates, Dr. B. F. Taylor for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ n.m.r. spectra, and the S.R.C. for support.
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